



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Chemical similarity: structuring risk and hazard assessment

Wassenaar, P.N.H.

### Citation

Wassenaar, P. N. H. (2022, April 19). *Chemical similarity: structuring risk and hazard assessment*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3283611>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3283611>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

---

**References**

**Summary**

**Samenvatting**

**Curriculum Vitae**

**List of Publications**

**Dankwoord**



## References

- [1] Military quotes, USMC General Lewis B. Chesty Puller Quotes, (n.d.). <https://www.military-quotes.com/chesty-puller.htm> (accessed July 6, 2021).
- [2] F. Aftalion, A history of the international chemical industry, Chemical Heritage Foundation, 2001.
- [3] Z. Wang, G.W. Walker, D.C.G. Muir, K. Nagatani-Yoshida, Toward a Global Understanding of Chemical Pollution: A First Comprehensive Analysis of National and Regional Chemical Inventories, *Environmental Science and Technology*. 54 (2020) 2575–2584. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06379>.
- [4] M. Bastian, S. Heymann, M. Jacomy, Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks, Third International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media. (2009).
- [5] M. Jacomy, T. Venturini, S. Heymann, M. Bastian, ForceAtlas2, a continuous graph layout algorithm for handy network visualization designed for the Gephi software, *PLoS ONE*. 9 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098679>.
- [6] C.W. Yap, PaDEL-descriptor: An open source software to calculate molecular descriptors and fingerprints, *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21707>.
- [7] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, H. Xiang, J. Holliday, M. Buscema, P. Willett, Similarity coefficients for binary cheminformatics data: Overview and extended comparison using simulated and real data sets, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*. 52 (2012) 2884–2901. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci300261r>.
- [8] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Guidance for identification and naming of substances under REACH and CLP, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/538683>.
- [9] Y. Djoumbou Feunang, R. Eisner, C. Knox, L. Chepelev, J. Hastings, G. Owen, E. Fahy, C. Steinbeck, S. Subramanian, E. Bolton, R. Greiner, D.S. Wishart, ClassyFire: automated chemical classification with a comprehensive, computable taxonomy, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. 8 (2016) 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-016-0174-y>.
- [10] J. Ravichandran, B.S. Karthikeyan, P. Singla, S.R. Aparna, A. Samal, NeurotoxKb 1.0: Compilation, curation and exploration of a knowledgebase of environmental neurotoxicants specific to mammals, *Chemosphere*. 278 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130387>.
- [11] F.I. Saldívar-González, C.S. Huerta-García, J.L. Medina-Franco, Chemoinformatics-based enumeration of chemical libraries: a tutorial, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. 12 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-020-00466-z>.
- [12] European Parliament, Council of the European Union, REACH Regulation (EC/1907/2006), 2006.
- [13] European Commission, Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability - Towards a Toxic-Free Environment, 2020.
- [14] J. van Dijk, A. Leopold, H. Flerlage, A. van Wezel, T.B. Seiler, M.H. Enrici, M.C. Bloor, The EU Green Deal's ambition for a toxic-free environment: Filling the gap for science-based policymaking, *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*. 17 (2021) 1105–1113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4429>.
- [15] European Parliament, Council of the European Union, CLP Regulation (EC/1272/2008), 2008.
- [16] C.J. van Leeuwen, T.G. Vermeire, Risk Assessment of Chemicals - An Introduction, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [17] C.A.M. van Gestel, F.G.A.J. van Belleghem, N.W. van den Brink, S.T.J. Droge, T. Hamers, J.L.M. Hermens, M.H.S. Kraak, A.J. Löhr, J.R. Parsons, A.M.J. Ragas, N.M. van Straalen, M.G.

- Vijver, Chapter 6 'Risk Assessment & Regulation' in Environmental toxicology, an open online textbook. (online ed.), 2019. [https://maken.wikiwijs.nl/120183/6\\_\\_Risk\\_Assessment\\_\\_Regulation](https://maken.wikiwijs.nl/120183/6__Risk_Assessment__Regulation) (accessed June 9, 2021).
- [18] Bureau KLB, Ameco, National Policies on Substances of Concern, 2017. [www.bureauklb.nl](http://www.bureauklb.nl).
- [19] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Chapter R.11: PBT/vPvB assessment), 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/128621>.
- [20] S. Sauvé, M. Desrosiers, A review of what is an emerging contaminant, *Chemistry Central Journal*. 8 (2014) 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-153X-8-15>.
- [21] H.L. Ciallella, H. Zhu, Advancing Computational Toxicology in the Big Data Era by Artificial Intelligence: Data-Driven and Mechanism-Driven Modeling for Chemical Toxicity, *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 32 (2019) 536–547. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.8b00393>.
- [22] G. Pawar, J.C. Madden, D. Ebbrell, J.W. Firman, M.T.D. Cronin, In silico toxicology data resources to support read-across and (Q)SAR, *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 10 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00561>.
- [23] H. Yang, L. Sun, W. Li, G. Liu, Y. Tang, In Silico Prediction of Chemical Toxicity for Drug Design Using Machine Learning Methods and Structural Alerts, *Frontiers in Chemistry*. 6 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2018.00030>.
- [24] C. Wittwehr, P. Blomstedt, J.P. Gosling, T. Peltola, B. Raffael, A.N. Richarz, M. Sienkiewicz, P. Whaley, A. Worth, M. Whelan, Artificial Intelligence for chemical risk assessment, *Computational Toxicology*. 13 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2019.100114>.
- [25] Y. Wu, G. Wang, Machine learning based toxicity prediction: From chemical structural description to transcriptome analysis, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 19 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19082358>.
- [26] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Read-Across Assessment Framework (RAAF), 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/619212>.
- [27] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Guidance on grouping of chemicals, second edition (Series on testing and assessment No. 194), 2014.
- [28] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Transparent progress in addressing substances of concern - Integrated Regulatory Strategy Annual Report, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2823/506792>.
- [29] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Grouping speeds up regulatory action, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.2823/3244>.
- [30] M.A. Johnson, G.M. Maggiora, Concepts and Applications of Molecular Similarity, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [31] G. Maggiora, M. Vogt, D. Stumpfe, J. Bajorath, Molecular similarity in medicinal chemistry, *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 57 (2014) 3186–3204. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm401411z>.
- [32] P. Willett, The calculation of molecular structural similarity: Principles and practice, *Molecular Informatics*. 33 (2014) 403–413. <https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201400024>.
- [33] R.D. Brown, Descriptors for diversity analysis, *Perspectives in Drug Discovery and Design*. 718 (1997) 31–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03380180>.
- [34] S. Riniker, G.A. Landrum, Open-source platform to benchmark fingerprints for ligand-based virtual screening, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. 5 (2013) 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-5-26>.
- [35] European Parliament, Council of the European Union, Decision No 1386/2013/EU on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet,' 2013.
- [36] European Commission, Fact Sheet: 7th Environmental Action Plan, (2013). <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/pubs/pdf/factsheets/7eap/en.pdf> (accessed February 2, 2019).
- [37] US EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency, Estimation Programs Interface

