

Immune modulation and monitoring of cell therapy in inflammatory disorders

Suwandi, J.S.

Citation

Suwandi, J. S. (2022, October 18). *Immune modulation and monitoring of cell therapy in inflammatory disorders*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3480350

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3480350

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Pancreatic pathology in pre-diabetic patients

Heterogeneity and lobularity of pancreatic pathology in type 1 diabetes during the prediabetic phase: a case study

Teresa Rodriguez-Calvo, Jessica S. Suwandi, Natalie Amirian, Jose Zapardiel-Gonzalo,

Florence Anquetil, Somayeh Sabouri and Matthias G. von Herrath

Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry 2015, 63, (8), 626–636

Abstract

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an autoimmune disease in which insulin-producing beta cells are destroyed in the islets of Langerhans. One of its main pathological manifestations is the hyper-expression of MHC class I by beta cells, which was first described over 3 decades ago, yet its cause still remains unknown. It might not only be a sign of beta-cell dysfunction but could also render them susceptible to autoimmune destruction for example by islet-infiltrating CD8 T cells. In this report we studied the pancreas of a 22 year old non-diabetic male at high risk of developing T1D in which auto-antibodies against GAD and IA-2 were detected. Pancreas sections were analyzed for signs of inflammation. Multiple insulin containing islets were identified, which hyper-expressed MHC-I. However, islet density and MHC I expression exhibited a highly lobular and heterogeneous pattern even within the same section. In addition, many islets with high expression of MHC-I presented higher levels of CD8 T cell infiltration than normal islets. These results demonstrate the heterogeneity of abnormalities occurring early during the pre-diabetic, autoantibody positive phase and should contribute to the understanding of human T1D pathology.

Abbreviations

Ab+, Autoantibody positive; MHC-I, Major Histocompatibility Complex I; BMI, Body Mass Index; ICIs, Insulin Containing Islets; IDIs, Insulin Deficient Islets; T1D, type 1 diabetes; T2D, type 2 diabetes; nPOD, Network for Pancreatic Organ donors with Diabetes; NOD, Non-Obese Diabetic Mouse.

Introduction

Pathological changes take place before the complete destruction of insulin-producing beta cells in the pancreatic islets of pre-diabetic individuals, and might offer us insight into the earlier events underlying diabetes development. These coincide with the appearance of autoantibodies, which constitute nowadays the most common tool to predict future diabetes development (82). Usually, antibodies against insulin (IA) appear first, followed by glutamate decarboxylase (GAD), insulinoma-associated protein 2 (IA-2) and zinc transporter 8 (ZnT8) (83). Around the time of diagnosis, beta cell function is relatively rapidly lost, but in most cases a significant residual number of functional beta cells can still be present over many years (26, 84-86). It is known that during the early pre-diabetic state, beta cells can show an abnormal phenotype with one pathognomonic sign being the increase in MHC-I expression in both insulin-deficient and insulin-containing islets (26, 87, 88). This phenomenon was described 30 years ago by Bottazo et al. and by Foulis and colleagues (88, 89). The trigger or cause for this elevated expression is still not understood.

As the disease progresses, a lymphocytic infiltration can be observed in some islets. This phenomenon, described more than 100 years ago by Schmidt (90), was named insulitis by von Meyenburg in 1940 (91) and studied by LeCompte and Gepts in 1958 (92) and in 1965 (93). It is somewhat better characterized today and we know that the most frequent cell types are CD8 lymphocytes followed by macrophages, B cells and CD4 T cells (94). However, only a few studies have been carried out in non-diabetic, autoantibody positive donors with the majority of them showing no leukocytic infiltration or beta cell damage (95-97). The Network for Pancreatic Organ Donors with Diabetes (nPOD) has now opened up the unique possibility of investigating and characterizing the histopathological presentation during all the stages of the disease, from the pre-diabetic to the chronic state. In the present study we investigated the pancreas of a double autoantibody positive donor at high risk of developing T1D. We show that high MHC-I expression and CD8 T cell infiltration are remarkably heterogeneously distributed and differentially affect islets situated in different regions of the pancreas creating a multifocal pattern. The cause(s) for

this lobularity remain unclear, among them the potential for viral infections, the inflammatory milieu in the pancreas as well as a potentially yet unknown intrinsic etiology.

Material and methods

Subject

Human pancreata were collected from a cadaveric organ donor through the Network for Pancreatic Organ donors with Diabetes (nPOD). Six μ m sections from frozen pancreas samples from 3 different blocks from the head (02, 04 and 06), body (02, 06 and 08) and tail (02, 04 and 06) region were obtained. All experimental procedures were approved by the La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology Institutional Review Board-approved protocol number DI3-054-1112.

Immunofluorescence for insulin, HLA-ABC and CD8

Sections were subject to a standard immunofluorescence staining protocol. Briefly, sections were fixed with 0.4% paraformaldehyde and blocked with goat serum. Staining for insulin and HLA-ABC (MHC-I) was performed at room temperature for 1h using the following antibodies: Polyclonal guinea pig anti-Insulin (1/140; Dako, Carpinteria, CA) and mouse monoclonal (clone W6/32) IgG2a against a monomorphic epitope on the 45 kD polypeptide products of the HLA-A, B and C loci (1/100; Dako, Carpinteria, CA). Detection was done at room temperature for 45 minutes using polyclonal goat anti-guinea pig IgG, highly cross-adsorbed, Alexa Fluor 488 (1/1000 Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) and polyclonal goat anti-mouse IgG2a, isotype-specific Alexa Fluor 594 (1/1000 Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY). Finally, sections were incubated for 1h at room temperature with mouse monoclonal (clone RFT8) IgG1 conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (1:50, Birmingham, AL). After washing, sections were mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Molecular Probes, Grand Island, NY). Control pancreatic tissue sections with only secondary antibodies were used to determine background staining.

Image acquisition and analysis

Images were acquired using a Zeiss Axio Scan Z.1 slide scanner (10x objective) and Zen software, Blue edition (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Whole tissue section images were divided into multiple smaller areas using a grid in order to facilitate analysis. Islets were then counted manually based on insulin staining and islet density calculated per section based on the number of islets and the total area of the tissue determined by using a custom macro developed for ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). MHC-I expression was qualitatively evaluated and every islet classified based on the intensity of MHC-I staining. Infiltrating CD8 T cells were manually counted in each islet and matched to the MHC-I pattern. The percentage of elevated and hyper-expressing islets combined (abnormal islets) was calculated and represented as a heat map by using Excel conditional format tool (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The lowest values were assigned green color tones and the highest values red color tones. The same process was applied to CD8 T cell values per abnormal islet section.

