



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

**Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology
position paper on the management of left ventricular assist device-
supported patients for the non-left ventricular assist device specialist
healthcare provider: part 2: at the emergency department**

Milicic, D.; Avraham, B. ben; Chioncel, O.; Barac, Y.D.; Goncalvesova, E.; Grupper, A.; ... ;
Gal, T. ben

Citation

Milicic, D., Avraham, B. ben, Chioncel, O., Barac, Y. D., Goncalvesova, E., Grupper, A., ...
Gal, T. ben. (2021). Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology
position paper on the management of left ventricular assist device-supported patients for
the non-left ventricular assist device specialist healthcare provider: part 2: at the
emergency department. *Esc Heart Failure*, 8(6), 4409-4424. doi:10.1002/ehf2.13587

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3279792>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology position paper on the management of left ventricular assist device-supported patients for the non-left ventricular assist device specialist healthcare provider: Part 2: at the emergency department

Davor Milicic¹, Binyamin Ben Avraham², Ovidiu Chioncel^{3,4}, Yaron D. Barac⁵, Eva Goncalvesova⁶, Avishai Grupper^{7,8}, Johann Altenberger⁹, Maria Frigeiro¹⁰, Arsen Ristic¹¹, Nicolaas De Jonge¹², Steven Tsui¹³, Jacob Lavee^{14,8}, Giuseppe Rosano¹⁵, Marisa Generosa Crespo-Leiro¹⁶, Andrew J.S. Coats¹⁷, Petar Seferovic¹⁸, Frank Ruschitzka¹⁹, Marco Metra²⁰, Stefan Anker^{21,22}, Gerasimos Filippatos^{23,24}, Stamatis Adamopoulos²⁵, Miriam Abuhazira⁵, Jeremy Elliston²⁶, Israel Gotsman²⁷, Righab Hamdan²⁸, Yoav Hammer², Tal Hasin²⁹, Lorrena Hill³⁰, Osnat Itzhaki Ben Zadok², Wilfried Mullens^{31,32}, Sanem Nalbantgil³³, Massimo Francesco Piepoli³⁴, Piotr Ponikowski^{35,36}, Luciano Potena³⁷, Arjang Ruhparwar³⁸, Aviv Shaul², Laurens F. Tops³⁹, Stephan Winnik^{40,41}, Tiny Jaarsma⁴², Finn Gustafsson⁴³ and Tuvia Ben Gal^{2*}

¹Department for Cardiovascular Diseases, Hospital Center Zagreb, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia; ²Heart Failure Unit, Cardiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³Emergency Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases ‘Prof. C.C. Iliescu’, Bucharest, Romania; ⁴University of Medicine Carol Davila, Bucharest, Romania; ⁵Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁶Odd. Srdcovehozhlyvania a Transplantacie, Bratislava, Slovakia; ⁷Heart Failure Institute, Lev Leviev Heart Center, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel HaShomer, Israel; ⁸Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁹SKA-Rehabilitationszentrum Großgmain, Großgmain, Austria; ¹⁰Transplant Center and De Gasperis Cardio Center, Niguarda Hospital, Milan, Italy; ¹¹Department of Cardiology of the Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade University School of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia; ¹²Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ¹³Transplant Unit, Royal Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, UK; ¹⁴Heart Transplantation Unit, Leviev Cardiothoracic and Vascular Center, Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel; ¹⁵Centre for Clinical and Basic Research, Department of Cardiac & Pulmonary Rehabilitation Sciences, IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy; ¹⁶Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña (CHUAC), CIBERCV, Instituto de Investigacion Biomedica A Coruña (INIBIC), Universidade da Coruña (UDC), A Coruña, Spain; ¹⁷Faculty of Medicine, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK; ¹⁸Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Heart Failure Center, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade University Medical Center, Belgrade, Serbia; ¹⁹Department of Cardiology, University Hospital, University Heart Center, Zürich, Switzerland; ²⁰Cardiology, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ²¹Department of Cardiology (CVK), Berlin Institute of Health Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK) partner site Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ²²Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ²³Heart Failure Unit, Attikon University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece; ²⁴School of Medicine, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus; ²⁵Heart Failure and Heart Transplantation Unit, Onassis Cardiac Surgery Center, Athens, Greece; ²⁶Anesthesiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ²⁷Heart Institute, Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel; ²⁸Department of Cardiology, Beirut Cardiac Institute, Beirut, Lebanon; ²⁹Jesselson Integrated Heart Center, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel; ³⁰School of Nursing and Midwifery, Queen’s University, Belfast, UK; ³¹Ziekenhuis Oost-LimburgGenk, Belgium; ³²Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium; ³³Department of Cardiology, Ege University Hospital, Izmir, Turkey; ³⁴Heart Failure Unit, Cardiology, G. da Saliceto Hospital, Piacenza, Italy; ³⁵Centre for Heart Diseases, University Hospital, Wrocław, Poland; ³⁶Department of Heart Diseases, Wrocław Medical University, Wrocław, Poland; ³⁷Heart and Lung Transplant Program, Bologna University Hospital, Bologna, Italy; ³⁸Department of Cardiac Surgery, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ³⁹Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁴⁰Department of Cardiology, University Heart Center, University Hospital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland; ⁴¹Switzerland Center for Molecular Cardiology, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland; ⁴²Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden; and ⁴³Department of Cardiology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Abstract

The improvement in left ventricular assist device (LVAD) technology and scarcity of donor hearts have increased dramatically the population of the LVAD-supported patients and the probability of those patients to present to the emergency department with expected and non-expected device-related and patient–device interaction complications. The ageing of the LVAD-supported patients, mainly those supported with the ‘destination therapy’ indication, increases the risk for those patients to suffer from other co-morbidities common in the older population. In this second part of the trilogy on the management of LVAD-supported patients for the non-LVAD specialist healthcare provider, definitions and structured approach to the

LVAD-supported patient presenting to the emergency department with bleeding, neurological event, pump thrombosis, chest pain, syncope, and other events are presented. The very challenging issue of declaring death in an LVAD-supported patient, as the circulation is artificially preserved by the device despite no other signs of life, is also discussed in detail.

Keywords LVAD; Emergency department; Bleeding; Neurological events; Death declaration

Received: 28 April 2021; Revised: 21 July 2021; Accepted: 19 August 2021

*Correspondence to: Tuvia Ben Gal, Heart Failure Unit, Cardiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Email: bengaltu@gmail.com

The authors warrant that the article is original and does not infringe upon any copyright or other proprietary right of any third party. The article is not under consideration by another publication and has not been previously published.

[Correction added on 05 March 2022, after first online publication: The affiliation for Prof. Giuseppe Rosano was previously wrong and has been corrected in this version.]

Bleeding: gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal

Several quality of life-limiting complications are frequently seen during left ventricular assist device (LVAD) support, one of the most prominent being bleeding complications.

Incidence

Significant bleeding complications are quite common in LVAD carriers, incidence varying depending on the source, but ranging between 22% and 32% during LVAD support or 0.35–0.65 events per patient-year.^{1,2} These bleeding episodes were rarely fatal: major bleeding was the cause of death in 2% of the total number of deaths in LVAD patients.³ Recently, a special focus has been placed on the interaction of blood components and the device, that is, haemocompatibility, with increasing awareness of the importance of these interactions on adverse events.⁴

Comparisons of the haemocompatibility parameters of different LVADs have been examined, and while pump thrombosis has been present less often in the newest centrifugal-flow device, the incidence of bleeding was quite similar between the investigated devices (HMII™, HM3™, and HW™).^{5,6} On the other hand, the recent analysis by Kormos *et al.* noted a significantly lesser incidence of gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) in patients implanted with a centrifugal-flow pump compared with those who received an axial flow pump.^{3,4} Similar findings were observed in the MOMENTUM trial, where a significantly lower incidence of any bleeding, and GIB in particular, was seen with the centrifugal pump (HM3 vs. HMII).⁴

Significance and long-term repercussions of bleeding

Although bleeding complications are usually not fatal, they are yet clinically significant. Aside from the obvious impairment of quality of life, bleeding usually leads to adjustments in anticoagulant and anti-platelet therapy, which could potentially increase the probability of pump thrombosis.

Additionally, some of the bleeding episodes will be significant enough to require blood transfusions at an average of 2–4 units of packed red blood cells per admission.⁷ This is particularly relevant for the bridge-to-transplant candidates, in whom leuco-irradiated products should be used to reduce the risk of allo-sensitization caused by the excessive exposure to blood products that could result in low availability of appropriate heart donors or higher risk for humoral rejection after heart transplantation (HTx).^{8,9}

Pathophysiology of bleeding

An obvious contributing factor to the bleeding diathesis is the mandatory anticoagulant and anti-platelet therapy, required for the normal function of the devices.

Other possible contributing factors have been investigated. A lot of attention has been devoted to the interplay of the pump with the blood, unified under the name haemocompatibility.⁶

One of the examined pathohistological mechanisms for the induction of bleeding in ventricular assist device-supported patients is the acquired von Willebrand syndrome (AvWS). von Willebrand factor (vWF) is large multimeric glycoprotein, crucial for the process of haemostasis at the site of vascular injury, where it promotes platelet aggregation and the formation of platelet plug, also serving as a carrier protein for FVIII and protecting it from proteolysis.^{10,11} Patients with impaired function of vWF, that is, patients with von Willebrand disease, are prone to bleeding complications. This has previously been described also in patients with aortic stenosis (Heyde's syndrome) and severe heart failure (HF) patients: vWF may already be degraded in some patients with severe HF at the time of LVAD implant, and these patients tend to bleed more.¹² A similar pathological process is seen in LVAD carriers.¹³

According to the current literature, most all of the LVAD patients have loss of vWF activity,¹⁴ which seems to resolve after LVAD explant or HTx.¹⁵ Different mechanisms have been hypothesized to contribute to the AvWS in LVAD patients. It is thought that increased shear stress in the LVAD circulation may cause the vWF to disentangle, thus exposing domains promoting proteolysis and degradation of vWF,¹¹ which then

leads to reduced platelet aggregation. But despite some extent of AvWS being present in most LVAD patients,¹³ not all experience bleeding events.

von Willebrand factor is also considered an inhibitor of angiogenesis,¹⁶ meaning loss of function of vWF could be implicated in promotion of angiodysplasia and arteriovenous malformations.¹⁷ Bansal *et al.* conducted a prospective multicentre clinical trial, which demonstrated lower amount of vWF monomer degradation in the HM3 group.¹² Despite all that, it is yet unclear if assessment for inherited or acquired coagulation disorders is warranted.