- Suite for Microsoft Window, v4.1, (2012).
- [38] E. Rorije, E.M.J. Verbruggen, A. Hollander, T.P. Traas, M.P.M. Janssen, Identifying potential POP and PBT substances : Development of a new Persistence/Bioaccumulation-score (RIVM Report 601356001), (2011) 1–88.
- [39] R. Benigni, C. Bossa, N. Jeliaskova, T. Netzeva, A. Worth, The Benigni / Bossa rulebase for mutagenicity and carcinogenicity – a module of Toxtree, 2008.
- [40] P. Banerjee, A.O. Eckert, A.K. Schrey, R. Preissner, ProTox-II: A webserver for the prediction of toxicity of chemicals, *Nucleic Acids Research*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky318>.
- [41] H. Yang, C. Lou, L. Sun, J. Li, Y. Cai, Z. Wang, W. Li, G. Liu, Y. Tang, AdmetSAR 2.0: Web-service for prediction and optimization of chemical ADMET properties, *Bioinformatics*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty707>.
- [42] BIOVIA, TOPKAT (Toxicity Prediction by Komputer Assisted Technology), (n.d.). <https://www.3dsbiovia.com/products/datasheets/qsar-admet-and-predictive-toxicology-with-ds.pdf>.
- [43] MultiCase Inc, MultiCase, (n.d.). <http://www.multicase.com/>.
- [44] Leadscope, Leadscope, (n.d.). <http://www.leadscope.com/>.
- [45] DTU, Danish (Q)SAR Database, (2015). <http://qsar.food.dtu.dk/>.
- [46] ChemSec, Methodology for grouping the SIN List and development of the SINimilarity tool, (2015). <https://chemsec.org/publication/sin-list/methodology-for-grouping-the-sin-list-and-development-of-the-sinimilarity-tool/>.
- [47] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Screening Definition Document - Methodology for identifying (groups of) potential substances of concern for (further) regulatory action, 2019. [https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/19126370/screening\\_definition\\_document\\_en.pdf/e588a9f8-c55e-4412-a760-49ddb7ac687](https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/19126370/screening_definition_document_en.pdf/e588a9f8-c55e-4412-a760-49ddb7ac687).
- [48] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Molecular descriptors for chemoinformatics: volume I: alphabetical listing/volume II: appendices, references., John Wiley and Sons, 2009.
- [49] P. Willett, J.M. Barnard, G.M. Downs, Chemical similarity searching, *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences*. (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci9800211>.
- [50] C.L. Mellor, R.L. Marchese Robinson, R. Benigni, D. Ebbrell, S.J. Enoch, J.W. Firman, J.C. Madden, G. Pawar, C. Yang, M.T.D. Cronin, Molecular fingerprint-derived similarity measures for toxicological read-across: Recommendations for optimal use, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2018.11.002>.
- [51] RIVM - National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, List of Dutch Substances of Very High Concern [in Dutch], (2018). <https://rvszoekstelsysteem.rivm.nl/ZZSlijst/Index> (accessed March 1, 2018).
- [52] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Biocidal Active Substances., (2018). <http://echa.europa.eu/web/guest/information-on-chemicals/biocidal-active-substances> (accessed May 23, 2018).
- [53] European Commission, EU Pesticides Database, (2018). <http://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database/public/?event=activesubstance.selection&language=EN> (accessed May 23, 2018).
- [54] Daylight, SMILES - A Simplified Chemical Language, (2008). <http://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/theory.smiles.html> (accessed February 15, 2019).
- [55] G. Landrum, RDKit: Open-source Cheminformatics and machine-learning, <http://www.rdkit.org/>. (2019).
- [56] M. Floris, A. Manganaro, O. Nicolotti, R. Medda, G.F. Mangiatordi, E. Benfenati, A generalizable definition of chemical similarity for read-across, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-014-0039-1>.
- [57] S. Wu, J. Fisher, J. Naciff, M. Laufersweiler, C. Lester, G. Daston, K. Blackburn, Framework

- for identifying chemicals with structural features associated with the potential to act as developmental or reproductive toxicants, *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400226u>.
- [58] R Core Team, *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, (2019).
- [59] M. Kuhn, *R package, caret: Classification and Regression Training*. Version 6.0-81, CRAN. (2018).
- [60] Y. Cao, A. Charisi, L.C. Cheng, T. Jiang, T. Girke, *ChemmineR: A compound mining framework for R*, *Bioinformatics*. (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn307>.
- [61] J. Tuszynski, *caTools: Tools: moving window statistics, GIF, Base64, ROC AUC, etc.* R package version 1.17.1.1, CRAN. (2018).
- [62] T. Sing, O. Sander, N. Beerenwinkel, T. Lengauer, *ROCR: Visualizing classifier performance in R*, *Bioinformatics*. (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti623>.
- [63] R. Guha, *Chemical Informatics Functionality in R*, *Journal of Statistical Software*. (2007). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v018.i05>.
- [64] MACCS, *Molecular ACCESS System (MACCS) keys*. MDL Information Systems - As interpreted by CDK, (n.d.).
- [65] Daylight, *Fingerprints - Screening and Similarity*, (2008). <http://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/theory.finger.html> (accessed February 15, 2019).
- [66] Å. Bergman, J. Heindel, S. Jobling, K. Kidd, R. Zoeller, *Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals - 2012, 2013*.
- [67] D. Rogers, M. Hahn, *Extended-connectivity fingerprints*, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*. (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci100050t>.
- [68] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, EFSA - European Food Safety Authority, JRC - Joint Research Centre, *Guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors in the context of Regulations (EU) No 528/2012 and (EC) No 1107/2009*, *EFSA Journal*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5311>.
- [69] *International Agency for Research on Cancer, Glyphosate Monograph*, 2015.
- [70] J. V. Tarazona, D. Court-Marques, M. Tiramani, H. Reich, R. Pfeil, F. Istace, F. Crivellente, *Glyphosate toxicity and carcinogenicity: a review of the scientific basis of the European Union assessment and its differences with IARC*, *Archives of Toxicology*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-017-1962-5>.
- [71] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, *Search for Chemicals*, (2019). <https://echa.europa.eu/en/information-on-chemicals> (accessed September 20, 2019).
- [72] M. Woutersen, M. Beekman, M.E.J. Pronk, A. Muller, J.A. de Knecht, B.C. Hakkert, *Does REACH provide sufficient information to regulate mutagenic and carcinogenic substances?, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2018.1480351>.
- [73] P.N.H. Wassenaar, E. Rorije, N.M.H. Janssen, W.J.G.M. Peijnenburg, M.G. Vijver, *Chemical similarity to identify potential Substances of Very High Concern – An effective screening method*, *Computational Toxicology*. 12 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2019.100110>.
- [74] B. Settles, *Active Learning Literature Survey - Computer Sciences Technical Report 1648*. University of Wisconsin–Madison, 2010.
- [75] X. Yang, Y. Wang, R. Byrne, G. Schneider, S. Yang, *Concepts of Artificial Intelligence for Computer-Assisted Drug Discovery*, *Chemical Reviews*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00728>.
- [76] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, *Registered substances*, (2019). <https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances> (accessed May 17, 2019).
- [77] D. Gadaleta, A. Lombardo, C. Toma, E. Benfenati, *A new semi-automated workflow for chemical data retrieval and quality checking for modeling applications*, *Journal of*

