



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Microbiome-mediated colonization resistance: defense against enteropathogens and multi-drug resistant organisms

Ducarmon, Q.R.

Citation

Ducarmon, Q. R. (2022, March 23). *Microbiome-mediated colonization resistance: defense against enteropathogens and multi-drug resistant organisms*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3280022>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3280022>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Chapter 5

Development of a novel computational tool for profiling of carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) in the human gut and its application in colorectal cancer cohorts

Unpublished data, manuscript in preparation

Q. R. Ducarmon^{a,b,c,*}, N. Karcher^{a,*}, H.L.P. Tytgat^d, C. Schudoma^a, G. Zeller^a

^aStructural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany.

^bDepartment of Medical Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

^cCenter for Microbiome Analyses and Therapeutics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

^dNestlé Institute of Health Sciences, Nestlé Research, Société des Produits Nestlé S.A., Lausanne, Switzerland

*these authors contributed equally

Abstract

Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) are essential for the synthesis and breakdown of (complex) glycans and glycoconjugates. They are present in all living species, but are especially diverse in bacteria. In the gut microbiome, CAZymes are crucial for metabolizing complex carbohydrates of dietary and host origin, such as fiber and mucins, respectively. Currently, dbCAN2 is the most widely used computational tool for annotation of CAZymes in genomic data, but it cannot be directly applied to metagenomic data. dbCAN2 can identify protein sequences that are similar to those present in the CAZy database (the most comprehensive data and knowledge base on CAZymes) using Hidden Markov models (HMMs) specifically built for each CAZyme (sub-)family. However, detection accuracy (E-values cutoffs) has not been calibrated for these HMMs. A second challenge for wide application of this tool for metagenome analysis is the lack of systematic substrate annotations for CAZyme families, which are currently primarily grouped based on amino acid sequence similarity. A hierarchical annotation of CAZyme substrates would however be needed for functional interpretation of CAZyme profiles. To close this gap, the main aim of this study was to build the first tool for computing CAZyme profiles from shotgun metagenomic data, which can be interpreted in terms of substrate specificities. This entailed optimization of HMM E-values for precise detection of CAZymes and construction of a novel hierarchical substrate scheme to facilitate functional interpretation. Application of this tool using data from eight different colorectal cancer (CRC) cohorts revealed that CRC metagenomes were enriched in microbial CAZymes involved in glycosaminoglycan metabolism (p-value 7.44×10^{-4}) and in peptidoglycan metabolism (3.52×10^{-2}), and depleted in CAZymes involved in dietary fiber metabolism (p-value 3.68×10^{-4}) as compared to control metagenomes, suggesting that known dietary risk factors, such as increased meat consumption/decreased fiber consumption in CRC, are reflected in the gut microbial CAZy repertoire.

Introduction

Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) are a diverse group of enzymes which can build up and break down glycans and glycoconjugates, consisting of e.g. glycosyltransferases and glycosyl hydrolases. They are present in all domains of life, but an exceptionally diverse set is encoded in microbial genomes, thereby contributing to the extraordinary metabolic versatility of microorganisms¹. Microbes present in the human gut possess a variety of CAZymes which, amongst others, aid in metabolizing (complex) carbohydrates consumed from the diet, one of the key functions of the gut microbiome². As the human genome encodes for only 17 enzymes with limited capacity (involved in breakdown of sucrose, lactose and starch) for carbohydrate degradation¹, microbial CAZymes are imperative for metabolizing diet-derived complex carbohydrates.

Fermentation of these complex carbohydrates leads to production of metabolites including short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), of which butyrate, propionate and acetate form 90-95% of the total SCFA pool in the human gut³. The contributions of SCFAs to maintaining host health are difficult to overstate, but amongst the most important functions are butyrate being the main energy source for enterocytes⁴, regulating gut barrier integrity⁵ and being ligands for a variety of receptors present on enteroendocrine and immune cells⁵.

Apart from metabolizing complex carbohydrates that are stemming from the diet, CAZymes can also metabolize host glycans such as the mucus layer, with negative consequences in certain conditions. For example, upon exposing fiber-deprived mice to the pathogen *Citrobacter rodentium*, mice suffered from a far higher mortality than mice who were provided a normal diet. This was likely due to the microbiome starting to use host-derived glycans (mucus layer) as the main energy source due to the lack of fiber. This led to mucus layer erosion and thereby to closer proximity of *C. rodentium* to the gut epithelium in these fiber-deprived mice, which likely facilitated infection⁶.

