



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Rethinking Adat strategies: the politics of state recognition of customary land rights in Indonesia

Arizona, Y.

Citation

Arizona, Y. (2022, June 14). *Rethinking Adat strategies: the politics of state recognition of customary land rights in Indonesia*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3309795>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3309795>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Rethinking adat strategies:

The politics of state recognition of
customary land rights in Indonesia

*In memory of my father and mother
who passed away in 2019
whilst I was completing my study in Leiden.*

Rethinking adat strategies:

The politics of state recognition of
customary land rights in Indonesia

PROEFSCHRIFT

Ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 14 juni 2022
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Yance Arizona

geboren te Kerinci, Indonesië
in 1983

Promotor	prof. dr. A.W. Bedner
Co-promotor	dr. ir. J.A.C. Vel
Promotiecommissie	prof. dr. J.M. Ubink prof. dr. G.A. Persoon prof. N.L. Peluso (University of California Berkeley, USA) dr. M.A. Safitri (University of Pancasila, Indonesia)

Lay-out: Yance Arizona

Printwerk: Leiden University Print Shop & Komoyo Press.

© 2022 Y. Arizona

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden vervoelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Het reprorecht wordt niet uitgeoefend.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, made available or communicated to the public, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher, unless this is expressly permitted by law.

Acknowledgements

It has been a great opportunity for me to write a dissertation concerning adat communities and customary land rights at the Van Vollenhoven Institute, Leiden University, especially because Cornelis van Vollenhoven (who the institute is named after) was a great scholar, who dedicated himself to develop adat law as a new discipline. While van Vollenhoven studied and developed adat law from his academic background, I encountered adat studies as a result of my activist activities. Before starting my PhD in 2017, I was involved in adat advocacy for ten years, which gave me opportunity to travel across regions in Indonesia. In that period I met a lot of people in Papua, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Sumatra who were suffering from injustices and deprivation. They taught me many things about misery, anxiety, uncertainty, hope, and wisdom. I hope this thesis, in its own way, gives a new meaning and appreciation for their enduring struggles.

I am very grateful to my supervisors Professor Adriaan Bedner and Dr. Jacqueline Vel. Their enormous support while I did my research and wrote my thesis made me feel at home at the Van Vollenhoven Institute (VVI), and helped me develop my socio-legal research skills. During my five years at the VVI I have learned a lot from colleagues about how to do socio-legal studies, and how to contribute to a constructive academic environment. I am indebted to Santy Kouwagam, Fachrizal Afandi, Hoko Horii, Bernardo Almeida, Feby Ivalerina Kartikasari, Mies Grijn, Bilal Dewansyah, Nanda Amalia, Annelien Bouland, Dorien Conway, Carolien Jacobs, Nadia Soneveld, Maryla Klajn, Janine Ubink, Maartje van der Woude, Bruno Braak, Hannah Delacey, Roxane Massol de Rebetz, Neske Baerwaldt, Nada Heddane, Judith van Uden, and Reeda al Sabri Halawi. And of course, I am grateful for the intensive support given by Kari van Weeren, Kora Bentvelsen, Dennis Janssen, and Pauline Vincenten.

Conducting field research in three locations, in which the local communities all had different social and cultural backgrounds, seems like a pretty ambitious research project. However, I could complete my research thanks to the support of my NGO colleagues who intensively

assisted local communities in North Sumatra, Banten, and Sumbawa. I thank my friends (*kawan-kawan*) who introduced me as researcher to the Toba Batak communities, in North Sumatra: Delima Silalahi, Saurlin Siagian, Suryati Simanjuntak, Dimpos Manalu, Lambok Lumban Gaol, and Yusrizal Adi Syaputra; the Kasepuhan communities, in South Banten: Jaro Wahid, Engkos Kosasih, Teh Een, Kang Asep, Nia Ramdhaniaty (deceased), and Mardhatila; and the Berco tribe members and Sultanate, in Sumbawa: Febriyan Anindita, Jasardi Gunawan, and Memet Syatria Wirawan. Special thanks go to my best friend, Veri Junaidi, who offered me his Jakarta office as guesthouse, before starting my fieldwork. Regretfully, he passed away due to Covid-19 in July 2021.

