

The impacts and challenges of water use of electric power production in China

Jin, Y.

Citation

Jin, Y. (2022, June 21). *The impacts and challenges of water use of electric power production in China*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3309976

| Version: | Publisher's Version |
|------------------|--|
| License: | <u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u> |
| Downloaded from: | https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3309976 |

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Supplementary Information

7.1 Supplementary information to chapter 2

7.1.1 Methodologies



Figure S7.1.1 Flow diagram of meta-analysis.

| Table S7.1.1 | Search te | rms used ir | n meta-analysis | s for each database |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | | 2 | |

| Database | Code |
|----------------|---|
| Web of Science | TS=(electricity) AND TS=("renewable*" OR "non*renewable*" OR "fossil fuel*" OR coal OR oil OR "natural gas" OR "shale gas" OR nuclear OR "hydro" OR "hydropower" OR biomass OR biofuel OR geothermal OR wind OR solar OR photovoltaic) AND TS=("water footprint" OR "water use" OR "water consumption" OR " water withdrawal" OR "water demand" OR "water requirement") AND LANGUAGE:(English) |
| ScienceDirect | (ttl(electricity AND (coal OR oil OR "natural gas" OR nuclear OR "hydro" OR "hydropower" OR biomass OR geothermal OR wind OR solar)) OR (key(electricity AND (coal OR oil OR "natural gas" OR nuclear OR "hydro" OR "hydropower" OR biomass OR geothermal OR wind OR solar)) AND (ttl("water footprint" OR "water use" OR "water consumption" OR "water withdrawal" OR "water requirement" OR "water demand")) AND Article types:(Research articles OR Book chapters OR Data articles) |

The operational stage is the focus in previous studies, and the operational water consumption shows the great agreement when grouped by cooling types. In addition to the cooling type, unit type is another determinant of operational water consumption ¹³⁹, and its main manifestation is the conversion efficiency ⁶⁵. Both the changes in cooling type and conversion efficiency would lead to the changes in operational water consumption. Particularly, the effects of changing conversion efficiency on water consumption differ across cooling types, e.g. the 1% change of conversion efficiency is expected to result in more water-saving amounts for closed-loop cooling than for dry cooling due to their different ways and scale of water consumption. Zhang et al. (2014) ¹³⁹ investigated the relations between operational water consumption and its influencing variables (cooling type and unit type) for coal power plants. The effects of both cooling type and the conversion efficiency on the operational water consumption were considered in this study. In our study, five power types (coal, natural gas, oil, nuclear and biomass) were consumption have similar

characteristics and shows great agreement when grouped by cooling type as opposed to fuel type (Figure 2.2), the model is expressed as follows:

$$WC_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 CT_{1,i} + \alpha_2 CT_{2,i} + \left(\sum_k \beta_k C_{i,k}\right) CE_i + \varepsilon_i$$
(S7.1.1)

In which,

$$CT_{1,i} = \begin{cases} 1, & once - through cooling \\ 0, & others \end{cases}$$
(S7.1.2)

And,

$$CT_{2,i} = \begin{cases} 1, & closed - loop \ cooling \\ 0, & others \end{cases}$$
(S7.1.3)

Through this model, the operational water consumption for once-through cooling, closed-loop cooling, and dry cooling can be expressed by eq (S4), eq (S5), and eq (S6), respectively:

$$WC_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 CE_i + \varepsilon_i \tag{S7.1.4}$$

$$WC_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 CE_i + \varepsilon_i \tag{S7.1.5}$$

$$WC_i = \alpha_0 + \beta_3 CE_i + \varepsilon_i \tag{S7.1.6}$$

Where WC_i represents the operational water consumption of sample *i*. the unit of WC_i (L/MWh). CE_i represents the conversion efficiency of sample *i*, with the unit: %. Three types of cooling are distinguished, i.e., once-through cooling, closed-loop cooling, and dry cooling. $CT_{1,i}$ is a binary variable that indicates the cooling type used by sample *i*: 1 for once-through cooling, 0 for other cooling types (closed-loop cooling and dry cooling). $CT_{2,i}$ is a binary variable that indicates the cooling type used by sample *i*: 1 for closed-loop cooling, 0 for other cooling types (once-through cooling type used by sample *i*: 1 for closed-loop cooling, 0 for other cooling types (once-through cooling and dry cooling). Dry cooling type is the baseline here.

Subscript k represents cooling types. $C_{i,k}$ is a binary variable that indicates the cooling type of sample *i*. If sample *i* belongs to cooling type k, then $C_{i,k}$ is designated as 1; otherwise $C_{i,k}$ is designated as 0.

 α_0 , α_1 , α_2 , and β_k are parameters to be estimated. α_0 is a constant parameter. β_k represents the contribution of the variance of conversion efficiency to the reduction of water consumption for power generation with cooling type *k*. ε_i represents the random error.

7.1.2 Water use of global power generation

Meldrum et al. (2013) reviewed the life cycle water consumption and water withdrawal of power production in the USA and harmonized the estimates from the literature ⁶⁵. Since most estimates in previous studies are not accompanied by enough information for harmonization, many studies could not be included in the review. All the estimates of coal thermal power were harmonized to the thermal efficiency of a sub-critical pulverized coal power plant; all the estimates of natural gas thermal power were harmonized to the thermal efficiency of a combined cycle plant. As a result, the water use estimates of coal power could be higher due to the low efficiency of sub-critical generating units compared to other coal power technologies (e.g. supcritical); the water use estimates of natural gas power could be lower due to the high efficiency of combined cycle plant compared to other natural gas power technologies (e.g. steam cycle).

For biomass, the water use of fuel cycle was often expressed as water use from irrigation or precipitation instead of water consumption or water withdrawal. In this study, referring to the expression in ^{76, 85-88, 165, 341}, the water use of biomass is presented as blue water consumption, with precipitation excluded as we study blue water only. 58, 165 estimated both blue and green water consumption for biomass power from the perspective of global average. Based on their results, the ratio of blue water to the total water consumption was obtained and can be used to adjust the water consumption of biomass power in ^{75, 85} to the blue water consumption. Both ^{75, 85} focused on the biomass in Canada and the USA. Mathioudakis et al. (2017) provided both blue water (15840 L/MWh) and green water (186480 L/MWh) of the fuel cycle of corn stover for power production through direct combustion ¹⁶⁵. The total value of blue and green water is comparable to the counterpart in ⁸⁵, where the value is 256600 L/MWh. Besides, the heat content (17.55 MJ/kg and 18 MJ/kg, respectively) and moisture content (15%, 15%, respectively) of corn stover in both studies are very close. The ratio of blue water to the total water consumption for corn stover is used to adjust the water consumption of corn stover in ⁸⁵ to the blue water consumption. The blue and green water consumed by pine in ⁷⁵ were separated using the ratio in ¹⁶⁵. For wheat straw, there are two values of the proportion of blue water in ¹⁶⁵, and both of them are 0.27. This proportion is used to separate the blue water from the sum of blue and green water in ^{75, 85}.

Coefficient of variation of life cycle water consumption was calculated as shown in **Table S7.1.2**.

| Power type | Cooling type | Generating technology | CV (%) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Coal | CL | IGCC | 24 |
| Coal | CL | SBC | 19 |
| Coal | CL | SPC | 23 |
| Coal | CL | USPC | 23 |
| Coal | DC | IGCC | 14 |
| Coal | DC | SBC | 13 |
| Coal | DC | SPC | 13 |
| Coal | DC | USPC | 13 |
| Coal | OT | SBC | 29 |
| Coal | OT | SPC | 13 |
| Natural Gas | CL | CC | 44 |
| Natural Gas | CL | ST | 23 |
| Natural Gas | DC | CC | 72 |
| Natural Gas | DC | ST | 70 |
| Natural Gas | OT | CC | 24 |
| Natural Gas | OT | ST | 7 |
| Oil | | | 75 |
| Nuclear | OT | ST | 24 |
| Biomass | CL | ST | 68 |
| Biomass | DC | ST | 77 |
| Biomass | OT | ST | 76 |
| Geothermal | DC | EGS-B | 89 |
| Geothermal | DC | HT-B | 90 |
| Geothermal | HD | HT-B | 32 |
| Geothermal | WC | HT-F | 23 |
| CSP | DC | Power tower | 53 |
| CSP | DC | Trough | 46 |
| CSP | HD | Power tower | 58 |
| CSP | HD | Trough | 52 |
| CSP | WC | Fresnel | 10 |
| CSP | WC | Power tower | 30 |
| CSP | WC | Trough | 13 |
| PV | | | 68 |
| Wind | | | 119 |
| Hydropower | | | 634 |

 Table S7.1.2 Coefficient of variation (CV) of life cycle water consumption for each

 power type and technology





Figure S7.1.2 Life cycle blue water withdrawal for each type of power production. Water withdrawal is visualized on a log scale. The annotation mdn gives the median value of water consumption for each fuel type. The circles represent the outliers while the dots represent the mean for each power type. Hydropower, and biomass are excluded in this figure because the data of their life cycle water withdrawal were not available.



Figure S7.1.3 Blue water consumption in the fuel cycle. The value of water consumption for biomass power from ⁸⁶ is particularly large (see below) and not included in the figure.

Dominguez-Faus et al. (2009) assessed the irrigation water requirements of corn ethanol and soybean biodiesel used for power generation. Corn ethanol consumed 2.27×10^6 to 8.67×10^6 liters of irrigation water for 1 MWh of power generation. Soybean biodiesel consumed 1.39×10^7 to 2.79×10^7 liters of irrigation water for 1 MWh of power generation ⁸⁶.





Figure S7.1.4 Blue water consumption of operation. For hydropower, the manifestation of the water use is water evapotranspiration, which is regarded as water consumption, as hydroelectric power generation does not withdraw or divert water ⁶⁴. For wind power, a boxplot is not used since most of the values are zero; the median and mean values are 0 and 1.85, respectively. For power types except CSP, their minimum water consumption of operation is zero and the values of $q_1 - 1.5 \times (q_3 - q_1)$ are negative. Thus, values between q_1 and zero are not recognized as outliers. The left whiskers of boxplots extend from q_1 to zero, with all the values between q_1 and zero contained. These left whiskers are not shown in this figure for simplicity.



