



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

**The duty to investigate in situations of armed conflict: an examination under international humanitarian law, international human rights law, and their interplay**

Tan, F.

**Citation**

Tan, F. (2022, May 19). *The duty to investigate in situations of armed conflict: an examination under international humanitarian law, international human rights law, and their interplay*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304153>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3304153>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

*The Duty to Investigate in Situations of Armed Conflict*



# The duty to investigate in situations of armed conflict

An examination under international humanitarian  
law, international human rights law, and their  
interplay

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 19 mei 2022  
klokke 15.00 uur

*door*

Floris Tan

geboren te Roosendaal en Nispen

in 1988

Promotores: Prof. dr. H. Duffy  
Prof. dr. M.L.P. Loenen

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. C. Ferstman (University of Essex, United Kingdom)  
Prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik  
Prof. dr. R.A. Lawson  
Prof. dr. Y. Shany (Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel)  
Prof. dr. M.C. Zwanenburg (University of Amsterdam & Netherlands Defence Academy)

Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio, Delft  
Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest  
Drukwerk: Ipskamp Printing – Enschede

© 2022 F. Tan

*Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden veeleenvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.*

*Voor zover het maken van reprografische veeleenvoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, [www.reprorecht.nl](http://www.reprorecht.nl)). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, [www.stichting-pro.nl](http://www.stichting-pro.nl)).*

*No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.*

## Acknowledgements

It was during my exchange semester in Sydney, when during a lecture by Yuval Shany I first jotted down ‘thesis idea: IHL and human rights?’. Little did I know, this would – after working a case on investigations into human rights violations during armed conflict while interning at Böhler Advocaten – grow into a research proposal and dissertation which I wrote at Leiden University, and ultimately finished when working with the Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

I want to thank my wonderful supervisors Helen Duffy and Titia Loenen for their guidance and faith. Helen, your knowledge, your motivation for justice and ability to inspire others, combining human rights academia and practice, all with a great sense of humour, are an inspiration. Titia, our conversations on human rights issues have helped shape my thinking also beyond the dissertation, and if it wasn’t for your advice and guidance, I’d likely still be pursuing some side-track. Your passion for teaching and heart for students will stay with me. To my daily supervisor for four years, Erik Koppe, thank you for your comments and support, your highly structured way of thinking and researching have helped me tremendously.

I’m also grateful to my Doctorate Committee, Professors Carla Ferstman, Larissa van den Herik, Marten Zwanenburg, Rick Lawson, and Yuval Shany for their thoughtful comments, and inspirational research. Larissa, thank you also for the part you played in getting me to Leiden. Rick, thank you for making the excellent secondment to the Strasbourg Court possible – and to the LUF/Kroese-Duijsters Fonds for financial support. Marten, I really enjoyed being your colleague in the Hague and hope we will continue to work and write together.

A large part of what made the PhD so fun, were the many wonderful colleagues. At Leiden University, NTM|NJCM-Bulletin, the ECtHR, NNHRR, Leesclubje, Leiden Court Watchers, LACPO, IHCL Platform, and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. This goes in particular for my fellow PhD candidates. Working in such a large group of incredibly clever and fun people is rare. Our discussions on legal issues, blowing off steam about the PhD, and Friday drinks were absolute highlights. To Janneke, Geert, Eva, and François, I’m grateful for your comments on the research proposal.

To my study friends, friends from Nijmegen, and Uspo-boys, thank you for all the laughs, for everything non-PhD related, and for the less-than-fit mornings working on the PhD which followed. I probably underestimated

how much I needed time spent with you, rather than time spent on the dissertation. Tom, Jorre, it still amazes me that after meeting at school, although we all went different ways, we also continued on the same road. From ir., drs., and mr., united once more as doctors.

To Sophie and Sander, my paranymphs: thank you for standing up with me at the *moment suprême*. Sophie, thank you for being such a great officemate, and for spreading your positivity and calmness to the rest of the office. Sander, thank you for being a friend through thick and thin. I can't remember exactly when we last wore white tie together, but I'm sure this occasion will be more classy.