I am very grateful to the staff of many organisations who helped me during my research. Special thanks to Perkumpulan HuMa and the Epistema Institute, where I was involved in advocacy for local communities concerning their access to natural resources. Some of my 'gurus' and partners include: Myrna Safitri, Sandra Moniaga, Rikardo Simarmata, Noer Fauzi Rachman, Emil Kleden, Yando Zakaria, Abdon Nababan, Andiko, Bernadinus Steni, Upik Djalins, Darmanto and Nadia, Erasmus Cahyadi, Muhammad Arman, Nurul Firmansyah, Muki T Wicaksono, Asep Yunan Firdaus, Awaludin Marwan, Luluk Uliyah, Prof. Kurnia Warman, Prof. Saldi Isra, Prof. Jimly Asshiddiqie, Prof. Khudzaifah Dimyati, Herlambang Perdana Wiratraman, and Zainal Arifin Mochtar. Likewise, friends who shared their sorrows and joys during my stay in the Netherlands, in PPI Belanda, PPLN Den Haag, PCINU Belanda, KBRI Den Haag, and *Leidenaars*: Hadi, Andrea Arti, Pak Mul, Kak Uli, Pak Dubes Puja, Pak Hasyim, Pak Bambang Hari, Pak Din Wahid, Syahril, Aditya, Bang Ucok, Farid, Bana, Bara, Ganggas, Isma, Fauzi, Farabi, Julinta, Uni Lies, Ajo Suryadi, Erik, Dian and Dian, Renzi and Rio, Adrian, Taufik, Grace, and Santoso.

From the very beginning, I realised that doing a PhD could not be accomplished individually. I was lucky to have my wife and children accompanying me during my study in the Netherlands. Completing my PhD is the result of our cooperation. I am fortunate to have immense supports from my wife, Umi Illiyina, my eldest son, Alrazi Rafardhan Arizona, and from my two kids born at the end of two winters in the Netherlands, Madina Humaira Arizona (2017) and Kafka Pasha Arizona (2020). Finally, I express my eternal gratitude to my parents, Sailal Alimin and Nurhayati, for their devoted support to

me and my education since I was a child. I feel so sad that that they have passed away in 2019, while I was in Leiden, and did not live to see their son complete his doctoral degree. Therefore I dedicate this dissertation in memory of my beloved parents.

Yogyakarta, May 1, 2022

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	xv
GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. General themes: Indigenous identity and customary land rights in land conflicts	4
1.1.1. <i>International advocacy for indigenous identity and land rights</i>	4
1.1.2. <i>Adat and indigeneity as an alternative narrative against land dispossession in Indonesia</i>	7
1.1.3. <i>The colonial concepts of adat community and customary land rights in Indonesia</i>	9
1.1.4. <i>Legal recognition of adat communities and customary land rights</i>	12
1.2. Research questions.....	14
1.3. Research design: Procedures, processes, participants and politics of legal recognition.....	16
1.3.1. <i>Procedures: The legal framework for customary land rights</i> ...	16
1.3.2. <i>Processes: An analytical framework for the legal recognition process</i>	17
1.3.3. <i>Participants: Actors and interests</i>	20
1.3.4. <i>Politics of legal recognition</i>	29
1.4. Case study selection.....	30
1.5. Research method	35
1.5.1. <i>Multi-sited fieldwork</i>	35
1.5.2. <i>Data collection method</i>	36
1.5.3. <i>Reflexivity</i>	37
1.6. Overview of chapters	39
2. CHARACTERISTICS OF FOREST TENURE CONFLICTS AND EMERGING OPTIONS FOR RESOLUTION	43
2.1. Introduction	43
2.2. State territorialisation and political forest	45
2.2.1. <i>The formation of state forest area in the colonial period</i>	46