Figure S7.1.5 Blue water withdrawal of operation.

For consistency, the cooling type for coal, natural gas, oil, nuclear and biomass falls into closed-loop (CL), once-through (OT) and dry cooling (DC) referring to ^{22, 77, 89, 90, 92, 124, 131, 139, 342, 343}; Cooling type falls into wet cooling (WC), hybrid cooling (hybrid) and dry cooling (DC) for geothermal and CSP, referring to ^{104, 121, 344-351}.





Figure S7.1.6 Blue water withdrawal of operation distinguished by power type and cooling type. The dots represent mean water consumption while the line segments represent the standard error of mean. The annotation mdn gives the median value. Hydropower, wind and PV do not have cooling needs and are not included. WC denotes wet cooling, CL denotes closed-loop cooling, HC denotes hybrid cooling (combining wet and dry cooling); OT denotes once-through cooling, and DC denotes dry cooling.

Water consumption (WC) and water withdrawal (WW) are usually calculated as a function of the lifetime of a power plant. However, the values of lifetime generally came from assumptions, as it is unavailable for plants under operation. The differences in the lifetime assumptions within the same power type makes the estimates less comparable. Therefore, we normalized the WC and WW to the same lifetime assumption if the estimates were provided with lifetime information for the power type. Otherwise, the original WC and WW were used. The normalization is conducted by assuming the water use changes proportionally to the lifetimes used for normalization are shown in Table S7.1.3. WC estimates for power types including nuclear, wind and PV provided the same lifetime value, thus normalization is not needed within these power types. Only estimates for geothermal, natural gas and coal are normalized referring to the lifetime most frequently used in studies. The results are shown in Figure S7.1.8.

| Power type | Coal | Natural Gas | Nuclear | Geothermal | Wind | CSP | PV | Hydropower | Oil | Biomass |
|--------------------|------|----------------|---------|------------|------|-----|----|------------|-----|---------|
| Lifetime for WC | 30 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 30 | NA | NA | NA |
| Lifetime for WW | 30 | 30 | 40 | 30 | NA | NA | NA | 40 | / | / |

 Table S7.1.3 The lifetime value used in WC and WW estimates for each power type (unit: years)

Note: NA indicates not all the estimates were provided with lifetime information for that power type, thus normalization was not conducted. No data of water withdrawal were available for oil. There is no water withdrawal for biomass since the water requirement of biomass is considered as water consumption in this study. ⁶⁵ and ⁹¹ provided the lifetime of coal- and natural gas plant. The lifetimes of these two types of plant in this study referred to the values in ⁶⁵ where the lifetimes were determined based on a review of published literature.



Figure S7.1.7 Blue water consumption of plant infrastructure. The bar shows the median water consumption, while the symbol 'x' represents the minimum and maximum water consumption in plant infrastructure for each power type. The minimum water consumption of wind power was derived from ⁶⁵ where the value was described as 'less than 0.1 gal/MWh' instead of a precise value. It is assumed to be 0.1 gal/MWh (i.e. approximately 3.79 L/MWh) here. Some of the original values of water consumption were adjusted according to the lifetime of the power plant.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.1.8 Blue water withdrawal of plant infrastructure. The bar shows the median water consumption, while the symbol 'x' represents the minimum and maximum water consumption in plant infrastructure for each power type. Only one value of water withdrawal for hydropower was available, which was derived from ⁶⁴.

7.1.3 Water use of power generation at country level

The median operational water consumption for each country and power type are shown in Figure 7.1.5. For power plants with cooling needs, only wet cooling technologies (closed-loop, once-through and hybrid cooling technology) are included in Figure 7.1.5 since dry cooling is an obviously water-saving cooling technology for all countries, and wet cooling technologies account for 81% of the estimates extracted from the literature. The water consumption and water withdrawal for power generation at each life cycle stage are aggregated at country level. The median, minimum, and maximum values for countries mostly investigated in existing studies are shown in Tables S7.1.4-S7.1.8.

At the plant infrastructure stage, all the studies on the water consumption only focused on the USA, except ⁹⁶ in which the water consumption for the hydropower station construction has been investigated. The water withdrawal for plant infrastructure of CSP in China is as high as 6863 L/MWh ¹⁵⁸ where the material inputs within the whole economic system have been incorparated into the assessment by using a hydrid method. Similarly, the large water withdrawal for plant infrastructure of coal- and gas-supported power generation were obtained from ⁹¹ where an economic input-output life cycle assessment tool was employed.

| Power Type | Country/Region | Median | Min | Max |
|-------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Biomass | EU | 10,800 | 7,200 | 14,400 |
| Biomass | USA | 435,600 | 435,600 | 435,600 |
| Coal | Spain | 120 | 26 | 130 |
| Coal | USA | 216 | 23 | 871 |
| Coal | Canada | 238 | 65 | 704 |
| Coal | China | 246 | 233 | 285 |
| Natural Gas | Spain | 28 | 10 | 45 |
| Natural Gas (Con) | USA | 83 | 23 | 220 |
| Natural Gas (SG) | USA | 222 | 64 | 871 |
| Natural Gas (SG) | China | 622 | 492 | 751 |
| Nuclear | France | 48 | 45 | 50 |
| Nuclear | USA | 212 | 14 | 1,249 |
| Oil | Spain | 891 | 281 | 1,500 |
| Oil (Con) | USA | 1,019 | 72 | 1,966 |
| Oil (Oil Sand) | USA | 1,658 | 806 | 2,509 |
| Oil (Oil Shale) | USA | 2,342 | 436 | 4,248 |

Table S7.1.4 Country-specific blue water consumption of the fuel cycle (L/MWh)

Note: median denotes median value; min denotes minimum value; max denotes maximum value. Con denotes conventional gas/oil, SG denotes shale gas. The data for Spain contain the water use of fuel production and processing, but not transportation, which is also a determinant of the water use of fuel cycle.

At the operational stage, the only study on the natural gas power in Egypt ³⁵² did not clarify the turbine type of power plant, but the plant studied had similar characteristics of water consumption with combined cycle power plants according to the estimates for other countries. Egypt does not have operable nuclear power plants; Kotb and Abdelaal (2018) estimated the water consumption of nuclear power by scenario analysis ³⁵². China does not have operable inland nuclear power plants; Guo et al. (2012) and Chen et al. (2010) might made assessment based on research reactors ^{125, 353}. For biomass power with closed-loop cooling, studies on the USA focused on the water required for cooling ^{56, 63, 110, 354}. Few research investigated the water consumption of biomass power in China. The two available studies ^{100, 168} were based on the results in ³⁵⁵, where the water withdrawal instead of water consumption was assessed, and the water used for wastewater processing was included into operational water consumption. The estimates for Spain was provided based on the data of the USA from ⁶³, and consequently had a bias towards the characteristics of

water consumption in the USA.

Plants in coastal area can use sea water for cooling, but they also need some freshwater to produce demineralized water, which can be used in the water-steam cycle to drive the electricity generation turbine. Mertens et al. (2015) provided the freshwater consumption of CCGT (combined cycle gas turbine) for cooling tower in Italy (14 L/MWh) and once-through cooling in France (6.6 L/MWh)¹⁷³.

| Power type | country | median | min | max |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Biomass | USA | 1,355 | 0 | 5,076 |
| Biomass | Spain | 2,152 | 1,734 | 2,414 |
| Biomass | China | 3,955 | 2,400 | 5,530 |
| CSP | USA | 1,414 | 15 | 7,192 |
| CSP | China | 3,415 | 750 | 4,000 |
| Coal | India | 399 | 242 | 4,035 |
| Coal | Canada | 1,020 | 90 | 3,650 |
| Coal | Egypt | 1,150 | 400 | 1,900 |
| Coal | Spain | 1,552 | 756 | 1,815 |
| Coal | USA | 1,628 | 0 | 10,107 |
| Coal | China | 1,889 | 20 | 7,070 |
| Coal | UK | 1,953 | 1,193 | 3,557 |
| Geothermal | USA | 1,363 | 0 | 18,400 |
| Hydropower | Norway | 109 | 57 | 161 |
| Hydropower | New Zealand | 1,692 | 324 | 70,884 |
| Hydropower | Austria | 1,962 | 0 | 3,924 |
| Hydropower | Spain | 9,500 | 3,000 | 109,000 |
| Hydropower | USA | 11,150 | 38 | 210,000 |
| Hydropower | China | 23,760 | 0 | 15,243,480 |
| Hydropower | Vietnam | 24,840 | 5,040 | 133,452 |
| Hydropower | DR Laos | 49,932 | 3,708 | 3,103,200 |
| Hydropower | Turkey | 85,860 | 4,680 | 118,440 |
| Hydropower | Brazil | 133,200 | 93,600 | 172,800 |
| Hydropower | Ethiopia | 147,240 | 0 | 750,240 |
| Hydropower | Egypt | 356,500 | 329,000 | 6,249,960 |
| Hydropower | Thailand | 552,312 | 1,548 | 3,160,872 |
| Hydropower | India | 1,273,000 | 1,273,000 | 1,273,000 |
| Hydropower | Ghana | 2,656,080 | 2,656,080 | 2,656,080 |
| Natural Gas | Egypt | 550 | 400 | 700 |
| Natural Gas | Spain | 684 | 0 | 1,814 |
| Natural Gas | Belgium | 722 | 13 | 1,431 |
| Natural Gas | USA | 795 | 0 | 8,438 |
| Nuclear | China | 130 | 40 | 3,682 |
| Nuclear | Egypt | 1,750 | 1,000 | 2,500 |
| Nuclear | USA | 2,197 | 0 | 4,452 |
| Nuclear | Spain | 2,590 | 1,020 | 3,460 |
| Oil | USA | 2,214 | 698 | 3,130 |

