



**Universiteit
Leiden**
The Netherlands

**Improving the care for older emergency department patients: the
Acutely Presenting Older Patient study**
Mooijaart, S.P.

Citation

Mooijaart, S. P. (2021). Improving the care for older emergency department patients: the Acutely Presenting Older Patient study. *Zeitschrift Für Gerontologie Und Geriatrie*, 54(2), 97-98. doi:10.1007/s00391-021-01856-0

Version: Publisher's Version
License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3279555>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Z Gerontol Geriat 2021 · 54:97–98

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00391-021-01856-0>

Received: 11 November 2020

Accepted: 20 January 2021

© Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2021



Simon P. Mooijaart

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Gebouw 1, Leiden, The Netherlands

Improving the care for older emergency department patients: the Acutely Presenting Older Patient study

Acutely ill older people who arrive in the emergency department (ED) are particularly vulnerable, with a high risk of undesired outcomes: loss of independence, loss of quality of life or even death. In the Netherlands, 3 months after over 70-year-old patients visit the ED for any reason around 10% are deceased and another 20% do not functionally recover up to the level prior to ED presentation [1]. Not surprisingly, those who suffer loss of functional capacity also report reduction of quality of life [2].

Various factors complicate the care for older people in the ED and contribute to the high risk of adverse outcomes. First, older people present with different problems than younger patients, such as delirium or falls and healthcare professionals in the acute setting do not automatically have the knowledge and expertise to recognize and treat these complex geriatric syndromes. Second, older people may provide atypical presentation of frequent diseases, such as the absence of fever and hypotension in older patients with sepsis. Such atypical presentations are not part of clinical guidelines and may result in inadequate diagnostics or treatment. Finally, older people are very diverse with respect to their level of vitality and frailty on different dimensions of cognitive, physical and social functioning. Older people with frailty may benefit from different care that fit older people, which requires advance care planning and timely initiation of geriatric and palliative care.

The present coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic accentuates the challenges of delivering adequate care for

acutely ill older people. The population of older people are the hardest hit, with the majority of fatalities in higher age groups: in-hospital mortality of older people is reported up to more than 60% in some studies [3]. Older people hospitalized for COVID-19 also presented more often with atypical symptoms, such as syncope and confusion, whereas typical COVID-19 symptoms, such as myalgia, cough and fever are more infrequent in the highest age groups [4]. On top of high calendar age, the presence of frailty is independently associated with an increased risk of adverse outcome [5]. Older people with a clinical frailty scale of 6 or higher (on a 9-point scale, indicating presence of moderate to severe frailty) [6] have a risk of mortality from COVID-19 that is three-fold higher than fit older people. Furthermore, older people have a high risk of delirium, the management of which is extremely complicated in circumstances of patient isolation and healthcare workers dressed-up to protect [7]. The longer-term outcomes of older people in terms of level of independence and cognitive functioning in the COVID-19 pandemic have not been reported but given the huge impact on these outcomes even in younger patients, they may be poor in older patients, especially those with frailty.

All of these circumstances beg the question: “how do we deliver the best possible care to our older ED patients?”. At present, assessing diversity of older people in terms of frailty or vitality is not part of our routine clinical work-up in the ED. Delivering the entire comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) in

the ED may not be feasible as it requires the presence of a multidisciplinary team and hours of time to perform, both of which are not available in most healthcare settings; however, initiating the principles of CGA in the ED may well start on the doorstep of the hospital [8], in which a screening instrument could help to identify those older patients in need of geriatric care. Screening-instruments that have previously been developed perform modestly at best [9]. In a recent review interventions [10] those that may be effective have been identified, although high-level experimental evidence on effectiveness is scarce. Taken together, despite years of research, implementation of geriatric care in the emergency department remains complex but this should not stop our endeavours to deliver the best possible care to our older patients [11].

In the Netherlands, in the past 5 years we have developed and implemented an integrated screening program for older people in the ED based on the Acutely Presenting Older Patient (APOP) study. We successively undertook a number of steps to develop and implement a comprehensive screening program for older patients in the ED. The program consists of the APOP screening instruments, a selected set of interventions and training for ED professionals. Based on a prospective cohort study among 2500 patients aged 70 years or older presenting to 4 EDs in the west of the Netherlands, all patients were included during their visit to the ED and followed for 1 year for mortality, daily functioning and quality

of life. Based on patient characteristics at presentation, which included a mini-geriatric assessment of cognitive, physical and social functioning, an accurate prediction model was constructed to predict 3-month mortality and functional decline [1]. In preparation for implementation in routine clinical practice, the prediction model was implemented and assessed in a pilot study. This study included validation in two additional hospitals, and experiences of the ED nurses to administer the screening instrument, resulting in a refined and updated version of the screener [12]. Finally, the APOP screening program was implemented in everyday clinical practice and proved to be feasible in a sustainable manner: during the months after the implementation the screening rate remained adequate [13]. During the implementation phase, professionals in the ED received education on the principles of geriatric emergency medicine, based on the European curriculum that was developed for this purpose [14].