- Cheminformatics. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-018-0315-6>.
- [78] V.D. Blondel, J.L. Guillaume, R. Lambiotte, E. Lefebvre, Fast unfolding of communities in large networks, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008>.
- [79] C.W. Yap, PaDEL-Descriptor web page, (2014). <http://www.yapcwsoft.com/dd/padeldescriptor/> (accessed May 27, 2020).
- [80] R. Likert, A technique for the measurement of attitudes, *Archives of Psychology*. (1932).
- [81] P. Franco, N. Porta, J.D. Holliday, P. Willett, The use of 2D fingerprint methods to support the assessment of structural similarity in orphan drug legislation, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-6-5>.
- [82] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Substance Infocard - 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol, (2020). <https://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.133> (accessed May 27, 2020).
- [83] A.M. Soto, C. Schaeberle, M.S. Maier, C. Sonnenschein, M. V. Maffini, Evidence of Absence: Estrogenicity Assessment of a New Food-Contact Coating and the Bisphenol Used in Its Synthesis, *Environmental Science and Technology*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04704>.
- [84] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Substance Infocard - 4,4'-methylenedi-2,6-xyleneol, (2020). <https://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.023.980> (accessed May 27, 2020).
- [85] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, SVHC Support Document - 4,4'-Isopropylidenediphenol (Bisphenol A), (2017). <https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/908badc9-e65d-3bae-933a-3512a9262e59> (accessed July 17, 2020).
- [86] K. Mansouri, N. Kleinstreuer, A.M. Abdelaziz, D. Alberga, V.M. Alves, P.L. Andersson, C.H. Andrade, F. Bai, I. Balabin, D. Ballabio, E. Benfenati, B. Bhatarai, S. Boyer, J. Chen, V. Consonni, S. Farag, D. Fourches, A.T. Garcia-Sosa, P. Gramatica, F. Grisoni, C.M. Grulke, H. Hong, D. Horvath, X. Hu, R. Huang, N. Jeliaskova, J. Li, X. Li, H. Liu, S. Manganeli, G.F. Mangiatordi, U. Maran, G. Marcou, T. Martin, E. Muratov, D.T. Nguyen, O. Nicolotti, N.G. Nikolov, U. Norinder, E. Papa, M. Petitjean, G. Piir, P. Pogodin, V. Poroikov, X. Qiao, A.M. Richard, A. Roncaglioni, P. Ruiz, C. Rupakheti, S. Sakkiah, A. Sangion, K.W. Schramm, C. Selvaraj, I. Shah, S. Sild, L. Sun, O. Taboureau, Y. Tang, I. V. Tetko, R. Todeschini, W. Tong, D. Trisciuzzi, A. Tropsha, G. Van Den Driessche, A. Varnek, Z. Wang, E.B. Wedebye, A.J. Williams, H. Xie, A. V. Zakharov, Z. Zheng, R.S. Judson, Compara: Collaborative modeling project for androgen receptor activity, *Environmental Health Perspectives*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP5580>.
- [87] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Substance Infocard - 2,2',6,6'-tetra-tert-butyl-4,4'-methylenediphenol, (2020). <https://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.003.891> (accessed May 27, 2020).
- [88] NTP - National Toxicology Program, Biological Activity of Bisphenol A (BPA) Structural Analogues and Functional Alternatives, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.22427/ntp-rr-4>.
- [89] R. Karaman, Prodrugs design based on inter- and intramolecular chemical processes, *Chemical Biology and Drug Design*. (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12224>.
- [90] C. Lester, A. Reis, M. Laufersweiler, S. Wu, K. Blackburn, Structure activity relationship (SAR) toxicological assessments: The role of expert judgment, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2017.12.026>.
- [91] M.S. Lajiness, G.M. Maggiora, V. Shanmugasundaram, Assessment of the consistency of medicinal chemists in reviewing sets of compounds, *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm049740z>.
- [92] P.S. Kutchukian, N.Y. Vasilyeva, J. Xu, M.K. Lindvall, M.P. Dillon, M. Glick, J.D. Coley, N. Brooijmans, Inside the Mind of a Medicinal Chemist: The Role of Human Bias in Compound Prioritization during Drug Discovery, *PLoS ONE*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>



- pone.0048476.
- [93] M.D. Hack, D.N. Rassokhin, C. Buyck, M. Seierstad, A. Skalkin, P. Ten Holte, T.K. Jones, T. Mirzadegan, D.K. Agrafiotis, Library enhancement through the wisdom of crowds, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*. (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200446y>.
- [94] A. Lombardo, F. Pizzo, E. Benfenati, A. Manganaro, T. Ferrari, G. Gini, A new in silico classification model for ready biodegradability, based on molecular fragments, *Chemosphere*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.073>.
- [95] KEMI - Swedish Chemicals Agency, Grouping of chemical substances in the REACH and CLP regulations - PM 2/18, 2018.
- [96] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Five European states call for evidence on broad PFAS restriction, ECHA/NR/20/13. (2020).
- [97] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Collaborative approach pilot projects - Final report, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.2823/224234>.
- [98] H. Moriwaki, Y.S. Tian, N. Kawashita, T. Takagi, Mordred: A molecular descriptor calculator, *Journal of Cheminformatics*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-018-0258-y>.
- [99] A. Fernández, A. Lombardo, R. Rallo, A. Roncaglioni, F. Giralt, E. Benfenati, Quantitative consensus of bioaccumulation models for integrated testing strategies, *Environment International*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.03.004>.
- [100] D. Ballabio, F. Biganzoli, R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Qualitative consensus of QSAR ready biodegradability predictions, *Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2016.1260133>.
- [101] A. Cereto-Massagué, M.J. Ojeda, C. Valls, M. Mulero, S. Garcia-Vallvé, G. Pujadas, Molecular fingerprint similarity search in virtual screening, *Methods*. 71 (2015) 58–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.08.005>.
- [102] T.G. Kristensen, J. Nielsen, C.N.S. Pedersen, Methods for similarity-based virtual screening, *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*. 5 (2013) e201302009. <https://doi.org/10.5936/csbj.201302009>.
- [103] Y. Yang, J. Zhan, Y. Zhou, SPOT-Ligand: Fast and effective structure-based virtual screening by binding homology search according to ligand and receptor similarity, *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 37 (2016) 1734–1739. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.24380>.
- [104] P.N.H. Wassenaar, E. Rorije, M.G. Vijver, W.J.G.M. Peijnenburg, Evaluating chemical similarity as a measure to identify potential substances of very high concern, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104834>.
- [105] C.E. Smit, P.N.H. Wassenaar, L. de Boer, N.M.H. Janssen, Research into substances of potential concern in Dutch surface water [In Dutch], H2O. (2021). <https://www.h2owaternetwerk.nl/vakartikelen/onderzoek-naar-mogelijk-zorgwekkende-stoffen-in-nederlands-oppervlaktewater> (accessed December 1, 2021).
- [106] J. Hartmann, E.M.J. Verbruggen, E. Rorije, M. van der Aa, P.N.H. Wassenaar, A. Bannink, Screening and prioritising PMT substances: development of a robust T-score, (2021). [https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/362/dokumente/day\\_2\\_afternoon\\_02\\_julia\\_hartmann.pdf](https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/362/dokumente/day_2_afternoon_02_julia_hartmann.pdf) (accessed August 12, 2021).
- [107] RIVM - National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, List of Dutch Substances of Very High Concern [in Dutch], (2021). <https://rvszoekstelsysteem.rivm.nl/ZZSlijst/Index> (accessed January 25, 2021).
- [108] OSPAR, Chemicals for Priority Action, (2020). <https://www.ospar.org/work-areas/hasec/hazardous-substances/priority-action> (accessed December 1, 2021).
- [109] K. Mansouri, C. Grulic, R. Judson, A. Richard, A. Williams, N. Kleinstreuer, Open-source QSAR-ready chemical structure standardization workflow, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.23645/epacomptox.15070041.v1>.
- [110] US EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency, Chemistry Dashboard Data:

- DSSTox QSAR Ready File. , (2018). <https://doi.org/10.23645/epacomptox.6253679.v1>.
- [111] K. Mansouri, Standardization workflow for QSAR-ready chemical structures pretreatment., (2020). <https://github.com/kmansouri/QSAR-ready> (accessed March 10, 2021).
- [112] R Core Team, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2021).
- [113] M. Kuhn, R package, caret: Classification and Regression Training. Version 6.0-90, CRAN. (2021). <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caret> (accessed December 6, 2021).
- [114] J. Tuszynski, caTools: Tools: Moving Window Statistics, GIF, Base64, ROC AUC, etc. R package version 1.18.2, CRAN. (2021). <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caTools> (accessed December 6, 2021).
- [115] G. Landrum, RDKit: Open-source Cheminformatics and machine-learning, <http://www.rdkit.org/>. (2021).
- [116] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Chapter R.7c: Endpoint specific guidance), 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/43472>.
- [117] JRC - Joint Research Centre, Review of available criteria for non-aquatic organisms within PBT/vPvB frameworks - Part I: Bioaccumulation assessment, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2788/11347>.
- [118] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals - Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure (OECD TG 305), 2012.
- [119] H.J. Geyer, G.G. Rimkus, I. Scheunert, A. Kaune, K.-W. Schramm, A. Kettrup, M. Zeeman, D.C.G. Muir, L.G. Hansen, D. Mackay, Bioaccumulation and Occurrence of Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs), Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), and Other Organic Compounds in Fish and Other Organisms Including Humans, in: Bioaccumulation – New Aspects and Developments, 1987. [https://doi.org/10.1007/10503050\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/10503050_1).
- [120] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Evaluation under REACH: Progress Report 2017 - 10 years of experience, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/76886>.
- [121] F. Grisoni, V. Consonni, S. Villa, M. Vighi, R. Todeschini, QSAR models for bioconcentration: Is the increase in the complexity justified by more accurate predictions?, *Chemosphere*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.01.047>.
- [122] J. Nichols, K. Fay, M.J. Bernhard, I. Bischof, J. Davis, M. Halder, J. Hu, K. Johanning, H. Laue, D. Nabb, C. Schlechtriem, H. Segner, J. Swintek, J. Weeks, M. Embryc, Reliability of in vitro methods used to measure intrinsic clearance of hydrophobic organic chemicals by rainbow trout: Results of an international ring trial, *Toxicological Sciences*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfy113>.
- [123] C. Schlechtriem, S. Kampe, H.J. Bruckert, I. Bischof, I. Ebersbach, V. Kosfeld, M. Kotthoff, C. Schäfers, J. L'Haridon, Bioconcentration studies with the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*: are the results predictive of bioconcentration in fish?, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3677-4>.
- [124] J. Sanz-Landaluze, M. Pena-Abaurrea, R. Muñoz-Olivas, C. Cámara, L. Ramos, Zebrafish (*danio rerio*) eleutheroembryo-based procedure for assessing bioaccumulation, *Environmental Science and Technology*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504685c>.
- [125] J.A. Arnot, F.A. Gobas, A review of bioconcentration factor (BCF) and bioaccumulation factor (BAF) assessments for organic chemicals in aquatic organisms, *Environmental Reviews*. (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1139/a06-005>.
- [126] NITE - National Institute of Technology and Evaluation, The biodegradation and bioconcentration data under CSCL have been published, (2018). [https://www.nite.go.jp/en/chem/kasinn/cscl\\_data.html](https://www.nite.go.jp/en/chem/kasinn/cscl_data.html) (accessed April 10, 2018).
- [127] T.F. Parkerton, J.A. Arnot, A. V. Weisbrod, C. Russom, R.A. Hoke, K. Woodburn, T. Traas, M. Bonnell, L.P. Burkhard, M.A. Lampi, Guidance for evaluating in vivo fish bioaccumulation data, *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*. (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-008-9000-0>.