Statistical analysis

Group differences were analyzed by using Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test followed by Dunn test for multiple comparisons. Correlation analysis was done by using Spearman correlation with two-tailed significance test. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA). Data in bar graphs are presented as mean \pm SD. Findings were assumed statistically significant at p \leq 0.05.

Results

Demographic characteristics of case 6197

The donor described in this manuscript was male, 22 years old, with BMI of 28.2, African American, positive for IA-2 and GAD autoantibodies. High HLA resolution analysis showed the following genotype: A*02:02, 24:02; DRB1*03:02, 07:01; DQA1*02:01, 04:01; DQB1*02:02, 04:02. The nPOD pathology core indicated the presence of multiple insulin and glucagon positive islets at first screening. Some insulitis was also reported (rare) as well as islet hyperemia. Finally, a mild, multifocal chronic pancreatitis was found. The donor was found to be positive for cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) lgG in serum.

Systematic histological analysis of pancreatic sections

Frozen pancreatic sections from 3 different blocks from the head, body and tail of the pancreas were analyzed (head blocks #02, 04 and 06; body blocks #02, 06 and 08 and tail blocks #02, 04 and 06). Each section was divided into several quadrants by using a grid. In each of these areas, islets were manually counted (Fig. 1). The number of total islets was very variable depending on the section and region. A minimum of 183 islets were counted in the head block #04 while 656 islets were detected in the tail block #04 (Fig. 2A). Islet density was then calculated based on the total tissue area and ranged from 2.04 islets/mm² up to 4.80 islets/mm² and was found to be higher in the pancreatic head and tail (3.61 vs 3.33 islets/mm²) while it was lower in the body (2.41 islets/mm²) (Fig. 2A). Islets were then classified based on the level of MHC-I expression as normal (no detectable expression in endocrine cells), elevated (high expression of MHC-I by endocrine cells not affecting the whole islet area) and hyper-expressing islets (high expression of MHC-I by endocrine cells affecting all the islet area) (Fig. 2B).



Figure 1. Whole frozen pancreatic sections were stained for insulin (green), MHC-I (red), CD8 (white) and DAPI (blue) following a standard immunofluorescence protocol. Sections were scanned and divided into smaller areas by using a grid in order to facilitate analysis. A letter was assigned to each quadrant (A-P). Whole tissue images are shown for each of the sections. Only insulin and DAPI staining are depicted for clarity purposes. White dots indicate islets. Scale bar, 1000 µm in all images.



Figure 2. A) The absolute number of islets for each section and block is shown as bar graphs. The specific number of islets is indicated on top of each bar (left panel). Islet density (Islets/mm²) was calculated based on the number of islets and total tissue area and it is shown as bar graphs (right panel). B) Islets were classified based on the level of MHC-I expression as normal (left panel, no detectable expression in endocrine cells), elevated (center panel, high expression of MHC-I by endocrine cells not affecting the whole islet area) and hyper-expressing islets (right panel, high expression of MHC-I by endocrine cells affecting all the islet area). Arrows indicate the presence of CD8 T cells. Scale bar, 100 μm in all images.

MHC-I hyper-expression is heterogeneously distributed across multiple islets and regions in the pancreas

In order to study islet MHC-I expression and localization in the pancreas, staining for insulin and MHC-I was performed across multiple sections from the head, body and tail. The number of islets and the expression of MHC-I was analyzed manually for each section and represented as bar graphs and heat maps (Figs. 3 and 5) (see Materials and Methods for details). In head block #02, 444 islets were counted. Of these, 5.63 (0-32%, A to M) and 10.81 % (0-50%, A to M) of the islets showed elevated Class I expression and hyper-expression respectively (Fig. 3A). In head block #04 and #06, 183 and 221 islets were counted. While elevated islets constituted 13.11 (0-33.3%, A to L) and 5.43 % (0-21.7%, A

to K) respectively, hyper-expression was detected in 8.74 (0-36.3%, A to L) and 3.62 % (0-47.6%, A to K) in these two blocks (Fig. 3B and C). Overall, elevated and hyper-expressing islets (abnormal phenotype) constituted 13.43 % of the islets in the head region.

Next, we performed the same analysis for body and tail regions. A total of 420, 546 and 278 islets were counted in body blocks #02, 06 and 08 respectively. Of this, 9.52 (0-20.6%, A to P), 7.88 (0-17%, A to P), and 12.59 % (0-34.8%, A to M) of the islets presented elevated MHC-I expression while a lower number of islets was found to hyper-express it (5.24 (0-11.3%, A to P), 3.11 (0-40%, A to P), and 6.83 % (0-33.3%, A to M) respectively) (Fig. 3D, E and F). In the tail, 290, 656 and 480 islets were counted in tail block #02, 04 and 06, with 4.14 (0-12.5%, A to M), 9.15 (0-33.3%, A to N) and 7.29 % (0-37.5%, A to P) of them presenting increased MHC-I expression. Hyper-expressing islets were found to be lower in this region with 0.69 (0-9.5%, A to M), 8.38 (0-32.1%, A to N) and 3.75 % (0-34.8%, A to P) of the islets for blocks #02, 04 and 06 respectively (Fig. 3G, H and I). No significant differences were found between different blocks except for tail block #02 which presented a lower percentage of abnormal islets than other blocks (Fig. 4A, B and C). Summarized information can be found in Table 1. Finally, values from all the regions were put together in a heat map in which the areas with higher percentage of abnormal islets are shown in red color tones and were present in head blocks #02 and 04, body block #08 and tail block #04. Conversely, those areas containing mainly normal islets are depicted as green (Fig. 7A) and were scattered across all the blocks.

Affected islets (elevated and hyper-expressing MHC-I) constituted 13.4 % of the islets in the body, which was almost the same percentage as found in the head (14.4 %) while the tail presented a slight reduction (11.9 %) mainly due to the smaller amount of hyper-expressing islets found in Tail block #02 (data not shown). When values were grouped according to the main anatomical regions (head, body or tail), islet hyper-expression was found to be higher in the head while elevated MHC-I was predominant in the body (Fig. 4D, E and F). However, no significant differences were detected between head, body and

tail regions regarding the percentage of elevated, hyper-expressing or normal islets (Fig. 4D, E and F).

