



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Differences and similarities of autoantibody-positive and autoantibody-negative rheumatoid arthritis during the disease course: on our way to personalized medicine

Matthijssen, X.M.E.

Citation

Matthijssen, X. M. E. (2022, June 21). *Differences and similarities of autoantibody-positive and autoantibody-negative rheumatoid arthritis during the disease course: on our way to personalized medicine*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3421332>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3421332>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER

4

The increasing incidence of autoantibody negative RA is replicated and is partly explained by an aging population



Xanthe M.E. Matthijssen¹

Tom W.J. Huizinga¹

Annette H.M. van der Helm-van Mil^{1,2}

1. Department of Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands
2. Department of Rheumatology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

With great interest we read the recently published report by Myasoedova et al. in which a significant increase in incidence of rheumatoid factor (RF)-negative rheumatoid arthritis (RA) was found, in contrast to RF-positive RA.[1] Studies on trends of RA-incidence stratified for autoantibodies are scarce. Moreover, both an increase and decrease in incidence of RF-negative RA has been reported.[2,3] Because validation is important, we determined trends in incidence of RA over two decades in our region.


We defined autoantibody-positivity as auto-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA)-positivity, since RF is less specific for RA and more often present in healthy controls, especially at older age.[4] Second, because autoantibody-negative RA has a higher age-of-onset than autoantibody positive RA,[5] we hypothesized that part of the incidence increase is explained by aging of the population. Therefore, we also assessed the influence of the population age-distribution on the trends of incidence of RA.

Incidence rates were calculated based on the inclusion rate of RA patients in the Leiden Early Arthritis Cohort (EAC). The Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) is the only rheumatology referral center within the Leiden area and inclusion in the EAC of newly presenting early arthritis patients has been part of regular care since 1993. [6] All consecutively included RA-patients (defined as clinical diagnosis plus fulfilling the 1987 or 2010-criteria within 1 year) included in the EAC between 1994-2015 were studied.

First, we calculated crude incidence rates per year using the number of incident cases as the numerator and total population counts from the NUTS-3 (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) region around Leiden as the denominator.[7] Trends over time were analyzed with Poisson regression. Next, to assess the influence of age-changes in the Leiden population, a three degree of freedom spline of age was included in the Poisson models. All analyses were stratified for ACPA (anti-CCP2)-status; which was determined after inclusion but rarely by GPs in line with Dutch guidelines.[8]

1697 RA-patients were included between 1994-2015 (mean age 57, 66% female, 48% ACPA-positive). For the total RA population, a crude incidence increase was observed ($\beta=0.020$ (95% confidence interval 0.012;0.027); Figure 1). This estimate approximates the proportion increase per year, where 0.02 translates to ~2% increase per year. Stratification for ACPA-status revealed that the crude incidence of ACPA-negative RA increased (0.028 (0.017;0.039)) while ACPA-positive RA did not significantly increase (0.009 (-0.002;0.021)). We thereby replicated the findings of Myasoedova et al. Further stratification for IgM-RF-status within ACPA-negative RA revealed no significant differences in the increase in crude incidence between RF-positive ACPA-negative and RF-negative ACPA-negative RA (0.039 (0.017;0.061) versus 0.023 (0.011;0.036); $p=0.22$).

Figure 1: Crude incidence of RA in the Leiden area 1994-2015 in all patients (above) and stratified for ACPA (below)




Legend: Y-axis are presented on the log-scale. Dots depict the observations per year. Fitted linear lines are depicted in bold and confidence intervals in light grey.

ACPA-negative RA had the peak incidence at higher age (mean age at diagnosis 59 vs 54; $p<0.001$; Figure 2A), which is in line with previous observations.[5] We then adjusted incidence rates for the changes in age distribution in our health care region 1994-2015. This revealed lower estimates in both ACPA-subsets, suggesting that part of the crude incidence increase was due to aging. After this age-correction, the incidence of ACPA-negative RA still showed some remaining increase over time (0.017 (0.006;0.028)). Also here there was no increased incidence in ACPA-positive RA (0.000 (-0.011;0.012)).

Because we observed that the increase in incidence of the past decades was partly explained by aging of the population, and it is known that the population will age even more, we estimated the further increase in ACPA-negative RA for the coming two decades based on ageing using age-specific Dutch population prognoses of Statistics Netherlands.[9] As presented in Figure 2B, the estimated increase of new RA cases the next twenty years due to aging of the population is 11% in ACPA-negative RA and 2% in ACPA-positive RA.

Figure 2: Crude incidence per age (A), and predicted increase in incidence due to aging of the Dutch population (B), both for ACPA-negative and ACPA-positive RA



Legend (A): Y-axis are presented on the log-scale. Dots depict the observations per age. Fitted lines are depicted in bold and confidence intervals in light grey.

Our analyses are based on the assumption that all incident RA cases in the region are included in the EAC. This assumption is supported by the fact that the LUMC is the only referral center in the region. Importantly, the referral region and strategy has not changed during the last two decennia, hence if a proportion of novel RA-patients is not included in the cohort, this is presumably similar over time and does not affect our results on trends over time.

In conclusion, we found an increasing incidence of ACPA-negative RA that was absent in ACPA-positive RA, which is line with the findings of Myasoedova et al. Moreover, we showed that the increase in ACPA-negative RA was in part explained by aging of the population. This will make ACPA-negative RA more prevalent the coming years and promotes the need for research in this subset of RA.

REFERENCES

1. Myasoedova E, Davis J, Matteson EL, Crowson CS. Is the epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis changing? Results from a population-based incidence study, 1985–2014. *2020:annrheumdis-2019-216694*.
2. Muilu P, Rantalaiho V, Kautiainen H, Virta LJ, Eriksson JG, Puolakka K. Increasing incidence and shifting profile of idiopathic inflammatory rheumatic diseases in adults during this millennium. *Clinical Rheumatology* 2019;38(2):555-62.
3. Kaipainen-Seppanen O, Kautiainen H. Declining trend in the incidence of rheumatoid factor-positive rheumatoid arthritis in Finland 1980-2000. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2006;33(11):2132-8.
4. Ursum J, Bos WH, van de Stadt RJ, Dijkmans BAC, van Schaardenburg D. Different properties of ACPA and IgM-RF derived from a large dataset: further evidence of two distinct autoantibody systems. *Arthritis research & therapy* 2009;11(3):R75-R.
5. Boeters DM, Mangnus L, Ajeganova S, et al. The prevalence of ACPA is lower in rheumatoid arthritis patients with an older age of onset but the composition of the ACPA response appears identical. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2017;19(1):115.
6. van Aken J, van Bilsen JH, Allaart CF, Huizinga TW, Breedveld FC. The Leiden Early Arthritis Clinic. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2003;21(5 Suppl 31):S100-5.
7. CBS StatLine. Bevolking op 1 januari en gemiddeld; geslacht, leeftijd en regio <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/03759ned/>. Accessed 03-30-2020.
8. NHG-Standaard Artritis <https://www.nhg.org/standaarden/volledig/nhg-standaard-artritis>. Accessed 30-03-2020.
9. CBS StatLine. Prognose bevolking; geslacht en leeftijd, 2020-2060 <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/84646NED>. Accessed 03-30-2020.