2.2.2. <i>Underpinning of state control of forest area after Indonesian independence</i>	51
2.3. <i>Characteristics of forest tenure conflicts</i>	57
2.3.1. <i>Actors in forest tenure conflicts</i>	57
2.3.2. <i>Categories of forest use in conflicts</i>	58
2.3.3. <i>Variety of interests within local communities in forest tenure conflicts</i>	60
2.4. <i>Emerging options for solving forest tenure conflicts</i>	62
2.4.1. <i>Promotion of social forestry policies</i>	62
2.4.2. <i>Government schemes for resolving forest conflicts</i>	66
2.4.3. <i>Conflict solutions inspired by local community members</i>	70
2.5. <i>Conclusion</i>	73
3. <i>THE GENEALOGY OF STATE RECOGNITION CONCERNING CUSTOMARY LAND RIGHTS</i>	77
3.1. <i>Introduction</i>	77
3.2. <i>The root of the conditional recognition clause in the colonial period</i>	79
3.2.1. <i>The repugnancy clause: Hierarchy between colonial law and customary law</i>	79
3.2.2. <i>Public interests: State land domain versus the right of avail.</i> ..	83
3.3. <i>The pursuit of national identity and the subjugation of customary land rights</i>	88
3.3.1. <i>Formation of the Basic Agrarian Law: Legal unification and the destabilisation of customary land rights</i>	88
3.3.2. <i>Customary land rights under Suharto's New Order regime: An obstacle for economic development</i>	92
3.4. <i>Adat in the reform era: Reshaping customary land rights</i>	94
3.4.1. <i>Adat community movements and a new interpretation of adat</i>	94
3.4.2. <i>The conditional recognition clause and the Constitutional Court</i>	99
3.5. <i>Implementing regulations for the legal recognition of customary land rights</i>	103
3.5.1. <i>Implementing regulations before the Constitutional Court ruling number 35/2012</i>	103
3.5.2. <i>Implementing regulations after the Constitutional Court ruling number 35/2012</i>	105
3.6. <i>Conclusion</i>	109

4. CLAIMING ADAT COMMUNITY RIGHTS AGAINST A MINING COMPANY	113
4.1. Introduction	113
4.2. The Cek Bocek community case in context	115
4.2.1. <i>The Cek Bocek community's relation to the Elang Dodo forest</i>	115
4.2.2. <i>The main parties in Sumbawa's political context</i>	117
4.2.3. <i>State forest area and mining concessions</i>	120
4.3. Shifting strategy from individual to communal land claims ..	121
4.3.1. <i>A variety of responses to mining expansion</i>	121
4.3.2. <i>Strengthening individual land claims</i>	123
4.3.3. <i>Switching to collective adat claims</i>	125
4.4. Elevating the Cek Bocek community to a regional politics case	128
4.4.1. <i>Competition over adat representation</i>	128
4.4.2. <i>Under the wings of AMAN</i>	131
4.4.3. <i>The mining company's response</i>	133
4.5. Analysis and conclusion	135
4.5.1. <i>An inadequate basis for customary land rights recognition</i> ..	135
4.5.2. <i>Conclusion</i>	137
5. A LABYRINTH OF LEGAL RECOGNITION: COMPLEXITY IN OBTAINING CUSTOMARY FOREST RECOGNITION	139
5.1. Introduction	139
5.2. The setting and problems of forest tenure conflicts in North Sumatra	140
5.2.1. <i>Large-scale forest concessions and land conflict in North Sumatra</i>	141
5.2.2. <i>Local communities versus PT. Inti Indorayon Utama (PT. IIU)</i>	142
5.3. The Pandumaan-Sipituhuta case	145
5.3.1. <i>The origin of land conflict</i>	145
5.3.2. <i>A twist in strategic framing: From benzoin farmers to an adat community</i>	148
5.3.3. <i>Organising district government support</i>	153
5.3.4. <i>Bureaucratic obstacles to the legal recognition of customary forest rights</i>	154
5.4. The labyrinth of legal recognition and management of recognition	158
5.5. Customary forest recognition is not a perfect option.....	160

5.6. Political pressure and options for customary forest recognition	163
5.7. Conclusion	165
6. GETTING LEGAL RECOGNITION FOR CUSTOMARY FORESTS	167
6.1. Introduction	167
6.2. Land dispossession for forest conservation projects	169
6.3. Two successful cases: Kasepuhan Karang community and Marena community	170
6.3.1. <i>Kasepuhan Karang community v. Mount Halimun-Salak National Park in Banten</i>	171
6.3.2. <i>Marena community v. Lore Lindu National Park in Central Sulawesi</i>	172
6.3.3. <i>Framing and claiming identity</i>	173
6.3.4. <i>Political opportunities and the legal recognition strategy</i>	176
6.3.5. <i>Outcomes of legal recognition</i>	180
6.4. Enabling factors for legal recognition of customary forests....	181
6.4.1. <i>Internal factors supporting recognition</i>	182
6.4.2. <i>External factors supporting recognition</i>	183
6.5. Conclusion	186
7. AFTER THE VICTORY:THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LEGAL RECOGNITION AND TENURE SECURITY	189
7.1. Introduction	189
7.2. Assumptions and expectations regarding the implementation of customary forest recognition	190
7.3. After the Kasepuhan Karang community victory	193
7.3.1. <i>Exercising community control over customary forest areas</i> .	194
7.3.2. <i>Securing income from customary forest</i>	196
7.3.3. <i>Capitalising on customary forest rights</i>	198
7.3.4. <i>Building an informal land registration system</i>	199
7.4. Land market development and tenure security	202
7.5. Conclusion	204
8. CONCLUSION: RETHINKING LEGAL RECOGNITION OF ADAT COMMUNITIES AND CUSTOMARY FOREST RIGHTS	207
8.1. Introduction: The root cause of forest tenure conflicts	207
8.2. There are no simple land conflicts	209
8.3. A process approach for studying land conflicts	211
8.4. Adat community is a political concept.....	213
8.5. State recognition is conditional.....	215