	MHC-I (% islets)		
Elevated	HEAD	BODY	TAIL
Block A	5.63	9.52	4.14
Block B	13.11	7.88	9.15
Block C	5.43	12.59	7.29
Hyper-expression	HEAD	BODY	TAIL
Block A	10.81	5.24	0.69
Block B	8.74	3.11	8.38
Block C	3.62	6.83	3.75
Abnormal	13.40	14.40	11.90

Table 1. Summarized information for the % of elevated, hyper-expressing and abnormal islets for each block and region is shown.



Figure 3. The percentage of elevated (left panel) and hyper-expressing (center panel) islets from each quadrant of the pancreatic sections depicted in figure 1 was calculated and it is shown as bar graphs. Heat maps showing the areas with higher (red) or lower (green) percentage of abnormal islets within the section are shown on the right. A) Head Block # 02; B) Head Block #04; C) Head Block #06; D) Body Block # 02; E) Block #06; F) Body Block #08; G) Tail Block # 02; H) Tail Block #04; I) Tail #06. Scale bar, 1000 µm in all images.





CD8 T cells preferentially infiltrate islets with elevated levels of MHC-I expression

To evaluate the possible link between high MHC-I expression and infiltration, CD8 T cells were quantified on a per islet basis and correlated with their MHC-I pattern. High infiltration was only noticed in some islets. Similar values of CD8 T cells per elevated or hyper-expressing islet were found in head block #02 (3.40 and 3.71 CD8 T cells per islet respectively). In head block #04 a mean of 1.79 and 3.25 cells were found to infiltrate elevated and hyper-expressing islets. Furthermore, almost identical numbers of CD8 T cells were found in head block #06 for abnormal islets (2.75 and 2.63 CD8 T cells per elevated and hyper-expressing islet respectively) (Fig. 5A, B and C). Accordingly, similar values were found for elevated islets in body block #02 and 06 (2.33 vs 2.16) while block #04 had lower level of infiltration (1.20 CD8 T cells/elevated islet section). In contrast hyper-expressing islets were infiltrated by a mean of 4.73, 2.76 and 0.79 CD8 T cells/islet section in blocks #02, 04 and 06, being this region the most variable (Fig. 5D, E and F). Finally, no major differences were found in the tail between regions. Infiltration ranged from 1.67 to 2.67 CD8 T cells/elevated islet and from 2.28 to 3.33 CD8 T cells/hyperexpressing islet in the tail blocks analyzed (Fig. 5G, H and I). Summarized information for all the blocks can be found in Table 2.



Figure 5. The mean number of CD8 T cells per elevated (left panel) and hyper-expressing (center panel) islet from each quadrant of the sections depicted in figure 1 was determined and it is shown as bar graphs. Heat maps showing the areas with higher (red) or lower (green) number of CD8 T cells per abnormal islet (elevated and hyper-expressing) within the section are shown on the right. A) Head Block # 02; B) Head Block #04; C) Head Block #06; D) Body Block # 02; E) Block #06; F) Body Block #08; G) Tail Block # 02; H) Tail Block #04; I) Tail #06. Scale bar, 1000 μ m in all images.

	CD8 T cells (# per islet section)			
Elevated	HEAD	BODY	TAIL	
Block A	3.40	2.33	1.67	
Block B	1.79	1.20	2.67	
Block C	2.75	2.16	2.20	
Hyper-expression	HEAD	BODY	TAIL	
Block A	3.71	4.73	2.50	
Block B	3.25	2.76	3.33	
Block C	2.63	0.79	2.28	
Abnormal	2.89	2.19	2.33	

Table 2. Summarized information for the number of CD8 T cells per elevated, hyper-expressing and abnormal islet for each block and region is shown.

Across the 3 blocks examined in each of the 3 regions, normal islets presented similar numbers of infiltrating CD8 T cells ranging from 0.33 to 0.66 CD8 T cells/islet section for all the blocks except for tail block #04 which presented a slightly higher value (1.24 CD8 T cells/islet section) (data not shown).

Overall, elevated and hyper-expressing islets presented a significantly higher number of infiltrating CD8 T cells than normal islets in all the blocks. In addition, these differences were significant in head, body and tail regions. No differences were found between elevated and hyper-expressing islets in any analyzed area (Fig. 6). Lastly, values from all the regions were placed together in a heat map in which the areas with higher number of

infiltrating CD8 T cells per islet section are shown in red color tones and were present in head block #02 and tail block #04 and 06. The quadrants containing less CD8 T cells are depicted in green (Fig. 7B) and were scattered across all the blocks being less predominant in tail block #06.

Correlation analysis between the percentage of abnormal islets and the number of CD8 T cells per islet section in each quadrant showed strong correlation (r=0.6) with a moderate linear fit ($R^2=0.44$) (Fig. 7C).



Figure 6. The mean number of CD8 T cells per elevated, hyper-expressing and normal islet is shown as bar graphs for the 3 blocks examined (named A, B and C here) from A) head; B) Body and C) Tail. The overall mean number of CD8 T cells per elevated, hyper-expressing and normal islet is shown for all the blocks combined in D (Head), E (Body) and F (Tail). *significant difference between groups ($P \le 0.001$). ***significant difference between groups ($P \le 0.001$). ***significant difference between groups ($P \le 0.001$).



Figure 7. A) The overall percentage of islets with abnormal MHC-I expression (elevated and hyper-expressing islets) is represented as a heat map. Areas with higher (red) or lower (green) presence of affected islets are shown for all the sections together. B) The overall mean number of CD8 T cells per islet section (elevated, hyper-expressing and normal) is represented as a heat map. Areas that contained islets with higher (red) or lower (green) presence of CD8 T cells are shown for all the sections together. C) Correlation analysis of the percentage of islets with abnormal MHC-I and the number of CD8 T cells per islet section (r=0.61; R²=0.44). Scale bar, 1000 μ m in all images. ****significant difference (P ≤ 0.0001).