Table S7.1.5 Country-specific blue water consumption of operation (L/MWh)

| Oil | Spain | 1,216 | 0 | 1,814 | |
|------|-------|-------|-----|-------|--|
| Oil | Egypt | 800 | 800 | 800 | |
| PV | China | 19 | 19 | 19 | |
| PV | USA | 44 | 0 | 1,173 | |
| PV | Egypt | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| Wind | China | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Wind | Egypt | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Wind | USA | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| Wind | USA | 0 | 0 | 8 | |

Table S7.1.6 Country-specific blue water withdrawal of operation (L/MWh)

| Power type | country | median | min | max |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| Biomass | USA | 3,324 | 114 | 189,384 |
| Biomass | China | 4,459 | 3,430 | 5,530 |
| Biomass | Spain | 31,647 | 1,734 | 189,600 |
| CSP | USA | 1,741 | 15 | 5,853 |
| Coal | Canada | 1,935 | 110 | 199,110 |
| Coal | USA | 4,486 | 102 | 457,895 |
| Coal | India | 5,255 | 500 | 159,000 |
| Coal | China | 7,610 | 320 | 521,251 |
| Coal | Egypt | 43,905 | 2,310 | 85,500 |
| Geothermal | USA | 2,158 | 0 | 18,110 |
| Natural Gas | Italy | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| Natural Gas | Belgium | 2,160 | 1,070 | 3,250 |
| Natural Gas | USA | 2,332 | 0 | 1,944,873 |
| Natural Gas | France | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| Natural Gas | China | 4,540 | 568 | 79,500 |
| Natural Gas | Spain | 13,675 | 0 | 189,000 |
| Natural Gas | Egypt | 22,050 | 1,000 | 43,100 |
| Nuclear | USA | 9,842 | 114 | 230,000 |
| Nuclear | France | 72,318 | 72,318 | 72,318 |
| Nuclear | Spain | 75,362 | 1,890 | 347,200 |
| Nuclear | Egypt | 86,050 | 4,200 | 167,900 |
| Nuclear | China | 178,000 | 87,000 | 227,000 |
| Oil | Egypt | 1,030 | 1,030 | 1,030 |
| Oil | Spain | 24,322 | 0 | 189,000 |
| Oil | USA | 68,520 | 3,748 | 211,983 |
| PV | USA | 45 | 0 | 295 |
| PV | Egypt | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Wind | Egypt | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wind | USA | 4 | 0 | 11 |

| Power type | country | median | min | max |
|-------------|---------|--------|-----|-----|
| Biomass | USA | 4 | 1 | 94 |
| CSP | USA | 271 | 12 | 644 |
| Coal | USA | 4 | 0 | 95 |
| Geothermal | USA | 4 | 2 | 177 |
| Hydropower | Norway | 33 | 17 | 71 |
| Natural Gas | USA | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Nuclear | USA | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Oil | USA | 4 | 1 | 94 |
| PV | USA | 26 | 19 | 795 |
| Wind | USA | 4 | 0 | 34 |

Table S7.1.7 Country-specific blue water consumption of plant infrastructure (L/MWh)

Table S7.1.8 Country-specific blue water withdrawal of plant infrastructure (L/MWh)

| Power type | country | median | min | max |
|-------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| CSP | USA | 375 | 175 | 644 |
| CSP | China | 6,863 | 6,863 | 6,863 |
| Coal | USA | 4 | 0 | 45 |
| Geothermal | USA | 11 | 0 | 38 |
| Hydropower | USA | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Natural Gas | USA | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Nuclear | USA | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| PV | USA | 68 | 0 | 6,057 |
| Wind | USA | 98 | 49 | 314 |
| Wind | Denmark | 200 | 170 | 320 |
| Wind | Spain | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| Wind | Italy | 250 | 250 | 250 |

Tables S7.1.9-S7.1.11 show the water consumption of the fuel cycle, the water consumption of operation, and the water withdrawal of operation in China, respectively. Tables S7.1.12-S7.1.14 show the water consumption of the fuel cycle, the water consumption of operation, and the water withdrawal of operation in the USA.

In China, the water use of the fuel cycle for shale gas is significantly larger than for coal ⁸⁹, whereas in the USA they are comparable due to the relatively lower water input for extraction ³⁵⁶. Replacing coal by conventional gas for power generation can achieve a significant decrease in the water use of the fuel cycle in the USA. At the

operational stage, apart from dry cooling, the minimum cooling water consumption and withdrawal in China are 130 L/MWh for nuclear with once-through cooling type and 2180 L/MWh for coal with closed-loop cooling type, respectively. In the USA, the minimum cooling water consumption and withdrawal are both around 360 L/MWh for NGCC (natural gas combined cycle) with hybrid cooling type. Particularly, water consumption of geothermal energy with wet cooling differs greatly, depending on both plant type and resources type. In previous studies, the values of water consumption of coal power plants in China were assumed to be comparable to the median values of corresponding ones in the USA ^{77, 357, 358}, while the values of water withdrawal can vary between the similar plants in China and the USA according to this study. Both in China and the USA, the water use of renewables could exceed that of non-renewables when hydropower, biomass and CSP with wet cooling type are deployed because of their high water use either in fuel cycle or in operation.

Gao et al. (2018) ¹⁰⁰ presented the operational water consumption for nuclear power plants with closed-loop cooling technology based on the data from ^{125, 353}. However, ¹⁰⁰ did not provide the calculation process, and the data in ^{125, 353} would not result in the value in ¹⁰⁰. Therefore, we recalculated the operational water consumption according to ^{125, 353}. Chen et al. (2010) ³⁵³ did not provide the annual operating time of the nuclear power plant and we assume it to be the same as that (i.e. 8147 hours) in ¹²⁵.

Table S7.1.9 Blue water consumption of the fuel cycle of each power type in China (L/MWh)

| Power type | Median | Min | Max | |
|------------|--------|-----|-----|--|
| Coal | 246 | 233 | 285 | |
| Shale Gas | 622 | 492 | 751 | |

 Table S7.1.10 Blue water consumption of operation of each power type in China

 (L/MWh)

| Power type | Cooling type | Technology | Median | Min | Max | |
|------------|--------------|------------|--------|-------|-------|--|
| Coal | CL | IGCC | 1,210 | 1,210 | 1,210 | |
| Coal | CL | USPC | 1,873 | 842 | 2,269 | |
| Coal | CL | SPC | 2,029 | 150 | 6,900 | |

| Coal | CL | SBC | 2,174 | 668 | 4,043 |
|-------------|----|------|--------|-------|------------|
| Coal | CL | SHV | 3,270 | 3,170 | 3,370 |
| Coal | CL | HV | 4,800 | 4,800 | 4,800 |
| Coal | DC | USPC | 327 | 242 | 430 |
| Coal | DC | SBC | 336 | 152 | 790 |
| Coal | DC | SPC | 368 | 180 | 717 |
| Coal | OT | USPC | 228 | 190 | 380 |
| Coal | OT | SPC | 310 | 180 | 3,000 |
| Coal | OT | SBC | 367 | 170 | 1,450 |
| Coal | OT | SHV | 1,380 | 1,190 | 1,570 |
| Natural Gas | CL | ST | 2,760 | 2,120 | 4,160 |
| Natural Gas | OT | CC | 587 | 76 | 1,136 |
| Nuclear | CL | | 3,380 | 3,077 | 3,682 |
| Nuclear | OT | | 74 | 40 | 130 |
| CSP | DC | | 750 | 750 | 750 |
| CSP | WC | | 3,650 | 3,180 | 4,000 |
| Biomass | CL | | 3,955 | 2,400 | 5,530 |
| PV | | | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Wind | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydropower | | | 23,760 | 0 | 15,243,480 |

Table S7.1.11 Blue water withdrawal of operation of each power type in China (L/MWh)

| Power type | Cooling type | Technology | Median | Min | Max |
|-------------|--------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Coal | CL | SPC | 2,180 | 2,000 | 11,470 |
| Coal | CL | SBC | 2,625 | 1,500 | 3,750 |
| Coal | CL | FB | 11,659 | 11,659 | 11,659 |
| Coal | CL | LMP | 11,848 | 11,848 | 11,848 |
| Coal | DC | USPC | 1,022 | 1,022 | 1,022 |
| Coal | OT | SPC | 504,595 | 504,595 | 504,595 |
| Coal | OT | FB | 512,923 | 512,923 | 512,923 |
| Coal | OT | LMP | 521,251 | 521,251 | 521,251 |
| Natural Gas | CL | ST | 4,540 | 4,540 | 4,540 |
| Natural Gas | OT | CC | 15,045 | 568 | 79,500 |
| Nuclear | OT | ST | 178,000 | 87,000 | 227,000 |
| Biomass | CL | | 4,459 | 3,430 | 5,530 |