Platelet damage and dysfunction observed in LVAD patients,^{18–20} thought to be related to shear forces in the LVAD, could potentially add to the increased risk of bleeding in this population.

Arteriovenous malformation is anatomically the most frequently reported cause of GIB. Right HF is another potential risk factor for GIB through the enhanced arteriovenous malformation formation due to elevated portal vein pressure and coagulopathy associated with congestive hepatic failure. Non-pulsatile flow was considered a potential predisposing factor for the development of GIB through the formation of small intestinal angiodysplasia²¹; however, studies with partial ventricular support with fully preserved arterial pulse still reported a high incidence of GIB.²²

Improved haemodynamics might have a favourable effect on suppression of GIB, achieved through the use of optimal medical therapy or optimizing LVAD speed through ramp testing²³ and medications to control the blood pressure such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker²⁴ and digoxin that was found to be associated with a decreased incidence of angiodysplasia-related GIB in patients with continuous-flow (CF)-LVADs.²⁵

The favourable effects of omega-3 unsaturated fatty acids on angiogenesis and inflammation have been previously described,²⁶ and in a small study, authors demonstrated that LVAD patients regularly receiving omega-3 acids had a greater freedom from GIB compared with controls.²⁷

Risk factors for bleeding in the left ventricular assist device patient

Some patient populations are at increased risk of bleeding. In the case of GIB, risk factors include older age,²⁸ lower body mass index, history of GIB prior to LVAD implant, smoking, elevated international normalized ratio (INR), and a low platelet count.²⁹ Patients with pre-implant right ventricular failure have been prone to development of bleeding complications, possibly due to hepatic congestion and subsequent coagulopathy. Female sex has also been associated with an elevated incidence of all bleeding complications after LVAD implant.²⁸

Diagnosis and treatment options

The extent and the selection of diagnostic and therapeutic modalities will vary according to the bleeding location, as well as its severity and the clinical presentation of the patient.

General conditions

- Depending on the bleeding severity, a temporary interruption of anticoagulation might be necessary, and, if deemed clinically justified, reversal of anticoagulation might be considered.³⁰ These decisions are to be made in close collaboration with experienced HF cardiologists, due to the possible adverse outcomes (i.e. device thrombosis).
- Depending on the bleeding site, different specialists need to be consulted.
- Previously suggested reduction of pump speed in order to reduce the sheer stress on blood components³⁰ has been proven to be ineffective,³¹ as well as in real life where we have seen similar bleeding incidence in the newest generation of devices despite their functioning at lower speeds.¹¹

Gastrointestinal bleeding

Gastrointestinal bleeding is most frequently seen in LVAD patients,¹⁰ with the incidence ranging between 25% and 35% of patients with events on LVAD support or 0.31–0.56 events per patient-year,^{4,5,32} and is one of the major causes of readmission of LVAD patients.¹⁰ GIB can occur in any part of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, but most often in the upper portion, proximal to the Treitz ligament.³⁰

Invasive procedures

Diagnostic and treatment options include upper and lower tract endoscopies, video capsule endoscopy, or deep enteroscopy.^{21,30} If these are not able to locate the bleeding site, angiography is advised (if the patient is unstable, consult with interventional radiology), or scintigraphy with tagged red blood cells.

Despite best efforts, sometimes the locus of the GIB remains obscure, even after best endoscopic efforts. Patel *et al.* found that hypervascularity of the nasal mucosa was associated with GI bleeding in LVAD-supported patients suggesting nasal endoscopy as a potential surrogate for diagnosing AV malformations in the GI tract as in other mucosal sites in LVAD carriers.³³

Medical treatment

- This includes octreotide, a synthetic somatostatin analogue, which acts through decreased splanchnic blood flow, increased vascular resistance, improved platelet aggregation, and inhibition of angiogenesis.³⁴ Studies with octreotide in LVAD carriers showed varying results.^{29,35}

A protocol of intramuscular application of octreotide in monthly visits has been evaluated, and authors demonstrated significant reduction in the frequency of GIB without associated complications and without the need to alter anticoagulant and anti-platelet therapy.³⁶

Preventive therapies

- Initiation of intravenous proton pump inhibitor is indicated,¹⁰ especially when involvement of the gastric mucosa is suspected.
- Consider cessation of aspirin and adjustment or cessation of warfarin depending on the severity of the bleeding episode and ongoing risk for re-bleeding. This should be performed in close consultation with the LVAD unit. The presumed assumption that combined use of aspirin and anticoagulants will lower the risk of thrombus formation in LVAD-supported patients has been recently questioned with the low incidence of thrombus formation in the HM3-supported patients. The ARIES study³⁷ will examine whether anti-platelet therapy can be safely avoided in HM3-supported patients, thus reducing the risk of bleeding.
- The beneficial effect of thalidomide in GIB is associated to its antiangiogenic properties through the suppression of vascular endothelial growth factor.³⁸ This therapy for GIB in LVAD-supported patients is not supported by trials. Therefore, the potential beneficial effects must outweigh the potential adverse events associated with thalidomide therapy (pancytopenia, neuropathy, and thrombo-embolic events).
- Danazole, a derivative of testosterone, reduces the risk of bleeding through enhanced concentration of red blood cells, but has adverse androgenic effects and when administered with statins may increase the risk of rhabdomyolysis.³⁹
- Factor VIII concentrates containing FVIII and vWF have been utilized in some studies, but they have been associated with device thrombosis.⁴⁰
- Because several studies have shown resolution of bleeding complications after HTx, this could also be seen as a management strategy for LVAD patients with incessant bleeding.^{7,32}

Non-gastrointestinal bleeding

Intracranial haemorrhage

Several large-scale studies found intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) in 3–11% of LVAD patients represented also as 0.05–0.07 events per patient-year.^{41,42} The important issue of ICH is discussed in detail in the section on 'Neurological events' in the subsection on 'Haemorrhagic stroke'.

Gynaecological bleeding

Given the relatively small proportion of female LVAD patients: around 20%,^{3,43} the data on management of gynaecological bleeding are understandably scarce.

Women seem to have a greater incidence of non-gynaecological types of bleeding complications (including gastrointestinal, naso-oropharyngeal, and ICH) compared with men, but this did not translate into worse survival.^{2,28,44} In an analysis by Yavar *et al.*, 10% of patients experienced gynaecological bleeding requiring transfusions or surgical interventions.²⁸ Depending on the severity, such episodes might require interruption of anticoagulant and anti-platelet treatment, hormonal therapy, or, in extreme cases, surgical treatment.

The aetiology of increased bleeding diathesis in women is not yet elucidated.

Other sources of bleeding

Other forms of bleeding that might be encountered in LVAD carriers include mostly bleeding from the nasal or oral mucosa, seen usually as epistaxis, after teeth extraction, skin bruises, or haematuria.²⁸ Although these bleeding episodes are usually treated by other specialists (otolaryngology, oral surgeons, urologists, etc.), it is advised to include a cardiologist specialized in managing LVAD patients in the team responsible for deciding on interruption of anticoagulant or anti-platelet therapy.

Prevention

Several preventive measures can be undertaken in order to reduce the number and intensity of bleeding episodes.

Optimal management of anticoagulation is of utmost importance: keeping the INR values within the therapeutic range could help decrease the risk of bleeding complications. For this end, patient education and nutritional counselling are very helpful if the oscillations of INR levels are suspected to be associated with food interactions (e.g. green and leafy vegetables). Detailed guidelines on the management of oral anticoagulation in this population are available.^{45,46}

Coumarin oral anticoagulants such as warfarin are metabolized via the CYP2C9,⁴⁷ which is also a common pathway for several other drugs commonly used in this patient population (antidiabetic medication, angiotensin receptor blockers, anti-convulsive medication, etc.).

Given the common occurrence of polypharmacy in these patients, caution must be exercised regarding the drug–drug interactions. In patients with special difficulties maintaining the INR range, genetic counselling with the identification of the patient's metabolic profile is possible.⁴⁷ Devices for home

monitoring of INR are available and could potentially be beneficial in achieving optimal INR control.

The excellent results of the MOMENTUM trials,⁴ demonstrating significantly reduced burden of device thrombosis in HM3 carriers, raised the potential need for decreased level of anticoagulation. This was examined in the MAGENTUM 1 trial, which evaluated lower target INR levels (target INR 1.5–1.9) in 15 HM3 carriers after the sixth post-operative week. Primary endpoint (event-free survival) was achieved in 93 ± 6%, but due to the small sample size. This study needs further validation.⁴⁸

Adequate blood pressure control is important, for the maximization of HF treatment, as well as for the prevention of bleeding complications—elevated blood pressure (BP) values were associated with a greater incidence of stroke (both ischaemic and haemorrhagic).^{49,50} Because both hypertensive and hypotensive BP values have been associated with worse survival, Cowger *et al.* suggested in an INTERMACS analysis that the optimal mean arterial pressure values might be in the range 70–90 mmHg.⁵¹

- GIB is the most frequent source of bleeding in LVAD-supported patients.
- Considering reversal of the anticoagulation should be weighed against the risk of pump thrombosis.
- When the blood loss results in low haemoglobin level, try to avoid blood transfusion in the bridge to transplantation group, but if needed, use leuco-irradiated blood products. Try giving iron transfusion and consult the LVAD centre.
- To lower the incidence of ICH, keep the mean arterial pressure (MAP) below 80 and watch the anticoagulation level closely keeping it within the advised limits and not earlier.

Neurological events

Stroke is a leading cause of significant morbidity in patients with LVAD and can be an important contraindication for cardiac transplantation.^{52,53} At this point, little is known about stroke in LVAD patients beyond descriptive epidemiology, including associated neurological complications and available treatment strategies. Patients with LVAD are likely to be at high risk for recurrent stroke and may have a poor recovery prognosis than undifferentiated stroke patients due to baseline severity of illness.