- org/10.1897/IEAM\_2007-057.1.
- [128] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, QSAR Toolbox, (2019). <https://qsartoolbox.org/>.
- [129] US EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency, ECOTOX, (2018). <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/> (accessed April 10, 2018).
- [130] R. Froese, D. Pauly, FishBase, (2019). [www.fishbase.org](http://www.fishbase.org) (accessed February 12, 2019).
- [131] J. Pinheiro, D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, the R.C. Team, The nlme Package: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models, R-Project. (2018).
- [132] K.P. Burnham, D.R. Anderson, Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach, Second Edition, 2002.
- [133] R. Carlson, A. Oyler, E. Gerhart, R. Caple, K. Welch, H.B.D. and S.D. Kopperman, Implications to the aquatic environment of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons liberated from Northern Great Plains coal, 1979.
- [134] H. Wang, Y. Li, X. Xia, X. Xiong, Relationship between metabolic enzyme activities and bioaccumulation kinetics of PAHs in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), Journal of Environmental Sciences (China). (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.03.037>.
- [135] W. Larisch, K.U. Goss, Uptake, distribution and elimination of chemicals in fish – Which physiological parameters are the most relevant for toxicokinetics?, Chemosphere. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.07.112>.
- [136] J.W. Nichols, J.M. McKim, M.E. Andersen, M.L. Gargas, H.J. Clewell, R.J. Erickson, A physiologically based toxicokinetic model for the uptake and disposition of waterborne organic chemicals in fish, Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. (1990). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008X\(90\)90338-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008X(90)90338-U).
- [137] D. Schlenk, M. Celander, E.P. Gallagher, S. George, M. James, S.W. Kullman, P. Van Den Hurk, K. Willett, Biotransformation in fishes, in: The Toxicology of Fishes, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203647295>.
- [138] M. Ferreira, J. Costa, M.A. Reis-Henriques, ABC transporters in fish species: A review, Frontiers in Physiology. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00266>.
- [139] P.N. Fitzsimmons, G.J. Lien, J.W. Nichols, A compilation of in vitro rate and affinity values for xenobiotic biotransformation in fish, measured under physiological conditions, Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology. (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2006.12.011>.
- [140] J.A. Arnot, D. Mackay, T.F. Parkerton, M. Bonnell, A database of fish biotransformation rates for organic chemicals, Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1897/08-058.1>.
- [141] I. Bischof, J. Köster, H. Segner, C. Schlechtriem, Hepatocytes as in vitro test system to investigate metabolite patterns of pesticides in farmed rainbow trout and common carp: Comparison between in vivo and in vitro and across species, Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part - C: Toxicology and Pharmacology. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2016.05.003>.
- [142] G.I. Petersen, P. Kristensen, Bioaccumulation of lipophilic substances in fish early life stages, Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028\(1998\)017<1385:BOLSIF>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(1998)017<1385:BOLSIF>2.3.CO;2).
- [143] P. Kristensen, N. Nyholm, Bioaccumulation of Chemical Substances in Fish Flow-Through Method: Ring-Test Programme 1984 - 1985, 1987.
- [144] K.M. Kleinow, M.J. Melancon, J.J. Lech, Biotransformation and induction: Implications for toxicity, bioaccumulation and monitoring of environmental xenobiotics in fish, Environmental Health Perspectives. (1987).
- [145] K. Monostory, K. Jemnitz, L. Vereczkey, Xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes in fish: diversity, regulation and biomarkers for pollutant exposure, Acta Physiologica Hungarica. (1996).

- [146] D. Chabot, R. Koenker, A.P. Farrell, The measurement of specific dynamic action in fishes, *Journal of Fish Biology*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12836>.
- [147] A. Tandler, F.W.H. Beamish, Apparent specific dynamic action (SDA), fish weight and level of caloric intake in largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides* Lacepede, *Aquaculture*. (1981). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486\(81\)90017-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(81)90017-X).
- [148] D. Randall, Control and co-ordination of gas exchange in water breathers, in: Springer (Ed.), *Vertebrate Gas Exchange*, Berlin, 1990.
- [149] R. Yang, C. Brauner, V. Thurston, J. Neuman, D.J. Randall, Relationship between toxicant transfer kinetic processes and fish oxygen consumption, *Aquatic Toxicology*. (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X\(99\)00050-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-445X(99)00050-8).
- [150] M. Adolfsson-Erici, G. Åkerman, M.S. Mclachlan, Measuring bioconcentration factors in fish using exposure to multiple chemicals and internal benchmarking to correct for growth dilution, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.1897>.
- [151] N.B. Metcalfe, T.E. Van Leeuwen, S.S. Killen, Does individual variation in metabolic phenotype predict fish behaviour and performance?, *Journal of Fish Biology*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12699>.
- [152] C. Toms, D. Echevarria, D. Jouandot, A Methodological Review of Personality-Related Studies in Fish: Focus on the Shy-Bold Axis Behaviour, *International Journal of Comparative Psychology*. (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.01.002>.
- [153] P.A. Biro, N.J. Dingemans, Sampling bias resulting from animal personality, *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.11.001>.
- [154] L. Böhm, R.A. Düring, H.J. Bruckert, C. Schlechtriem, Can solid-phase microextraction replace solvent extraction for water analysis in fish bioconcentration studies with highly hydrophobic organic chemicals?, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3854>.
- [155] J.L. Van Geest, D.G. Poirier, K.R. Solomon, P.K. Sibley, A comparison of the bioaccumulation potential of three freshwater organisms exposed to sediment-associated contaminants under laboratory conditions, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.456>.
- [156] W. de Wolf, M. Comber, P. Douben, S. Gimeno, M. Holt, M. Léonard, A. Lillicrap, D. Sijm, R. van Egmond, A. Weisbrod, G. Whale, Animal use replacement, reduction, and refinement: development of an integrated testing strategy for bioconcentration of chemicals in fish., *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*. (2007). [https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-3793\(2007\)3\[3:AURRAR\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-3793(2007)3[3:AURRAR]2.0.CO;2).
- [157] European Parliament, Council of the European Union, Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, *Official Journal of the European Union*. (2010).
- [158] R.J. Quann, Modeling the chemistry of complex petroleum mixtures, in: *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.98106s61441>.
- [159] D. Salvito, M. Fernandez, K. Jenner, D.Y. Lyon, J. de Knecht, P. Mayer, M. MacLeod, K. Eisenreich, P. Leonards, R. Cesnaitis, M. León-Paumen, M. Embry, S.E. Déglin, Improving the Environmental Risk Assessment of Substances of Unknown or Variable Composition, Complex Reaction Products, or Biological Materials, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 39 (2020) 2097–2108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4846>.
- [160] S.S. Kutsarova, D.G. Yordanova, Y.H. Karakolev, S. Stoeva, M. Comber, C.B. Hughes, E. Vaiopoulou, S.D. Dimitrov, O.G. Mekenyan, UVCB substances II: Development of an endpoint-nonspecific procedure for selection of computationally generated representative constituents, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4358>.
- [161] CONCAWE, REACH - Roadmap for Petroleum Substances, 2019.
- [162] CONCAWE, Environmental risk assessment of petroleum substances: the hydrocarbon block