Table S7.1.12 Blue water consumption of the fuel cycle of each power type in the

USA (L/MWh)

| Power type | Median | Min | Max |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Coal | 216 | 23 | 871 |
| Conventional Gas | 83 | 23 | 220 |
| Shale Gas | 222 | 64 | 871 |
| Conventional Oil | 1,019 | 72 | 1,966 |
| Oil Sand | 1,658 | 806 | 2,509 |
| Oil Shale | 2,342 | 436 | 4,248 |
| Nuclear | 212 | 14 | 1,249 |
| Biomass | 435,600 | 435,600 | 435,600 |

| Power type | Cooling type | Technology | Median | Min | Max |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Coal | CL | IGCC | 1,620 | 132 | 3,140 |
| Coal | CL | SPC | 1,878 | 15 | 4,350 |
| Coal | CL | FB | 2,120 | 2,120 | 2,120 |
| Coal | CL | SBC | 2,875 | 757 | 5,030 |
| Coal | DC | IGCC | 227 | 189 | 265 |
| Coal | OT | SPC | 390 | 242 | 469 |
| Coal | OT | SBC | 475 | 269 | 1.325 |
| Coal | OT | IGCC | 625 | 510 | 740 |
| Coal | OT | FB | 872 | 795 | 950 |
| Natural Gas | CL | CC | 908 | 4 | 1.900 |
| Natural Gas | CL | ST | 2.506 | 19 | 8.438 |
| Natural Gas | DC | CC | 15 | 0 | 940 |
| Natural Gas | DC | ST | 57 | 0 | 114 |
| Natural Gas | Hvbrid | CC | 360 | 326 | 757 |
| Natural Gas | ÓŤ | CC | 380 | 8 | 8.267 |
| Natural Gas | OT | ST | 1.200 | 246 | 2.358 |
| Oil | CL | | 2.625 | 1.100 | 3.130 |
| Oil | OT | | 1.100 | 910 | 2.233 |
| Nuclear | CL | | 2,540 | 1.408 | 3.403 |
| Nuclear | DC | | 151 | 0 | 265 |
| Nuclear | OT | | 1.437 | 106 | 4,452 |
| Biomass | CL | CC | 1.515 | 1.120 | 2.080 |
| Biomass | CL | ST | 1.817 | 1.136 | 3.653 |
| Biomass | DC | ST | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| Biomass | OT | CC | 625 | 510 | 740 |
| Biomass | OT | ST | 1,136 | 1,136 | 1,249 |
| CSP | | Dish stirling | 19 | 15 | 23 |
| CSP | DC | Trough | 297 | 121 | 625 |
| CSP | DC | Power tower | 415 | 98 | 606 |
| CSP | Hybrid | Power tower | 795 | 341 | 4,111 |
| CSP | Hybrid | Trough | 1,287 | 416 | 4,198 |
| CSP | ŴĊ | Power tower | 2,909 | 1,514 | 3,452 |
| CSP | WC | Trough | 3,683 | 2,120 | 7,192 |
| CSP | WC | Fresnel | 3,785 | 3,785 | 3,785 |
| Geothermal | DC | EGS-O | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geothermal | DC | GP-B | 76 | 0 | 151 |
| Geothermal | DC | HT-B | 303 | 151 | 1,022 |
| Geothermal | DC | EGS-B | 1,363 | 151 | 2,725 |
| Geothermal | Hybrid | HT-F | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Geothermal | Hybrid | HT-B | 5,350 | 4,200 | 6,500 |
| Geothermal | ŴĊ | EGS-F | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geothermal | WC | HT-F | 95 | 0 | 14,300 |
| Geothermal | WC | HT-O | 8,350 | 6,800 | 9,900 |
| Geothermal | WC | EGS-O | 9,300 | 7,600 | 11,000 |
| Geothermal | WC | HT-B | 14,300 | 5,700 | 17,200 |
| PV | | | 44 | 0 | 1,173 |
| Wind | | | 0 | 0 | 8 |

Table S7.1.13 Blue water consumption of operation of each power type in the USA(L/MWh)

Note: For geothermal, only freshwater consumed is included.

| Power type | Cooling type | Technology | Median | Min | Max |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Coal | CL | IGCC | 1,817 | 606 | 26,980 |
| Coal | CL | FB | 3,785 | 3,785 | 3,785 |
| Coal | CL | SPC | 4,156 | 2,196 | 57,200 |
| Coal | CL | SBC | 4,633 | 1,136 | 98,421 |
| Coal | DC | IGCC | 379 | 379 | 379 |
| Coal | OT | IGCC | 66,815 | 53,400 | 80,230 |
| Coal | OT | FB | 75,708 | 75,708 | 75,708 |
| Coal | OT | SPC | 85,552 | 85,365 | 87,064 |
| Coal | OT | SBC | 102,587 | 56,781 | 215,768 |
| Natural Gas | CL | CC | 1,098 | 53 | 172,520 |
| Natural Gas | CL | ST | 4,069 | 10 | 1,944,873 |
| Natural Gas | DC | CC | 15 | 0 | 379 |
| Natural Gas | DC | ST | 114 | 114 | 114 |
| Natural Gas | Hybrid | CC | 362 | 329 | 1,230 |
| Natural Gas | OT | CC | 75,708 | 27,255 | 266,190 |
| Natural Gas | OT | ST | 189,384 | 37,854 | 1,595,509 |
| Oil | CL | | 4,550 | 3,748 | 4,550 |
| Oil | OT | | 177,914 | 132,490 | 211,983 |
| Nuclear | CL | | 4,168 | 1,893 | 171,960 |
| Nuclear | DC | | 246 | 114 | 379 |
| Nuclear | OT | | 145,595 | 80,304 | 230,000 |
| Biomass | CL | ST | 2,082 | 1,136 | 5,527 |
| Biomass | CL | CC | 10,090 | 1,480 | 26,980 |
| Biomass | DC | ST | 132 | 114 | 150 |
| Biomass | OT | CC | 66,815 | 53,400 | 80,230 |
| Biomass | OT | ST | 132,489 | 75,708 | 189,384 |
| CSP | DC | Trough | 282 | 125 | 625 |
| CSP | DC | Power tower | 454 | 98 | 606 |
| CSP | Hybrid | Power tower | 644 | 341 | 946 |
| CSP | Hybrid | Trough | 1,287 | 1,287 | 1,287 |
| CSP | WC | Power tower | 2,423 | 1,514 | 3,100 |
| CSP | WC | Trough | 3,577 | 2,196 | 4,997 |
| Geothermal | WC | EGS-F | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geothermal | WC | EGS-O | 9,300 | 7,600 | 11,000 |
| Geothermal | WC | HT-O | 9,500 | 7,700 | 11,300 |
| PV | | | 45 | 0 | 295 |
| Wind | | | 4 | 0 | 11 |

Table S7.1.14 Blue water withdrawal of operation of each power type in the USA (L/MWh)

Note: For geothermal, only freshwater consumed is included.

7.1.4 Influencing factors of water use

From the literature, influencing factors of water consumption were collected for each lifecycle stage of power generation: for operational stage, conversion efficiency and capacity factor were investigated; for fuel cycle and plant infrastructure stage, heat

content of fuel and lifetime of plants was investigated, respectively. Tables S7.1.15-S7.1.18 show the values of these influencing factors in the literature.

| Power type | Technology | Median | Min | Max |
|-------------|------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| Biomass | ST | 25 | 16 | 38 |
| Biomass | CC | 37 | 37 | 59 |
| Coal | FB | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| Coal | SBC | 38 | 33 | 54 |
| Coal | SPC | 40 | 26 | 44 |
| Coal | USPC | 43 | 39 | 45 |
| Coal | IGCC | 45 | 39 | 45 |
| CSP | Fresnel | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| CSP | Trough | 15 | 9 | 16 |
| CSP | Dish stirling | 16 | 9 | 22 |
| CSP | Power tower | 20 | 9 | 20 |
| Geothermal | EGS-B | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Geothermal | HT-B | 9 | 8 | 10 |
| Geothermal | HT-F | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Geothermal | DS | 12 | 8 | 15 |
| Natural gas | CT | 33 | 30 | 33 |
| Natural gas | ST | 33 | 32 | 33 |
| Natural gas | SC | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural gas | CC | 51 | 39 | 75 |
| PV | Thin film | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| PV | c-Si | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| PV | Thin film, III-V | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Nuclear | | 33 | 31 | 40 |
| Oil | | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Wind | | 39 | 39 | 39 |

Table S7.1.15 Conversion efficiency of each power type and technology

Table S7.1.16 Capacity factor of each power type

| Power type | Median | Min | Max | |
|-------------|--------|-----|-----|--|
| CSP | 48 | 22 | 56 | |
| Coal | 85 | 75 | 90 | |
| Geothermal | 95 | 95 | 95 | |
| Natural gas | 85 | 80 | 85 | |
| Nuclear | 92 | 92 | 92 | |
| PV | 23 | 23 | 23 | |
| Wind | 27.4 | 19 | 46 | |

| Power type | Median | Min | Max | |
|-------------|--------|-----|-----|--|
| Biomass | 30 | 30 | 30 | |
| CSP | 30 | 20 | 30 | |
| Coal | 30 | 30 | 60 | |
| Geothermal | 30 | 20 | 30 | |
| Natural gas | 45 | 30 | 60 | |
| Nuclear | 33 | 20 | 50 | |
| PV | 30 | 30 | 30 | |
| Wind | 20 | 20 | 20 | |

Table S7.1.17 Lifetime of each type of power plant

 Table S7.1.18 Heat content of fuel

| Power type | Median | Min | Max | |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--|
| Biomass | 17.83 | 13.45 | 20.00 | |
| Coal | 20.64 | 16.28 | 27.14 | |
| Natural gas | 53.54 | 49.61 | 55.00 | |

The relations between influencing factors and water consumption were investigated by calculating their correlation coefficients, as shown in Table S7.1.19. For operational water consumption, conversion efficiency and capacity factor were investigated in this study; for water consumption of fuel cycle and plant infrastructure stage, heat content of fuel and life time of plants was investigated, respectively.

The negative signs of these coefficients show that in general, water consumption can be to some extent reduced by increasing the values of these factors. However, the coefficients cannot accurately measure the effects of these factors on water consumption, because variables influencing water consumption differed across different literature and could influence the coefficients. For example, fuel-cycle water consumption of conventional natural gas was larger in ⁹² than in ⁹¹. This large difference in water consumption could be caused by different extraction approaches, burning conditions and other variables. Even within ⁹², The natural gas with the same heat content has different fuel-cycle water consumption. There are only two studies available for calculating correlation coefficients for both PV and CSP. Although the correlation coefficient shows the strong relations between water consumption and conversion efficiency, this relation is not reliable due to the unknown backgrounds of studies since the operational water consumption can be largely affected by other

various conditions, such as practical habit of panel cleaning, sunshine duration etc. Generally, water consumption can be to some extent reduced by increasing the values of these factors. However, the correlation coefficients cannot accurately measure the effects of these factors on water consumption due to other differences in previous studies that cannot be controlled in the analysis.

