



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Benchmarking discrete optimization heuristics: from building a sound experimental environment to algorithm configuration

Ye, F.

### Citation

Ye, F. (2022, June 1). *Benchmarking discrete optimization heuristics: from building a sound experimental environment to algorithm configuration*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304813>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304813>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Benchmarking Discrete Optimization Heuristics: From Building a Sound Experimental Environment to Algorithm Configuration

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op woensdag 1 juni 2022  
klokke 13:45 uur

door

Furong Ye  
geboren te Guizhou, China  
in 1993

**Promotor:**

Prof.dr. Thomas H.W. Bäck

**Co-promotores:**

dr.-ing. HDR Carola Doerr (CNRS and Sorbonne Université, France)

dr. Hao Wang

**Promotiecommissie:**

Prof.dr. Aske Plaat

Prof.dr. Marcello M. Bonsangue

dr. Anna V. Kononova

Prof.dr.-ing. Frank Neumann (University of Adelaide, Australia)

Prof.dr. Pascal Kerschke (Technische Universität Dresden, Germany)

Copyright © 2022 Furong Ye

Het onderzoek beschreven in dit proefschrift is uitgevoerd aan het Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science (LIACS).

This work is financially supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC No. 201706310143).

*To my parents.*

“Nothing can be accomplished without norms or standards.”

Mencius, 372-289 BC

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Benchmarking in Optimization . . . . .	2
1.2	Scope of the Thesis . . . . .	3
1.3	The Demand for a New Benchmarking Environment . . . . .	3
1.4	Research Questions . . . . .	4
1.5	Our Contributions . . . . .	6
1.6	Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	7
1.7	Software and Publications . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Optimization . . . . .	11
2.2	Evolutionary Algorithms . . . . .	12
2.3	Parameter Tuning Techniques . . . . .	13
2.4	Algorithm Performance Measures . . . . .	14
2.5	Benchmark Problems . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>The IOHPROFILER Benchmarking Software</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	33
3.2	The IOHEXPERIMENTER Module . . . . .	35
3.3	The IOHANALYZER Module . . . . .	38
<b>4</b>	<b>Problem Specific Benchmarking: Study on ONEMAX and LEADINGONES</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Profiling $(1 + \lambda)$ EA . . . . .	41
4.2	Interpolating Local and Global Search by Controlling the Variance of Standard Bit Mutation . . . . .	54
4.3	The Impact of Crossover Probability for GA . . . . .	64

## Contents

---

<b>5 Benchmarking Algorithms on IOHPROFILER Problems</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1 Benchmarking Heuristics . . . . .	71
5.2 Benchmarking a $(\mu + \lambda)$ Genetic Algorithm with Configurable Crossover Probability . . . . .	91
<b>6 Automatic Configuration of Genetic Algorithms</b>	<b>99</b>
6.1 Background . . . . .	99
6.2 Configurators . . . . .	101
6.3 Experimental Results . . . . .	102
6.4 Summary . . . . .	119
<b>7 Dynamic Algorithm Selection</b>	<b>123</b>
7.1 Background . . . . .	123
7.2 Dynamic Crossover Probability Selection: A Study Case on LEADINGONES . . . . .	124
7.3 Dynamic Algorithm Selection for the PBO Problems . . . . .	126
7.4 Summary . . . . .	128
<b>8 Conclusions</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>Acronyms</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>	
<b>Summary</b>	
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	