In the present issue of *Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie* we present yet a next step in our continuous efforts to improve the care for our older patients in the ED [15]. Colleague Blomaard assessed the effects of implementation of the APOP screening program on the implementation of the program in routine clinical practice of the ED in the Leiden University Medical Center in March 2018 [15]. Much more than being the final piece of evidence for the APOP screening program, this manuscript marks a new beginning: now all elements of the program have been implemented in routine clinical care, we have started a process of continuous evaluation and adaptation in a plan-do-study-act cycle. Future iterations of evaluations should continue to make improvements to the APOP program and ultimately to prove effectiveness. Until then, the APOP program makes a daily contribution to improve quality of geriatric emergency care, as it effectively implements a number of established quality indicators [16] and initiates an increased number of CGAs in hospitalized older patients with frailty, the effectiveness of which has previously been demonstrated [17].

Corresponding address



Simon P. Mooijaart, MD PhD

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Gebouw 1
Albinusdreef 2, 2333
ZA Leiden, The Netherlands
s.p.mooijaart@lumc.nl

Conflict of interest. S. P. Mooijaart declares that he has no competing interests.

References

- de Gelder J, Lucke JA, de Groot B, Fogteloo AJ, Anten S, Mesri K, Steyerberg EW, Heringhaus C, Blauw GJ, Mooijaart SP (2016) Predicting adverse health outcomes in older emergency department patients: the APOP study. *Neth J Med* 74:342–352
- Van Deudekom FJ, De Gelder J, Lucke JA, Oostendorp-Lange A, Anten S, Blauw GJ, De Groot B, Mooijaart SP (2019) Determinants of self-rated health in older adults before and 3 months after an emergency department visit: a prospective study. *Eur J Emerg Med* 26:255–260
- Owen RK, Conroy SP, Taub N, Jones W, Bryden D, Pareek M, Faull C, Abrams KR, Davis D, Banerjee J (2020) Comparing associations between frailty and mortality in hospitalised older adults with or without COVID-19 infection: a retrospective observational study using electronic health records. *Age Ageing*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa167>
- Martín-Sánchez FJ, Del Toro E, Cardassay E, Valls Carbó A, Cuesta F, Vigara M, Gil P, López Picado AL, Martínez Valero C, Miranda JD et al (2020) Clinical presentation and outcome across age categories among patients with COVID-19 admitted to a Spanish Emergency Department. *Eur Geriatr Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-020-00359-2>
- Hewitt J, Carter B, Vilches-Moraga A, Quinn TJ, Braude P, Verduri A, Pearce L, Stechman M, Short R, Price A et al (2020) The effect of frailty on survival in patients with COVID-19 (COPE): a multicentre, European, observational cohort study. *Lancet Public Health* 5:e444–e451
- Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, Mitnitski A (2005) A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *Cmaj* 173:489–495
- Butler M, Pollak TA, Rooney AG, Michael BD, Nicholson TR (2020) Neuropsychiatric complications of covid-19. *BMJ* 371:m3871
- Quinn TJ, Mooijaart SP, Gallacher K, Burton JK (2019) Acute care assessment of older adults living with frailty. *BMJ* 364:113
- Carpenter CR, Shelton E, Fowler S, Suffoletto B, Platts-Mills TF, Rothman RE, Hogan TM (2015) Risk factors and screening instruments to predict adverse outcomes for undifferentiated older emergency department patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med* 22:1–21
- Preston L, van Oppen JD, Conroy SP, Ablard S, Buckley Woods H, Mason SM (2020) Improving outcomes for older people in the emergency department: a review of reviews. *Emerg Med J*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emered-2020-209514>
- Carpenter CR, Mooijaart SP (2020) Geriatric screeners 2.0: time for a paradigm shift in emergency department vulnerability research. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16502>
- de Gelder J, Lucke JA, Blomaard LC, Booijen AM, Fogteloo AJ, Anten S, Steyerberg EW, Alsmas J, Klein Nagelvoort Schuit SCE, Brink A et al (2018) Optimization of the APOP screener to predict functional decline or mortality in older emergency department patients: cross-validation in four prospective cohorts. *Exp Gerontol* 110:253–259
- Blomaard LC, Mooijaart SP, Bolt S, Lucke JA, de Gelder J, Booijen AM, Gussekloo J, de Groot B (2020) Feasibility and acceptability of the ‘Acutely Presenting Older Patient’ screener in routine emergency department care. *Age Ageing* 49:1034–1041
- Bellou A, Conroy SP, Graham CA (2016) The European curriculum for geriatric emergency medicine. *Eur J Emerg Med* 23:239
- Blomaard LC, Groot B de, Lucke JA et al (2021) Implementation of the acutely presenting older patient (APOP) screening program in routine emergency department care. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00391-020-01837-9>
- Schuster S, Singler K, Lim S, Machner M, Dobler K, Dormann H (2020) Quality indicators for a geriatric emergency care (GeriQ-ED)—an evidence-based delphi consensus approach to improve the care of geriatric patients in the emergency department. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 28:68
- Ellis G, Gardner M, Tsiachristas A, Langhorne P, Burke O, Harwood RH, Conroy SP, Kircher T, Somme D, Saltvedt I et al (2017) Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 9:CD6211