Meldrum et al. (2013) harmonized the results from the literature using assumed values for influencing factors and assuming water consumption changed proportionally to the values of factors. We could not obtain the original values of factors and water consumption, thus the results in ⁶⁵ were not used in this section.

| Heat Content | Cooling Types | Correlation Coefficients |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Coal | / | -0.14 |
| Natural gas | / | -0.88 |
| Conversion Efficiency | | |
| Coal | CL | -0.12 |
| Coal | DC | -0.29 |
| Coal | OT | -0.03 |
| CSP | DC | -0.20 |
| Nuclear | CL | -0.68 |
| Natural gas | OT | -0.27 |
| Natural gas | DC | -0.71 |
| Biomass | CL | -0.91 |
| Natural gas | CL | -0.19 |
| PV | / | -1.00 |
| CSP | WC | 1.00 |
| Capacity Factor | | |
| Coal | CL | -0.34 |
| Life Time | | |
| Geothermal | / | -0.58 |

Table S7.1.19 Correlations between influencing factors and water consumption

Note: For natural gas power plants, only combined cycle units were investigated due to data limitation. For capacity factor, only coal power plants with sup-critical units were investigated.

The regression model (eq. A1-A6) was solved by "regstats" function in Matlab version 2018a. The residuals of regression model are distributed at the both sides of the line: residual = 0, as shown in Figure S7.1.9. The outliers are not discussed here since they are included in the analyses of other sections of this study. Besides, there is no reason to excluded outliers in previous studies for a better fitness of regression.

| Parameter | Estimation | p-value of t stats |
|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|
| α_0 | 742 | 0.00*** |
| α_1 | 626 | 0.10* |
| α_2 | 2867 | 0.00*** |
| β_1 | -16.22 | 0.02** |
| β_2 | -36.83 | 0.00*** |
| β_3 | -10.33 | 0.10* |
| Number of complex 720 | | |

 Table S7.1.20 Parameter estimation of the operational water consumption for power generation

Number of samples: 720

R²: 0.7442, adjusted R²: 0.7424

F: 415.37, and its p-value: 0.00

Note: Significance symbol: *** p<=0.01, ** p<=0.05, *p<=0.1; k = 1 for oncethrough cooling, k = 2 for closed-loop cooling, and k = 3 for dry cooling.



Figure S7.1.9. The residual distribution of regression model.

For hydropower, the literature generally provided both key influencing factors of water use, i.e. reservoir area and evaporation rate. An analysis of variance was conducted to look at the effects of both factors on the operational water consumption of hydropower. Results (Table S7.1.21) showed that evaporation rate generally plays a more important role in determining the operational water consumption of

hydropower compared to reservoir area.

| Source | Sum of Squares | F | Sig. |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------|------|
| Reservoir area | 6.46×10 ¹² | 133.84 | 0.00 |
| Evaporation rate | 1.19×10^{14} | 2791.39 | 0.00 |
| Error | 6.99×10 ⁹ | | |
| Total | 3.70×10^{14} | | |

Table S7.1.21 Analysis of variance in hydropower

7.1.5 Uncertainties in methodological choice

Studies using Hybrid LCA focused on life cycle water consumption for power generation. The average values of life cycle water consumption estimates for PLCA and hybrid LCA method are shown in Table S7.1.22, respectively. Only PLCA was used for estimating water consumption for geothermal.

The water use of biomass and hydropower mainly originates from the direct water use, which is included in the LCI phase of both methods, indicating that the water use of these two power types is determined by the actual water use rather than assessment methods. The low estimates based on hybrid LCA for biomass and hydropower were assessed in ⁸³, where both power types used low direct water consumption. Thus, the counterintuitive result occurs that estimates based on hybrid LCA is significantly lower than the counterparts based on conventional PLCA.

 Table S7.1.22 The average values of life cycle blue water consumption estimates

 using PLCA and hybrid LCA methods

| | Coal | Natural gas | Oil | Nuclear | Wind | CSP | PV |
|------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|
| LCA | 2,190 | 861 | 3,185 | 2,062 | 14 | 2,152 | 234 |
| Hybrid LCA | 2,537 | 1,229 | 3,220 | 3,100 | 633 | 2,400 | 1,295 |

For hydropower, approximately 25% of the world's reservoirs with a dam higher than 15 m serve multiple purposes ¹⁴¹, such as flood control, drinking water supply, irrigation, power production, recreation, navigation and more ^{94, 140}. The hydroelectric water use of a multi-purpose power station may be overestimated if a reservoir's water use is attributed entirely to power production ⁹⁵. Existing allocation models have been built based on the following allocation factors: the ranking of hydropower production among multiple purposes ²⁴, the ratio of economic value of hydropower to the total economic value derived from the reservoir ¹⁴¹, the ratio of

water volume for hydropower to the total water volume for all the functions of a reservoir ³⁵⁹, and the ratio of power production of hydropower to the total power (power production of hydropower and lost power production due to other functions of a reservoir) ³⁵⁹.

| | Economic allocation | Energy allocation | Volume allocation | Ranking purpose | of |
|-----|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----|
| Min | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.33 | |
| Max | 0.96 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 1.00 | |

Table S7.1.23 The range of allocation factors of water use for hydropower

7.1.6 Uncertainties in system boundary delineation

Table S7.1.24 The ratio of blue water consumption per stage to life cycle water consumption (%)

| | Fuel cycle | Plant infrastructure |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|
| Coal | 3-38 | ~0-15 |
| Nuclear | 3-47 | ~0 |
| Oil | 9-64 | ~0-12 |
| Natural gas | 2-79 | ~0-1 |
| Biomass | ~100 | ~0 |
| Hydropower | / | ~0-46 |
| CSP | / | 8-91 |
| PV | / | 12-93 |
| Wind | / | ~0-~100 |
| Geothermal | / | ~0-~100 |

Note: Water withdrawal is not investigated because it is generally assumed to be equal to water consumption for fuel cycle and plant infrastructure. " \sim 0" and " \sim 100" means the ratio is approximate to 0 and 100, respectively. "a-b" means the ratio ranges from a to b.

Within the fuel cycle, there are two main sub-stages: fuel production and fuel transport. The former typically includes fuel extraction, processing, and often revegetation, while the latter are performed mainly in two ways for coal, gas, and oil: conventional transport (e.g. truck, train, shipping) and pipelines ^{65, 89-92, 169}. Compared to fossil fuels such as coal and natural gas, biomass has generally a lower energy density. Large amounts of biomass feedstock need to be transported from the field to the power plant. To reduce transport costs, biomass power plants are generally close to the field of biomass feedstock and highway transport is the primary transport mode



 $^{360-362}$. In this case, the water consumption is relatively small at this stage 360 .

Figure S7.1.10 The median blue water consumption of the sub-stages of fuel cycle for coal and natural gas. Unit: L/MWh. For the range of water consumption, see Table S8.1.25 and Table S7.1.26.

Table S7.1.25 and Table S7.1.26 shows the water consumption (median, minimum, and maximum values) of sub-stages of fuel cycle for coal and natural gas, respectively. Detailed information within fuel cycle are not available for oil. The extraction approaches are generally used according to the existing state of resources. For coal, extraction approach can be further divided into: surface mining and underground mining ^{64, 90, 363, 364}. For natural gas, the extraction approaches are: conventional drilling and fracturing ^{65, 91, 92, 109}. For coal, the transport approach can be further categorized as: conventional transport (train, truck, shipping), coal-log pipeline, and slurry pipeline ⁹⁰. Coal slurry pipelines transport a slurry of water and pulverized coal over long distances and the ratio of coal to water is about 1 to 1 by weight ^{124, 365}. Coal-log pipelines works in the similar way as slurry pipelines, but the mass ratio of coal to water is lower-- is about 3:1 ⁹⁰.

| Sub-stages of fuel cycle | | Water consumption | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------|------|--|--|
| | | Median | Min | Max | | |
| Extraction | Surface mining | 16 | 2 | 49 | | |
| Extraction | Underground mining | 107 | 30 | 681 | | |
| Processing | | 58 | 30 | 2785 | | |
| | Conventional | 2 | 0.38 | 4 | | |
| Transport | transport | | | | | |
| Transport | Coal-log pipeline | 139 | 117 | 150 | | |
| | Slurry pipeline | 485 | 379 | 1552 | | |

| Table S7.1.25 Blue | water consumption of coal | fuel cycle (L/MWh) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | 1 | 2 |

| Sub-stages of fuel cycle | | Water const | Water consumption | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----|--|--|
| | | Median | Min | Max | | |
| | Conventional drilling | 8 | 0.38 | 72 | | |
| Extraction | Fracturing (shal gas) | e 114 | 72 | 163 | | |
| Processing | | 38 | 2 | 55 | | |
| Transport | Pipeline | 21 | 4 | 32 | | |

Table S7.1.26 Blue water consumption of natural gas fuel cycle (L/MWh)

7.2 Supplementary information to chapter 3

Table S7.2.1 The capacity factor and its variation for electricity-generating units by region in 2017.