To my family, thank you for your support and love, also when times were difficult. This also goes for my family-in-law. Family and law, what more could a guy like me want. Laurens, thank you for having set an example since always. It makes sense that the first word I could say, was your name (sort of). Mum and dad, thank you for providing a happy and safe home, for believing in me, but mostly for having me believe in myself. Dad, your calmness, stability, support, and dedication have helped me endlessly. Mum, when I think of you, I think of your tremendous warmth, openness, and passion. They are still with me. Thank you also for setting an example in altruism, which inspired me to specialise in human rights.

Leonie belongs in all the above categories. She commented on my research, was a sparring partner, the best working-from-home-officemate, drinking buddy, friend, muse, and family – our own family. Thank you for your support. I know you'll be just as relieved and happy as I am when the PhD is finished. And I'm so very happy that on our shared road, this is just a short stop along the way. Thank you for sharing your life with me, for your love, for laughing at my jokes, and for embarking on a new journey together: being a mum and dad.

Finally, Elise. You weren't there yet when I wrote this dissertation. And yet, you have played such an important part. During the years, I have missed countless deadlines. But knowing you were on the way, was different. The best motivation for finishing the PhD. Because your arrival wasn't a deadline, it was a lifeline.

## Table of contents – abbreviated

ABBREVIATIONS	xix
1 Introduction	1
PART I International humanitarian law	27
2 Introduction to the IHL System	29
3 The duty to investigate violations of IHL	55
PART II International human rights law	129
4 Introduction to the IHRL system	131
5 The duty to investigate under the global human rights systems	167
6 The duty to investigate under the inter-American system of human rights protection	211
7 The duty to investigate under the European system of human rights protection	275
8 Duties of investigation under international human rights law – conclusions	343
PART III Interplay	359
9 The interplay between IHL and IHRL – a roadmap	361
10 The duty to investigate under the interplay of IHL and IHRL	433
11 Drawing conclusions	495
Summary	527
Samenvatting (Dutch summary)	537
Table of cases	547
Table of instruments	561
Bibliography	567
Curriculum vitae	591





## Table of contents

ABBREVIATIONS	xix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1 Investigating incidents in armed conflict situations	1
1.1 The role of investigations during armed conflicts	1
1.2 Investigations during armed conflicts: a search for clarity	2
1.2.1 An example from practice	2
1.2.2 Stating the first problem: diverging investigative requirements under IHL and IHRL	5
1.2.3 Stating the second problem: the complex interplay between IHL and IHRL	7
2 Central research questions	9
2.1 Questions guiding the enquiry	9
2.2 Breaking down the research question: relevant concepts and terminology	11
3 Selecting research methods	18
3.1 The doctrinal legal method and the question <i>what the law is</i>	18
3.2 Tailoring the method, and structuring the enquiry	20
3.2.1 The various steps in answering the central research question	20
3.2.2 Step 1: identifying and interpreting the duty to investigate under IHL and IHRL	20
3.2.3 Step 2: articulating the normative framework for the interplay of IHL and IHRL	25
3.2.4 Step 3: a comparative analysis of the duty to investigate under IHL and IHRL, through the lens of a normative framework for interplay	25
PART I International humanitarian law	27
2 INTRODUCTION TO THE IHL SYSTEM	29
1 Introduction	29
2 The aims, purposes, and main sources of IHL	29
3 The principles of IHL	33
4 IHL's scope of application	36
4.1 Introduction	36