Despite generational advances in engineering of such devices, from large pulsatile mechanisms to smaller CF devices, the complications related to neurological adverse effects have not improved substantially. Haemorrhagic and ischaemic strokes continue to occur, with a frequency greater than that observed in patients with advanced HF. This complication has limited the expansion of such devices to patients

with less severe stages of illness, and the quest to understand these devastating events and to prevent them is ongoing.

The older devices were larger and had a higher incidence of thrombosis, and therefore, anticoagulation targets were different compared with today's devices. Yet the general incidence of neurological complications, principally stroke, has not decreased in the contemporary era. This suggests that the underlying reasons for stroke may be changing as a function of device era, with causative factors that may have more to do with vascular biology than simply anticoagulation strategies. The rate of adverse events associated with CF-LVAD use—specifically, strokes—is as high as 10% of individuals who are affected by stroke in the first year of support alone.⁵² Furthermore, according to the INTERMACS registry, stroke remains the primary cause of death in the 6–24 months of the LVAD implantation.⁵³ The stroke rate associated with pulsatile LVADs was 4 times higher than the rate of patients managed medically over 2 years.⁵⁴

In the original trials evaluating the first CF-LVAD (HMII), the rate of disabling stroke was similar among individuals managed with the HII CF-LVAD vs. pulsatile devices (17% vs. 14%, respectively, $P = 0.56$) over 2 years.⁴¹ The HW LVAD, a newer CF-LVAD currently in use, was associated with a higher stroke rate compared with the HII (29.7% vs. 12.1%, $P < 0.001$) over 2 years.⁵ Finally, in the MOMENTUM trial, the newest CF-LVAD, the HM3, was found to have a much lower stroke rate than the HII device (10.1% vs. 19.2%, $P = 0.02$) over a 2 year period.⁵⁵ Thus, refinement of device technology has led to a reduction in the incidence of stroke among these patients compared with older pumps.

The causes of strokes with the newer LVADs are unclear and could relate to (i) clots that pass through the device (as with atrial fibrillation in patients with a device or with clots that form within the device or in the proximate ventricle), (ii) vascular changes as a result of non-pulsatile flow (we know that reduced pulse pressure with the newer devices increases vascular fragility, so even lower levels of BP can cause vascular loss of integrity) especially with relation to cerebral amyloid angiopathy,⁵⁶ and (iii) rheological causes (new devices create an acquired von Willebrand syndrome and can predispose to bleeding).^{14,57}

Three types of neurological events are clinically relevant in LVAD patients: ischaemic strokes, haemorrhagic conversion, and intra-cerebral haemorrhage.

Ischaemic stroke

Ischaemic strokes can occur because of thrombi that pass from the heart through the device and into the brain or could develop in unique locations, such as the aortic surface of the valves within the sinus of Valsalva, the carotid bulb, and in some cases septic emboli as a result of infections.^{58,59}

The most consistent risk factor for ischaemic stroke in CF-LVADs is systemic infection, which has increased the risk of stroke by nearly two-fold (39.5% vs. 19.3%, $P = 0.003$).^{60–62} In the INTERMACS registry, independent pre-operative predictors of ischaemic stroke were female sex and previous cardiac operation.⁵² However, when considering post-operative risk factors, infection and GIB significantly increased the risk of stroke.⁵²

One of the most feared complications of any ischaemic stroke is bleeding into the area of the infarcted brain (*haemorrhagic conversion/transformation*). Consequently, the risk assessment for resuming anti-thrombotic agents is often guided by known risk factors in the undifferentiated ischaemic stroke patient, including infarct size, cardioembolic aetiology, vessel recanalization, and heparin treatment.^{63–65}

Haemorrhagic stroke

Intracranial haemorrhage is a complication, which usually results in significant long-term consequences for the patient. An INTERMACS analysis looking into the incidence of stroke in LVAD carriers showed that 10% had at least one stroke, of which nearly 50% were haemorrhagic, leading to a significantly worse survival compared with those who suffered an ischaemic stroke.⁵² In this analysis, 45% of patients survived 1 month after the ICH, while only 30% survived 1 year. In a multivariable analysis, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, use of intra-aortic balloon pump, female sex, and primary cardiac diagnosis were significant predictors of ICH. Female sex has been a predisposing factor in other analysis.^{8,28,66} Whereas GIB might facilitate a sooner transplantation in LVAD carriers, ICH more often renders the patient ineligible for transplant, depending on the residual morbidity.⁵²

There are several possible causes of *haemorrhagic stroke* in patients with LVAD, such as anticoagulation, haemorrhagic conversion after infarction, the acquired von Willebrand syndrome as a result of mechanical destruction, and proteolysis of high-molecular-weight multimers of von Willebrand factor 8 and rupture of histologically fragile vessels caused by the non-physiological continuous pulseless flow.^{8,67} It is often unclear why a specific CF-LVAD patient develops a specific ICH, as coagulopathy alone appears neither necessary nor specific. Intra-cerebral haemorrhages occur in the absence of endocarditis with subtherapeutic INRs, whereas supratherapeutic INR levels do not necessarily lead to haemorrhagic stroke.⁶⁷

The aetiology of ICH is not completely elucidated. The presented rates of haemorrhagic strokes are significantly higher compared with other populations treated with warfarin, and other studies demonstrated that spontaneous ICH has been noted in all INR ranges.^{68–70} Interestingly, all the patients who developed ICH had concomitant AvWS.⁷¹

The incidence of haemorrhagic stroke has also been shown to reduce with the newest generation of centrifugal-flow

devices.⁴ This leads to the conclusion that there could be several predisposing factors for ICH.

While it is long known from normal pulsatile physiology, elevated BP during LVAD support has recently been associated with a higher incidence of intracranial bleeding, and subsequent mortality, which could indicate BP control as a beneficial measure in preventing ICH.^{72,73} Given the established difficulties in obtaining BP measurements in LVAD carriers, an alternative to the traditional electronic BP measuring devices has been investigated, and Doppler-derived BP measurements have shown to correlate well to invasive systolic BP measuring.⁷⁴ According to the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation guidelines for mechanical circulatory support, level of evidence C (expert opinion), the Doppler-derived BP is to be maintained at ≤ 80 mmHg.⁷⁵

The correlation between hypertension and neurological events was not so obvious in the INTERMACS analysis. Such a correlation might be device specific as presented in the ENDURANCE supplemental study showing that BP management is associated with reduced stroke rates in HW subjects.⁶¹ Maintaining BP in the reference range was associated with better overall outcomes.⁵¹ The authors stress the importance of avoiding too aggressive BP management with hypotension as well as hypertension.

Strict management of BP maintaining MAP at 70–90 mmHg⁴⁹ and anticoagulation targeting INR levels of 2–2.5⁴⁹ are expected to be beneficial preventive strategies for several complications of LVAD treatment, but this does not necessarily guarantee absence of bleeding complications⁴⁹ (see Part 3 for more on anticoagulation in LVAD-supported patients).

A study by Trachtenberg *et al.* indicated blood stream infections as a predisposing factor for neurological events, both ischaemic and haemorrhagic.⁷⁶

Survival after the haemorrhagic stroke is significantly reduced and was 45.3%, 34.8%, and 30.3% at 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year, respectively.⁵²

Cerebral microbleeds shown by brain magnetic resonance imaging are predictive of symptomatic cerebral haemorrhage in patients with various causes.⁷⁷

In general population studies, it has been reported that several factors (e.g. male sex, older age, and elevated BP) show positive correlations with the number of cerebral microbleeds,^{67,78} while correlations with aspirin and warfarin administration, which are mandatory for patients with LVAD, remain controversial.⁷⁹

One small-scale study assessed a treatment protocol for ICH in LVAD patients, which included observation alone, observation with suspension of anticoagulation, observation with reversal of anticoagulation, or reversal of anticoagulation plus surgical intervention.

Reversal of warfarin was performed with fresh frozen plasma (FFP), prothrombin complex concentrate, vitamin K,

or a combination. Prothrombin complex concentrate is preferred in neurosurgical emergencies, given its faster effect.⁷¹

Depending on the severity of presentation, some patients will require surgical treatment, such as a craniotomy with drainage.⁵³ Ramey *et al.* suggest terminating anti-platelet treatment in patients with established AvWS, but this is not supported by data.⁷¹

Routine administration of platelets is debatable in ICH, because adverse outcomes have been noted, but it might be requested in patients undergoing neurosurgical intervention.⁷¹

The significance of radiological screening of potential risk factors for ICH is not established. A multidisciplinary approach to treatment and decision-making might be optimal in this setting.

Treatment

The assessment of all stroke patients is performed using standardized examination tools, such as the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, and a measure of consciousness, such as the Glasgow Coma Scale, for the sake of monitoring the patient with reproducible, objective measurement tools. Intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator is highly effective for improving functional outcomes in general acute ischaemic stroke patients, but there are no trials in LVAD-related stroke patients. Thrombolysis may be ineffective because thrombi from within the CF-LVADs may have a composition (fibrin and denatured protein) that is not been amenable to thrombolysis.

Patients with CF-LVADs are likely to be at significantly higher risk of symptomatic haemorrhagic transformation after systemic thrombolysis. Firstly, patients with CF-LVADs are often taking warfarin and typically at least one anti-platelet agent, and tend to have larger territorial infarcts, which are all associated with a higher risk of haemorrhagic conversion in several prediction scores. Secondly, the association of systemic infection with ischaemic stroke increases the likelihood a septic embolism, and thrombolysis in patients with bacterial endocarditis leads to high rate of haemorrhagic complications (close to 20%).⁸⁰ Thirdly, patients with CF-LVADs have an acquired vWF deficiency that would increase the risk of haemorrhagic transformation.⁸

Because of the high risk for haemorrhagic transformation, endovascular stroke therapy may provide specific clinical and diagnostic benefits in patients with a CF-LVAD, avoiding systemic fibrinolytic therapy in patients treated with anticoagulation and anti-platelets. However, the risk of haemorrhagic transformation with endovascular stroke therapy appears like that of systemic thrombolysis.⁸¹

Treatment decisions for acute haemorrhagic stroke patients are based on whether the patient has had a primary haemorrhagic stroke (intra-cerebral haemorrhage and subarachnoid haemorrhage) or haemorrhagic transformation.

