

ALL-IN meta-analysis

Schure, J.A. ter

Citation

Schure, J. A. ter. (2022, April 7). *ALL-IN meta-analysis*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3281933

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral

License: thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University

of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3281933

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

ALL-IN meta-analysis

Judith ter Schure

ISBN 978-90-619-6413-1

Cover design

Ilse Modder

Cover photo credits

Marjolein van Sommeren (conceptualization), Kilian Lafleur (digital edit), Rinske ter Schure (photographer), Thomas de Jong (photographer), Elsa ter Schure (photographer), Arnold ter Schure (encouragement)

ALL-IN meta-analysis

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 7 april 2022
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Julia Anna (Judith) ter Schure geboren te Meppel, Nederland in 1992

Promotores:

Prof. dr. Peter D. Grünwald (Universiteit Leiden en Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica, Amsterdam)

Dr. Daniel Lakens (Technische Universiteit Eindhoven)

Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. Frans A.J. de Haas

Prof. dr. Jelle J. Goeman

Dr. ir. Joanna in 't Hout (Radboud Universiteit)

Prof. dr. Glenn Shafer (Rutgers University)

Prof. dr. Alex J. Sutton (University of Leicester)

This work was funded by the Dutch Research Counsil (NWO) and carried out at Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI), Amsterdam.







To Glenn Shafer, Stephen Senn, Peter Grünwald and Daniel Lakens

Subsets of you taught me the importance of fundamentals and history, the beauty of clinical trials, and – especially when a mathematical concept is necessary to make a point – the power of storytelling.

Origin of the material

The dissertation is based on the following earlier (pre-print) publications:

Chapter 1 is based on a paper that is under review at F1000 and available on ArXiv:

Judith ter Schure and Peter Grünwald. ALL-IN Meta-analysis: Breathing Life into Living Systematic Reviews. arXiv:2109.12141. 2021.

Chapter 2 is based on a paper that is available on ArXiv:

Judith ter Schure, Muriel F. Pérez-Ortiz, Alexander Ly and Peter Grünwald. The Safe Logrank Test: Error Control under Continuous Monitoring with Unlimited Horizon. arXiv:2011.06931. 2020.

Chapter 3 is based on a paper that is published at F1000 Research:

Judith ter Schure and Peter Grünwald. Accumulation Bias in Meta-analysis: The Need to Consider Time in Error Control [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *F1000Research*, 2019.

Chapter 4 is based on a blogpost at The Replication Network:

Judith ter Schure. Accumulation Bias: How to Handle It ALL-IN. *The Replication Network*. 2020.

Chapter 5 is based on a blogpost at The Replication Network:

Judith ter Schure and Peter Grünwald. Accumulation Bias: How to Handle It As a Bayesian. *The Replication Network*. 2022.

Chapter 6 is based on a paper published in STAtOR, the society magazine of the Netherlands Society for Statistics and Operations Research VVSOR:

Judith ter Schure, Peter Grünwald and Alexander Ly. Pandemic Preparedness in Data Sharing: Lessons Learned from Collaborating in a Live Meta-Analysis. *STAtOR*, 2021, 22.4: 47-52.

Contents

| Pr | efac | e | 1 |
|----|--|--|------------------|
| In | trodu | uction | 5 |
| 1 | 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 | Efficiency | 7 4 7 2 |
| Ap | | ices The inverse-conservative <i>p</i> -value | |
| 2 | 2.1 2.2 2.3 | Comparing rejection regions | 5 5 0 2 |
| Ap | 2.B 2.C | ices 7 Towards Continuous Time | 1 2 |
| 3 | 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 | A Gold Rush example: new studies after finding significant results | 1 3 9 1 |

