



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Benevolent conquerors, besieged homelands, threated state: the reproduction of political myths in cold war Turkey**

Kibris, G.

### **Citation**

Kibris, G. (2022, September 1). *Benevolent conquerors, besieged homelands, threated state: the reproduction of political myths in cold war Turkey*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3455166>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3455166>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Benevolent Conquerors, Besieged Homelands, Threatened State: The Reproduction of Political Myths  
in Cold War Turkey

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 1 september 2022  
klokke 15.00 uur  
door

Güldeniz Kıbrıs  
Geboren te Edremit

Promotor: Prof. dr. Erik-Jan Zürcher

Co-promotor: Dr. Petra de Bruijn

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. C.J.M. Zijlmans

Prof. dr. A. Ashgar Seyed-Gohrab (Universiteit Utrecht)

Prof. dr. Kerem Halil-Latif Öktem (Università da Ca' Foscari Venezia)

Dr. A. Alp Yenen

Güldeniz Kıbrıs

Leiden 2022

## Acknowledgements

There has been a long period of time between the moment I decided to do a PhD and finish it. It was a complicated process with many ups and downs. Today, when I was completing the acknowledgements section, I have learned that Cüneyt Arkın, one of the most acclaimed actors in Turkish cinema, has passed away at the age of 84. He was a prolific actor acted in most of the action/adventure films in my dissertation. I believe, his dedication to what he was doing contributed a lot to the popularity of these films in Turkey. Therefore, first of all, I would like to express my appreciation to him for his idealism.

I owe my sincere thanks and gratitude to certain exceptional people in my life. I am grateful to Prof. dr. Erik-Jan Zürcher and Dr. Petra de Bruijn for their patience and support throughout the years. I learned a lot from my professors at Sabancı University Graduate program in History. My special thanks go to Prof. dr. Halil Berktaş for all the inspiration he has given to me. What I have also learned from Prof. dr. Cemil Koçak about working discipline has shaped me in irreversible ways. I would like to extend my sincere thanks to Prof. dr. Stefanos Yerasimos, Prof. dr. Leo Lucassen, Prof. dr. Henk te Velde, Prof. dr. Dilek Barlas, Prof. dr. Fuat Keyman, Prof. dr. Ziya Öniş, Dr. Derin Terzioğlu, Dr. Yonca Köksal and Dr. Eric Storm who contributed my intellectual growth through the provision of new points of views. The passion and excellence of their teaching and research have enriched my work and encouraged me on how to develop as a committed researcher and academic. I also would like to thank Prof. dr. Amanda Jane Audrey Yeşilbursa for editing the final text.

During this very long and stressful process of graduate studies, my sister, Ezgi Şiir Kıbrıs, patiently supported me. She was always willing to spend hours dealing with my academic anxieties. She has always been my source of joy.

I reserve my deepest gratitude for Sertaç Şahin Atabay. We have grown up together on my path to become academics. He has always been an enthusiastic supporter of my dissertation topic. As my partner and friend, he believed in me more than I did. No words can explain how much I feel lucky to have him in my life.

While I was concluding with the appendix on a hot and humid night in July 2021 in Rochester, New York, my daughter Olivia Gülce Atabay was born. Today, as she approaches her first birthday, I have understood how surprising life is. This dissertation is dedicated to her. I wish she becomes the heroine of her own life.

İstanbul, June 28, 2022

## PROPOSITIONS

I-Political myths explain the present by referring to the past and serve as guides for the future and as justifications for the tragedies of history. In this regard, action/adventure films with historical settings constitute a significant realm of everyday life through which nationalist political myths are reproduced.

II-According to seventy-one action/adventure films analyzed in the dissertation, during the period of the 1950s-1980, the cinematic depiction of the Ottoman/Turkish past evolved into a much more militant and aggressive one.

III-The ideal warrior depicted in action/adventure film corpus between the 1950s and 1980, also represents the idealized Turkish citizen.

IV-The transformation in the depictions of the idealized citizen happened against a backdrop of the shift in electoral balance in favor of the conservative peripheral elite, which manifested itself as the common man's representative against the 'privileged' founding elite.

V-The transformation in the representations of the ideal warrior, nationalistic space and enemies reflects the continuous and dynamic nature of Turkish nation-building.

VI-The formation of nations is not a one-off undertaking. It involves continuous re-interpretations, rediscoveries, and reconstructions of political myths as a response to new necessities.

VII- The process of imagining nationhood includes the discourses of official/formal nationalism on the one hand and popular/informal nationalism on the other. These two are always in dialogue and what comes out of this reveals different visions and manifestations of national identity by challenging non-dynamic monolithic discourses.

VIII-Much of the available literature about the Cold War focuses on Turkish foreign policy during the Cold War and adopts a political history perspective. The addition of the cultural aspect is necessary to create a more complete picture of the varieties of Turkish nationalism.

IX-Communication studies in Turkey are largely lacking a critical and interdisciplinary perspective. For instance, despite a profound academic interest in the representation of the past in Turkish cinema, many of the studies on films of the period the dissertation deals with are primarily general surveys.