4.2	IHL's material scope of application	37
4.2.1	From declarations of war to a fact-based assessment of 'armed conflict'	37
4.2.2	International armed conflicts	38
4.2.3	Non-international armed conflicts	49
4.2.4	Belligerent occupation	40
4.3	IHL's personal scope of application	41
4.4	IHL's temporal and geographic scope of application	43
5	IHL's implementation, supervision, and enforcement system	44
5.1	From the State level ...	44
5.2	... to the international ...	46
5.3	... back to the State	49
5.3.1	External implementation, supervision, and enforcement	49
5.3.2	Internal implementation, supervision, and enforcement	51
5.4	Résumé	52
6	Conclusion	52
3	THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE VIOLATIONS OF IHL	55
1	Introduction	55
2	The IHL system's implication of investigations	55
2.1	The system of self-enforcement and the duty to ensure respect for IHL	55
2.2	Rationales for investigative obligations under IHL	60
2.3	Résumé	61
3	Scope of application and sources of investigative obligations	62
3.1	Introduction	62
3.2	Serious violations of IHL: war crimes	63
3.2.1	Introduction	63
3.2.2	Grave breaches	63
3.2.2.1	Defining grave breaches	63
3.2.2.2	Sources and material scope of application of the duty to investigate grave breaches	64
3.2.2.3	The investigative trigger	67
3.2.2.4	Personal and geographic scope of application	73
3.2.2.5	Temporal scope of application	78
3.2.3	Serious violations that are not grave breaches	79
3.2.3.1	Defining serious violations as distinct from grave breaches	79
3.2.3.2	Sources and material scope of application of the duty to investigate serious violations	80
3.2.3.3	The investigative trigger	86
3.2.3.4	Personal and geographic scope of application	87
3.2.3.5	Temporal scope of application	88
3.2.4	Conclusion	89
3.3	Other IHL violations	90
3.3.1	Introduction	90
3.3.2	Defining 'simple' IHL violations	92

3.3.3	Sources and material scope of application of a duty to investigate all IHL violations	92
3.3.4	The investigative trigger	98
3.3.5	Personal and geographic scope of application	100
3.3.6	Temporal scope of application	101
3.4	Investigations in non-international armed conflicts	102
3.5	Résumé	105
4	Substance of the obligation: investigative standards	107
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	The nature and purpose of the investigation: criminal or administrative	108
4.3	Standards for investigations into serious IHL violations (war crimes)	111
4.4	Standards for investigations into simple IHL violations	120
4.5	Résumé	124
5	Conclusion: The duty to investigate IHL violations	126
PART II International Human Rights Law		129
4	INTRODUCTION TO THE IHRL SYSTEM	131
1	Introduction	131
2	The aims, purposes, and main sources of IHRL	131
3	The nature of human rights obligations	136
3.1	Introduction	136
3.2	Rights and obligations	136
3.3	Positive and procedural obligations	138
4	IHRL's scope of application	141
4.1	Introduction	141
4.2	IHRL's material scope of application	141
4.3	IHRL's personal scope of application	142
4.4	IHRL's temporal scope of application	144
4.5	IHRL's geographic scope of application	145
4.5.1	Introduction	145
4.5.2	Territorial application	148
4.5.3	Extraterritorial application	148
4.6	IHRL's applicability during armed conflict and occupation	152
5	IHRL's implementation, supervision, and enforcement mechanisms	159
6	Conclusion	165
5	THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE UNDER THE GLOBAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS	167
1	Introduction	167
2	Explicit duties of investigation in global human rights treaties and the sword-function of human rights law	168
3	Legal basis and rationale for the duty to investigate under the ICCPR	173
3.1	Introduction	173
3.2	Legal basis for the duty to investigate under the ICCPR	175
3.3	Rationale for the duty to investigate under the ICCPR	177

4	Scope of application of the duty to investigate under the ICCPR	179
4.1	Introduction	179
4.2	The material scope of application and the investigative trigger	180
4.3	The personal scope of application	184
4.4	The temporal scope of application	186
4.5	The geographic scope of application	187
5	Substance of the duty to investigate: investigative standards under the ICCPR	189
5.1	Introduction	189
5.2	A due diligence obligation	189
5.3	Investigative standards	190
5.3.1	Eight standards	190
5.3.2	<i>Ex officio</i>	191
5.3.3	Promptness	191
5.3.4	Thoroughness, effectiveness, and credibility	192
5.3.5	Independence and impartiality	193
5.3.6	Transparency and sufficient involvement of next of kin	193
5.3.7	Follow-up to investigations	194
5.4	Résumé	195
6	Applicability and flexibility in conflict situations, and the role of IHL	196
6.1	Introduction	196
6.2	The (non-)derogability of the duty to investigate	197
6.3	Applicability during armed conflict and interaction with IHL	199
6.4	Investigations into violations committed during armed conflict	202
6.4.1	Introduction	202
6.4.2	Scope of application	202
6.4.3	Investigative standards	206
7	Conclusion	208
6	THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE UNDER THE INTER-AMERICAN SYSTEM OF HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION	211
1	Introduction	211
2	The Inter-American context	212
2.1	Endemic human rights abuses and the dawn of the fight against impunity	212
2.2	The prevalence of civil wars and the interaction with international humanitarian law	216
3	Legal basis, rationale and consequences of the duty to investigate	217
3.1	Introduction	217
3.2	Legal basis for the duty to investigate under the ACHR	218
3.3	Rationale of the duty to investigate under the ACHR	221
3.4	Legal consequences on the international and national levels	225
3.4.1	Introduction	225
3.4.2	<i>Ius cogens</i> and the ACHR	225
3.4.3	Legal effects within the domestic legal order	228
4	Scope of application of the duty to investigate	230
4.1	Introduction	230