| | 3.8 | The choice between error control conditioned and surviving over time Why likelihood ratios work: dependencies as strategy | 117 |
|------|------------|---|-----|
| | 1 | | |
| Ap | pend | ces Common/fixed-effect meta-analysis | 191 |
| | | Expectation <i>Gold Rush</i> conditional pilot <i>Z</i> -score | |
| | | Expectation <i>Gold Rush</i> conditional meta-analysis <i>Z</i> -score | |
| | | Mixture variance | |
| | | Maximum time probability | |
| | | Error control surviving over time in terms of a sum | |
| | | Code availability | |
| 4 | | eumulation Bias: | |
| | | | 127 |
| | | Our example: extreme <i>Gold Rush</i> accumulation bias | 128 |
| | | tion bias | 129 |
| | 4.3 | Accumulation bias can be efficient | 131 |
| | 4.4 | The unconditional sampling distribution under extreme Gold Rush accu- | |
| | | mulation bias | |
| | | ALL-IN meta-analysis | |
| | | Accumulation bias from ALL-IN meta-analysis vs Gold Rush | |
| | 4.7 | Properties averaged over time | |
| | 4.8 4.9 | Multiple testing over time | |
| Αp | pend | ices | |
| · •P | • | Extreme <i>Gold Rush</i> expressed in accumulation bias framework | 142 |
| | | Extreme <i>Gold Rush</i> conditional sampling distribution | |
| | | The martingale underlying the table | |
| 5 | | eumulation Bias: | |
| | | - 10 Hamilton 1 10 0 0 = 0 , 0 0 1 1 1 1 | 147 |
| | | Our example: extreme <i>Gold Rush</i> accumulation bias | |
| | | Likelihood ratios | |
| | | Two simple hypotheses | |
| | | Bayesian error control under extreme <i>Gold Rush</i> accumulation bias | |
| | 5.5 5.6 | The prior odds are crucial | |
| | 5.7 | Pseudo-Bayesian error control | |
| | 5.8 | Conclusion | |
| Аp | pend | | |
| | 5.A | Pseudo-Bayes posterior odds for exponential families and beyond | 165 |

Judith ter Schure

| | 5.B | Extension and Proof of Theorem 5.A.1 | . 168 |
|----|--------|--|-------|
| 6 | Data | a sharing | |
| | | live meta-analysis | 173 |
| | 6.1 | Sharing live results while keeping researchers blinded | . 176 |
| | 6.2 | A central analysis | . 176 |
| | | Data transfer agreements | |
| | 6.4 | Estimation | . 178 |
| | 6.5 | Conclusion | . 178 |
| Di | scus | sion and future work | 181 |
| Bi | bliog | raphy | 199 |
| Sa | men | vatting | 203 |
| Da | nkw | oord | 205 |
| Cι | ırricı | ulum Vitae | 207 |

Preface

This Ph.D. research had its origin in a bar; a typical bar in Utrecht, in a historic wharf cellar at the central canal. On Wednesday, April 20^{th} 2016, this bar served as the scenery for the Young Statisticians to host their night of beers and statistical discussion on the (ab)use of p-values in research: "To p or not to p?" It was there that I heard Professor Peter Grünwald speak about how p-values are misunderstood and how much better we could do if we thought of statistics a bit more like gambling. I enjoyed every minute of it – also thanks to the great atmosphere that evening – and, fortunately, I still do.

Later that year I finished my Master's *Statistical Science for the Life and Behavioural Science* while staying in contact with Peter. I was very lucky that the timing of my graduation matched with Peter's procurement of funding for Ph.D. students. As a contender for a position, I had the advantage to have already made my job interview impression that day in that bar. Peter remembers it as quite unorthodox in mathematics for a student to simply walk up to him and state something along the lines of "This is so cool! Can I spend a Ph.D. studying this?".

Now, almost four years of Ph.D. research¹ later, I am still not bored with *p*-value discussions. What is more, friends refer to my Ph.D. research as "the nemesis of the *p*-value", and they have a point. What else could be the final blow to "science by *p*-value" than a paper (Ter Schure and Grünwald (2019), Chapter 3) that points out that in the cumulative science we idolize – "standing on the shoulders of giants" – the *p*-value is impossible to calculate correctly unless we do clinical trials and meta-analyses for random reasons?

 $^{^{1}}$ Four full-time equivalent years: between May 1st, 2017 and February 1th, 2022 I spent 44 months working 80% of my working week (\approx 35 weeks full-time equivalent) on this Ph.D. research and 13 months working 100%, so 48 full-time months in total.