- method - report no. 96/52, 1996.
- [163] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Candidate List of substances of very high concern for Authorisation, (2020). <https://echa.europa.eu/en/candidate-list-table> (accessed June 11, 2020).
- [164] InfoCuria, Action brought on 21 March 2019 – Exxonmobil Petroleum & Chemical v ECHA (Case T-177/19), 2019.
- [165] C.B. Hughes, D.M. Brown, L. Camenzuli, A.D. Redman, J.S. Arey, D. Vione, N. Wang, E. Vaiopoulou, Can a chemical be both readily biodegradable AND very persistent (vP)? Weight-of-evidence determination demonstrates that phenanthrene is not persistent in the environment, *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 32 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-00427-1>.
- [166] D.M. Pampanin, M.O. Sydnes, Chapter 5: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons a constituent of Petroleum: Presence and influence in the aquatic environment, in: *Hydrocarbon*, 2013.
- [167] S.A. Stout, S.D. Emsbo-Mattingly, G.S. Douglas, A.D. Uhler, K.J. McCarthy, Beyond 16 Priority Pollutant PAHs: A Review of PACs used in Environmental Forensic Chemistry, *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10406638.2014.891144>.
- [168] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals - Aerobic and Anaerobic Transformation in Soil (OECD TG 307), 2002.
- [169] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals - Aerobic and Anaerobic Transformation in Aquatic Sediment Systems (OECD TG 308), 2002.
- [170] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals - Aerobic Mineralisation in Surface Water – Simulation Biodegradation Test (OECD TG 309), 2004.
- [171] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment (Chapter R.7b: Endpoint specific guidance), 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2823/84188>.
- [172] K.K. Sjøholm, H. Birch, A. Dechesne, A.P. Loibner, P. Mayer, Temperature dependency of biodegradation kinetics in environmental surface waters across Europe, in: SETAC SciCon - Poster, 2020.
- [173] CONCAWE, PETRORISK version 7.0 Public: Manual, 2016.
- [174] OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, BCF Estimation Tool v2, (2019).
- [175] D.T.H.M. Sijm, M.E. Verberne, W.J. De Jonge, P. Pärt, A. Opperhuizen, Allometry in the Uptake of Hydrophobic Chemicals Determined in Vivo and in Isolated Perfused Gills, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 131 (1995) 130–135. <https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.1995.1054>.
- [176] P.N.H. Wassenaar, E.M.J. Verbruggen, E. Cieraad, W.J.G.M. Peijnenburg, M.G. Vijver, Variability in fish bioconcentration factors: Influences of study design and consequences for regulation, *Chemosphere*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124731>.
- [177] E.M.J. Verbruggen, M. Beek, J. Pijnenburg, T.P. Traas, Ecotoxicological environmental risk limits for total petroleum hydrocarbons on the basis of internal lipid concentrations, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 27 (2008) 2436–2448. <https://doi.org/10.1897/07-597.1>.
- [178] European Commission, Technical Guidance for Deriving Environmental Quality Standards - Guidance Document no. 27, 2018.
- [179] A.P. Roberts, M.M. Alloy, J.T. Oris, Review of the photo-induced toxicity of environmental contaminants, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part - C: Toxicology and Pharmacology*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2016.10.005>.
- [180] B.L. Boese, J.O. Lamberson, R.C. Swartz, R.J. Ozretich, Photoinduced toxicity of fluoranthene

- to seven marine benthic crustaceans, *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900201>.
- [181] P.M. Allred, J.P. Giesy, Solar radiation-induced toxicity of anthracene to *Daphnia pulex*, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620040212>.
- [182] J.T. Oris, J.P. Giesy, P.M. Allred, D.F. Grant, P.F. Landrum, Photoinduced toxicity of anthracene in aquatic organisms: An environmental perspective, in: *Studies in Environmental Science*, 1984. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116\(08\)72143-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116(08)72143-5).
- [183] J.T. McCloskey, J.T. Oris, Effect of water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration on the photo-induced toxicity of anthracene to juvenile bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), *Aquatic Toxicology*. (1991). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-445X\(91\)90069-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-445X(91)90069-L).
- [184] R.L. Peachey, D.G. Crosby, Phototoxicity in tropical reef animals, in: *Marine Environmental Research*, 1996. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136\(95\)00044-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(95)00044-5).
- [185] J.A. McGrath, C.J. Fanelli, D.M. Di Toro, T.F. Parkerton, A.D. Redman, M.L. Paumen, M. Comber, C. V. Eadsforth, K. den Haan, Re-evaluation of target lipid model-derived HC5 predictions for hydrocarbons, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4100>.
- [186] E.M.J. Verbruggen, Environmental risk limits for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) - For direct aquatic, benthic, and terrestrial toxicity (RIVM report 607711007/2012), 2012.
- [187] BioByte, Bio-Loom ClogP estimates, (2006).
- [188] H. Huang, B.F.J. Bowler, T.B.P. Oldenburg, S.R. Larter, The effect of biodegradation on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in reservoir oils from the Liaohe basin, NE China, in: *Organic Geochemistry*, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.05.009>.
- [189] J.M. Bayona, J. Albaigés, A.M. Solanas, R. Pares, P. Garrigues, M. Ewald, Selective Aerobic Degradation of Methyl-Substituted Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Petroleum by Pure Microbial Cultures†, *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*. (1986). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03067318608076451>.
- [190] R.C. Prince, C.C. Walters, Chapter 11: Biodegradation of Oil Hydrocarbons and Its Implications for Source Identification, in: *Oil Spill Environmental Forensics*, 2006.
- [191] J.D. Leblond, T. Wayne Schultz, G.S. Saylor, Observations on the preferential biodegradation of selected components of polyaromatic hydrocarbon mixtures, *Chemosphere*. (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(00\)00161-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00161-2).
- [192] G.S. Douglas, A. Adward Bence, R.C. Prince, S.J. McMillen, E.L. Butler, Environmental stability of selected petroleum hydrocarbon source and weathering ratios, *Environmental Science and Technology*. (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1021/es950751e>.
- [193] R.C. Prince, R.M. Garrett, R.E. Bare, M.J. Grossman, T. Townsend, J.M. Suflita, K. Lee, E.H. Owens, G.A. Sergy, J.F. Braddock, J.E. Lindstrom, R.R. Lessard, The roles of photooxidation and biodegradation in long-term weathering of crude and heavy fuel oils, *Spill Science and Technology Bulletin*. (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-2561\(03\)00017-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-2561(03)00017-3).
- [194] K.H. Wammer, C.A. Peters, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon biodegradation rates: A structure-based study, *Environmental Science and Technology*. (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1021/es048939y>.
- [195] P. Tuarze, Alkylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in fish and risk to human health: Implications for ecological and human health risk assessments in the Alberta oil sands, 2015.
- [196] H.I. Abdel-Shafy, M.S.M. Mansour, A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source, environmental impact, effect on human health and remediation, *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2015.03.011>.
- [197] D. Ghosal, S. Ghosh, T.K. Dutta, Y. Ahn, Current state of knowledge in microbial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): A review, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01369>.
- [198] B. González-Gaya, A. Martínez-Varela, M. Vila-Costa, P. Casal, E. Cerro-Gálvez, N.