Other factors include the anti-thrombotic agents used, coagulation profile, and platelet count. Regardless of aetiology, the first focus of treatment is to establish the need for mechanical ventilation due to impaired alertness, in parallel with preventing expansion of the haematoma. ICH is associated with a very high in-hospital mortality of about 20%.⁶⁷

Currently, the mainstay of therapy in haemorrhagic stroke is reduction of BP and reversing coagulopathy, in line with safety concerns regarding BP targets with specific devices. The acute treatment of BP in the CF-LVAD patient with an ICH is not well established in the guidelines for stroke, but it would be reasonable to lower mean arterial pressure to <90 mmHg, as suggested for primary stroke prevention in CF-LVAD.⁸²

The decision to reverse a coagulopathy is more complex. The most recent ICH guidelines from the American Stroke Association suggest reversing warfarin with prothrombin complex concentrates or FFP without a specific INR target.⁸³

The decision about when to resume anticoagulation in the ischaemic stroke patient without endocarditis is driven by the presence, and likelihood, of developing haemorrhagic conversion in the general ischaemic stroke patient. Generally, resume warfarin at the same time as aspirin (without a heparin bridge) within 24 h if haemorrhagic conversion is absent and the total infarct size is <50% of the middle cerebral artery territory (given the association of large infarct size with haemorrhagic conversion).⁶⁷

If the patient is at high risk for haemorrhagic conversion, such as with large infarcts with petechial bleeding, a head computed tomography (CT) is repeated at 5 days and warfarin is resumed at that point if there is no evidence of haemorrhagic conversion.⁶⁷

After a primary ICH in the general stroke patient, long-term anticoagulation can be resumed for clear indications if there is no lobar ICH due to cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

Early decompressive haemicraniectomy for large hemispheric ischaemic strokes with somnolence and intact brainstem reflexes (except loss of one pupillary response) provides substantial mortality benefit (absolute risk reduction 78–29%) in patients <60 years of age.⁸⁴ However, confounding the care of patients with CF-LVADs, haemicraniectomy requires withholding anticoagulation to prevent surgical site bleeding until 4–8 weeks afterward when the cranioplasty is placed. Post-operative management with anti-thrombotic agents and long-term functional prognosis in large strokes increases the complexity of the decision on whether to perform haemicraniectomy.

There is a strong need to continue research into the risk factors, natural history, complication rates, and acute stroke treatment for patients with CF-LVADs.

The efficacy of treatment after stroke is also not well known, with small series documenting results after reversing anti coagulation in ICH, but not outcomes beyond death such as functional statistics.⁸⁵ This is notable because stroke can

directly lead to death but more frequently leads to significant disability. The latter can be particularly important to patients with LVAD in whom complications from limited mobility can be substantial, including loss of eligibility for transplantation and infection.

Until there are more data, a multidisciplinary approach tailored to the specific clinical need of patients is required including input from neurologists/neurosurgeons/neuropsychologists and those familiar with and routinely involved in the care of these patients.⁶⁷

- When a patient with LVAD presents with ischaemic stroke, rule out background infection or even sepsis as a contributing factor.
- Because of anticoagulation issues of this group of patients, beware of haemorrhagic conversion.
- Treatment of haemorrhagic stroke first includes lowering MAP to below 90, and conversion of the anticoagulation with FFP, or prothrombin complex concentrates.

Suspected pump thrombosis

Pump thrombosis is still a dreaded complication of both short-term and long-term use of LVADs as it occurs in 2–13% of the adult patients.⁸⁶ Pump thrombosis is almost non-existent with the use HM3 device unless ingestion of material occurs within the device. In the first HM3-implanted patients, the clip used to secure the swivel point was not used increasing the risk of a rare but catastrophic complication of outflow graft twist with thrombus formation in the graft in those patients.⁸⁷

Pump thrombosis is the development of a clot within the flow path of any component of the pump: the titanium inflow cannula/the rotor or the outflow graft. The thrombus can be created within the LVAD, or it can travel from either the left atrium or the left ventricle or lodge in any of the pump components.

Pump thrombosis is a life-threatening event, and thus, prompt diagnosis and successful management are crucial, as it can lead to one of the following: neurological event, peripheral thrombo-embolism, LVAD malfunction with reduced flows and life-threatening haemodynamic impairment, cardiogenic shock, and death.

When an LVAD patient arrives to the emergency department (ED) with suspected pump thrombosis, first thrive for patient stabilization; next, the source and location of the thrombus must be identified, and the proper therapy must be initiated.

Several therapeutic options are available according to the location of the thrombus. While one can address both the inflow cannula and the outflow graft thrombus either medically or surgically, for the outflow graft, the stenting approach is also available.

In any scenario, the LVAD implanting centre must be approached and transferring the patient to the LVAD centre is preferred.

Diagnosis

Inflow cannula and intra-device thrombus

Left ventricular assist device thrombus that is located within the pump has a very distinct appearance (*Figure 1*); once seen, one can better understand the catastrophic consequences of this event.⁸⁸

Inflow cannula and intra-pump thrombus are suspected in the setting of any of the following: signs of worsening HF (progressive dyspnoea on exertion), acute changes in the LVAD parameters ('low-flow' alarms and LVAD power spikes), haemolysis (lactic dehydrogenase increase, haemoglobin drop plasma-free haemoglobin rise, and darker urine), and signs of distal embolization.

Once an LVAD thrombus is suspected, one should explore the LVAD alarms and power spikes: if the decrease of power and flow happens in a *short* period of time, an inflow pump thrombosis is the more reasonable diagnosis. This suspicion of intra-device or inflow cannula thrombus can be confirmed using the following tools:

- Transthoracic echocardiogram—ramp studies:

- Left ventricle enlargement
- Opening of the aortic valve in each cycle
- Worsening mitral regurgitation
- Inflow cannula turbulence
- Concern for a possible seen thrombus

Figure 1 Thrombus inside the HM II LVAD.



- CT with angiography that will demonstrate no outflow graft obstruction

Outflow graft obstruction/thrombosis

Although the outflow graft is the less prevalent site of a pump thrombosis, the diagnostic process is very similar to the one of an inflow cannula thrombosis with some modification.

First, one should understand that while inflow cannula obstruction can occur only from the 'inside', outflow graft obstruction can occur also from an external pressure on the graft.

The patient presentation will be very similar with progressive dyspnoea on exertion, 'low-flow' alarms, and LVAD power spikes. However, in this case, the decrease of power and flow happens in a relatively '*longer*' period of time.

While the blood and urine haemolysis pattern may be like the one seen in an inflow pump thrombosis, it might be 'softer'; for example, the values will not be as high as seen in an inflow pump thrombosis. Moreover, one should remember that outflow graft obstruction causing HF symptoms can be also caused from external pressure on the graft; hence, in some cases, no haemolysis will take place.

This suspicion of an outflow graft obstruction can be confirmed using the following tools⁸⁹:

- Transthoracic echocardiogram—ramp studies:
 - Left ventricle enlargement
 - Opening of the aortic valve in every cycle
 - Worsening mitral regurgitation
 - Outflow graft turbulence
 - No thrombus is seen inside the left ventricle
- CT with angiography—outflow graft obstruction/stenosis present
- Intravascular ultrasound—narrowing of the internal diameter due to external pressure

Treatment

Once the diagnosis of pump thrombosis is confirmed, the following therapeutic protocols are advised, starting with medical therapy that turns into surgical once failed:

- The INR levels adjusted to 2.5–3.5.
- If no change has occurred during the next 24 h, start continuous heparin infusion to an activated partial thromboplastin time goal of 80–100 s. Activated partial thromboplastin times tend to overestimate anticoagulation, and anti-XA monitoring might be used as well to assess adequacy of therapy.
- If non-responsive or unstable, try thrombolysis with tissue plasminogen activator started as 30 mg bolus followed by

infusion of the next 20 mg at the rate of 1 mg/min for the next 20 min, followed with eptifibatid and intravenous heparin 2 h after the tissue plasminogen activator infusion.

- If yet non-responsive or unstable, pump exchange might be needed. If the patient is unstable, skip the medical treatment and go straight for a pump replacement or put on venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for metabolic and end-organ rescue prior to pump exchange.

If an outflow graft obstruction was diagnosed and a percutaneous approach is applied, when balloon inflating does not suffice, the use of Wallstent is preferred. The physiological effect of relieving the outflow graft occlusion can be seen immediately after deploying the stent by a reduction of the power consumption and an increase in the pump flow.

A word of caution regarding the use of thrombolysis must be cleared. Its efficacy in treating LVAD thrombosis has been questioned along the years and was debated because of increased risk of bleeding; however, data were scarce. Lately, Seese *et al.*⁸⁴ have demonstrated that out of 26 patients that received initial thrombolytic therapy, in only three patients a successful treatment was achieved. Conversely, three patients suffered from major bleeding and two patients had a new stroke. Furthermore, most patients undergoing thrombolytic therapy underwent subsequent device exchange.⁸⁴ Hence, thrombolysis for an HMII pump thrombosis should only be applied as a last resort due to the limited efficacy of thrombolysis and the related increased risk of major bleeding or stroke and surgical pump exchange is preferred. Regarding the management of an HW pump thrombosis, as of 3 June 2021, the distribution of the HW has been discontinued; therefore, in case of the need for pump exchange, the HW would have to be replaced with the HM3. A surgical procedure for such an LVAD exchange is not established yet, and most centres do not have the needed experience in performing such an exchange. Thus, the non-surgical approach to HW pump thrombosis must be exploited as far as possible.

The use of thrombolytics in the case of pump thrombosis is to be used with extreme caution considering the increased risk of bleeding in the need for LVAD exchange.

- Pump thrombosis is a life-threatening event that must be addressed immediately.
- Once the patient is stabilized and diagnosed, promptly initiate medical treatment.
- Use increased anticoagulant therapy and stenting in outflow graft thrombus.
- If medical treatment fails, contact the implanting centre for an advanced therapy.