X- The 'Strong man' style of leadership is flourishing in the world. This is a reflection of rising populism and growing mistrust of democratic systems driven by the sense of insecurity brought about by globalized capitalism.

XI-There are plenty of economic and security explanations for the Russia-Ukraine War of early 2022. These, however, are incomplete without taking cultural and historical underpinnings into account. A thorough understanding requires going back to points underlined by Vladimir Putin's July 2021 article "On the Historical Unity of Russians and Ukrainians." It reproduces political myths related to Russian superiority through his vision of reviving the Tsarist Empire. This contemporary example shows why and how political myths are significant in understanding global politics.

XII-Breastfeeding is perceived as maternal duty and so taken as the hallmark of quality mothering. In this regard, breastfeeding promotion campaigns by health authorities reinforce gender inequalities and also lead to negative emotions such as guilt for those mothers who do not (exclusively) breastfeed.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION: Constructing and Deconstructing the Nation.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Significance.....	1
Research Questions.....	4
State of the Art.....	5
Methodology.....	16
Limitations.....	20
Organization.....	21
<b>CHAPTER I: Theoretical Framework: Making and Breaking Political Myths</b>	
1.1. Introduction.....	24
1.2. Political Myths: Definition and Significance.....	25
1.3. Political Myths & Nationalism.....	30
1.4. A Categorization of Nationalist Political Myths.....	38
1.4.1. The Intellectual Basis for Categories of Political Myths in Turkish Nationalism.....	38
1.4.2. Myths about the nation’s past.....	43
1.4.2.1. Spatial and Ancestral Roots of the Nation.....	43
1.4.2.2. Temporal Roots of the Nation: The ‘Golden’ Age.....	47
1.4.3. Myths about the nation’s present: Decline in ‘an empire of darkness’...49	
1.4.4. Myths about the Nation’s Future: Myths of Ethnic Election & the Mission.....	50
1.4.5. Myths explaining how to realize the mission.....	54
1.4.5.1. The Warrior Nation and Its Heroes.....	54
1.4.5.2. The Leader/the State.....	57
1.4.5.3. The Others.....	62
1.5. Political Myths as Tools of Everyday Life, Popular Nationalism and Popular Culture.....	64
1.6. Concluding Remarks.....	70
<b>CHAPTER II: Changing Balances of Political Power in the 1950s</b>	
2.1. Introduction.....	72
2.2. The Context.....	73

2.2.1. The Encounter of the ‘Despotic Elites’ with the ‘Common Man’ through political transformations .....	73
2.2.2. Americanized ‘Common Man’ against Westernized elites .....	79
2.2.3. Constructing ‘history for people’ .....	81
2.3. Cinema and the Reproduction of Political Myths .....	85
2.3.1. The Republican ideals, realities and cinema.....	85
2.3.2. Imagining the Ottoman Past in the 1950s’ Cinematic Baggage .....	89
2.3.2.1. The leader, the nation, the mission .....	90
2.3.2.2. The warriors .....	94
2.3.2.3. Internal and external others.....	97
2.3.3. Imagining the War of Independence in the 1950s’ Cinematic Baggage.....	99
2.3.3.1. The warriors.....	100
2.3.3.2. The warrior-nation .....	102
2.3.3.3. Women.....	104
2.3.3.4. External others .....	106
2.3.3.5. Internal others .....	109
2.3.3.6. Mustafa Kemal Atatürk.....	110
2.4. Concluding Remarks .....	111

### **CHAPTER III: The Quest for A National Leader: 1960-1965**

3.1. Introduction .....	113
3.2. The Context .....	113
3.2.1. “The Revenge of the Establishment” against ‘Common Man’ .....	113
3.2.2. Foreign Policy Dynamics: .....	121
3.3. Cinema and the Reproduction of Political Myths .....	123
3.3. 1. Questioning the Ottoman State in Cinema .....	123
3.3.1.1. The leader and internal others.....	124
3.3.1.2. The warrior.....	125
3.3.1.3. External others and their internal collaborators .....	127
3.3.1.4. Imperial Landscapes, ‘Our’ Women and the Potential Warrior.....	127
3.3.2. The Men in Solidarity in the War of Independence .....	129



3.3.2.1. The warriors .....	130
3.3.2.2. Mustafa Kemal Atatürk.....	131
3.3.2.3. The military/army-nation .....	132
3.3.2.4. The Others.....	133
3.4. Concluding Remarks .....	135