| Provinces | Capacity factor | Standard deviation | Coefficient of |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | | variation |
| Anhui | 0.71 | 0.05 | 6.40 |
| Chongqing | 0.69 | 0.10 | 15.29 |
| Fujian | 0.74 | 0.05 | 6.27 |
| Gansu | 0.68 | 0.06 | 9.05 |
| Guangdong | 0.70 | 0.06 | 8.06 |
| Guangxi | 0.66 | 0.11 | 17.20 |
| Guizhou | 0.72 | 0.05 | 7.45 |
| Hainan | 0.68 | 0.01 | 1.26 |
| Hebei | 0.71 | 0.12 | 16.23 |
| Heilongjiang | 0.62 | 0.06 | 10.31 |
| Henan | 0.64 | 0.07 | 11.09 |
| Hubei | 0.76 | 0.04 | 5.10 |
| Hunan | 0.63 | 0.06 | 10.15 |
| Inner Mongolia | 0.72 | 0.06 | 8.71 |
| Jiangsu | 0.72 | 0.04 | 5.22 |
| Jiangxi | 0.72 | 0.03 | 3.56 |
| Jilin | 0.58 | 0.03 | 5.25 |
| Liaoning | 0.61 | 0.07 | 11.04 |
| Ningxia | 0.70 | 0.07 | 9.39 |
| Qinghai | 0.75 | 0.06 | 8.02 |
| Shaanxi | 0.73 | 0.08 | 10.91 |
| Shandong | 0.74 | 0.05 | 6.23 |
| Shanghai | 0.66 | 0.08 | 11.90 |
| Shanxi | 0.70 | 0.04 | 6.22 |
| Tianjin | 0.69 | 0.05 | 6.71 |
| Xinjiang | 0.63 | 0.05 | 7.69 |
| Yunnan | 0.69 | 0.12 | 18.03 |
| Zhejiang | 0.72 | 0.04 | 5.12 |

| Provinces | Number of | Installed | Electricity | percentage of | percentage of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| | units | capacity | output | | hydropower |
| | | | | coal power | |
| | | (MW) | (GWh) | 1 | (%) |
| | | | | (%) | |
| Anhui | 168 | 54963 | 248119 | 78 | 19 |
| Beijing | 22 | 2187 | 5222 | 22 | 72 |
| Fujian | 187 | 59689 | 273271 | 39 | 45 |
| Gansu | 143 | 28714 | 99048 | 65 | 35 |
| Guangdong | 307 | 102412 | 502646 | 63 | 25 |
| Guangxi | 191 | 50968 | 192730 | 34 | 57 |
| Guizhou | 195 | 50570 | 167709 | 52 | 47 |
| Hainan | 30 | 6082 | 26112 | 50 | 42 |
| Hebei | 202 | 52445 | 279989 | 93 | 7 |
| Henan | 261 | 75833 | 279662 | 85 | 13 |
| Heilongjiang | 102 | 20855 | 76039 | 95 | 0 |
| Hubei | 225 | 66208 | 240477 | 40 | 56 |
| Hunan | 176 | 32053 | 95201 | 33 | 62 |
| Jilin | 126 | 25162 | 62372 | 64 | 23 |
| Jiangsu | 318 | 94615 | 477357 | 86 | 2 |
| Jiangxi | 106 | 30951 | 139894 | 56 | 40 |
| Liaoning | 175 | 45012 | 202602 | 83 | 9 |
| Inner Mongolia | 386 | 109235 | 486316 | 100 | 0 |
| Ningxia | 95 | 32780 | 133836 | 90 | 10 |
| Qinghai | 54 | 13769 | 38609 | 23 | 77 |
| Shandong | 417 | 107724 | 435635 | 95 | 1 |
| Shanxi | 261 | 76283 | 304116 | 94 | 6 |
| Shaanxi | 182 | 54442 | 275537 | 82 | 18 |
| Shanghai | 35 | 15119 | 54777 | 89 | 0 |
| Sichuan | 192 | 36777 | 123620 | 17 | 83 |
| Tianjin | 38 | 14084 | 60525 | 100 | 0 |
| Tibet | 18 | 188 | 310 | 0 | 27 |
| Xinjiang | 293 | 66588 | 294537 | 93 | 7 |
| Yunnan | 216 | 49435 | 152169 | 19 | 79 |
| Zhejiang | 200 | 67577 | 317632 | 52 | 28 |
| Chongqing | 87 | 18829 | 58800 | 63 | 34 |

 Table S7.2.2 The descriptive statistics of electricity-generating units by region in

 2017 in our database.

| Water sources | | Water consumption | Water withdrawal |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Transfer | 2086 | 6047 |
| Surface water | Savings | 1867 | 15806 |
| | Co-benefits | - | + |
| | Transfer | 29 | 130 |
| Groundwater | Savings | 37 | 490 |
| | Co-benefits | + | + |
| | Transfer | 42 | 64 |
| Reclaimed water | Savings | 103 | 178 |
| | Co-benefits | + | + |
| | Transfer | 15 | 5036 |
| Seawater | Savings | 91 | 28223 |
| | Co-benefits | + | + |

Table S7.2.3 Virtual water transfer and co-benefits on water-saving of power transmission unit: million m^3

Note: '+' indicates power transmission decreases water use, '-' indicates power transmission increases water use.

| Provinces | Short names | Provinces | Short names |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Anhui | AH | Liaoning | LN |
| Beijing | BJ | Inner Mongolia | IM |
| Fujian | FJ | Ningxia | NX |
| Gansu | GS | Qinghai | QH |
| Guangdong | GD | Shandong | SD |
| Guangxi | GX | Shanxi | SX |
| Guizhou | GZ | Shaanxi | SHX |
| Hainan | HAIN | Shanghai | SH |
| Hebei | HEB | Sichuan | SC |
| Henan | HEN | Tianjin | TJ |
| Heilongjiang | HLJ | Xizang | TI |
| Hubei | HB | Xinjiang | XJ |
| Hunan | HN | Yunnan | YN |
| Jilin | JL | Zhejiang | ZJ |
| Jiangsu | JS | Chongqing | CQ |
| Jiangxi | JX | - | |

Table S7.2.4 Short names of provinces.

_

Chapter 7



Figures S7.2.1 Map (a) presents provinces and map (b) shows the major river basins.



Figures S7.2.2 The ratio of water consumption of power production to the total water consumption (a) and the ratio of water withdrawal of power production to the total water withdrawal (b) at basin level in China in 2017.





Figures S7.2.3 The monthly virtual water consumption (a) and withdrawal (b) transfers via hydropower and thermal power transmission in China.



Figures S7.2.4 Virtual water consumption/withdrawal transfer via hydropower transmission. The full and short names of provinces are shown in **Figure S7.2.4**.





Figures S7.2.5 Virtual water consumption (a) and withdrawal (b) transfer via thermal power transmission.





Figures S7.2.6 The provincial WSI and freshwater consumption.



Figures S7.2.7 Power transmission of main corridors between provinces.

Construction of databases

Power: The information on thermal power plants was from Global Coal Plant Tracker ³⁸ and World Electric Power Plants Database ²¹⁵; the information on hydropower was from GRanD v1.3 ²¹⁶ and Liu et al. ²⁰⁴. For the cooling system of thermal power plants, we used Google satellite imagery cross-checked with information from the China Electricity Council ²¹⁷. According to previous studies ^{118, 366}, it is easy to identify a power plant in a satellite image by visual inspection using the images of the cooling facilities. For example, recirculating cooling systems are equipped with cooling towers and air cooling systems are equipped with air cooling

islands; once-through cooling systems do not have such cooling equipment. It is also worth noting that indirect air cooling systems also have cooling towers, but with a different appearance that can be identified by visual inspection.

Transmission: For each province, the sum of electricity generation and imports should theoretically equal to the sum of electricity consumption and exports ²²⁰. Due to statistical discrepancies, there are small differences of around 2% for each province (except for Hebei, Heilongjiang and Shaanxi where the difference is 3%). We do not consider transmission loss in this study, mainly due to data unavailability.

Water consumption and withdrawal: Water consumption and withdrawal within basins were obtained from the World Resources Institute Aqueduct database for the baseline year 2010 ^{53, 224}. By summing up the water consumption/withdrawal of each basin, we get the national total water consumption/withdrawal assessed by the Aqueduct database in 2010, denoted by A. We also get the total amount of water consumption/withdrawal in 2017 from the National Bureau of Statistics ²⁰¹, denoted by B. And then we can get the ratio between B and A, denoted by C. The water consumption and withdrawal of each basin in our study (2017) was obtained by multiplying the corresponding basin's water consumption/withdrawal in 2010 by C.

7.3 Supplementary information to chapter 4

S7.3.1 Estimates of water consumption

We assessed the provincial water consumption factors for thermal power and hydropower generation using the method in Jin et al. ¹⁶. Based on the factors, the water consumption of electricity generation in each year is calculated as follows:

 $WC = \sum_{i} WC_{i} = \sum_{i} (TWC_{i} + HWC_{i}) = \sum_{i} (TF_{i} \cdot TP_{i} + HF_{i} \cdot HP_{i})$ (S7.3.1) In which, WC gives the national water consumption for electricity generation (m³); WC_{i} the water consumption for electricity generation in provinces *i* (m³); TWC_{i} the water consumption for thermal power generation in province *i* (m³); HWC_{i} the water consumption for hydropower generation in province *i* (m³); TF_{i} the water consumption factor for thermal power generation in province *i* (m³/GWh); HF_{i} the water consumption factor for hydropower generation in province *i* (m³/GWh); TP_{i} the thermal power generation in province *i* (m³/GWh); TP_{i} the thermal power generation in province *i* (GWh).

S7.3.2 Characterization factors for water consumption

Water consumed for electricity generation is not returned to the river. The influence of reduced flow rates on aquatic biodiversity can be quantified with the global species-discharge model, an index of habitat space, feeding and reproductive opportunities. This model is developed based on native fish species and river discharges in various river basins ²⁷⁴. This model assumes a positive correlation between the number of freshwater fish species and average river discharges at the mouth of river basins.

$$R = 4.2 \cdot Q_{mouth,i}^{0.4} \tag{S7.3.2}$$

Where *R* is the freshwater fish species richness and $Q_{mouth,i}$ is the annual average river discharge at the river mouth of basin i (m³/s).