Chest pain

Chest pain in patients with LVADs is encountered commonly not only immediately after surgery but also long after the device implantation. Chest pain was in some centres the second most common ED presentation but lead to hospitalization in only in 50% of cases. Chest pain can be caused by both cardiac and non-cardiac causes.⁹⁰ In another large single-centre observational study, chest pain accounted for 4% of all the unplanned readmissions.⁹¹

In most cases, the pain is of non-cardiac origin with a broad differential diagnosis. Chest pain in the LVAD-supported patient can be manifestation of post-pericardiotomy syndrome, mechanical pain from the device–intrathoracic wall contacts in slim patients or children, pneumonia/pleuritis, pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, oesophageal spasm or esophagitis, cholecystitis or biliary colic, pancreatitis, peptic ulcer, costochondritis, rib injury, or fracture. In some patients, chest pain is vertebrogenic caused by the long-term unequal load on the spine due to carrying the accessory bag on one shoulder only. Chest pain or discomfort can be caused by the pump body itself, most often when lying on the left side. Rule out pocket infection if pain in this area is combined with fever or increased inflammatory activity.

- Although less frequent, chest pain in LVAD-supported patients can be also from cardiac origin like myocardial infarction, pericarditis, aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, or implantable cardioverter defibrillator discharge. The seventh INTERMACS report indicates myocardial infarction rate of 0.06 (events per 100 patient-months) during first 12 months after implantation.⁹² Myocardial infarction may be caused not only by a rupture of atherosclerotic plaque but also by a thrombo-embolic complication. Embolus may originate from the left ventricle or atria but may be due to pump thrombosis or thrombosis in the aortic root. An evolving thrombus in the aortic root or in the aortic valve itself can cause acute obstruction of the coronary arteries. An echocardiography or even CT angiography should be used to rule out this potentially catastrophic event. Optimal treatment of myocardial infarction in LVAD patients is not clear. Primary coronary intervention approach favouring rapid symptomatic relief, reducing risk of malignant arrhythmia, and protection of right ventricular function have been reported.⁸⁸ Although the levels of the two biomarkers: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide and troponin are expected to decline or increase respectively because of left ventricular unloading or the occurrence of myocardial infarction, the clinical significance of these two biomarkers in LVAD-supported patients has not been thoroughly explored yet.
- Chest pain in most cases is of non-cardiac origin and difficult to diagnose.

- Chest pain in LVAD-supported patients' needs to be thoroughly assessed.
- Chest pain from cardiac origin in LVAD patients is not common but can be life-threatening despite the LVAD support.
- Have acute myocardial infarction treatment as in non-LVAD patients with primary coronary intervention after consulting an LVAD specialist.

Syncope in left ventricular assist device-supported patients

Syncope is defined as a total loss of consciousness, due to cerebral hypoperfusion, characterized by a rapid onset, short duration, and spontaneous complete recovery.⁹³ Occurrence of syncope has been described in LVAD patients.⁷⁵

In daily clinical practice, syncope and orthostatic symptoms like dizziness, blurry vision, confusion, and nausea can be challenging in LVAD patients.

Prevalence of dizziness and syncope has been described to occur in 13% of LVAD patients. Up to 30% patients suffer from orthostatic hypotension.

Aetiology for syncope in these patients includes orthostatic hypotension, cardiac factors like arrhythmias, and vasodepressive neurogenic and device-specific mechanical factors.

Left ventricular assist device patients show an increased susceptibility for orthostatic hypotension, resulting from pathophysiological properties in CF and in HF in general. This includes an abnormal sympathetic tone with a marked decrease in vasoconstrictive function, an offloading of carotid and aortic baroreceptors causing a loss of compensatory mechanisms with positional changes.⁹⁴

Further contributors to orthostatic hypotension include low intravascular volume state, medications with vasodilator properties, poor right ventricular function, and comorbidities like diabetes mellitus leading to secondary autonomic failure. Device-specific factors like malposition of the inflow cannula receive special attention. Pathophysiology of syncope in LVAD patients can be complex resulting from different predisposing factors at the same time. Positional changes from supine to upright in even mildly hypovolemic and diabetic LVAD-supported patient can cause dizziness and syncope.

It is crucial to understand the mechanisms behind these events by adequately performing diagnostic procedures to tailor individualized therapeutic regimens.

Diagnosis includes careful history taking, thorough physical examination, supine and standing BP measurements, immediate electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring when there is suspicion of arrhythmia, and blood tests (haemoglobin, kidney function tests, uric acid, etc.). Advanced evaluation with echocardiography is essential to assess septum position,

inflow cannula position, right ventricular function, and volume status. A head-up tilt test can be performed when there is suspicion of syncope due to orthostatic hypotension. Interrogation of LVAD parameters like power, flow, revolutions per minute, pulsatility index, and the occurrence of suction events completes diagnosis. LVAD alarms must be scrutinized. In special situations, interdisciplinary discussion with the implanting cardiac surgeon and technicians can be very helpful.

Therapy is individually tailored according to the underlying reasons for syncope. In case of orthostasis, correct low-volume status (higher fluid intake and correction of anaemia) and remove vasodilatory drugs (especially α_1 -receptors) or drugs associated with dysautonomia (amiodarone). Exercise and the use of compression stockings can be helpful. Administration of fludrocortisone as well as the use of the vasopressor midodrine has been described (3). In case of excessive unloading or low-flow alarms of the left ventricle despite correction of volume status, adapt pump speed.

- The LVAD patients are prone to syncope due to orthostatic hypotension.
- Always evaluate the patients for dehydration, anaemia and arrhythmia with ECG, echocardiography, blood tests, and pacemaker interrogation.
- When suction event is suspected, perform fluid resuscitation and consult the LVAD specialist.

Death declaration in the emergency department

The number of LVADs implanted is expanding all around the globe. Thus, complications that were once seen sporadically are now seen in increasing numbers. Furthermore, LVADs are constantly becoming better and more reliable, and the frequency of LVAD-related side effects is declining. Thus, the life expectancy of the LVAD-supported patients is extended, exposing the patients to new complications and the physicians to new dilemmas. The following chapter will review the process of a patient arriving to the ED addressing the option that the cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) process was not successful.

Left ventricular assist device patients can be brought to the ED by their family members/first responders while being resuscitated. Management of the unresponsive LVAD-supported patient hospitalized in the non-intensive care setting has been described⁹¹ but not the management of such a patient brought to the ED been on CPR.

A suggestion for the management of the non-responsive LVAD-supported patient brought to the ED is presented.

- 1 Continue CPR.
- 2 Ventilate if not yet performed by the emergency medical service.
- 3 Assess LVAD function. If not functioning, reconnect the LVAD to electrical supply if possible.
- 4 Perform an ECG.
- 5 Perform basic echocardiography to assess heart function.
- 6 If not asystole and there is some cardiac function, assess organ perfusion by performing carotid and femoral Doppler.
- 7 If LVAD is functioning and the perfusion is found to be appropriate, call for a stroke code.
- 8 If no perfusion, consider advance therapies as venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation depending on the patient's status, the duration of the CPR, and other comorbidities.
- 9 Consider death declaration according to the local law and contact the ventricular assist device unit for disconnecting the device.

The World Health Organization has set Clinical Criteria for the Determination of Death,⁹⁵ but because the declaration of death relies on the law of each country, the presented protocol is only a general point of view, emphasizing the obligation to declare death according to the local law.

The World Health Organization clinical criteria for the determination of death are as follows:

- 1 Neurological arrest:
 - a No other reason was identified:
 - i Good ventilation, oxygenation, and perfusion
 - ii Not hypothermic
 - iii No metabolic or endocrinological issues
 - iv No acid–base or electrolytes issues
 - v No toxic substances were used
 - vi No neuromuscular blockers or neurodepressant drugs were given
 - b Coma, no brain stem reflexes, and apnoea
 - c Confirmed by electroencephalogram, brain perfusion, and observation
 - d Declaration of death
- 2 Cardiocirculatory arrest:
 - a No response, gasping, and no circulation
 - b CPR failed: no pulse, no heart sounds, no breathing, and no pupil light response
 - c Echocardiogram, arterial line, or ECG confirmed all the above
 - d Wait for 5 min to verify no spontaneous recovery and then declare death

Using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria, comparing between the different parameters needed to

declare death in the LVAD patient and in the non-LVAD patient, there are no differences in most parameters such as

- neurological function,
- check pupils for position and response to light,
- check response to tactile stimuli, and
- check for spontaneous respiration.

Some of the WHO criteria needed modification for the LVAD-supported patients. The difference lies within the 2b criteria: 'CPR failed: no pulse, no heart sounds, no breathing, and no pupil light response'. Checking for pulse and heart sounds is challenging in LVAD patient, and therefore, declaration of death must be verified using advance tools like ECG, echocardiography, and PETCO₂ under 10.

There are no major issues related to the burial of a deceased LVAD-supported patient. Although not dealt in the medical literature, no adverse events are expected if the deceased is sent to the crematorium.

- To declare death in an LVAD patient, one must verify whether the LVAD is functioning or not and troubleshoot the device.
- Use advanced tools and the WHO criteria to declare if there is circulatory or neurological arrest.
- Follow the local law to declare death!