Discussion

In the present study, we have performed a systematic analysis of two pathognomonic signs of early diabetes, MHC-I expression and CD8 T cell infiltration into islets. Pancreatic samples from a double Ab+ individual with no clinical signs of diabetes showed high MHC-I expression affecting approximately 14 % of the islets in head and body and 12 % in the tail. Areas of islets with normal MHC-I expression were frequently continuous to areas with hyper-expressing islets. In some of these areas almost 50 % of the islets hyperexpressed Class I. In addition, we observed an intermediate level of expression that was apparent in some islets. In these so-called "elevated islets", not all the endocrine cells within the islet expressed MHC-I. Their distribution was also scattered across the pancreatic sections. Hence, islets with an abnormal phenotype (elevated and hyperexpressing MHC-I) presented a patchy and sometimes lobular distribution. CD8 T cell infiltration, although mild, was also detected in the affected islets and was on average higher in islets with clear MHC-I hyper-expression compared to islets with just elevated and normal MHC class I. In 1985, a case report by Botazzo and colleagues described the pathological findings in the pancreas of a 12 year old girl with newly-diagnosed T1D, who died within 24 hours of diagnosis (89). In this important study, "a marked increase" in Class I (HLA-A, B and C) expression was also observed in some islets. In addition, analysis of islet infiltration showed the presence of a predominant cytotoxic T cell population (89). In 1987 Foulis et al. confirmed the presence of an abnormally high expression of MHC-I molecules on endocrine cells from type 1 diabetic donors (88). Even at that time, the possible underestimation of pathological alterations based on the sole analysis of one tissue section from one particular block was a concern (88). In the present study we have performed a comprehensive and systematic analysis in multiple blocks from the pancreatic head, body and tail to overcome this possible limitation. As shown here, the lobularity and heterogeneity of the human disease increases the complexity of possible pathological interpretations and therefore conclusions obtained from the study of one tissue section should, in general, be interpreted with caution.

Insulitis is present in both mice and humans, but major differences have been reported. In mouse models, infiltration starts in the peri-islet area and it is comparatively massive while in humans, as described here, it is usually mild. Additionally, we and others have shown that only a small percentage of islets is inflamed during the pre-diabetic phase (26. 95). Conversely, in the NOD mice model, at 18 weeks-old, almost all of the islets are heavily infiltrated (98, 99). Furthermore, in both Non-Obese Diabetic (NOD) mice and humans, islet beta cells up-regulate MHC-I during the inflammatory response and this process is important for the disease progression. As reported by Hamilton-Williams et al, MHC-I influences beta cell destruction by infiltrating cytotoxic T cells (100). NOD mice lacking MHC-I expression on beta cells presented the same level of insulitis as those with normal expression, but hyperglycaemia was delayed or abolished. Our results additionally show that there is a strong correlation between islet MHC-I expression and infiltrating CD8 T cells in humans. Areas containing mostly normal islets presented a lower but still evident number of CD8 T cells per islet section than those with higher percentage of abnormal islets. This could indicate that CD8 T cells infiltrate the islets even before the up-regulation of MHC-I occurs. The differences between mice and human pathology are therefore intriguing. They could reside on the aetiology or the primary cause of the disease, but could also be just reflective of an overall slower disease course in humans (years versus months in mice).

The link between MHC-I hyper-expression and virus infections has often been debated over the past 30 years. Our results here cannot confirm the presence of a viral infection but the lobular pattern is indicative of the usual patchy appearance of viral antigen found during infection in a solid organ (101-103). Infection of some islets might trigger an antiviral response and therefore an increase in MHC-I (104). For example, growing evidence suggests that enteroviruses (EV) or neutralizing antibodies against them can be detected in pancreata and in the serum from T1D donors (103, 105-109). EV can effectively infect beta cells, which express the coxsackievirus and andenovirus receptor (CAR) (110, 111). Infection of beta cells could trigger the release of interferons and islet antigens (112) that might be recognized by auto-reactive T cells therefore initiating the

autoimmune destruction. However, no viral proteins or genome were detected in the pancreas of this particular autoantibody positive donor by immunohistochemistry and PCR in the blocks analyzed (Richardson S. and Oikarinen M., personal communication). We cannot completely rule out the presence of a viral infection since not all the regions of the pancreas were analyzed. In addition, it has been shown that EVs can persist due to deletions in their genome that make the virus an extremely slow replicator and that displace the wild type forms during a chronic infection (113). Additional and new techniques will be needed to detect these terminally deleted viruses in pancreata from pre- or diabetic individuals and their possible role in the pathogenesis of T1D. Slow-replicating, endogenous and/or latent viruses like herpesviruses could also be good candidates and may have a role in the induction of autoimmune diabetes (114-116). The MHC-I pattern shown here could match a possible lobular spreading of these viruses.

In conclusion, in this systematic study, MHC-I expression and CD8 T cell infiltration have been analyzed on a per islet basis in whole tissue sections from multiple regions of the pancreas. Our data demonstrate that during the pre-diabetic state, islets undergo important pathophysiological changes that occur in a patchy, almost 'vitiligo-like' fashion (117) and highlights the importance of understanding the precise cause for these changes. More insight into autoantibody positive cases could reveal early pathological events of T1D and thus could also inform future preventive strategies.

Acknowledgements

This research was performed with the support of nPOD, a collaborative T1D research project sponsored by the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation International (JDRF). Organ Procurement Organizations, partnering with nPOD to provide research resources, are listed at www.idrfnpod.org/our-partners.php. This study was supported by National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Grant R01 AI092453-03. The authors thank Grzegorz Chodaczek, Zbigniew Mikulski and Bill Kiosses of La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology for help with image acquisition and analysis and Priscilla Colby of La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology for administrative assistance, T.R.-C. performed and designed experiments, interpreted data. and wrote the manuscript. J.S.S performed experiments and analyzed data. N.A. performed experiments. J.Z.-G. designed the macros used for computer assisted software analysis and helped with data and statistical analysis. F.A. and S.S analyzed data. M.v.H. designed experiments, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. M.v.H. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The authors have no conflicting financial interests.

References

1. Lakhtakia R. The history of diabetes mellitus. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2013;13(3):368-70.

2. Karamanou M, Protogerou A, Tsoucalas G, Androutsos G, Poulakou-Rebelakou E. Milestones in the history of diabetes mellitus: The main contributors. World J Diabetes. 2016;7(1):1-7.

3. Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9(th) edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;157:107843.

4. American Diabetes A. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(Suppl 1):S14-S31.

5. Xu G, Liu B, Sun Y, Du Y, Snetselaar LG, Hu FB, et al. Prevalence of diagnosed type 1 and type 2 diabetes among US adults in 2016 and 2017: population based study. BMJ. 2018;362:k1497.