8.6. Legal recognition is the result of negotiation 216

8.7. The chances of legal recognition are limited 217

8.8. Conclusion: Land conflicts require tailor-made solutions 218

SUMMARY 221

SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH) 229

RINGKASAN (SUMMARY IN BAHASA INDONESIA) 237

BIBLIOGRAPHY 245

CURRICULUM VITAE 261

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

- Figure 1. President Joko Widodo symbolically handed over the legal recognition decree for the Pandumaan-Sipituhuta customary forest
- Figure 2. An analytical framework for legal recognition of customary land rights
- Figure 3. Locations of fieldwork
- Figure 4. Rp. 100 coins featuring the text 'Hutan untuk Kesejahteraan' (Forest for prosperity)
- Figure 5. Options for solving forest tenure conflicts, based on the three categories of forest use.
- Figure 6. Options for resolving forest tenure conflicts, based on the objectives of local community members
- Figure 7. The procedure for legal recognition of adat communities and customary forests
- Figure 8. Cek Bocek community putting up a signpost stating their customary forest is no state property, while armed police are watching
- Figure 9. Left: a benzoin farmer extracts benzoin gum. Right: benzoin gum on a benzoin tree.
- Figure 10. Pandumaan-Sipituhuta community members erect a signpost responding to the constitutional court ruling on customary forest.
- Figure 11. The grand launch of the Cepak Situ Camping Ground by the Lebak District Head
- Figure 12. Distribution of land-use certificates in the Kasepuhan Karang customary forest

Tables

- Table 1. Characteristics of the four communities selected for the case studies
- Table 2. Description of the Conditional Recognition Clause based on Article 18B (2) of the 1945 Constitution

Glossary and Acronyms

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AIPP	Asian Indigenous Peoples Pact
AMAN	<i>Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nusantara</i> (the Indigenous Peoples Alliance of the Archipelago)
BAL	Basic Agrarian Law (Ind. <i>Undang-Undang Pokok Agraria</i>)
BFL	Basic Forestry Law (Ind. <i>Undang-Undang Pokok Kehutanan</i>)
BPS	<i>Badan Pusat Statistik</i> (the Central Statistic Bureau)
BRWA	<i>Badan Registrasi Wilayah Adat</i> (the board for registration of adat territories)
CBFM	Community-Based Forest Management
CLUA	Climate Land Use Alliance
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DKN	<i>Dewan Kehutanan Nasional</i> (the National Forestry Council)
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FKKM	<i>Forum Komunikasi Kehutanan Masyarakat</i> (Communication Forum on Community Forestry)
FSKN	<i>Forum Silaturahmi Keraton Nusantara</i> (the National Forum for Local Kings and Sultanates)
FPIC	Free, Prior and Informed Consent
FPP	Forest People Program
FWI	Forest Watch Indonesia
GBHN	<i>Garis-garis Besar Haluan Negara</i> (the State Policy Guidelines).
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GPS	Global Positioning System
HD	<i>Hutan Desa</i> (Village Forest Programme)
HKm	<i>Hutan Kemasyarakatan</i> (Community Forestry Programme)
HPK	<i>Hutan Produksi yang dapat Dikonversi</i> (Convertible Production Forest)