4.2	The material scope of application and the investigative trigger	230
4.2.1	Distinguishing between grave violations and other violations	230
4.2.2	The selective practice to date	233
4.2.3	The investigative trigger	235
4.3	The personal scope of application	237
4.4	The temporal scope of application	239
4.5	The geographic scope of application	241
4.6	Résumé	242
5	Substance of the duty to investigate: investigative standards	243
5.1	Introduction	243
5.2	A due diligence obligation	243
5.3	Investigative standards	244
5.3.1	Seven standards	244
5.3.2	<i>Ex officio</i>	244
5.3.3	Promptness and reasonable expedition	245
5.3.4	Seriousness and effectiveness	246
5.3.5	Independence and impartiality	247
5.3.6	Involvement of victims and their next of kin	248
5.3.7	Removal of de jure and de facto obstacles to investigations	248
6	Applicability and flexibility in conflict situations, and the role of IHL	249
6.1	Introduction	249
6.2	The (non-)derogability of the duty to investigate	250
6.3	International humanitarian law in the Inter-American human rights system	255
6.3.1	Introduction	255
6.3.2	The Inter-American Court's engagement with international law	255
6.3.3	The Inter-American Court's engagement with international humanitarian law	257
6.3.4	Résumé	263
6.4	Investigations into violations committed during armed conflict	264
6.4.1	Introduction	264
6.4.2	Scope of application	264
6.4.3	Investigative standards	265
7	Conclusion	271
7	THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE UNDER THE EUROPEAN SYSTEM OF HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION	275
1	Introduction	275
2	The European context	275
3	Legal basis and rationale of the duty to investigate under the ECHR	278
3.1	Introduction	278
3.2	Legal basis for the duty to investigate under the ECHR	279
3.3	Rationale of the duty to investigate under the ECHR	285
4	Scope of application of the duty to investigate under the ECHR	285
4.1	Introduction	287
4.2	The material scope of application and the investigative trigger	288
4.2.1	Introduction	288

4.2.2	Material scope of application – which rights require investigation?	288
4.2.3	The investigative trigger	289
4.3	Personal scope of application	291
4.4	Temporal scope of application	294
4.5	Geographic scope of application	296
4.6	Résumé	300
5	Substance of the duty to investigate: investigative standards under the ECHR	301
5.1	Introduction	301
5.2	An obligation of means, not of result	301
5.3	Investigative standards	302
5.3.1	Eight standards	302
5.3.2	<i>Ex officio</i>	303
5.3.3	Promptness	303
5.3.4	Adequacy	304
5.3.5	Independence and impartiality	305
5.3.6	Public scrutiny and involvement of victims and their next of kin	307
5.3.7	Follow-up to investigations	308
5.4	Résumé	311
6	Applicability and flexibility in conflict situations, and the role of IHL	312
6.1	Introduction	312
6.2	The (non-)derogability of the duty to investigate	313
6.3	Applicability during armed conflict and interaction with IHL	317
6.3.1	Introduction	317
6.3.2	Extraterritorial application of the Convention during armed conflict	317
6.3.3	The European Court's engagement with international humanitarian law	322
6.4	Investigations into violations committed during armed conflict	328
6.4.1	Introduction	328
6.4.2	Scope of application	328
6.4.3	Investigative standards	334
7	Conclusion	341
8	DUTIES OF INVESTIGATION UNDER INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW – CONCLUSIONS	343
1	Duties of investigation under the international law of human rights	343
1.1	Bringing the human rights research together	343
1.2	The sword-function of IHRL and explicit investigative obligations	344
1.3	The <i>why</i> of investigations: rationale, legal basis, and place within the system	345
1.4	The <i>when</i> of investigations: scope of application and knowledge triggering the duty to investigate	348
1.5	The <i>how</i> of investigations: investigative standards	352
1.6	The duty to investigate and armed conflict	355
1.7	Conclusion	358