References

1. Suarez J, Patel CB, Felker GM, Becker R, Hernandez AF, Rogers JG. Mechanisms of bleeding and approach to patients with axial-flow left ventricular assist devices. *Circ Heart Fail* 2011; **4**: 779–784.
2. Boyle AJ, Jorde UP, Sun B, Park SJ, Milano CA, Frazier OH, Sundareswaran KS, Farrar DJ, Russell SD, HeartMate II Clinical Investigators. Pre-operative risk factors of bleeding and stroke during left ventricular assist device support: an analysis of more than 900 HeartMate II outpatients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; **63**: 880–888.
3. Kormos RL, Cowger J, Pagani FD, Teuteberg JJ, Goldstein DJ, Jacobs JP, Higgins RS, Stevenson LW, Stehlik J, Atluri P, Grady KL, Kirklin JK. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Intermacs database annual report: evolving indications, outcomes, and scientific partnerships. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2019; **38**: 114–126.
4. Mehra MR, Uriel N, Naka Y, Cleveland JC Jr, Yuzefpolskaya M, Salerno CT, Walsh MN, Milano CA, Patel CB, Hutchins SW, Ransom J, Ewald GA, Itoh A, Raval NY, Silvestry SC, Cogswell R, John R, Bhimaraj A, Bruckner BA, Lowes BD, Um JY, Jeevanandam V, Sayer G, Mangi AA, Molina EJ, Sheikh F, Aaronson K, Pagani FD, Cotts WG, Tatrooles AJ, Babu A, Chomsky D, Katz JN, Tessmann PB, Dean D, Krishnamoorthy A, Chuang J, Topuria I, Sood P, Goldstein DJ. A fully magnetically levitated left ventricular assist device—final report. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **380**: 1618–1627.
5. Rogers JG, Pagani FD, Tatrooles AJ, Bhat G, Slaughter MS, Birks EJ, Boyce SW, Najjar SS, Jeevanandam V, Anderson AS, Gregoric ID, Mallidi H, Leadley K, Aaronson KD, Frazier OH, Milano CA. Intrapericardial left ventricular assist device for advanced heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2017; **376**: 451–460.
6. Mehra MR. The burden of haemocompatibility with left ventricular assist systems: a complex weave. *Eur Heart J* 2019; **40**: 673–677.
7. Demirozu ZT, Radovancevic R, Hochman LF, Gregoric ID, Letsou GV, Kar B, Bogaev RC, Frazier OH. Arteriovenous malformation and gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with the HeartMate II left ventricular assist device. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2011; **30**: 849–853.
8. Uriel N, Pak SW, Jorde UP, Jude B, Susen S, Vincentelli A, Ennezat PV, Cappleman S, Naka Y, Mancini D. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome after continuous-flow mechanical device support contributes to a high prevalence of bleeding during long-term support and at the time of transplantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; **56**: 1207–1213.
9. John R, Lietz K, Schuster M, Naka Y, Rao V, Mancini DM, Rose EA, Smith CR, Oz MC, Edwards NM, Itescu S. Immunologic sensitization in recipients of left ventricular assist devices. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003; **125**: 578–591.
10. Imamura T, Kinugawa K, Uriel N. Therapeutic strategy for gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with left ventricular assist device. *Circ J* 2018; **82**: 2931–2938.
11. Muslem R, Caliskan K, Leebeek FWG. Acquired coagulopathy in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *J Thromb Haemost* 2018; **16**: 429–440.
12. Bansal A, Uriel N, Colombo PC, Narisetty K, Long JW, Bhimaraj A, Cleveland JC Jr, Goldstein DJ, Stulak JM, Najjar SS, Lanfear DE, Adler ED, Dembitsky WP, Somo SI, Crandall DL,

Conclusion

Despite the more frequent use of new-generation LVADs, the growing number of LVAD-supported patients and their improved survival is expected to increase their need for ED support due to LVAD-related and non-LVAD-related medical emergencies. The understanding, prompt, and structured approach to the LVAD-supported patient presenting at the ED with bleeding, neurological event, pump thrombosis, chest pain, or syncope is critical for the successful management and longer survival of these patients. When everything fails, the challenging declaration of death in the LVAD-supported patient needs to be made according to the local regulations.

Conflict of interest

The authors have nothing to disclose. The authors confirm that the final manuscript has been read and each author's contribution has been approved by the appropriate author.

Funding

None.

- Chen D, Connors JM, Mehra MR. Effects of a fully magnetically levitated centrifugal-flow or axial-flow left ventricular assist device on von Willebrand factor: a prospective multicenter clinical trial. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2019; **38**: 806–816.
13. Geisen U, Heilmann C, Beyersdorf F, Benk C, Berchtold-Herz M, Schlensak C, Budde U, Zieger B. Non-surgical bleeding in patients with ventricular assist devices could be explained by acquired von Willebrand disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008; **33**: 679–684.
 14. Netuka I, Kvasnička T, Kvasnička J, Hrachovinová I, Ivák P, Mareček F, Bílková J, Malíková I, Jančová M, Malý J, Sood P, Sundareswaran KS, Connors JM, Mehra MR. Evaluation of von Willebrand factor with a fully magnetically levitated centrifugal continuous-flow left ventricular assist device in advanced heart failure. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2016; **35**: 860–867.
 15. Heilmann C, Trummer G, Beyersdorf F, Brehm K, Berchtold-Herz M, Schelling J, Geisen U, Zieger B. Acquired Von Willebrand syndrome in patients on long-term support with HeartMate II. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2017; **51**: 587–590.
 16. Lenting PJ, Casari C, Christophe OD, Denis CV. von Willebrand factor: the old, the new and the unknown. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012; **10**: 2428–2437.
 17. Randi AM, Laffan MA. Von Willebrand factor and angiogenesis: basic and applied issues. *J Thromb Haemost* 2017; **15**: 13–20.
 18. Baghai M, Heilmann C, Beyersdorf F, Nakamura L, Geisen U, Olschewski M, Zieger B. Platelet dysfunction and acquired von Willebrand syndrome in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2015; **48**: 421–427.
 19. Mondal NK, Li T, Chen Z, Chen HH, Sorensen EN, Pham SM, Sobieski MA, Koenig SC, Slaughter MS, Griffith BP, Wu ZJ. Erratum to: mechanistic insight of platelet apoptosis leading to non-surgical bleeding among heart failure patients supported by continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2017; **433**: 139.
 20. Radovancevic R, Matijevic N, Bracey AW, Radovancevic B, Elayda MA, Gregoric ID, Frazier OH. Increased leukocyte-platelet interactions during circulatory support with left ventricular assist devices. *ASAIO J* 2009; **55**: 459–464.
 21. Gurvits GE, Fradkov E. Bleeding with the artificial heart: gastrointestinal hemorrhage in CF-LVAD patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 3945–3953.
 22. Meyns B, Rega F, Ector J, Droogne W, Vanhaecke J, van Hemelrijck J, Griffith B, Dowling R, Zucker M, Burkhoff D. Partial left ventricular support implanted through minimal access surgery as a bridge to cardiac transplant. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009; **137**: 243–245.
 23. Uriel N, Sayer G, Addetia K, Fedson S, Kim GH, Rodgers D, Kruse E, Collins K, Adatya S, Sarswat N, Jorde UP, Juricek C, Ota T, Jeevanandam V, Burkhoff D, Lang RM. Hemodynamic ramp tests in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *JACC Heart Fail* 2016; **4**: 208–217.
 24. Converse MP, Sobhanian M, Taber DJ, Houston BA, Meadows HB, Uber WE. Effect of angiotensin II inhibitors on gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019; **73**: 1769–1778.
 25. Vukelic S, Vlismas PP, Patel SR, Xue X, Shitole SG, Saeed O, Sims DB, Chinnadurai T, Shin JJ, Forest SJ, Goldstein DJ, Jorde UP. Digoxin is associated with a decreased incidence of angiodysplasia-related gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *Circ Heart Fail* 2018; **11**: e004899.
 26. Wang W, Zhu J, Lyu F, Panigrahy D, Ferrara KW, Hammock B, Zhang G. ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids-derived lipid metabolites on angiogenesis, inflammation and cancer. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 2014; **113-115**: 13–20.
 27. Imamura T, Nguyen A, Rodgers D, Kim G, Raikhelkar J, Sarswat N, Kalantari S, Smith B, Chung B, Narang N, Juricek C, Burkhoff D, Song T, Ota T, Jeevanandam V, Sayer G, Uriel N. Omega-3 therapy is associated with reduced gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with continuous-flow left ventricular assist device. *Circ Heart Fail* 2018; **11**: e005082.
 28. Yavar Z, Cowger JA, Moainie SL, Salerno CT, Ravichandran AK. Bleeding complication rates are higher in females after continuous-flow left ventricular assist device implantation. *ASAIO J* 2018; **64**: 748–753.
 29. Aggarwal A, Pant R, Kumar S, Sharma P, Gallagher C, Tatoes AJ, Pappas PS, Bhat G. Incidence and management of gastrointestinal bleeding with continuous flow assist devices. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012; **93**: 1534–1540.
 30. Amer S, Shah P, Hassan S. Gastrointestinal bleeding with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 2015; **8**: 63–67.
 31. Kang J, Zhang DM, Restle DJ, Kallel F, Acker MA, Atluri P, Bartoli CR. Reduced continuous-flow left ventricular assist device speed does not decrease von Willebrand factor degradation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016; **151**: 1747–1754 e1.
 32. Morgan JA, Brewer RJ, Neme HW, Henry SE, Neha N, Williams CT, Lanfear DE, Tita C, Paone G. Management of aortic valve insufficiency in patients supported by long-term continuous flow left ventricular assist devices. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012; **94**: 1710–1712.
 33. Patel SR, Madan S, Saeed O, Algodi M, Luke A, Gibber M, Goldstein DJ, Jorde UP. Association of nasal mucosal vascular alterations, gastrointestinal arteriovenous malformations, and bleeding in patients with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *JACC Heart Fail* 2016; **4**: 962–970.
 34. Lamberts SW, Van der Lely AJ, de Herder WW, Hofland LJ. Octreotide. *N Engl J Med* 1996; **334**: 246–254.
 35. Rennyson SL, Shah KB, Tang DG, Kasirajan V, Pedram S, Cahoon W, Malhotra R. Octreotide for left ventricular assist device-related gastrointestinal hemorrhage: can we stop the bleeding? *ASAIO J* 2013; **59**: 450–451.
 36. Juricek C, Imamura T, Nguyen A, Chung B, Rodgers D, Sarswat N, Kim G, Raikhelkar J, Ota T, Song T, Burkhoff D, Sayer G, Jeevanandam V, Uriel N. Long-acting octreotide reduces the recurrence of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with a continuous-flow left ventricular assist device. *J Card Fail* 2018; **24**: 249–254.
 37. Mehra MR, Crandall DL, Gustafsson F, Jorde UP, Katz JN, Netuka I, Uriel N, Connors JM, Sood P, Heatley G, Pagani FD. Aspirin and left ventricular assist devices: rationale and design for the international randomized, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority ARIES HM3 trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021; **23**: 1226–1237.
 38. Ge ZZ, Chen HM, Gao YJ, Liu WZ, Xu CH, Tan HH, Chen HY, Wei W, Fang JY, Xiao SD. Efficacy of thalidomide for refractory gastrointestinal bleeding from vascular malformation. *Gastroenterology* 2011; **141**: 1629–1637 e1–4.
 39. Madan S, Mehra MR. Rhabdomyolysis with the combined use of danazol and rosuvastatin in left ventricular assist devices. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2020; **39**: 498–500.
 40. Cushing M, Kawaguchi K, Friedman KD, Mark T. Factor VIII/von Willebrand factor concentrate therapy for ventricular assist device-associated acquired von Willebrand disease. *Transfusion* 2012; **52**: 1535–1541.
 41. Slaughter MS, Rogers JG, Milano CA, Russell SD, Conte JV, Feldman D, Sun B, Tatoes AJ, Delgado RM III, Long JW, Wozniak TC, Ghumman W, Farrar DJ, Frazier OH. Advanced heart failure treated with continuous-flow left ventricular assist device. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 2241–2251.
 42. Pagani FD, Miller LW, Russell SD, Aaronson KD, John R, Boyle AJ, Conte JV, Bogaev RC, MacGillivray T, Naka Y, Mancini D, Massey HT, Chen L, Klodell GT, Aranda JM, Moazami N, Ewald GA, Farrar DJ, Frazier OH, HeartMate II Investigators. Extended mechanical circulatory support with a continuous-flow rotary left ventricular

