



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Scholarship in interaction: case studies at the intersection of codework and textual scholarship

Zundert, J.J. van

Citation

Zundert, J. J. van. (2022, September 27). *Scholarship in interaction: case studies at the intersection of codework and textual scholarship*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3464403>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3464403>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Scholarship in Interaction

SCHOLARSHIP IN INTERACTION

Case Studies at the Intersection of Codework
and Textual Scholarship

Joris J. van Zundert

Scholarship in Interaction

© 2022 by Joris J. van Zundert

Published by Fox and Badger Publications

ISBN 978 90 90 36460 5

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



SCHOLARSHIP IN INTERACTION

Case Studies at the Intersection of Codework
and Textual Scholarship

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 27 september 2022
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Joris Job van Zundert
geboren te Goirle
in 1972

Promotiecommissie

Promotores

Prof.dr. P.F. Wouters

Prof.dr. K.H. van Dalen-Oskam (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Overige leden

Prof.dr. S. de Rijcke

Prof.dr. L.R. Waltman

Prof.dr. S. Schreibman (Universiteit Maastricht)

Prof.dr. J. Nyhan (University College London)

Em.prof.dr. M. Thaller (Universität zu Köln)

Contents

Acknowledgements	II
I Preliminary Matters	13
1.1 Introduction	13
1.2 Background	20
1.2.1 Origins and Motivation	20
1.2.2 A Status Quo on Digital Text in Textual Scholarship	30
1.2.3 The Hermeneutics of Digital Textual Scholarship .	41
1.3 Organization of the book	45
2 Screwmeneutics and Hermenumericals: The Computationality of Hermeneutics	51
2.1 On Hermeneutics	52
2.2 The Hermeneutics of Digital Humanities	56
2.3 The Computationality of Hermeneutics	64
2.4 Conclusion	71
3 The Case of the Bold Button: Social Shaping of Technology and the Digital Scholarly Edition	73
4 Barely Beyond the Book?	95
4.1 What Happens at the Intersection?	98
4.2 The Trading Zone and Digital Textual Scholarship Practice	100
4.3 Trading Theory in the Larger Textual Scholarly Context . .	107
4.4 The Shape of the Digital Edition According to Reality . . .	110
4.5 Regression and Reaffirmation	112
4.6 Beyond the Book?	115

Contents

5	Code, Scholarship, and Criticism: When Is Code Scholarship and When Is It Not?	117
5.1	The Softwarization of Scholarship	117
5.2	Scholarly Assumptions in Code	121
5.3	Scholarly Code Criticism	124
5.4	Criticism in a Continuum of Literacies	134
5.5	Conclusion	137
6	Author, Editor, Engineer: Code & the Rewriting of Authorship in Scholarly Editing	139
6.1	What Is an Author?	140
6.2	The Author and the Editor	146
6.3	The Author and the Engineer	156
6.4	Being Critical About Code	168
6.5	Conclusion	170
7	Apparatus vs. Graph: New Models and Interfaces for Text	173
7.1	Introduction	173
7.2	The Computational Status Quo: Text-as-Sequence	174
7.3	Function Follows Form: The Materiality of Text	177
7.4	Evolution of Scholarship in the Margins	181
7.5	The Fear of Digital Freedom	186
7.6	Text and Its Variation as a Graph	190
7.7	Conclusion	194
8	Working at the Intersection of Computational and Scholarly Styles of Thinking: an Autoethnography	197
8.1	Introduction	197
8.2	An Autoethnography: Intellectual Spoils of Interdisciplinarity	200
8.2.1	About Trying to Retrace Key Experiences	200
8.2.2	About Sensing but Mislabeling a Real Problem	202
8.2.3	About Getting it Wrong	205
8.2.4	About a Dialogue That Did Not Happen	212
8.2.5	About What Method Meant	213
8.2.6	About Becoming More Reflective	214

8.2.7	About Finding a Method	217
8.2.8	About Identifying the Real Problem	219
8.2.9	About the Myth of the Digital Native	220
8.2.10	About Appreciating Differences	223
8.2.11	About Taking Textual Scholarship Seriously	226
8.2.12	About Interpretation	228
8.2.13	About a Brave New Model	229
8.2.14	About Another Failed Dialogue	234
8.2.15	About Incommensurabilities	236
8.2.16	About a Digital Tool Breaking Down	237
8.2.17	About the Integrated Nature of Textual Scholarship	239
8.2.18	About the Real Problem	242
8.3	Conclusion	246
9	Conclusion	253
9.1	The Textual Scholarship Perspective	253
9.2	Science and Technology Studies Perspective	254
9.3	The Humanities Computing Perspective	258
	Summary	263
	Samenvatting	271
	Bibliography	281
	Curriculum Vitae	309

Acknowledgements

Clearly I am not in a hurry. There was so much to enjoy, why would I have been in a hurry?

One advantage of the long time I took before starting a PhD project and the equally long time I eventually spent to finish writing a dissertation, is that my academic interests meanwhile span twenty years. The academic work during these years has brought me in contact with so many friendly, interesting and interested, brilliant, and sometimes fascinatingly different people. This is what I am truly thankful for. Unfortunately there will not be enough pages in this dissertation to thank all those who crossed my path for a shorter or longer time, and who contributed to my knowledge and understanding of which much has landed on these pages. Many of you became true friends. If you read this at all and if we have met, it is very probable I count you among that group.

Obviously I also want to thank Paul Wouters, my supervisor, and Karina van Dalen-Oskam, my co-supervisor. Without them this dissertation would never have seen the light of day. I thank both for their inspiring thoughts, knowledgeable conversations, and invaluable hints and criticism that improved my thinking and my work. They know as well as I do that one of my pitfalls is not properly finishing stuff: there is always something else to turn a mind to because it is fascinating, interesting, new, or – that dreaded adjective – urgent. So, I thank them both for their patience and for having relentlessly nudged me in the direction of actually finishing this document as well.

Allow me also to thank Henk Wals, former scientific director of the Huygens Institute, who agreed to support my PhD project and who allowed me to work on it as part of my ongoing work at the institute. I thank Henk's

Acknowledgements

successor Lex Heerma van Voss and René Jongerius (director of operations at the time) for actually freeing up space in my assignments to get important parts done. I thank Peter Doorn for granting me a way into academia at all. And I especially thank all my dear colleagues who, throughout the years, have so generously shared knowledge and experience.

Finally, to Leentje and Aafke, to family and friends, to those present and to those gone, I say thank you for making life worthwhile. Leentje and Aafke explain my job to others as “something with computers”. I need to do a better job at explaining, but these matters are not simple. At least let us change that to “something with computers and literature”, and we will go from there...