6. Maahs DM, West NA, Lawrence JM, Mayer-Davis EJ. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2010;39(3):481-97.

7. Patterson CC, Gyurus E, Rosenbauer J, Cinek O, Neu A, Schober E, et al. Trends in childhood type 1 diabetes incidence in Europe during 1989-2008: evidence of non-uniformity over time in rates of increase. Diabetologia. 2012;55(8):2142-7.

8. Pickup JC, Freeman SC, Sutton AJ. Glycaemic control in type 1 diabetes during real time continuous glucose monitoring compared with self monitoring of blood glucose: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials using individual patient data. Bmj-Brit Med J. 2011;343.

9. Muegge BD, Tobin GS. Improving Diabetes Care with Technology and Information Management. Mo Med. 2016;113(5):367-71.

10. Clayton DG. Prediction and interaction in complex disease genetics: experience in type 1 diabetes. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(7):e1000540.

11. Rewers M, Ludvigsson J. Environmental risk factors for type 1 diabetes. Lancet. 2016;387(10035):2340-8.

12. Roep BO, Kracht MJ, van Lummel M, Zaldumbide A. A roadmap of the generation of neoantigens as targets of the immune system in type 1 diabetes. Curr Opin Immunol. 2016;43:67-73.

13. Thomaidou S, Zaldumbide A, Roep BO. Islet stress, degradation and autoimmunity. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2018;20 Suppl 2:88-94.

14. Sosenko JM, Palmer JP, Greenbaum CJ, Mahon J, Cowie C, Krischer JP, et al. Patterns of metabolic progression to type 1 diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Trial-Type 1. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(3):643-9.

15. Lee KH, Wucherpfennig KW, Wiley DC. Structure of a human insulin peptide-HLA-DQ8 complex and susceptibility to type 1 diabetes. Nat Immunol. 2001;2(6):501-7.

16. Wang YJ, Traum D, Schug J, Gao L, Liu C, Consortium H, et al. Multiplexed In Situ Imaging Mass Cytometry Analysis of the Human Endocrine Pancreas and Immune System in Type 1 Diabetes. Cell Metab. 2019;29(3):769-83 e4.

17. Yin N, Xu J, Ginhoux F, Randolph GJ, Merad M, Ding Y, et al. Functional specialization of islet dendritic cell subsets. J Immunol. 2012;188(10):4921-30.

18. Drexhage HA, Dik WA, Leenen PJ, Versnel MA. The Immune Pathogenesis of Type 1 Diabetes: Not Only Thinking Outside the Cell but Also Outside the Islet and Out of the Box. Diabetes. 2016;65(8):2130-3.

19. Carrero JA, McCarthy D, Ferris S, Wan X, Hu H, Zinselmeyer B, et al. Depletion of islet resident macrophages protects mice from type 1 diabetes. The Journal of Immunology. 2018;200(1 Supplement):41.13-41.13.

20. Thayer TC, Delano M, Liu C, Chen J, Padgett LE, Tse HM, et al. Superoxide Production by Macrophages and T Cells Is Critical for the Induction of Autoreactivity and Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2011;60(8):2144-51.

21. Richardson SJ, Willcox A, Bone AJ, Morgan NG, Foulis AK. Immunopathology of the human pancreas in type-I diabetes. Semin Immunopathol. 2011;33(1):9-21.

22. Gagnerault MC, Luan JJ, Lotton C, Lepault F. Pancreatic lymph nodes are required for priming of beta cell reactive T cells in NOD mice. J Exp Med. 2002;196(3):369-77.

23. Foulis AK, Liddle CN, Farquharson MA, Richmond JA, Weir RS. The histopathology of the pancreas in type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: a 25-year review of deaths in patients under 20 years of age in the United Kingdom. Diabetologia. 1986;29(5):267-74.

24. Tan S, Li Y, Xia J, Jin CH, Hu Z, Duinkerken G, et al. Type 1 diabetes induction in humanized mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(41):10954-9.

25. Unger WW, Pearson T, Abreu JR, Laban S, van der Slik AR, der Kracht SM, et al. Islet-specific CTL cloned from a type 1 diabetes patient cause beta-cell destruction after engraftment into HLA-A2 transgenic NOD/scid/IL2RG null mice. PLoS One. 2012;7(11):e49213.

26. Coppieters KT, Dotta F, Amirian N, Campbell PD, Kay TW, Atkinson MA, et al. Demonstration of isletautoreactive CD8 T cells in insulitic lesions from recent onset and long-term type 1 diabetes patients. J Exp Med. 2012;209(1):51-60.

27. Nejentsev S, Howson JM, Walker NM, Szeszko J, Field SF, Stevens HE, et al. Localization of type 1 diabetes susceptibility to the MHC class I genes HLA-B and HLA-A. Nature. 2007;450(7171):887-92.

28. Ouyang Q, Standifer NE, Qin H, Gottlieb P, Verchere CB, Nepom GT, et al. Recognition of HLA class lrestricted beta-cell epitopes in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2006;55(11):3068-74.

29. Abreu JR, Martina S, Verrijn Stuart AA, Fillie YE, Franken KL, Drijfhout JW, et al. CD8 T cell autoreactivity to preproinsulin epitopes with very low human leucocyte antigen class I binding affinity. Clin Exp Immunol. 2012;170(1):57-65.

30. Unger WW, Velthuis J, Abreu JR, Laban S, Quinten E, Kester MG, et al. Discovery of low-affinity preproinsulin epitopes and detection of autoreactive CD8 T-cells using combinatorial MHC multimers. J Autoimmun. 2011;37(3):151-9.

31. Kracht MJL, Zaldumbide A, Roep BO. Neoantigens and Microenvironment in Type 1 Diabetes: Lessons from Antitumor Immunity. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2016;27(6):353-62.

32. Kracht MJ, van Lummel M, Nikolic T, Joosten AM, Laban S, van der Slik AR, et al. Autoimmunity against a defective ribosomal insulin gene product in type 1 diabetes. Nature medicine. 2017;23(4):501-7.

33. Nagata M, Santamaria P, Kawamura T, Utsugi T, Yoon JW. Evidence for the role of CD8+ cytotoxic T cells in the destruction of pancreatic beta-cells in nonobese diabetic mice. J Immunol. 1994;152(4):2042-50.