- assist device. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009; **54**: 312–321.
43. Cikes M, Jakus N, Claggett B, Brugts JJ, Timmermans P, Pouleur AC, Rubis P, van Craenenbroeck EM, Gaizauskas E, Grundmann S, Paolillo S, Barge-Caballero E, D'Amario D, Gkouziouta A, Planinc I, Veenis JF, Jacquet LM, Houard L, Holcman K, Gigase A, Rega F, Rucinskis K, Adamopoulos S, Agostoni P, Biocina B, Gasparovic H, Lund LH, Flammer AJ, Metra M, Milicic D, Ruschitzka F, the PCHF-VAD registry. Cardiac implantable electronic devices with a defibrillator component and all-cause mortality in left ventricular assist device carriers: results from the PCHF-VAD registry. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2019; **21**:1129–1141.
 44. Magnussen C, Bernhardt AM, Ojeda FM, Wagner FM, Gummert J, de By TMMH, Krabatsch T, Mohacsi P, Rybczynski M, Knappe D, Sill B, Deuse T, Blankenberg S, Schnabel RB, Reichenspurner H. Gender differences and outcomes in left ventricular assist device support: the European Registry for Patients with Mechanical Circulatory Support. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018; **37**: 61–70.
 45. Levesque AA, Lewin AR, Rimsans J, Sylvester KW, Coakley L, Melanson F, Mallidi H, Mehra M, Givertz MM, Connors JM. Development of multidisciplinary anticoagulation management guidelines for patients receiving durable mechanical circulatory support. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2019; **25**: 1076029619837362.
 46. Kampouraki E, Kamali F. Dietary implications for patients receiving long-term oral anticoagulation therapy for treatment and prevention of thromboembolic disease. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2017; **10**: 789–797.
 47. Shaw K, Amstutz U, Kim RB, Lesko LJ, Turgeon J, Michaud V, Hwang S, Ito S, Ross C, Carleton BC, CPNDS Clinical Recommendation Group. Clinical practice recommendations on genetic testing of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants in warfarin therapy. *Ther Drug Monit* 2015; **37**: 428–436.
 48. Netuka I, Ivák P, Tučanová Z, Gregor S, Szárszoi O, Sood P, Crandall D, Rimsans J, Connors JM, Mehra MR. Evaluation of low-intensity anti-coagulation with a fully magnetically levitated centrifugal-flow circulatory pump—the MAGENTUM 1 study. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018; **37**: 579–586.
 49. Teuteberg JJ, Slaughter MS, Rogers JG, McGee E, Pagani FD, Gordon R, Rame E, Acker M, Kormos RL, Salerno C, Schleeter TP, Goldstein DJ, Shin J, Starling RC, Wozniak T, Malik AS, Silvestry S, Ewald GA, Jorde UP, Naka Y, Birks E, Najarian KB, Hathaway DR, Aaronson KD, ADVANCE Trial Investigators. The HVAD left ventricular assist device: risk factors for neurological events and risk mitigation strategies. *JACC Heart Fail* 2015; **3**: 818–828.
 50. Milano CA, Rogers JG, Tatrooles AJ, Bhat G, Slaughter MS, Birks EJ, Mokadam NA, Mahr C, Miller JS, Markham DW, Jeevanandam V, Uriel N, Aaronson KD, Vassiliades TA, Pagani FD, ENDURANCE Investigators. HVAD: the ENDURANCE supplemental trial. *JACC Heart Fail* 2018; **6**: 792–802.
 51. Cowger JA, Shah P, Pagani FD, Grafton G, Stulak J, Chamogeorgakis T, Lanfear D, Neme H, Pinney S. Outcomes based on blood pressure in patients on continuous flow left ventricular assist device support: an Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support analysis. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2020; **39**: 441–453.
 52. Acharya D, Loyaga-Rendon R, Morgan CJ, Sands KA, Pamboukian SV, Rajapreyar I, Holman WL, Kirklín JK, Tallaj JA. INTERMACS analysis of stroke during support with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices: risk factors and outcomes. *JACC Heart Fail* 2017; **5**: 703–711.
 53. Kirklín JK, Pagani FD, Kormos RL, Stevenson LW, Blume ED, Myers SL, Miller MA, Baldwin JT, Young JB, Naftel DC. Eighth annual INTERMACS report: Special focus on framing the impact of adverse events. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2017; **36**: 1080–1086.
 54. Rose EA, Gelijns AC, Moskowitz AJ, Heitjan DF, Stevenson LW, Dembitsky W, Long JW, Ascheim DD, Tierney AR, Levitan RG, Watson JT, Ronan NS, Shapiro PA, Lazar RM, Miller LW, Gupta L, Frazier OH, Desvigne-Nickens P, Oz MC, Poirier VL, Meier P. Long-term use of a left ventricular assist device for end-stage heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **345**: 1435–1443.
 55. Mehra MR, Goldstein DJ, Uriel N, Cleveland JC Jr, Yuzefpolskaya M, Salerno C, Walsh MN, Milano CA, Patel CB, Ewald GA, Itoh A, Dean D, Krishnamoorthy A, Cotts WG, Tatrooles AJ, Jorde UP, Bruckner BA, Estep JD, Jeevanandam V, Sayer G, Horstmannshof D, Long JW, Gulati S, Skipper ER, O'Connell JB, Heatley G, Sood P, Naka Y. Two-year outcomes with a magnetically levitated cardiac pump in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **378**: 1386–1395.
 56. Willey JZ, Boehme AK, Castagna F, Yuzefpolskaya M, Garan AR, Topkara V, Colombo PC. Hypertension and stroke in patients with left ventricular assist devices (LVADs). *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2016; **18**: 12.
 57. Shah P, Pagani FD. Aortic vasculature changes following support with a continuous-flow left ventricular assist device: the role of pulsatility. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2016; **35**: 421–422.
 58. Shah S, Mehra MR, Couper GS, Desai AS. Continuous flow left ventricular assist device related aortic root thrombosis complicated by left main coronary artery occlusion. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2014; **33**: 119–120.
 59. Reul JT, Reul GJ, Frazier OH. Carotid-bulb thrombus and continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices: a novel observation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2014; **33**: 107–109.
 60. Backes D, van den Bergh WM, van Duijn AL, Lahpor JR, van Dijk D, Slooter AJC. Cerebrovascular complications of left ventricular assist devices. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012; **42**: 612–620.
 61. Aggarwal A, Gupta A, Kumar S, Baumblatt JA, Pappua S, Gallagher C, Treitman A, Pappas P, Tatrooles A, Bhat G. Are blood stream infections associated with an increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke in patients with a left ventricular assist device? *ASAIO J* 2012; **58**: 509–513.
 62. Kato TS, Schulze PC, Yang J, Chan E, Shahzad K, Takayama H, Uriel N, Jorde U, Farr M, Naka Y, Mancini D. Pre-operative and post-operative risk factors associated with neurologic complications in patients with advanced heart failure supported by a left ventricular assist device. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2012; **31**: 1–8.
 63. Kimura K, Minematsu K, Yamaguchi T. Atrial fibrillation as a predictive factor for severe stroke and early death in 15,831 patients with acute ischaemic stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005; **76**: 679–683.
 64. Lansberg MG, Thijs VN, Bammer R, Kemp S, Wijman CA, Marks MP, Albers GW, DEFUSE Investigators. Risk factors of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage after tPA therapy for acute stroke. *Stroke* 2007; **38**: 2275–2278.
 65. Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Corea F, Ageno W, Alberti A, Lanari A, Caso V, Micheli S, Bertolani L, Venti M, Palmerini F, Biagini S, Comi G, Previdi P, Silvestrelli G. Early hemorrhagic transformation of brain infarction: rate, predictive factors, and influence on clinical outcome: results of a prospective multicenter study. *Stroke* 2008; **39**: 2249–2256.
 66. Morris AA, Pekarek A, Wittersheim K, Cole RT, Gupta D, Nguyen D, Laskar SR, Butler J, Smith A, Vega JD. Gender differences in the risk of stroke during support with continuous-flow left ventricular assist device. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015; **34**: 1570–1577.
 67. Willey JZ, Demmer RT, Takayama H, Colombo PC, Lazar RM. Cerebrovascular disease in the era of left ventricular assist devices with continuous flow: risk factors, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2014; **33**: 878–887.
 68. Hart RG, Tonarelli SB, Pearce LA. Avoiding central nervous system bleeding during antithrombotic therapy: recent data and ideas. *Stroke* 2005; **36**: 1588–1593.
 69. Crow S, John R, Boyle A, Shumway S, Liao K, Colvin-Adams M, Toninato C, Missov E, Pritzker M, Martin C, Garry D, Thomas W, Joyce L. Gastrointestinal