- Berrojalbiz, D. Lundin, M. Vidal, C. Mompeán, A. Bode, B. Jiménez, J. Dachs, Biodegradation as an important sink of aromatic hydrocarbons in the oceans, *Nature Geoscience*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0285-3>.
- [199] M.A. Khairy, M.P. Weinstein, R. Lohmann, Trophodynamic behavior of hydrophobic organic contaminants in the aquatic food web of a tidal river, *Environmental Science and Technology*. 48 (2014) 12533–12542. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es502886n>.
- [200] I. Takeuchi, N. Miyoshi, K. Mizukawa, H. Takada, T. Ikemoto, K. Omori, K. Tsuchiya, Biomagnification profiles of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, alkylphenols and polychlorinated biphenyls in Tokyo Bay elucidated by  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotope ratios as guides to trophic web structure, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 58 (2009) 663–671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.12.022>.
- [201] H.R. Harvey, K.A. Taylor, H. V. Pie, C.L. Mitchelmore, Polycyclic aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons in Chukchi Sea biota and sediments and their toxicological response in the Arctic cod, *Boreogadus saida*, Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography. 102 (2014) 32–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.07.013>.
- [202] K.L. Foster, G.A. Stern, J. Carrie, J.N.L. Bailey, P.M. Outridge, H. Sanei, R.W. Macdonald, Spatial, temporal, and source variations of hydrocarbons in marine sediments from Baffin Bay, Eastern Canadian Arctic, *Science of the Total Environment*. 506–507 (2015) 430–443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.002>.
- [203] A.W. Kim, C.H. Vane, V.L. Moss-Hayes, D.J. Beriro, C.P. Nathanail, F.M. Fordyce, P.A. Everett, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in urban soils of Glasgow, UK, *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*. 108 (2017) 231–247. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755691018000324>.
- [204] C.H. Vane, A.W. Kim, D.J. Beriro, M.R. Cave, K. Knights, V. Moss-Hayes, P.C. Nathanail, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) in urban soils of Greater London, UK, *Applied Geochemistry*. 51 (2014) 303–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.09.013>.
- [205] G.R. Southworth, J.J. Beauchamp, P.K. Schmieder, Bioaccumulation potential of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in *Daphnia pulex*, *Water Research*. (1978). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(78\)90080-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(78)90080-5).
- [206] S.D. Dimitrov, I.A. Dermen, N.H. Dimitrova, K.G. Vasilev, T.W. Schultz, O.G. Mekenyan, Mechanistic relationship between biodegradation and bioaccumulation. Practical outcomes, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2019.104411>.
- [207] E.A.J. Bleeker, E.M.J. Verbruggen, Bioaccumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in aquatic organisms - RIVM report 601779002/2009, 2009.
- [208] S. Koenig, P. Fernández, M. Solé, Differences in cytochrome P450 enzyme activities between fish and crustacea: Relationship with the bioaccumulation patterns of polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs), *Aquatic Toxicology*. (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.10.016>.
- [209] E. Nfon, I.T. Cousins, D. Broman, Biomagnification of organic pollutants in benthic and pelagic marine food chains from the Baltic Sea, *Science of the Total Environment*. 397 (2008) 190–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.02.029>.
- [210] I. Waszak, K. Jonko-Sobuś, A. Ożarowska, G. Zaniewicz, Estimation of native and alkylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in seabirds from the south coast of the Baltic Sea, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10653-y>.
- [211] F.A. De Lima Ribeiro, M.M.C. Ferreira, QSAR model of the phototoxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM*. (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2005.01.026>.
- [212] B.L. Boese, J.O. Lamberson, R.C. Swartz, R. Ozretich, F. Cole, Photoinduced toxicity of PAHs and alkylated PAHs to a marine infaunal amphipod (*Rhepoxynius abronius*),

- Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900311>.
- [213] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, C&L Inventory, (2021). <https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/cl-inventory-database> (accessed January 20, 2021).
- [214] D.L. Elmendorf, C.E. Haith, G.S. Douglas, R.C. Prince, Relative rates of biodegradation of substituted polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, *Bioremediation Chlorinated Polycyclic Aromat. Hydrocarbon Compd.* (1994).
- [215] G. Jonsson, R.K. Bechmann, S.D. Bamber, T. Baussant, Bioconcentration, biotransformation, and elimination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sheephead minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) exposed to contaminated seawater, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1897/03-173>.
- [216] NITE - National Institute of Technology and Evaluation, Biodegradation and Bioconcentration data under CSCL, (2020). [https://www.nite.go.jp/en/chem/kasinn/cscl\\_data.html](https://www.nite.go.jp/en/chem/kasinn/cscl_data.html) (accessed March 2, 2020).
- [217] H. Lin, G.D. Morandi, R.S. Brown, V. Snieckus, T. Rantanen, K.B. Jørgensen, P. V. Hodson, Quantitative structure-activity relationships for chronic toxicity of alkyl-chrysenes and alkyl-benz[a]anthracenes to Japanese medaka embryos (*Oryzias latipes*), *Aquatic Toxicology*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.11.027>.
- [218] W.H. Shin, X. Zhu, M.G. Bures, D. Kihara, Three-dimensional compound comparison methods and their application in drug discovery, *Molecules*. 20 (2015) 12841–12862. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules200712841>.
- [219] A. Nicholls, G.B. McGaughey, R.P. Sheridan, A.C. Good, G. Warren, M. Mathieu, S.W. Muchmore, S.P. Brown, J.A. Grant, J.A. Haigh, N. Nevins, A.N. Jain, B. Kelley, Molecular Shape and Medicinal Chemistry: A Perspective, *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 53 (2010) 3862–3886. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm900818s>.
- [220] P.W. Finn, G.M. Morris, Shape-based similarity searching in chemical databases, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science*. 3 (2013) 226–241. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1128>.
- [221] M. Cruz-Monteagudo, J.L. Medina-Franco, Y. Pérez-Castillo, O. Nicolotti, M.N.D.S. Cordeiro, F. Borges, Activity cliffs in drug discovery: Dr Jekyll or Mr Hyde?, *Drug Discovery Today*. 19 (2014) 1069–1080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.02.003>.
- [222] M.D.M. AbdulHameed, R. Liu, P. Schyman, D. Sachs, Z. Xu, V. Desai, A. Wallqvist, ToxProfiler: Toxicity-target profiler based on chemical similarity, *Computational Toxicology*. 18 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2021.100162>.
- [223] M.P. Seddon, D.A. Cosgrove, M.J. Packer, V.J. Gillet, Alignment-Free Molecular Shape Comparison Using Spectral Geometry: The Framework, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*. 59 (2019) 98–116. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.8b00676>.
- [224] B. Aas, Thesis MSc (UU/RIVM) - Chemical Similarity Screening With Machine Learning and Active Learning Using Physical Chemical Properties, 2020.
- [225] J. Baars, Thesis MSc (UvA/RIVM) - The effect of added chemical attributes on the classification of graph based molecular datasets, 2020.
- [226] P. Hartog, Thesis MSc (LU/RIVM) - Predicting and Prioritizing Possible Endocrine Disruptors using Machine Learning, 2021.
- [227] C. Rudin, Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead, *Nature Machine Intelligence*. 1 (2019) 206–215. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x>.
- [228] A.S. Rifaioğlu, H. Atas, M.J. Martin, R. Cetin-Atalay, V. Atalay, T. Dogan, Recent applications of deep learning and machine intelligence on in silico drug discovery: Methods, tools and databases, *Briefings in Bioinformatics*. 20 (2019) 1878–1912. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bby061>.