In the CAZy database, CAZyme families have been constructed and they are defined based on amino acid sequence similarity. For each family, at least one founding member is required to have been biochemically characterized⁷. These CAZyme families are rigorously curated and extensively evaluated before a new CAZyme family is accepted into the database⁷. This is one of the reasons why the CAZy database is regarded as the gold standard in the field. One drawback of the current classification scheme, based on amino acid sequence similarity, is that glycan substrate annotation is in many cases problematic. A CAZyme family can contain enzymes with similar amino acid sequences, while they can metabolize a variety of substrates⁸. This drawback can, however, not only be ascribed to the current classification scheme. For multiple CAZyme families

no substrate is known and to this day it remains unknown what determines substrate specificity. Nevertheless, the lack of a higher, systematic substrate system for CAZyme families for which substrates are known currently limits functional interpretability with regard to high-level substrate usage.

A main goal of researchers interested in CAZymes is to discover which CAZymes are encoded in their (meta)genomic data and whether CAZyme abundances differ meaningfully between groups of samples. One of the most popular tools to annotate CAZymes in genomic data is the dbCAN2 tool, which uses CAZyme (sub)family-specific Hidden Markov models (HMMs) to annotate gene sequences⁹. While this tool has proven valuable and remains widely used, optimization of E-value cutoffs (which aims to minimize conflicts between functional homology and sequence similarity) was performed on only six genomes⁹. This leaves considerable room for optimizing the detection accuracy of CAZyme annotation. In addition, dbCAN2 is an open-reading frame (ORF) annotation framework and not a profiler, which prevents estimating taxonomic or functional abundance profiles from a shotgun metagenome. From the ORF prediction it is not trivial to estimate (relative) abundances of CAZymes in a given metagenome, especially given that, due to their multimodular nature, multiple CAZyme families can occur within a single ORF. Lastly, to be able to annotate ORFs with CAZymes, researchers would first have to laboriously reconstruct these (via metagenome assembly, ORF prediction, and redundancy removal) from metagenomic data, posing a substantial challenge to many (non-)bioinformaticians.

Here, we aimed to develop the first easy-to-use tool to profile CAZymes from short-read metagenomic data and to optimize E-values for more accurate detection of CAZymes using HMMs. In addition, we suggest a novel substrate annotation scheme allowing for improved interpretation of the resulting CAZyme profiles in terms of broader substrate groups these act on. Lastly, we applied our tool on eight different colorectal cancer (CRC) cohorts to uncover novel associations between specific CAZymes, substrate metabolism and CRC.

Materials and methods

Generation of CAZyme (sub)family module sequence set

In order to obtain family-wise CAZy modules, we first downloaded all CAZy sequences (<http://bcbl.unl.edu/dbCAN2/download/Databases/V9/> dbCAN HMMdb release 9.0 and CAZyDB released on 07/30/2020). We then identified CAZy modules on these sequences using the dbCAN HMMs (from the same dbCAN2 release) using an E-value threshold of $1e-15$ and a coverage threshold of 0.35 (default cut-offs on dbCAN server). We then

generated (sub)family-wise multiple sequence alignments of module sequences using mafft for the 29 largest families (containing most sequences) and mafft-linsi for the remaining sequences on representative sequences obtained from mmseqs2 clustered at 99% (clustered using mmseqs2 (arguments easy-cluster, --min-seq-id 0.99, --cov-mode 0, -c 0.5)^{10, 11}. The clustering was done in order to avoid bias in building the HMMs due to overrepresentation of very similar sequences. Default parameters were used unless stated otherwise.

Optimization of HMM E-value cutoffs

To optimize HMM E-value cutoffs for each CAZy family we optimized HMM E-values using 5-fold blocked cross validation as well as an external negative sequence set: For each CAZy (sub)family and fold, we divided the module sequence set into two sets: The first set of sequences was used to build the module HMM and the second set was used as positive instances for evaluation, combined with the module sequences of all remaining CAZy (sub)families as well as non-CAZy sequences obtained from UniProt (see below) as negative instances. Division into training and test folds was done in a blocked fashion, where sequences in one block are always together in either training or test set. This was done to minimize information from the training set leaking into the test set. To define blocking groups, module sequences within each family were clustered at 60% sequence identity using mmseqs2 (arguments easy-cluster, --min-seq-id 0.60, --cov-mode 0, -c 0.5)¹¹. Folds were designed in such a way that test sets never overlap with each other (Figure 1). This setup was applied to 330 CAZyme families with at least 150 sequences in the CAZy database. For the 207 families which had less than 150 sequences, but more than 30 sequences, cross validation was performed without blocking. Non-CAZy sequences were obtained from UniProt in the following manner. First, all manually curated sequences (Swiss-Prot) with an annotation score of five out of five (indicating experimental evidence at protein level) were downloaded (n=54,978 sequences, March 5th 2021). We subsequently filtered out all sequences with an annotated Enzyme Commission (EC) number present in CAZy, yielding 51,507 sequences. Since CBMs are non-catalytic (and thus have no EC number), we did not add UniProt sequences as negative instances when we optimized E-values for CBMs.