The species-discharge relationship can be used to calculate characterization factors for water consumption that specify freshwater fish species loss per unit of reduced river discharge for river basins in different regions. Characterization factors (CF_c) for water consumption reflect the impact of water use due to human activities on freshwater biodiversity loss.

$$CF_{c,i} = FF_i \cdot EF_i = \frac{dQ_{mouth,i}}{dW_i} \cdot \left(\frac{dPDF_i}{dQ_{mouth,i}} \cdot V_i\right)$$
(S7.3.3)

Where FF_i is the fate factor of river basin *i*, EF_i is the effect factor of river basin *i* (PDF·m³·yr·m⁻³), $dQ_{mouth,i}$ is the marginal change in water discharge at the river mouth in basin *i* (m³·yr⁻¹), dW_i is the marginal change in water consumption by human activities in river basin *i* (m³·yr⁻¹), $dPDF_i$ is the marginal change in the potentially disappeared fraction of the freshwater fish species due to the marginal river discharge change $dQ_{mouth,i}$ and V_i is the volume of river basin *i* (m³). The $dQ_{mouth,i}/dW_i$ is assumed to be equal to one, indicating that a change in water consumption is fully reflected in a change in water discharge at the mouth for that river basin.

$$\frac{dPDF_i}{dQ_{mouth,i}} = \frac{dR_i}{R_i \cdot dQ_{mouth,i}} = \frac{4.2 \cdot 0.4 \cdot Q_{mouth,i}^{0.4-1}}{4.2 \cdot Q_{mouth,i}^{0.4}} = \frac{0.4}{Q_{mouth,i}}$$
(S7.3.4)

The river volumes (m³) for all river basins are calculated according to Hanafiah et al. ²⁷⁶ as follows:

$$V_i = 0.47 \cdot \left(\frac{Q_{mouth,i}}{2}\right)^{0.9} \cdot L_i \tag{S7.3.5}$$

Where V_i is the water volume in river basin i (m³), $Q_{mouth,i}$ is the discharge at the river mouth in basin i (m³·yr⁻¹), Li is the length of river i (m).

China can be divided into the following river basins: Huaihe, Haihe, Yellow, Yangtze,

Pearl, Southeast, Southwest, Continental, Songhua and Liaohe river basins. The characterization factors are calculated for these river basins. Specifically, Qiantang and Min rivers are the representatives of the Southeast river basin. The characterization factors of Qiantang and Min river basins are calculated for Zhejiang and Fujian provinces since they are the largest river basins of the two provinces, respectively ^{282, 367}. Talimu is the largest river in the Continental basin, and its characterization factor is calculated for this basin. In terms of Southwest, Brahmaputra is the largest river basin of Tibet, and its characterization factor is calculated for Hainan province, and its characterization factor is calculated for Hainan. The discharges at the river mouth and the river length are obtained from the Ministry of Water Resources ²⁸¹⁻²⁸⁴.

S7.3.3 Thermal pollution of power production

S7.3.3.1 Thermal pollution from thermal power

In power plants with once-through cooling systems, water from a freshwater body is used to absorb heat from the working fluid in the condenser. The entire volume of heated water is then discharged back into the water body. In the Rankine cycle of steam-electric generating units, pumps and boilers add heat to liquid water, which is converted into steam during that process. The high-pressure steam then expands in the turbine producing power. Upon exiting the turbine, the steam passes through the condenser where heat is rejected from the system turning the working fluid into a saturated liquid, ready to re-enter the pump. To calculate the rate of heat rejected in each cycle, the difference in enthalpy of the working fluid on either side of the condenser must be multiplied by the steam flow rate. The thermal pollution to water bodies from thermal power is calculated using the method of Raptis and Pfister ²⁶⁷. The heat rejection rates of thermal power are assessed as follows:

$$Q_t = LF \cdot m_{steam} (h_b - h_a) / 1000$$
(S7.3.6)

Where Q is the heat rejection rate (MW), LF is the load or capacity factor of electricity generating units, which are derived from Jin et al.⁸, m_{steam} is the steam flow rate at the high-pressure turbine (kg/s), h_b - h_a is the difference in enthalpy of the working fluid on either side of the condenser (kJ/kg).

The steam flow rate can be calculated as:

$$m_{steam} = \beta \cdot C_{gross} \tag{S7.3.7}$$

Where C_{gross} is the gross generating capacity (MW) and β is a constant (0.830 kg s⁻

¹ MW^{-1 267}).

Reheat cycles can be added into the Rankine cycle, increasing the generation efficiency and thus reducing fuel inputs. When reheat cycles are employed, the steam passes first through a high-pressure turbine and, after being reheated, through a low-pressure turbine. 94% of China's units with an installed capacity of 100-220 MW use a reheat system, whereas all 300-1000 MW units use a reheat system 217 . For a reheat system, the ratio (*r*) of the steam flow at the entry of low-pressure turbine to the steam flow at the entry of high-pressure turbine is inserted to scale the rejection rate:

 $Q_t = LF \cdot m_{steam} \cdot r \cdot (h_b - h_a)/1000$ (S7.3.8) Where r=0.85 is used for China's units in this study, referring to Yan et al. ³⁶⁹ and Cheng et al. ³⁷⁰. h_a is related to the water temperature withdrawn for use in the condenser ²⁶⁷. An additional necessary piece of information for all thermodynamic cycles is the temperature of the freshwater withdrawn for use in the condenser. To obtain these values, the georeferenced power plants are overlaid onto gridded estimates (at 10 km spatial resolution) of water temperatures ³⁷¹. The average over 15 years (2000-2014) is used to minimize the impacts of very warm or very cold years on the water temperature estimates. For every generating unit then, mean monthly naturalized water temperatures are extracted. The information on power plants is sourced from the China Electricity Council ²¹⁷, Global Coal Plant Tracker ³⁸, World Electric Power Plants Database ²¹⁵ and our previous study ⁸. The results of plant-level thermal pollution and its impacts are then aggregated to the provincial level.

S7.3.3.2 Thermal pollution from hydropower

Hydropower also produces heat during operation, though its thermal pollution is smaller than that of thermal power with a once-through cooling system because of its higher energy efficiency. The thermal emission of hydropower can be calculated as follows:

$$Q_h = LF \cdot C_{aross} \cdot HR \tag{S7.3.9}$$

Where *HR* is the heat emission rate of China's hydropower, referring to Xu et al. ³⁷² and Yan and Hao ³⁷³; here, *HR* is 1.8%. The definitions of *LF* and C_{gross} are the same as those in Equations 7-9.

There are approximately 47,000 hydropower stations in China ²⁹⁸. It is infeasible to

assess the thermal emission and biodiversity impacts at the plant level because of data limitations. We made assessments at the provincial level by changing equation 10 to 11:

$$Q_h = LF_p \cdot C_p \cdot HR \tag{S7.3.10}$$

Where LF_p is the provincial load or capacity factor of hydropower, C_p is the provincial installed capacity of hydropower (MW). The values of LF_p and C_p are obtained from the National Bureau of Statistics ²¹⁸.

S7.3.4 Biodiversity impacts assessments

Biodiversity loss caused by freshwater consumption: Electricity generation can cause aquatic biodiversity loss because of its water use $^{61, 374}$. Surface water consumption impacts aquatic biodiversity. Water consumption is translated to impacts on aquatic biodiversity by characterization factors expressed as a potentially disappeared fraction of species (unit: PDF m³yr/m³) ²⁷⁶.

$$WBL_i = WC_i \cdot CF_{c,i} \tag{S7.3.11}$$

Where WBL_i gives the biodiversity loss caused by water consumption for electricity generation in province *i* (PDF m³ yr); WC_i the water consumption for electricity generation in province *i* (m³); $CF_{c,i}$ the biodiversity loss per unit of water consumption for electricity generation in province *i* (PDF m³ yr/m³);

Biodiversity loss caused by thermal emissions: The factor of local biodiversity impacts from thermal emissions is obtained from Raptis et al. ⁶¹.

The biodiversity loss caused by electricity generation is calculated as follows:

 $TBL_i = TBLT_i + TBLH_i = \sum_n Q_{t,n,i} \cdot TBF_{n,i} + Q_{h,i} \cdot PTBF_i$ (S7.3.12)

Where TBL_i gives the biodiversity loss caused by thermal emissions of electricity generation in province *i* (PDF m³ yr); $TBLT_i$ the biodiversity loss caused by thermal emissions from thermal power in province *i* (PDF m³ yr); $TBLH_i$ the biodiversity loss caused by thermal emissions from hydropower in province *i* (PDF m³ yr); $Q_{t,n,i}$ the thermal emissions from the thermal power plant *n* in province *i* (MJ); $TBF_{n,i}$ the biodiversity loss per unit of thermal emissions at the location of plant *n* in province *i* (PDF m³ yr/MJ); *n* the thermal power plants with once-through cooling systems in province *i*; $Q_{h,i}$ the thermal emissions from hydropower in province *i* (MJ); $PTBF_i$ the biodiversity loss per unit of thermal emissions in province *i* (PDF m³ yr/MJ); the characterization factors are derived from Raptis et al. ⁶¹, where global gridded freshwater thermal pollution CFs are assessed. We extract the gridded CFs for thermal power and China's CFs for hydropower at monthly resolution.

S7.3.5 Estimates of water stress

The Water Stress Index is calculated according to Pfister et al. ³⁷⁵, which is adapted from the water withdrawal-to-availability indicator by applying a logistic function to acquire continuous values between 0.01 and 1. The equation is as follows:

$$WSI = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-6.4 \cdot WTA^*} (\frac{1}{0.01} - 1)}$$
(S7.3.13)

Where *WSI* is the water stress index. *WTA** is a modified *WTA* indicator considering the difference for watersheds with and without strongly regulated flows. Four levels of water stress are classified in the *WSI*, i.e. minor (0.01-0.09); moderate (0.09-0.5); severe (0.5-0.91); and extreme (0.91-1). The water stress indexes are calculated for 2017 based on the water withdrawal and availability from the Ministry of Water Resources 225 .