- bleeding rates in recipients of nonpulsatile and pulsatile left ventricular assist devices. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009; **137**: 208–215.
70. Boyle AJ, Russell SD, Teuteberg JJ, Slaughter MS, Moazami N, Pagani FD, Frazier OH, Heatley G, Farrar DJ, John R. Low thromboembolism and pump thrombosis with the HeartMate II left ventricular assist device: analysis of outpatient anti-coagulation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009; **28**: 881–887.
 71. Ramey WL, Basken RL, Walter CM, Khalpey Z, Lemole GM Jr, Dumont TM. Intracranial hemorrhage in patients with durable mechanical circulatory support devices: institutional review and proposed treatment algorithm. *World Neurosurg* 2017; **108**: 826–835.
 72. Saeed O, Jermyn R, Kargoli F, Madan S, Mannem S, Gunda S, Nucci C, Farooqui S, Hassan S, McLarty A, Bloom M, Zolty R, Shin J, D'Alessandro D, Goldstein DJ, Patel SR. Blood pressure and adverse events during continuous flow left ventricular assist device support. *Circ Heart Fail* 2015; **8**: 551–556.
 73. Nassif ME, Tibrewala A, Raymer DS, Andruska A, Novak E, Vader JM, Itoh A, Silvestry SC, Ewald GA, LaRue SJ. Systolic blood pressure on discharge after left ventricular assist device insertion is associated with subsequent stroke. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015; **34**: 503–508.
 74. Lanier GM, Orlanes K, Hayashi Y, Murphy J, Flannery M, te-Frey R, Uriel N, Yuzefpolskaya M, Mancini DM, Naka Y, Takayama H, Jorde UP, Demmer RT, Colombo PC. Validity and reliability of a novel slow cuff-deflation system for noninvasive blood pressure monitoring in patients with continuous-flow left ventricular assist device. *Circ Heart Fail* 2013; **6**: 1005–1012.
 75. Feldman D, Pamboukian SV, Teuteberg JJ, Birks E, Lietz K, Moore SA, Morgan JA, Arabia F, Bauman ME, Buchholz HW, Deng M, Dickstein ML, el-Banayosy A, Elliot T, Goldstein DJ, Grady KL, Jones K, Hryniewicz K, John R, Kaan A, Kusne S, Loebe M, Massicotte MP, Moazami N, Mohacsi P, Mooney M, Nelson T, Pagani F, Perry W, Potapov EV, Eduardo Rame J, Russell SD, Sorensen EN, Sun B, Strueber M, Mangi AA, Petty MG, Rogers J, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. The 2013 International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation Guidelines for mechanical circulatory support: executive summary. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2013; **32**: 157–187.
 76. Trachtenberg BH, Cordero-Reyes AM, Aldeiri M, Alvarez P, Bhimaraj A, Ashrith G, Elias B, Suarez EE, Bruckner B, Loebe M, Harris RL, Zhang JY, Torre-Amione G, Estep JD. Persistent blood stream infection in patients supported with a continuous-flow left ventricular assist device is associated with an increased risk of cerebrovascular accidents. *J Card Fail* 2015; **21**: 119–125.
 77. Bokura H, Saika R, Yamaguchi T, Nagai A, Oguro H, Kobayashi S, Yamaguchi S. Microbleeds are associated with subsequent hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in healthy elderly individuals. *Stroke* 2011; **42**: 1867–1871.
 78. Staals J, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Knottnerus ILH, Rouhl RPW, Henskens LHG, Lodder J. Brain microbleeds relate to higher ambulatory blood pressure levels in first-ever lacunar stroke patients. *Stroke* 2009; **40**: 3264–3268.
 79. Lovelock CE, Cordonnier C, Naka H, al-Shahi Salman R, Sudlow CLM, The Edinburgh Stroke Study Group, Sorimachi T, Werring DJ, Gregoire SM, Imaizumi T, Lee SH, Briley D, Rothwell PM. Antithrombotic drug use, cerebral microbleeds, and intracerebral hemorrhage: a systematic review of published and unpublished studies. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 1222–1228.
 80. Asaithambi G, Adil MM, Qureshi AI. Thrombolysis for ischemic stroke associated with infective endocarditis: results from the nationwide inpatient sample. *Stroke* 2013; **44**: 2917–2919.
 81. Ciccone A, Valvassori L, Nichelatti M, Sgoifo A, Ponzio M, Sterzi R, Boccardi E, SYNTHESIS Expansion Investigators. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368**: 904–913.
 82. Lampert BC, Eckert C, Weaver S, Scanlon A, Lockard K, Allen C, Kunz N, Bermudez C, Bhama JK, Shullo MA, Kormos RL, Dew MA, Teuteberg JJ. Blood pressure control in continuous flow left ventricular assist devices: efficacy and impact on adverse events. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2014; **97**: 139–146.
 83. Morgenstern LB, Hemphill JC 3rd, Anderson C, Becker K, Broderick JP, Connolly ES Jr, Greenberg SM, Huang JN, MacDonald R, Messé SR, Mitchell PH, Selim M, Tamargo RJ, American Heart Association Stroke Council and Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2010; **41**: 2108–2129.
 84. Huttner HB, Schwab S. Malignant middle cerebral artery infarction: clinical characteristics, treatment strategies, and future perspectives. *Lancet Neurol* 2009; **8**: 949–958.
 85. Wilson TJ, Stetler WR, al-Holou WN, Sullivan SE, Fletcher JJ. Management of intracranial hemorrhage in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *J Neurosurg* 2013; **118**: 1063–1068.
 86. Barac YD, Schroder JN, Daneshmand MA, Patel CB, Milano CA. Heartmate III replacement for recurring left ventricular assist device pump thrombosis. *ASAIO J* 2018; **64**: 424–426.
 87. Mehra MR, Salerno C, Naka Y, Uriel N, Cleveland JC, Horstmannshof D, Goldstein DJ, MOMENTUM 3 Investigators. A tale of the twist in the outflow graft: an analysis from the MOMENTUM 3 trial. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018; **37**: 1281–1284.
 88. Barac YD, Wojnarski CM, Junpaparp P, Jawitz OK, Billard H, Daneshmand MA, Agrawal R, Devore A, Patel CB, Schroder JN, Milano CA. Early outcomes with durable left ventricular assist device replacement using the HeartMate 3. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2019; **160**: 132–139.
 89. Barac YD, Nevo A, Schroder JN, Milano CA, Daneshmand MA. LVAD outflow graft role in pump thrombosis. *ASAIO J* 2020; **66**: 128–131.
 90. Tainter CR, Braun OÖ, Teran F, Nguyen AP, Robbins K, O'Brien EO, McMillan ZM, Schmidt U, Meier A, Goebel M, Pretorius V, Brambatti M, Adler ED, Seethala R. Emergency department visits among patients with left ventricular assist devices. *Intern Emerg Med* 2018; **13**: 907–913.
 91. Hernandez RE, Singh SK, Hoang DT, Ali SW, Elayda MAA, Mallidi HR, Frazier OH, Meyers DE. Present-day hospital readmissions after left ventricular assist device implantation: a large single-center study. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2015; **42**: 419–429.
 92. Kirklín JK, Naftel DC, Pagani FD, Kormos RL, Stevenson LW, Blume ED, Myers SL, Miller MA, Baldwin JT, Young JB. Seventh INTERMACS annual report: 15,000 patients and counting. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015; **34**: 1495–1504.
 93. Brignole M, Moya A, de Lange FJ, Deharo JC, Elliott PM, Fanciulli A, Fedorowski A, Furlan R, Kerner RA, Martín A, Probst V, Reed MJ, Rice CP, Sutton R, Ungar A, van Dijk JG, ESC Scientific Document Group, Torbicki A, Moreno J, Abovans V, Agewall S, Asteggiano R, Blanc JJ, Bornstein N, Boveda S, Bueno H, Burri H, Coca A, Collet JP, Costantino G, Díaz-Infante E, Delgado V, Dolmans F, Gaemperli O, Gajek J, Hindricks G, Kautzner J, Knuuti J, Kulakowski P, Lambrinou E, Leclercq C, Mabo P, Morillo CA, Piepoli MF, Roffi M, Shen WK, Simpson IA, Stockburger M, Vanbrabant P, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Windecker S, Abovans V, Agewall S, Barbato E, Bueno H, Coca A, Collet JP, Coman IM, Dean V, Delgado V, Fitzsimons D, Gaemperli O, Hindricks G, Iung B, Jüni P, Katus HA, Knuuti J, Lancellotti P, Leclercq C, McDonagh T, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Richter DJ, Roffi M, Shlyakhto E, Sousa-Uva M, Simpson IA, Zamorano JL, Roithinger FX, Chasnoits A, Vandekerckhove Y, Traykov VB, Puljevic D, Papisavvas E, Kautzner J, Mølgaard H, Nawar M, Parikka H, Vavlukis M, Piot O, Etsadashvili K, Klingenhessen T, Deftereos S, Ságaly L, Gudmundsson K,

Beinart R, Raviele A, Abdrakhmanov A, Mirrakhimov E, Kalejs O, Benlamin HA, Puodziukynas A, Dimmer C, Sammut MA, Raducan A, Vukmirović M, Abdelali S, Hemels MEW, Haugaa KH, Baranowski R, Cunha PS, Dan GA, Tyurina T, Bertelli L, Mitro P, Lozano IF, Bergfeldt L, Osswald S, Afef BH, Özdemir HM, Lim PB. 2018 ESC

Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope. *Eur Heart J* 2018; **39**: 1883–1948.

94. Fudim M, Rogers JG, Frazier-Mills C, Patel CB. Orthostatic hypotension in patients with left ventricular assist devices: acquired autonomic dysfunction. *ASAIO J* 2018; **64**: e40–e42.

95. World Health Organization. *Clinical Criteria for the Determination of Death, WHO Technical Expert Consultation, WHO Headquarters, Geneva, Switzerland, 22–23 September 2014*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.