PART III	Interplay	359
9	THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN IHL AND IHRL – A ROADMAP	361
1	Introduction	361
2	Context and background: the nature of international law	361
2.1	A polycentric legal system	361
2.2	Of trees and octopuses: articulating the nature of the international legal system	365
3	From fragmentation to interplay: concurrent application of IHL and IHRL	367
3.1	IHL and IHRL against the background of fragmentation	367
3.2	The fear of co-existing and co-applying: a polarised debate	369
3.3	Contemporary controversies	372
	3.3.1 The ICJ and <i>lex specialis</i>	372
	3.3.2 Theoretical models for interplay	374
	3.3.3 Resolving conflicts and the move towards operational law	376
4	Developing an interplay methodology	379
4.1	Introduction	379
4.2	Interaction of norms in the international legal system	379
4.3	Articulating a methodology for interplay: a step-by-step approach	384
5	Articulating situations of interplay in normative terms	386
5.1	Conflicting conceptions of conflict	386
	5.1.1 The strict approach	386
	5.1.2 The underinclusiveness of the strict approach	388
	5.1.3 Expanding the scope of conflict	390
5.2	A typology of normative overlap: conflict, convergence and competition	393
	5.2.1 The ICJ's categorisation of normative overlap	393
	5.2.2 Beyond conflict and convergence: the competition of norms	394
	5.2.3 Theoretical situations of overlap	397
	5.2.4 Putting the framework together: situations of interplay articulated in normative terms	399
5.3	Résumé: refining the methodology for interplay	406
6	Solving situations of interplay	408
6.1	Introduction	408
6.2	The limited role of conflict clauses and derogations	408
	6.2.1 Introduction	408
	6.2.2 Derogations and conflict clauses	409
	6.2.3 The effects and relevance of derogations	412
6.3	Conflicting norms	413
	6.3.1 Introduction	413
	6.3.2 <i>Lex specialis derogat legi generali</i>	415
	6.3.2.1 Legal consequences of the application of <i>lex specialis derogat legi generali</i>	415
	6.3.2.2 Contents of the <i>lex specialis</i> principle	417
	6.3.2.3 Determining the <i>specialis</i>	419
	6.3.2.4 Conclusion	422
6.4	Converging norms	423
6.5	Competing norms	426
7	Conclusion	231



10	THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE UNDER THE INTERPLAY OF IHL AND IHRL	433
1	Putting together the pieces of the puzzle: a roadmap	433
2	The aims of investigations under IHL and IHRL	434
3	Scope and triggers of the duty to investigate under interplay	437
3.1	Introduction	437
3.2	The relationship between the duty to investigate and substantive issues of interplay	438
3.2.1	Interplay and substantive law	438
3.2.2	Interplay and the procedural duty to investigate	439
3.3	Convergence in the scope of investigative duties	442
3.4	Divergence in the scope of investigative duties	445
3.4.1	Introduction	445
3.4.2	Normative conflict	446
3.4.2.1	Normative conflict with regard to deprivations of life	447
3.4.2.2	Normative conflict with regard to transformative occupation	452
3.4.2.3	Resolving normative conflicts	454
3.4.3	Normative competition	459
3.4.3.1	The paradigm of normative competition and investigations into non-State conduct	459
3.4.3.2	Resolving normative competition	460
3.5	Information triggering the duty to investigate	464
3.6	Résumé	467
4	Procedural standards of the duty to investigate under interplay	467
4.1	Introduction	467
4.2	Convergence in the investigative standards	468
4.2.1	<i>Ex officio</i> investigations	470
4.2.2	Impartiality	471
4.3	Divergence in the investigative standards	472
4.3.1	Introduction	472
4.3.2	Conflict between investigative standards	472
4.3.2.1	Independence	472
4.3.2.2	Follow-up to investigations	476
4.3.3	Competition between investigative standards	480
4.3.3.1	Prompt and carried out with reasonable expedition	481
4.3.3.2	A serious and effective, adequate and thorough investigation	484
4.3.3.3	Involvement of victims and transparency	488
4.4	Résumé	491
5	Conclusion	492
11	DRAWING CONCLUSIONS	495
1	Answering the research question	495
2	Overarching conclusions on the duty to investigate under IHL	496
3	Overarching conclusions on the duty to investigate during armed conflict under IHRL	503