- [229] RIVM - National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Working method Substance Advice ZZS for licensing authorities. Version 2 [In Dutch], (2020). [https://rvs.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2020-04/Werkwijze%20NL%20Stofadviezen%20v2.0%20definitief\\_2.pdf](https://rvs.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2020-04/Werkwijze%20NL%20Stofadviezen%20v2.0%20definitief_2.pdf) (accessed September 14, 2021).
- [230] R. van Herwijnen, Beoordeling van de gegevens ten behoeve van de ZZS status van 28 stoffen voor DCMR. RIVM. Rapport nr. 14529C00 [In Dutch], 2021.
- [231] P. van Breemen, J.P.A. Lijzen, E. Rorije, C.E. Smit, E.M.J. Verbruggen, PREMISS Toxicological modules (in prep.), n.d.
- [232] Ministry of Infrastructure and Water Management, Letter to the parliament about actions on environmental safety and risks. IENW/BSK-2020/95432 [In Dutch], 2020. <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/kamerstukken/2020/06/03/omgevingsveiligheid-en-milieurisico-s> (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [233] H.M. Braakhuis, P.T. Theunissen, W. Slob, E. Rorije, A.H. Piersma, Testing developmental toxicity in a second species: are the differences due to species or replication error?, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 107 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2019.104410>.
- [234] H.-J. Klimisch, M. Andreae, U. Tillmann, A Systematic Approach for Evaluating the Quality of Experimental Toxicological and Ecotoxicological Data, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 25 (1997) 1–5.
- [235] C.T.A. Moermond, A. Beasley, R. Breton, M. Junghans, R. Laskowski, K. Solomon, H. Zahner, Assessing the reliability of ecotoxicological studies: An overview of current needs and approaches, *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*. 13 (2017) 640–651. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1870>.
- [236] N.P. du Sert, V. Hurst, A. Ahluwalia, S. Alam, M.T. Avey, M. Baker, W.J. Browne, A. Clark, I.C. Cuthill, U. Dirnagl, M. Emerson, P. Garner, S.T. Holgate, D.W. Howells, N.A. Karp, S.E. Lazic, K. Lidster, C.J. MacCallum, M. Macleod, E.J. Pearl, O.H. Petersen, F. Rawle, P. Reynolds, K. Rooney, E.S. Sena, S.D. Silberberg, T. Steckler, H. Würbel, The arrive guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research, *PLoS Biology*. 18 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000410>.
- [237] C.T.A. Moermond, R. Kase, M. Korkaric, M. Ågerstrand, CRED: Criteria for reporting and evaluating ecotoxicity data, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 35 (2016) 1297–1309. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3259>.
- [238] A. Mangold-Döring, C. Grimard, D. Green, S. Petersen, J.W. Nichols, N. Hogan, L. Weber, H. Hollert, M. Hecker, M. Brinkmann, A Novel Multispecies Toxicokinetic Modeling Approach in Support of Chemical Risk Assessment, *Environmental Science and Technology*. 55 (2021) 9109–9118. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02055>.
- [239] M. Ågerstrand, A. Beronius, Weight of evidence evaluation and systematic review in EU chemical risk assessment: Foundation is laid but guidance is needed, *Environment International*. 92–93 (2016) 590–596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.008>.
- [240] G. Suter, J. Nichols, E. Lavoie, S. Cormier, Systematic Review and Weight of Evidence Are Integral to Ecological and Human Health Assessments: They Need an Integrated Framework, *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*. 16 (2020) 718–728. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4271>.
- [241] European Commission, Findings of the Fitness Check of the most relevant chemicals legislation (excluding REACH) and identified challenges, gaps and weaknesses, 2019.
- [242] S.E. Escher, H. Kamp, S.H. Bennekou, A. Bitsch, C. Fisher, R. Graepel, J.G. Hengstler, M. Herzler, D. Knight, M. Leist, U. Norinder, G. Ouédraogo, M. Pastor, S. Stuard, A. White, B. Zdrzil, B. van de Water, D. Kroese, Towards grouping concepts based on new approach methodologies in chemical hazard assessment: the read-across approach of the EU-ToxRisk project, *Archives of Toxicology*. 93 (2019) 3643–3667. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-019-02591-7>.
- [243] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, The Petroleum and Coal stream Substances (PetCo)

- Working Group, (2021). <https://echa.europa.eu/petco-working-group> (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [244] CEFIC LRI, ECO42: Fate-Directed Toxicity Testing and Risk Assessment of UVCBs, (2021). <http://cefic-lri.org/projects/eco42-fate-directed-toxicity-testing-and-risk-assessment-of-uvcb/> (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [245] U.G. Sauer, R.A. Barter, R.A. Becker, E. Benfenati, E. Berggren, B. Hubesch, H.M. Hollnagel, K. Inawaka, A.M. Keene, P. Mayer, K. Plotzke, R. Skoglund, O. Albert, 21st Century Approaches for Evaluating Exposures, Biological Activity, and Risks of Complex Substances: Workshop highlights, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 111 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104583>.
- [246] ECHA - European Chemicals Agency, Perfluoroalkyl chemicals (PFAS), (2021). <https://echa.europa.eu/hot-topics/perfluoroalkyl-chemicals-pfas> (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [247] K. Savolainen, U. Backman, D. Brouwer, B. Fadeel, T. Fernandes, T. Kuhlbusch, R. Landsiedel, I. Lynch, L. Pylkkänen, *Nanosafety in Europe 2015-2025: Towards Safe and Sustainable Nanomaterials and Nanotechnology Innovations*, 2013.
- [248] M. Herzler, P. Marx-Stoelting, R. Pirow, C. Riebeling, A. Luch, T. Tralau, T. Schwerdtle, A. Hensel, The “EU chemicals strategy for sustainability” questions regulatory toxicology as we know it: is it all rooted in sound scientific evidence?, *Archives of Toxicology*. 95 (2021) 2589–2601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-021-03091-3>.
- [249] Safe-by-Design NL, Safe-by-Design, (2021). <https://www.safe-by-design-nl.nl/home+english/default.aspx> (accessed September 14, 2021).
- [250] NWO - Dutch Research Council, Research data management, (2020). <https://www.nwo.nl/en/research-data-management> (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [251] European Commission, Horizon 2020 Online Manual: Data Management, (2020). [https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/docs/h2020-funding-guide/cross-cutting-issues/open-access-data-management/data-management\\_en.htm](https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/docs/h2020-funding-guide/cross-cutting-issues/open-access-data-management/data-management_en.htm) (accessed August 30, 2021).
- [252] R.J. Kavlock, T. Bahaduri, T.S. Barton-Maclaren, M.R. Gwinn, M. Rasenberg, R.S. Thomas, Accelerating the Pace of Chemical Risk Assessment, *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 31 (2018) 287–290. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.7b00339>.