HPT	<i>Hutan Produksi Tetap</i> (Permanent Production Forest)
HTR	<i>Hutan Tanaman Rakyat</i> (People's Plantation Forest)
HuMa	<i>Perkumpulan untuk Pembaruan Hukum Berbasis Masyarakat dan Ekologis</i> (Association for Ecology and Community Based Law Reform)
IPRA	Indigenous Peoples Rights Act of the Phillipines
IS	<i>Indische Staatsregeling</i>
Panitia IP4T	<i>Panitia for Inventarisasi Penguasaan, Pemilikan, Pengelolaan, dan Pemanfaatan Tanah</i> (the Committee for Inventarisation of Land tenure, ownership, management, and utilisation)
KdTI	<i>Kawasan dengan Tujuan Istimewa</i> (Area with Exceptional Purpose)
KPA	<i>Konsorsium Pembaruan Agraria</i> (the Agrarian Reform Consortium)
KHDTK	<i>Kawasan Hutan dengan Tujuan Khusus</i> (Forest Area with Special Purpose)
KSPPM	<i>Kelompok Studi dan Pengembangan Prakarsa Masyarakat</i> (The Study Group for Developing Peoples Innitiatives)
LATS	<i>Lembaga Adat Tana Samawa</i> (Tana Samawa Adat Council)
LPAAG	<i>Lembaga Pencinta Alam Awam Green</i> (Awam Green Nature Lover institution)
MAASP	Ministry of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning
Manipol USDEK	<i>Manifesto politik Undang-Undang Dasar 1945, Sosialisme Indonesia, Demokrasi terpimpin, Ekonomi terpimpin, and Kepribadian Indonesia</i> (the political manisfot of the 1945 Constitution, Indonesian socialism, the guided democracy, the guided economy, and Indonesian identity).
MEMR	Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources (Ind. <i>Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral</i>)
MoEF	Ministry of Environment and Forestry (Ind. <i>Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan</i>), I used it interchangeably with MoEF (Ministry of Forestry)
MPR	<i>Majelis Permusyawaratan Rakyat</i> (People's Consultative Assembly)

NCHR	National Commission on Human Rights (Ind. <i>Komisi Nasional Hak Asasi Manusia</i>)
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NLA	National Land Agency (Ind. <i>Badan Pertanahan Nasional</i>)
NTFPs	Non-Timber Forest Products
PDIP	<i>Partai Demokrasi Indonesia Perjuangan</i> (Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle)
<i>Perda</i>	<i>Peraturan Daerah</i> (Regional Regulation)
<i>pilkada</i>	<i>pemilu kepala daerah</i> (regional elections)
Prorep-USAID	Program-Representasi United States Agency for International Development
PT. AMNT	<i>Perseroan Terbatas Amman Mineral Nusa Tenggara</i> (Amman Mineral Nusa Tenggara)
PT. IIU	<i>Perseroan Terbatas Inti Indorayon Utama</i> (Inti Indorayon Utama Corporation)
PT. NNT	<i>Perseroan Terbatas Newmont Nusa Tenggara</i> (Newmont Nusa Tenggara Corporation)
PT. TPL	<i>Perseroan Terbatas Toba Pulp Lestari</i> (Toba Pulp Lestari Corporation)
RFN	Raintforest Foundation Norway
RMI	<i>Rimbawan Muda Indonesia</i>
RR	<i>Regeringsreglement</i>
SABAKI	<i>Satuan Adat Banten Kidul</i> (Association of Adat communities in southern Banten)
SIDA	the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency
SKPT	<i>Surat Keterangan Pemilikan Tanah</i> (Letter of Land Possession)
SOIFO	State of Indonesia's Forests
TAP MPR	<i>Ketetapan Majelis Permusyawaratan Rakyat</i> (Decree of People's Consultative Assembly)
TGHK	<i>Tata Guna Hutan Kesepakatan</i> (Forest Allocation Consensus)
TNGHS	<i>Taman Nasional Gunung Halimun Salak</i> (Mount Halimun and Salak National Park)
TNLL	<i>Taman Nasional Lore Lindu</i> (Lore Lindu National Park)
UNDRIP	United Nations Declaration on the Right of Indigenous Peoples

VOC	Dutch East India Company (<i>Vereenigde Oostindische Compagnie</i>)
WALHI	<i>Wahana Lingkungan Hidup Indonesia</i> (Indonesian Forum for Environment)
YBH Bantaya	<i>Yayasan Bantuan Hukum Bantaya</i> (Bantaya Legal Aid Foundation)
YEP	<i>Yayasan Elang Penaru</i> (Elang Penaru Foundation)
YLBHI	<i>Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia</i> (Indonesian Legal Aid Foundation)
YTM	<i>Yayasan Tanah Merdeka</i> (Tanah Merdeka Foundation).