*Table of contents*

XVII

4	Overarching conclusions on the interplay between IHL and IHRL	511
5	Duties of investigation under the interplay of IHL and IHRL	516
6	Investigations and armed conflict: a final reflection	522
SUMMARY		527
SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)		537
TABLE OF CASES		547
TABLE OF INSTRUMENTS		561
BIBLIOGRAPHY		567
CURRICULUM VITAE		591



## Table of abbreviations

ACHR	American Convention on Human Rights
ACHPR	African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights
ACmHPR	African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights
AP I / AP II	Protocols to the 1949 Geneva Conventions
Appl No	Application Number
ARSIWA	ILC Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts
art	article
c.s.	<i>cum suis</i> (and associates)
CA	Common Article (to the Geneva Conventions)
CAR	Central African Republic
CAT	Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CDDH	<i>Comité directeur pour les droits de l'homme</i> (Council of Europe Steering Committee for Human Rights)
CED	International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance
cf.	confer
CIA	US Central Intelligence Agency
CIL	customary international law
CoE	Council of Europe
Comm. No.	Communication Number
CtAT	Committee against Torture
CtED	Committee on Enforced Disappearances
CtEDAW	Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women
CtRC	Committee on the Rights of the Child
dec.	decision
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
doc	documents
DoD	United States Department of Defence
Dr.	doctor
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECmHR	European Commission on Human Rights
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
edn	edition
eds	editors
e.g.	<i>exempli gratia</i> (for example)
et al.	<i>et alia</i> (and others)

etc	et cetera
ETS	European Treaty Series
FARC	<i>Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia</i> (Colombian Revolutionary Armed Forces)
ff	and following
fn	footnote
FT	Floris Tan
FYROM	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
GC	1949 Geneva Convention
[GC]	Grand Chamber
HRC	Human Rights Committee
IAC	international armed conflict
IACmHR	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ibid	ibidem
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICJ / I.C.J.	International Court of Justice
ICL	international criminal law
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
IED	improvised explosive device
IHFFC	International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission
IHL	international humanitarian law (also, the law of armed conflict)
IHRL	international human rights law
ILA	International Law Association
ILC	International Law Commission
I.L.M.	International Legal Materials
IMT	International Military Tribunal
IRA	Irish Republican Army
IS	Islamic State
ISAF	International Security Assistance Force
LOAC	law of armed conflict
LOIAC	law of international armed conflict
Mr.	mister
MRTA	<i>Movimiento Revolucionario Túpac Amaru</i> (Túpac Amaru Revolutionary Movement)
n	footnote
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NB	nota bene
NGO	non-governmental organisation
NIAC	non-international armed conflict
no	number
NSAG	non-State armed group
OAS	Organization of American States
OAU	Organisation of African Unity

OSCE	Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe
p.	page
para.	paragraph
PCIJ	Permanent Court of International Justice
PIL	public international law
PKK	Partiya Karkerên Kurdistanê (Kurdistan Workers' Party)
POW	prisoner of war
Res	Resolution
RoE	rules of engagement
SAS	UK Special Air Service
ser.	series
St.	Saint
TCIDT	torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment
TRNC	Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UK	United Kingdom
UKTS	United Kingdom Treaty Series
UN	United Nations
UNAMA	United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly
UNSC	United Nations Security Council
UNTS	United Nations Treaty Series
US / USA	United States of America
USD	US Dollar
v	versus
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
VRS	Army of the Republika Srpska

