



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

## The impact of epidemiologic methods on findings in studies of causal effects and prediction modelling

Luijken, K.

### Citation

Luijken, K. (2022, May 19). *The impact of epidemiologic methods on findings in studies of causal effects and prediction modelling*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304345>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304345>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**The impact of epidemiologic methods on  
findings in studies of causal effects and  
prediction modelling**

**Kim Luijken**

The impact of epidemiologic methods on findings in studies of causal effects and prediction modelling

PhD thesis. Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

ISBN: 978-94-6416-842-6

Author: Kim Luijken

Printing: Ridderprint, [www.ridderprint.nl](http://www.ridderprint.nl)

Layout and Cover Design: Harma Makken, [persoonlijkproefschrift.nl](http://persoonlijkproefschrift.nl)

**The impact of epidemiologic methods on findings in studies of causal effects and prediction modelling**

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden  
op gezag van de rector magnificus Prof. dr. ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 19 mei 2022  
klokke 13:45 uur

door  
Kim Luijken

Geboren op 26 april 1994  
te Rotterdam

Promotor: Prof. dr. R.H.H. Groenwold

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. O.M. Dekkers

Prof. dr. M. Fiocco

Prof. dr. E.W. Steyerberg

Prof. dr. I.G. Klugkist

Universiteit Utrecht

Prof. dr. L. Hooft

Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht





*“Methodology almost never perfectly corresponds to the complex phenomena that give rise to our data. Methodology within a field ought to advance in expanding the range of questions that can be addressed, in relaxing the assumptions required, and in allowing investigators to assess the sensitivity of conclusions to violations in the assumptions.”*

# Content

Chapter 1 General introduction 11

## **Part I: impact of applied methods on the meaning of numeric estimates in studies of causal effects**

Chapter 2 New-user and prevalent-user designs and the definition of study time 23  
origin in pharmacoepidemiology: a review of reporting practices  
*Based on a manuscript published in 'Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety'*

Chapter 3 What harm is there in exploration? How to distinguish pernicious 47  
ad hoc analyses from valuable scientific contributions  
*Based on a manuscript accepted for publication in 'the BMJ'*

Chapter 4 A comparison of full model specification and backward 63  
elimination of potential confounders when estimating marginal  
and conditional causal effects on binary outcomes from  
observational data  
*Based on a manuscript accepted for publication in 'Biometrical Journal'*

Chapter 5 How to assess applicability and methodological quality of studies 93  
of operative interventions in orthopaedic trauma surgery  
*Submitted*

**Part II: impact of applied methods on the meaning of numeric estimates in prediction modelling studies**

Chapter 6	Impact of predictor measurement heterogeneity across settings on the performance of prediction models: A measurement error perspective <i>Based on a manuscript published in 'Statistics in Medicine'</i>	119
Chapter 7	Changing predictor measurement procedures affected the performance of prediction models in clinical examples <i>Based on a manuscript published in 'Journal of Clinical Epidemiology'</i>	147
Chapter 8	Quantitative prediction assessment method to anticipate the impact of predictor measurement heterogeneity at model implementation <i>Based on a manuscript accepted for publication in 'Diagnostic and Prognostic Research'</i>	173
Chapter 9	Summary and general discussion	193