S7.3.6 Converting local impacts to global impacts

Kuipers et al. estimated global extinction probabilities (GEPs) based on species range sizes, species vulnerabilities, and species richness, indicating to what extent regional species loss in the respective area may contribute to global species loss. They generate them for marine, terrestrial, and freshwater species groups on the local (i.e., $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$ grid) and ecoregion scale ²⁹⁰. The regional fractions of freshwater species losses are then multiplied with the corresponding GEPs to calculate potential global fractions of extinctions:

$$GBL_i = BL_i / V_i \cdot GEP_i \tag{S7.3.14}$$

Where GBL_i gives the potential global biodiversity loss in province *i* (PDF yr); V_i is the volume of the representative river in province *i*; GEP_i is the global extinction probability in province *i*, calculated by aggregating the cell-level GEPs from Kuipers et al. ²⁹⁰ within province *i*.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.3.1 The decoupling state quadrant map corresponding to the decoupling degree. Here, $BL_r = \Delta BL/BL_{t-1}$, $EG_r = \Delta EG/EG_{t-1}$. This map is modified from Tapio ²⁷⁷.



Figure S7.3.2 China's provinces.





Figure S7.3.3 The electric power mix in China.



Figure S7.3.4 The biodiversity loss by freshwater use for China's electricity generation during 2008-2017.



Figure S7.3.5 The total amount of interprovincial power transmission in China.

| Province | Characterization factor (PDF·m ³ ·vr·m ⁻ | Province | Characterization factor (PDF·m ³ ·vr·m ⁻ |
|---------------|---|-----------|---|
| | $(1 D T m y T m)^{3}$ | | $(1 D I m y m)^3$ |
| Beijing | 1.76E-03 | Hubei | 7.19E-03 |
| Tianjin | 1.76E-03 | Hunan | 7.19E-03 |
| Hebei | 1.76E-03 | Guangdong | 2.78E-03 |
| Shanxi | 8.17E-03 | Guangxi | 2.78E-03 |
| InnerMongolia | 8.17E-03 | Hainan | 5.79E-04 |
| Liaoning | 2.51E-03 | Chongqing | 7.19E-03 |
| Jilin | 2.84E-03 | Sichuan | 7.19E-03 |
| Heilongjiang | 2.84E-03 | Guizhou | 7.19E-03 |
| Shanghai | 7.19E-03 | Yunnan | 3.99E-03 |
| Jiangsu | 7.19E-03 | Xizang | 2.79E-03 |
| Zhejiang | 6.76E-04 | Shaanxi | 8.17E-03 |
| Anhui | 7.19E-03 | Gansu | 8.17E-03 |
| Fujian | 8.14E-04 | Qinghai | 8.17E-03 |
| Jiangxi | 7.19E-03 | Ningxia | 8.17E-03 |
| Shandong | 1.50E-03 | Xinjiang | 3.34E-03 |
| Henan | 1.50E-03 | | |

Table S7.3.1 The provincial characterization factors of water consumption impacts on local biodiversity.

Table S7.3.2 The provinces' full names and abbreviations used in Figure 4.4.

| Full name | Abbreviation | Full name | Abbreviation |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Anhui | AH | Liaoning | LN |
| Beijing | BJ | Inner Mongolia | NM |
| Fujian | FJ | Ningxia | NX |
| Gansu | GS | Qinghai | QH |
| Guangdong | GD | Shandong | SD |
| Guangxi | GX | Shanxi | SX |
| Guizhou | GZ | Shaanxi | SN |
| Hainan | HI | Shanghai | SH |
| Hebei | HE | Sichuan | SC |
| Henan | HA | Tianjin | TJ |
| Heilongjiang | HL | Xizang | XZ |
| Hubei | HB | Xinjiang | XJ |
| Hunan | HN | Yunnan | YN |
| Jilin | JL | Zhejiang | ZJ |
| Jiangsu | JS | Chongqing | CQ |
| Jiangxi | JX | | |

| Time period | Decoupling degree | Decoupling state | |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 2008-2009 | 1.4 | Expansive negative decoupling | |
| 2009-2010 | 0.39 | Weak decoupling | |
| 2010-2011 | 0.7 | Weak decoupling | |
| 2011-2012 | 0.87 | Expansive coupling | |
| 2012-2013 | 0.8 | Expansive coupling | |
| 2013-2014 | 0.31 | Weak decoupling | |
| 2014-2015 | -0.31 | Strong decoupling | |
| 2015-2016 | 0.52 | Weak decoupling | |
| 2016-2017 | 0.19 | Weak decoupling | |

Table S7.3.3 The decoupling degree and decoupling state (see Figure S.7.3.1) between biodiversity loss and electricity generation.



7.4 Supplementary information to chapter 5

Figure S7.4.1 China's land use type (1km resolution) and the type of power plants' location. Data source: Resource and Environment Science and Data Center ³⁷⁶.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.2 Impacts of climate change on annual average river discharge. Maps of changes in river discharge for climate scenarios RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5 in the 2030s relative to the reference period.





Figure S7.4.3 Map (left) presents provinces and map (right) shows the major river basins.



Figure S7.4.4 Coal-fired power plants' location and cooling type.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.5 Impacts of climate and water resources change on usable capacity of CPUs in spring (March, April, May). The changes in the annual usable capacity under four climate scenarios in the 2030s compared to the reference period. Those that do not experience changes in usable capacity reductions are not shown on the maps.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.6 Impacts of climate and water resources change on usable capacity of CPUs in summer (June, July, August). The changes in the annual usable capacity under four climate scenarios in the 2030s compared to the reference period. Those that do not experience changes in usable capacity reductions are not shown on the maps.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.7 Impacts of climate and water resources change on usable capacity of CPUs in autumn (September, October, November). The changes in the annual usable capacity under four climate scenarios in the 2030s compared to the reference period. Those that do not experience changes in usable capacity reductions are not shown on the maps.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.8 Impacts of climate and water resources change on usable capacity of CPUs in winter (December, January, February). The changes in the annual usable capacity under four climate scenarios in the 2030s compared to the reference period. Those that do not experience changes in usable capacity reductions are not shown on the maps.

Chapter 7



Figure S7.4.9 Impacts of climate and water resources change on annual usable capacity of CPUs with CCS. The changes in the annual usable capacity under four climate scenarios in the 2030s compared to the reference period. Those that do not experience changes in usable capacity reductions are not shown on the maps.

| | RCP2.6 | RCP4.5 | RCP6.0 | RCP8.5 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Anhui | -85 | -4 | 428 | -141 |
| Beijing | 108 | 110 | 111 | 109 |
| Chongqing | -147 | -15 | 18 | -359 |
| Fujian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gansu | 42 | 266 | 520 | -7 |
| Guangdong | -512 | -665 | -567 | -451 |
| Guangxi | 75 | -136 | -15 | -57 |
| Guizhou | -1921 | -2974 | -2846 | -3573 |
| Hebei | 1048 | 1589 | 1250 | 614 |
| Heilongjiang | 1081 | 653 | 392 | 563 |
| Henan | -540 | 1100 | 1586 | -166 |
| Hubei | -279 | -95 | -31 | -529 |
| Hunan | -54 | -208 | -121 | 15 |
| Inner Mongolia | 3797 | 2168 | 1530 | 2190 |
| Jiangsu | 346 | 754 | 908 | 334 |
| Jiangxi | -457 | -427 | -472 | -464 |
| Jilin | 312 | 157 | -56 | -81 |
| Liaoning | 213 | 397 | 107 | -33 |
| Ningxia | 101 | 275 | 266 | 260 |
| Qinghai | 184 | 199 | 198 | 195 |
| Shaanxi | -2047 | -1273 | -873 | -2302 |
| Shandong | -2820 | 15 | 1161 | -2488 |
| Shanghai | -16 | -7 | 4 | -23 |
| Shanxi | -1021 | 242 | 689 | -1055 |
| Sichuan | -432 | -315 | -192 | -978 |
| Tianjin | 85 | 690 | -96 | 82 |
| Xinjiang | 4816 | 5417 | 3610 | 5030 |
| Yunnan | -322 | -967 | -705 | -1148 |
| Zhejiang | -289 | -477 | -486 | -492 |

Table S7.4.1 The changes in provincial usable capacity under scenarios RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5 for the 2030s relative to the reference period. Unit: MW

Table S7.4.2 The changes in provincial usable capacity when CCS is implemented under scenarios RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, and RCP8.5 for the 2030s relative to the reference period. Unit: MW

| | RCP2.6 | RCP4.5 | RCP6.0 | RCP8.5 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Anhui | -1495 | -1269 | -997 | -1505 |
| Beijing | 57 | 58 | 56 | 52 |
| Chongqing | -795 | -696 | -684 | -963 |
| Fujian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gansu | -1010 | -923 | -699 | -1098 |
| Guangdong | -1338 | -1578 | -1444 | -1362 |
| Guangxi | -335 | -494 | -379 | -372 |
| Guizhou | -4405 | -5327 | -5136 | -5708 |
| Hebei | -588 | -97 | -440 | -1019 |
| Heilongjiang | -414 | -860 | -1047 | -880 |
| Henan | -4495 | -2830 | -2515 | -4095 |
| Hubei | -721 | -576 | -453 | -932 |
| Hunan | -852 | -973 | -886 | -801 |
| Inner Mongolia | -165 | -2327 | -2575 | -1745 |
| Jiangsu | -2857 | -2524 | -2250 | -2815 |
| Jiangxi | -494 | -601 | -539 | -524 |
| Jilin | -206 | -335 | -484 | -491 |
| Liaoning | -364 | -206 | -484 | -583 |
| Ningxia | -600 | -404 | -411 | -485 |
| Qinghai | 107 | 115 | 115 | 109 |
| Shaanxi | -3279 | -2304 | -2033 | -3381 |
| Shandong | -5343 | -2744 | -1817 | -5161 |
| Shanghai | -73 | -64 | -63 | -79 |
| Shanxi | -3445 | -2270 | -1721 | -3646 |
| Sichuan | -1181 | -981 | -784 | -1727 |
| Tianjin | -232 | 266 | -354 | -289 |
| Xinjiang | 2351 | 2513 | 829 | 2441 |
| Yunnan | -1108 | -1792 | -1620 | -1950 |
| Zhejiang | -620 | -758 | -738 | -732 |