#### **CHAPTER IV: The Disengagement between Masses and the Elites: 1965-1980**

4.1. Introduction .....	137
4.2. The Rise of the Masses .....	138
4.3. Defining National cinema as an Attempt to Understand the Masses .....	139
4.4. The New Generation of Leaders.....	143
4.5. Generational Encounters and the Rise of New Role Models .....	147
4.6. Folk Heroes of Anatolia in the late Ottoman Empire as Inspiring Sources.....	152
4.6.1. The warrior .....	153
4.6.2. The national homeland and the leader .....	154
4.6.3. The warrior-nation .....	155
4.6.4. External others .....	156
4.7. Guerilla Warfare .....	157
4.8. The Anxious Elites and the Immediate Sacrificial of ‘Disloyals’ with March 12, 1971 Memorandum .....	159
4.9. ‘Men of Action’ in Aggressive Post-March 12 .....	162
4.10. ‘Cinema in Action’ .....	168
4.10.1. The nation’s continuity .....	169
4.10.2. The Others .....	170
4.10.3. The Warrior, Warrior Nation, National Homeland.....	172
4.11. Concluding Remarks .....	174

#### **CHAPTER V: The Cut: The Lone Heroes of 1965-1980 as Reproducers of Political Myths**

5.1. Introduction .....	177
5.2. Warriors from Central Asia (1965-1980) .....	179
5.2.1. A General Look .....	179
5.2.2. Religion.....	180
5.2.3. Landscapes and Leaders/Rulers.....	181
5.2.4. Warriors .....	185

5.2.5. The Others .....	190
5.2.6. Women of the Other .....	192
5.2.7. ‘Our’ Women.....	193
5.2.8. Main Points.....	194
5.3. The Pre-Ottoman Islamic Warrior in 1965-1971 .....	195
5.3.1. Alpago.....	195
5.3.1.1. A General Look.....	195
5.3.1.2. The Warrior.....	196
5.3.1.3. The Others.....	196
5.3.2. Main Points.....	197
5.4. Ottoman warriors of 1965-1971 .....	197
5.4.1. The Warrior fighting for Orhan and Prince Murat between 1965-1971.....	198
5.4.1.1. The Warrior.....	198
5.4.1.2. The Ruler and the Mission of the Warrior .....	198
5.4.1.3. The Ruler-to-be and the Others.....	199
5.4.2. The Warrior fighting for Mehmet the Conqueror between 1965-1971.....	200
5.4.2.1. The Landscapes.....	201
5.4.2.2. The Warrior.....	201
5.4.2.3. The Ruler .....	203
5.4.2.4. Internal Others .....	204
5.4.2.5. External Others .....	205
5.4.3. To Fight at the Time of Bayezid II between 1965-1971.....	209
5.4.3.1. The Warrior’s Mission.....	209
5.4.3.2. More about the Others and the ‘Home’land .....	211
5.4.3.3. ‘Becoming’ man.....	214
5.4.3.4. Women.....	215
5.4.3.5. The Others and Religion .....	216
5.4.4. Main Points.....	219
5.5. The Pre-Ottoman Islamic Warrior in the 1970s: .....	221
5.5.1. A General Look: Battal Ghazi .....	221
5.5.1.1. The Warrior and His Mission .....	221

5.5.1.2. Children and Fathers .....	224
5.5.1.3. The Homeland.....	228
5.5.1.4. The Enemy's Land .....	229
5.5.1.5. The Others.....	230
5.5.1.6. Tactics to defeat the enemy.....	232
5.5.1.7. More about the mission of the warrior.....	234
5.5.1.8. Good others and conversions .....	235
5.5.1.9. Turkish women .....	240
5.5.2. Main Points.....	240
5.6. Ottoman Warriors in the 1970s .....	241
5.6.1. The Warrior fighting for Osman Ghazi .....	241
5.6.1.1. The Warrior and His Mission .....	242
5.6.1.2. The Ruler .....	243
5.6.1.3. The Others.....	243
5.6.1.4. Turkish Women .....	244
5.6.2. The Warrior fighting for Mehmet II in the 1970s.....	245
5.6.2.1. The Warrior and Religion .....	246
5.6.2.2. The Warrior's 'Becoming' .....	248
5.6.2.3. The Ruler .....	249
5.6.2.4. The Homeland.....	252
5.6.2.5. The Enemy's Land .....	254
5.6.2.6. Bureaucrats .....	258
5.6.2.7. External Others .....	261
5.6.2.8. Good Others .....	265
5.6.2.9. Turkish Women .....	267
5.6.3. Warriors fighting for Suleiman the Magnificent .....	269
5.6.3.1. A General Look.....	269
5.6.3.2. The Ruler and the Mission of the Warrior .....	270
5.6.3.3. The Warriors .....	272
5.6.3.4. The Landscape .....	274
5.6.3.5. Religion.....	276

5.6.3.6. External Others .....	277
5.6.3.7. Internal Others .....	279
5.6.3.8. Women.....	280
5.6.4. Warriors fighting after Suleiman.....	282
5.6.4.1. The Warrior’s Mission.....	282
5.6.4.2. The Landscape .....	283
5.6.4.3. The Warrior and Religion .....	283
5.6.4.4. External Others .....	284
5.6.4.5. Women.....	285
5.6.5. Main Points .....	286
5.7. Concluding Remarks .....	288
<b>CONCLUSION: “Which one of you is Kara Murat?.....</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>297</b>
<b>APPENDIX: FILMOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>330</b>