34. Utsugi T, Yoon JW, Park BJ, Imamura M, Averill N, Kawazu S, et al. Major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted infiltration and destruction of pancreatic islets by NOD mouse-derived beta-cell cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell clones in vivo. Diabetes. 1996;45(8):1121-31.

35. Arif S, Tree TI, Astill TP, Tremble JM, Bishop AJ, Dayan CM, et al. Autoreactive T cell responses show proinflammatory polarization in diabetes but a regulatory phenotype in health. J Clin Invest. 2004;113(3):451-63.

36. Walker LS, von Herrath M. CD4 T cell differentiation in type 1 diabetes. Clin Exp Immunol. 2016;183(1):16-29.

37. Honkanen J, Nieminen JK, Gao R, Luopajarvi K, Salo HM, Ilonen J, et al. IL-17 immunity in human type 1 diabetes. J Immunol. 2010;185(3):1959-67.

38. Ferraro A, Socci C, Stabilini A, Valle A, Monti P, Piemonti L, et al. Expansion of Th17 cells and functional defects in T regulatory cells are key features of the pancreatic lymph nodes in patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2011;60(11):2903-13.

39. Ferreira RC, Simons HZ, Thompson WS, Cutler AJ, Dopico XC, Smyth DJ, et al. IL-21 production by CD4+ effector T cells and frequency of circulating follicular helper T cells are increased in type 1 diabetes patients. Diabetologia. 2015;58(4):781-90.

40. Kenefeck R, Wang CJ, Kapadi T, Wardzinski L, Attridge K, Clough LE, et al. Follicular helper T cell signature in type 1 diabetes. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(1):292-303.

41. Haller MJ, Long SA, Blanchfield JL, Schatz DA, Skyler JS, Krischer JP, et al. Low-Dose Anti-Thymocyte Globulin Preserves C-Peptide, Reduces HbA1c, and Increases Regulatory to Conventional T-Cell Ratios in New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes: Two-Year Clinical Trial Data. Diabetes. 2019;68(6):1267-76.

42. Rigby MR, Harris KM, Pinckney A, DiMeglio LA, Rendell MS, Felner EI, et al. Alefacept provides sustained clinical and immunological effects in new-onset type 1 diabetes patients. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(8):3285-96.

43. Sakaguchi S. Naturally arising Foxp3-expressing CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells in immunological tolerance to self and non-self. Nat Immunol. 2005;6(4):345-52.

44. Groux H, O'Garra A, Bigler M, Rouleau M, Antonenko S, de Vries JE, et al. A CD4+ T-cell subset inhibits antigen-specific T-cell responses and prevents colitis. Nature. 1997;389(6652):737-42.

45. Vieira PL, Christensen JR, Minaee S, O'Neill EJ, Barrat FJ, Boonstra A, et al. IL-10-secreting regulatory T cells do not express Foxp3 but have comparable regulatory function to naturally occurring CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2004;172(10):5986-93.

46. Miyao T, Floess S, Setoguchi R, Luche H, Fehling HJ, Waldmann H, et al. Plasticity of Foxp3(+) T cells reflects promiscuous Foxp3 expression in conventional T cells but not reprogramming of regulatory T cells. Immunity. 2012;36(2):262-75.

47. Sojka DK, Huang YH, Fowell DJ. Mechanisms of regulatory T-cell suppression - a diverse arsenal for a moving target. Immunology. 2008;124(1):13-22.

48. Buckner JH. Mechanisms of impaired regulation by CD4(+)CD25(+)FOXP3(+) regulatory T cells in human autoimmune diseases. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010;10(12):849-59.

49. Brusko T, Wasserfall C, McGrail K, Schatz R, Viener HL, Schatz D, et al. No alterations in the frequency of FOXP3+ regulatory T-cells in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2007;56(3):604-12.

50. Lawson JM, Tremble J, Dayan C, Beyan H, Leslie RD, Peakman M, et al. Increased resistance to CD4+CD25hi regulatory T cell-mediated suppression in patients with type 1 diabetes. Clin Exp Immunol. 2008;154(3):353-9.

51. Lindley S, Dayan CM, Bishop A, Roep BO, Peakman M, Tree TI. Defective suppressor function in CD4(+)CD25(+) T-cells from patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2005;54(1):92-9.

52. Schneider A, Rieck M, Sanda S, Pihoker C, Greenbaum C, Buckner JH. The effector T cells of diabetic subjects are resistant to regulation via CD4+ FOXP3+ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2008;181(10):7350-5.

53. Okubo Y, Torrey H, Butterworth J, Zheng H, Faustman DL. Treg activation defect in type 1 diabetes: correction with TNFR2 agonism. Clin Transl Immunology. 2016;5(1):e56.

54. Fichna M, Zurawek M, Fichna P, Ziolkowska-Suchanek I, Januszkiewicz D, Nowak J. Polymorphic variant at the IL2 region is associated with type 1 diabetes and may affect serum levels of interleukin-2. Mol Biol Rep. 2013;40(12):6957-63.

55. Yang JH, Cutler AJ, Ferreira RC, Reading JL, Cooper NJ, Wallace C, et al. Natural Variation in Interleukin-2 Sensitivity Influences Regulatory T-Cell Frequency and Function in Individuals With Long-standing Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2015;64(11):3891-902.

56. Bluestone JA, Buckner JH, Fitch M, Gitelman SE, Gupta S, Hellerstein MK, et al. Type 1 diabetes immunotherapy using polyclonal regulatory T cells. Sci Transl Med. 2015;7(315).

57. Unger WW, Laban S, Kleijwegt FS, van der Slik AR, Roep BO. Induction of Treg by monocyte-derived DC modulated by vitamin D3 or dexamethasone: differential role for PD-L1. Eur J Immunol. 2009;39(11):3147-59.

58. Ziegler AG, Rewers M, Simell O, Simell T, Lempainen J, Steck A, et al. Seroconversion to multiple islet autoantibodies and risk of progression to diabetes in children. JAMA. 2013;309(23):2473-9.

59. van Lummel M, van Veelen PA, de Ru AH, Janssen GM, Pool J, Laban S, et al. Dendritic Cells Guide Islet Autoimmunity through a Restricted and Uniquely Processed Peptidome Presented by High-Risk HLA-DR. J Immunol. 2016;196(8):3253-63.

60. Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Krause-Steinrauf H, Becker DJ, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Rituximab, B-lymphocyte depletion, and preservation of beta-cell function. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(22):2143-52.

61. Martin S, Wolf-Eichbaum D, Duinkerken G, Scherbaum WA, Kolb H, Noordzij JG, et al. Development of type 1 diabetes despite severe hereditary B-cell deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(14):1036-40.

62. Colagiuri S, Leong GM, Thayer Z, Antony G, Dwyer JM, Kidson W, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for autoimmune diabetes mellitus. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 1996;14 Suppl 15:S93-7.

63. Marner B, Lernmark A, Ludvigsson J, MacKay P, Matsuba I, Nerup J, et al. Islet cell antibodies in insulindependent (type 1) diabetic children treated with plasmapheresis. Diabetes Res. 1985;2(5):231-6.

64. Koczwara K, Bonifacio E, Ziegler AG. Transmission of maternal islet antibodies and risk of autoimmune diabetes in offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2004;53(1):1-4.

65. Archambault AS, Carrero JA, Barnett LG, McGee NG, Sim J, Wright JO, et al. Cutting edge: Conditional MHC class II expression reveals a limited role for B cell antigen presentation in primary and secondary CD4 T cell responses. J Immunol. 2013;191(2):545-50.

66. Constantino J, Gomes C, Falcao A, Cruz MT, Neves BM. Antitumor dendritic cell-based vaccines: lessons from 20 years of clinical trials and future perspectives. Transl Res. 2016;168:74-95.

67. Ferreira GB, Kleijwegt FS, Waelkens E, Lage K, Nikolic T, Hansen DA, et al. Differential protein pathways in 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d(3) and dexamethasone modulated tolerogenic human dendritic cells. J Proteome Res. 2012;11(2):941-71.

68. Benham H, Nel HJ, Law SC, Mehdi AM, Street S, Ramnoruth N, et al. Citrullinated peptide dendritic cell immunotherapy in HLA risk genotype-positive rheumatoid arthritis patients. Sci Transl Med. 2015;7(290):290ra87.

69. Jauregui-Amezaga A, Cabezon R, Ramirez-Morros A, Espana C, Rimola J, Bru C, et al. Intraperitoneal Administration of Autologous Tolerogenic Dendritic Cells for Refractory Crohn's Disease: A Phase I Study. J Crohns Colitis. 2015;9(12):1071-8.

70. Beane OS, Darling EM. Isolation, characterization, and differentiation of stem cells for cartilage regeneration. Ann Biomed Eng. 2012;40(10):2079-97.

71. Khiatah B, Qi M, Du W, K TC, van Megen KM, Perez RG, et al. Intra-pancreatic tissue-derived mesenchymal stromal cells: a promising therapeutic potential with anti-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic profiles. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019;10(1):322.

72. Di Nicola M, Carlo-Stella C, Magni M, Milanesi M, Longoni PD, Matteucci P, et al. Human bone marrow stromal cells suppress T-lymphocyte proliferation induced by cellular or nonspecific mitogenic stimuli. Blood. 2002;99(10):3838-43.

73. Burr SP, Dazzi F, Garden OA. Mesenchymal stromal cells and regulatory T cells: the Yin and Yang of peripheral tolerance? Immunol Cell Biol. 2013;91(1):12-8.

74. van Megen KM, van 't Wout ET, Lages Motta J, Dekker B, Nikolic T, Roep BO. Activated Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Process and Present Antigens Regulating Adaptive Immunity. Front Immunol. 2019;10:694.

75. Francois M, Romieu-Mourez R, Li M, Galipeau J. Human MSC suppression correlates with cytokine induction of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and bystander M2 macrophage differentiation. Mol Ther. 2012;20(1):187-95.

76. Reinders ME, Hoogduijn MJ. NK Cells and MSCs: Possible Implications for MSC Therapy in Renal Transplantation. J Stem Cell Res Ther. 2014;4(2):1000166.

77. Carreras-Planella L, Monguio-Tortajada M, Borras FE, Franquesa M. Immunomodulatory Effect of MSC on B Cells Is Independent of Secreted Extracellular Vesicles. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1288.

78. Bernardo ME, Fibbe WE. Mesenchymal stromal cells: sensors and switchers of inflammation. Cell Stem Cell. 2013;13(4):392-402.

79. Le Blanc K, Frassoni F, Ball L, Locatelli F, Roelofs H, Lewis I, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versus-host disease: a phase II study. Lancet. 2008;371(9624):1579-86.

80. Li N, van Unen V, Hollt T, Thompson A, van Bergen J, Pezzotti N, et al. Mass cytometry reveals innate lymphoid cell differentiation pathways in the human fetal intestine. J Exp Med. 2018;215(5):1383-96.

81. van Unen V, Li N, Molendijk I, Temurhan M, Hollt T, van der Meulen-de Jong AE, et al. Mass Cytometry of the Human Mucosal Immune System Identifies Tissue- and Disease-Associated Immune Subsets. Immunity. 2016;44(5):1227-39.

82. Pihoker C, Gilliam LK, Hampe CS, Lernmark A. Autoantibodies in diabetes. Diabetes. 2005;54 Suppl 2:S52-61.

83. Gorus FK, Keymeulen B, Veld PA, Pipeleers DG. Predictors of progression to Type 1 diabetes: preparing for immune interventions in the preclinical disease phase. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2013;9(12):1173-83.

84. Gianani R, Campbell-Thompson M, Sarkar SA, Wasserfall C, Pugliese A, Solis JM, et al. Dimorphic histopathology of long-standing childhood-onset diabetes. Diabetologia. 2010;53(4):690-8.

85. Coppieters KT, Wiberg A, Amirian N, Kay TW, von Herrath MG. Persistent glucose transporter expression on pancreatic beta cells from longstanding type 1 diabetic individuals. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2011;27(8):746-54.

86. Keenan HA, Sun JK, Levine J, Doria A, Aiello LP, Eisenbarth G, et al. Residual insulin production and pancreatic ss-cell turnover after 50 years of diabetes: Joslin Medalist Study. Diabetes. 2010;59(11):2846-53.

87. Quah HS, Miranda-Hernandez S, Khoo A, Harding A, Fynch S, Elkerbout L, et al. Deficiency in type I interferon signaling prevents the early interferon-induced gene signature in pancreatic islets but not type 1 diabetes in NOD mice. Diabetes. 2014;63(3):1032-40.