Finally, we determined family- and fold-wise optimal E-values by iterating over E-value thresholds (from $1e^0$ to $1e^{-200}$) and choosing the E-value that maximizes the F1-Score. The F1 score was calculated using the follow formula: $2 * (\text{recall} * \text{precision}) / (\text{recall} + \text{precision})$. Recall was calculated using the formula: $TP / (TP + FN)$ and precision using $TP / (TP + FP)$. A schematic overview of the workflow for building novel HMMs and optimization of their E-values can be found in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Schematic workflow of construction of the novel HMMs and optimization of their E-values. (A) Extraction of module subsequences from CAZy ORFs using the dbCAN2 HMMs. (B) E-value optimization for each of CAZy family using blocked cross-validation.

CAZyme annotation of the Integrated Gene Catalog (IGC)

To annotate the approximately 9.9 million genes present in the IGC¹² with CAZy module information, we ran the CV HMMs of all folds against the IGC and assigned module hits by determining the overlap of all fold-HMMs hits.

Community profiling of datasets

We investigated eight different colorectal cancer cohorts. Raw metagenomic sequencing data was processed using NGLess (v1.0.0) and accompanying tools^{13, 14}. In short, raw sequence data was pre-processed by quality-based trimming and reads with quality value below 25 were discarded, followed by discarding reads shorter than 45 bp. Second, reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19 reference) and discarded if reads mapped with more than 90% sequence identity and an alignment length of at least 45 bp. Third, we mapped filtered reads against the Integrated Gene Catalog (IGC) using BWA-MEM and obtained BAM files were sorted using samtools sort^{12, 14, 15}. Fourth, bedtools intersect (-bed -wo) was used to investigate overlap between the mapped reads and CAZy modules¹⁶. Lastly, we used isect_quant (https://github.com/cschu/gff_quantifier/blob/master/gffquant/isect_quant.py) to obtain CAZyme abundance profiles (which were corrected for the length of the respective CAZyme). Here, we focused on colorectal cancer (CRC) patients and controls (total n = 1225, CRC cases n = 632, controls n = 593) from eight different cohorts spanning seven countries and three continents¹⁷⁻²³.

Meta-analysis of differentially abundant CAZymes in CRC

We started our meta-analysis by investigating which CAZymes were differentially abundant between CRC and controls' metagenomes through a blocked Wilcoxon-rank sum test as available through the coin (v1.4-1) package, where study was the blocking factor²⁴. Next, to investigate whether signatures were consistent across studies, univariate nonparametric Wilcoxon tests and false discovery rate (FDR) correction were performed as implemented by the SIAMCAT package (v1.10.0), on a per-study basis²⁵. Generalized fold changes for both tests were calculated as implemented by the SIAMCAT package. P-values were adjusted using FDR correction (Benjamini-Hochberg method)²⁶ and adjusted p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Leveraging the extensive manual substrate annotations allowed us to perform GSEA to investigate whether there is differential metabolism of specific substrates between CRC patients and controls²⁷. GSEA was performed using the R package fgsea(v1.16.0) and the fgseaMultilevel function with default parameters²⁸. As input measure for fold change, generalized fold changes as obtained from SIAMCAT were used.

Results

Overview over the new CAZy profiler

We started building our profiler by annotating the IGC with our cross-validated HMMs and optimized E-value cutoffs, obtaining CAZy module annotations and locations on each ORF. Subsequently, we mapped quality-filtered and human-filtered reads from a given metagenomic sample to the entire IGC. We then computed overlaps of the aligned reads with the annotated CAZy modules using bedtools intersect¹⁶. We generated CAZy profiles by averaging individual CAZy module coverages (number of reads aligning to a module normalized by its length).

Overview of CAZy annotations on IGC

We started our analyses by obtaining a global overview of which CAZymes were annotated using our method in the IGC, a comprehensive gene catalogue constructed from metagenomes of the human gut microbiome¹². We detected a total of 457 unique CAZymes in the IGC, which amounted to 225,946 unique ORFs (2.29% of IGC). The largest number of (sub)families was annotated in the glycoside hydrolases (GHs) category; 240 unique (sub)families, followed by 76 glycosyltransferases (GTs), 63 carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) 55 polysaccharide lyases (PLs), 14 carbohydrate esterases (CEs) and 9 auxiliary activities (AAs).

