



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

## Scholarship in interaction: case studies at the intersection of codework and textual scholarship

Zundert, J.J. van

### Citation

Zundert, J. J. van. (2022, September 27). *Scholarship in interaction: case studies at the intersection of codework and textual scholarship*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3464403>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3464403>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Scholarship in Interaction



# SCHOLARSHIP IN INTERACTION

Case Studies at the Intersection of Codework  
and Textual Scholarship

*Joris J. van Zundert*

Scholarship in Interaction

© 2022 by Joris J. van Zundert

Published by Fox and Badger Publications

ISBN 978 90 90 36460 5

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



# SCHOLARSHIP IN INTERACTION

Case Studies at the Intersection of Codework  
and Textual Scholarship

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 27 september 2022  
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Joris Job van Zundert  
geboren te Goirle  
in 1972

## Promotiecommissie

### Promotores

Prof.dr. P.F. Wouters

Prof.dr. K.H. van Dalen-Oskam (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

### Overige leden

Prof.dr. S. de Rijcke

Prof.dr. L.R. Waltman

Prof.dr. S. Schreibman (Universiteit Maastricht)

Prof.dr. J. Nyhan (University College London)

Em.prof.dr. M. Thaller (Universität zu Köln)

# Contents

Acknowledgements	ii
1 Preliminary Matters	13
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
1.2 Background . . . . .	20
1.2.1 Origins and Motivation . . . . .	20
1.2.2 A Status Quo on Digital Text in Textual Scholarship	30
1.2.3 The Hermeneutics of Digital Textual Scholarship .	41
1.3 Organization of the book . . . . .	45
2 Screwmeneutics and Hermenumericals: The Computationality of Hermeneutics	51
2.1 On Hermeneutics . . . . .	52
2.2 The Hermeneutics of Digital Humanities . . . . .	56
2.3 The Computationality of Hermeneutics . . . . .	64
2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	71
3 The Case of the Bold Button: Social Shaping of Technology and the Digital Scholarly Edition	73
4 Barely Beyond the Book?	95
4.1 What Happens at the Intersection? . . . . .	98
4.2 The Trading Zone and Digital Textual Scholarship Practice	100
4.3 Trading Theory in the Larger Textual Scholarly Context . .	107
4.4 The Shape of the Digital Edition According to Reality . . .	110
4.5 Regression and Reaffirmation . . . . .	112
4.6 Beyond the Book? . . . . .	115

## Contents

5	Code, Scholarship, and Criticism: When Is Code Scholarship and When Is It Not?	117
5.1	The Softwarization of Scholarship . . . . .	117
5.2	Scholarly Assumptions in Code . . . . .	121
5.3	Scholarly Code Criticism . . . . .	124
5.4	Criticism in a Continuum of Literacies . . . . .	134
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	137
6	Author, Editor, Engineer: Code & the Rewriting of Authorship in Scholarly Editing	139
6.1	What Is an Author? . . . . .	140
6.2	The Author and the Editor . . . . .	146
6.3	The Author and the Engineer . . . . .	156
6.4	Being Critical About Code . . . . .	168
6.5	Conclusion . . . . .	170
7	Apparatus vs. Graph: New Models and Interfaces for Text	173
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	173
7.2	The Computational Status Quo: Text-as-Sequence . . . . .	174
7.3	Function Follows Form: The Materiality of Text . . . . .	177
7.4	Evolution of Scholarship in the Margins . . . . .	181
7.5	The Fear of Digital Freedom . . . . .	186
7.6	Text and Its Variation as a Graph . . . . .	190
7.7	Conclusion . . . . .	194
8	Working at the Intersection of Computational and Scholarly Styles of Thinking: an Autoethnography	197
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	197
8.2	An Autoethnography: Intellectual Spoils of Interdisciplinarity . . . . .	200
8.2.1	About Trying to Retrace Key Experiences . . . . .	200
8.2.2	About Sensing but Mislabeling a Real Problem . . . . .	202
8.2.3	About Getting it Wrong . . . . .	205
8.2.4	About a Dialogue That Did Not Happen . . . . .	212
8.2.5	About What Method Meant . . . . .	213
8.2.6	About Becoming More Reflective . . . . .	214

8.2.7	About Finding a Method . . . . .	217
8.2.8	About Identifying the Real Problem . . . . .	219
8.2.9	About the Myth of the Digital Native . . . . .	220
8.2.10	About Appreciating Differences . . . . .	223
8.2.11	About Taking Textual Scholarship Seriously . . . . .	226
8.2.12	About Interpretation . . . . .	228
8.2.13	About a Brave New Model . . . . .	229
8.2.14	About Another Failed Dialogue . . . . .	234
8.2.15	About Incommensurabilities . . . . .	236
8.2.16	About a Digital Tool Breaking Down . . . . .	237
8.2.17	About the Integrated Nature of Textual Scholarship	239
8.2.18	About the Real Problem . . . . .	242
8.3	Conclusion . . . . .	246
9	Conclusion	253
9.1	The Textual Scholarship Perspective . . . . .	253
9.2	Science and Technology Studies Perspective . . . . .	254
9.3	The Humanities Computing Perspective . . . . .	258
	Summary	263
	Samenvatting	271
	Bibliography	281
	Curriculum Vitae	309



# Acknowledgements

Clearly I am not in a hurry. There was so much to enjoy, why would I have been in a hurry?

One advantage of the long time I took before starting a PhD project and the equally long time I eventually spent to finish writing a dissertation, is that my academic interests meanwhile span twenty years. The academic work during these years has brought me in contact with so many friendly, interesting and interested, brilliant, and sometimes fascinatingly different people. This is what I am truly thankful for. Unfortunately there will not be enough pages in this dissertation to thank all those who crossed my path for a shorter or longer time, and who contributed to my knowledge and understanding of which much has landed on these pages. Many of you became true friends. If you read this at all and if we have met, it is very probable I count you among that group.

Obviously I also want to thank Paul Wouters, my supervisor, and Karina van Dalen-Oskam, my co-supervisor. Without them this dissertation would never have seen the light of day. I thank both for their inspiring thoughts, knowledgeable conversations, and invaluable hints and criticism that improved my thinking and my work. They know as well as I do that one of my pitfalls is not properly finishing stuff: there is always something else to turn a mind to because it is fascinating, interesting, new, or – that dreaded adjective – urgent. So, I thank them both for their patience and for having relentlessly nudged me in the direction of actually finishing this document as well.

Allow me also to thank Henk Wals, former scientific director of the Huygens Institute, who agreed to support my PhD project and who allowed me to work on it as part of my ongoing work at the institute. I thank Henk's

## *Acknowledgements*

successor Lex Heerma van Voss and René Jongerius (director of operations at the time) for actually freeing up space in my assignments to get important parts done. I thank Peter Doorn for granting me a way into academia at all. And I especially thank all my dear colleagues who, throughout the years, have so generously shared knowledge and experience.

Finally, to Leentje and Aafke, to family and friends, to those present and to those gone, I say thank you for making life worthwhile. Leentje and Aafke explain my job to others as “something with computers”. I need to do a better job at explaining, but these matters are not simple. At least let us change that to “something with computers and literature”, and we will go from there...