88. Foulis AK, Farquharson MA, Hardman R. Aberrant expression of class II major histocompatibility complex molecules by B cells and hyperexpression of class I major histocompatibility complex molecules by insulin containing islets in type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia. 1987;30(5):333-43.

89. Bottazzo GF, Dean BM, McNally JM, MacKay EH, Swift PG, Gamble DR. In situ characterization of autoimmune phenomena and expression of HLA molecules in the pancreas in diabetic insulitis. N Engl J Med. 1985;313(6):353-60.

90. MB. S. Ueber die beziehung der langenhans' schen inseln des pankreas zum diabetes mellitus. . München Med Wochenschr. 1902;49:51–4.

91. M VM. Ueber "Insulitis" bei Diabetes. Schweiz Med Wochenschr. 1940;21:554–7.

92. Lecompte PM. Insulitis in early juvenile diabetes. AMA Arch Pathol. 1958;66(4):450-7.

93. Gepts W. Pathologic anatomy of the pancreas in juvenile diabetes mellitus. Diabetes. 1965;14(10):619-33.

94. Willcox A, Richardson SJ, Bone AJ, Foulis AK, Morgan NG. Analysis of islet inflammation in human type 1 diabetes. Clin Exp Immunol. 2009;155(2):173-81.

95. In't Veld P, Lievens D, De Grijse J, Ling Z, Van der Auwera B, Pipeleers-Marichal M, et al. Screening for insulitis in adult autoantibody-positive organ donors. Diabetes. 2007;56(9):2400-4.

96. Wagner R, McNally JM, Bonifacio E, Genovese S, Foulis A, McGill M, et al. Lack of immunohistological changes in the islets of nondiabetic, autoimmune, polyendocrine patients with beta-selective GAD-specific islet cell antibodies. Diabetes. 1994;43(7):851-6.

97. Gianani R, Putnam A, Still T, Yu L, Miao D, Gill RG, et al. Initial results of screening of nondiabetic organ donors for expression of islet autoantibodies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91(5):1855-61.

98. Carrero JA, Calderon B, Towfic F, Artyomov MN, Unanue ER. Defining the transcriptional and cellular landscape of type 1 diabetes in the NOD mouse. PLoS One. 2013;8(3):e59701.

99. Eisenbarth GS. Type I diabetes mellitus. A chronic autoimmune disease. N Engl J Med. 1986;314(21):1360-8.

100. Hamilton-Williams EE, Palmer SE, Charlton B, Slattery RM. Beta cell MHC class I is a late requirement for diabetes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(11):6688-93.

101. Honeyman MC, Laine D, Zhan Y, Londrigan S, Kirkwood C, Harrison LC. Rotavirus infection induces transient pancreatic involution and hyperglycemia in weanling mice. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e106560.

102. Capua I, Mercalli A, Pizzuto MS, Romero-Tejeda A, Kasloff S, De Battisti C, et al. Influenza A viruses grow in human pancreatic cells and cause pancreatitis and diabetes in an animal model. J Virol. 2013;87(1):597-610.

103. Schneider DA, von Herrath MG. Potential viral pathogenic mechanism in human type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2014;57(10):2009-18.

104. Foulis AK, Farquharson MA, Meager A. Immunoreactive alpha-interferon in insulin-secreting beta cells in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Lancet. 1987;2(8573):1423-7.

105. Richardson SJ, Leete P, Bone AJ, Foulis AK, Morgan NG. Expression of the enteroviral capsid protein VP1 in the islet cells of patients with type 1 diabetes is associated with induction of protein kinase R and downregulation of Mcl-1. Diabetologia. 2013;56(1):185-93.

106. Laitinen OH, Honkanen H, Pakkanen O, Oikarinen S, Hankaniemi MM, Huhtala H, et al. Coxsackievirus B1 is associated with induction of beta-cell autoimmunity that portends type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2014;63(2):446-55.

107. Richardson SJ, Willcox A, Bone AJ, Foulis AK, Morgan NG. The prevalence of enteroviral capsid protein vp1 immunostaining in pancreatic islets in human type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2009;52(6):1143-51.

108. Oikarinen S, Tauriainen S, Hober D, Lucas B, Vazeou A, Sioofy-Khojine A, et al. Virus antibody survey in different European populations indicates risk association between coxsackievirus B1 and type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2014;63(2):655-62.

109. Willcox A, Richardson SJ, Bone AJ, Foulis AK, Morgan NG. Immunohistochemical analysis of the relationship between islet cell proliferation and the production of the enteroviral capsid protein, VP1, in the islets of patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2011;54(9):2417-20.

110. Ylipaasto P, Klingel K, Lindberg AM, Otonkoski T, Kandolf R, Hovi T, et al. Enterovirus infection in human pancreatic islet cells, islet tropism in vivo and receptor involvement in cultured islet beta cells. Diabetologia. 2004;47(2):225-39.

111. Oikarinen M, Tauriainen S, Honkanen T, Vuori K, Karhunen P, Vasama-Nolvi C, et al. Analysis of pancreas tissue in a child positive for islet cell antibodies. Diabetologia. 2008;51(10):1796-802.

112. Hober D, Sauter P. Pathogenesis of type 1 diabetes mellitus: interplay between enterovirus and host. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2010;6(5):279-89.

113. Tracy S, Smithee S, Alhazmi A, Chapman N. Coxsackievirus can persist in murine pancreas by deletion of 5' terminal genomic sequences. J Med Virol. 2014.

114. Ramondetti F, Sacco S, Comelli M, Bruno G, Falorni A, Iannilli A, et al. Type 1 diabetes and measles, mumps and rubella childhood infections within the Italian Insulin-dependent Diabetes Registry. Diabet Med. 2012;29(6):761-6.

115. Marguerat S, Wang WY, Todd JA, Conrad B. Association of human endogenous retrovirus K-18 polymorphisms with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2004;53(3):852-4.

116. Mason MJ, Speake C, Gersuk VH, Nguyen QA, O'Brien KK, Odegard JM, et al. Low HERV-K(C4) copy number is associated with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2014;63(5):1789-95.

117. Eisenbarth GS. Banting Lecture 2009: An unfinished journey: molecular pathogenesis to prevention of type 1A diabetes. Diabetes. 2010;59(4):759-74.