Constructing a novel glycan substrate annotation scheme

To construct a more informative scheme for glycan substrate annotation, we grouped carbohydrate substrates into five biological categories which we systematically annotated for each commonly encountered glycan in the human gut (Supplementary Table 1). We hereby focused on CAZy categories GH, PL, CE and CBM for two main reasons. First, there is relatively little information about the precise substrates of many GT and AA families precluding their grouping into functional categories. Second, our main interest was in digestion rather than synthesis of (complex) carbohydrates and GTs are less involved in the former process.

Based on the CAZymes that we annotated in the IGC, a list of all potential substrates was generated. Based on literature^{1, 6, 29-32}, these substrates were further classified into broad biological categories: reflecting their origin (e.g. bacterial, plant), function in their original biological context (e.g. storage, structural) and function at destination/general biological role (e.g. dietary fiber, glycosaminoglycan) (Supplementary Table 1). We then annotated each CAZyme detected in the IGC with substrates and matched this to the annotations per glycan (Supplementary Table 2). This way of grouping and annotating CAZymes allows for a systematic investigation of substrate metabolism potential using metagenomic data.

Meta-analysis shows CAZymes to be differentially abundant between CRC patients and controls

Shotgun metagenomic data from eight different CRC study populations recruited in seven different countries (Austria, China, Germany, France, Italy, Japan and the US) were re-analyzed.

The first aim of this meta-analysis was to investigate whether CRC-specific CAZyme signatures could consistently be identified across the included studies. To this end, we pooled data from all studies and performed a blocked Wilcoxon test to account for study heterogeneity (as blocking factor). As a result, we identified 203 CAZymes to be significantly differentially abundant between CRC patients and controls at an FDR-adjusted p -value ≤ 0.05 (data not shown). Next, we aimed to identify which CAZyme signatures were consistent across the cohorts. Therefore, we explored the datasets by investigating differential abundance of CAZymes separately in each study by employing a Wilcoxon test and FDR correction. Significantly differentially abundant CAZymes were noted in all cohorts except from the US cohort, which previously showed weak associations between the microbiome and CRC, possibly related to the long-term sample storage (Figure 2)^{22, 23}.

Among the consistently more abundant CAZymes in controls across different cohorts were GH94, GH53, GH5_2, CE17, CBM48 and CBM2. Of these CAZymes, GH94, GH53, GH5_2 and CBM2 have the annotation of dietary fiber as function at destination, while CE17 has no known substrate and CBM48 is involved in glycogen metabolism. Collectively, this points towards increased dietary fiber metabolism in control metagenomes. In CRC metagenomes, CE11, GT19, GH20, GT107, GH123, CBM40 and GH33 were among the most consistently enriched CAZymes. We could not assign a function at destination to any of these CAZyme families, apart from GH20, which is involved in both dietary fiber and peptidoglycan metabolism.





Figure 2: Meta-analysis on a per-study basis using Wilcoxon tests and FDR correction for p-values. We selected CAZymes to be displayed by taking the 15 most significantly differentially abundant CAZymes in both CRC metagenomes and in control metagenomes as obtained by the blocked Wilcoxon test using combined data from all eight cohorts. Note that both Italian cohorts contained significantly differentially abundant CAZymes, but these were not among the 15 most significant ones in either direction. The different studies are indicated in the columns of the heatmap and labeled underneath (AT; Austria, CN; China, DE; Germany, FR; France, IT; Italy, JP; Japan and US; United States). Red indicates CAZymes that are more abundant in CRC patients and blue indicates CAZymes more abundant in controls. CAZymes are ordered on the y-axis based on average generalized fold change values.

Enrichment analysis reveals decreased dietary fiber metabolism and increased glycosaminoglycan (GAG) metabolism in CRC patients

We next investigated whether dietary fiber, and other substrates, were enriched at the substrate level rather than the individual CAZyme level. To this end, we performed enrichment testing through GSEA. Leveraging our suggestion for novel manual substrate annotations in combination with GSEA, we could investigate enrichment of CAZymes at the substrate category level rather than at the individual CAZyme level. Enrichment analysis was performed at the level of the glycan’s function at

destination, with testing performed for five large substrate categories (dietary fiber, glycosaminoglycans, peptidoglycan, glycogen and mucin). The difference in number of CAZymes annotated with these specific substrates is large, with 266 unique CAZymes being involved in metabolism of dietary fiber, 27 in glycosaminoglycan, 25 in glycogen, 16 in peptidoglycan and two in mucin. GSEA testing revealed an enrichment of dietary fiber metabolism in controls (adjusted p-value 7.44×10^{-4}), while GAG and peptidoglycan were significantly enriched in CRC patients (adjusted p-value 3.68×10^{-4} and 3.52×10^{-2} , respectively) (Figure 3). GAG are important components of the extracellular matrix of animal tissues (for example in connective and skeletomuscular tissue) and, importantly, have never been detected in plants^{33, 34}.



Discussion

We present a new tool for profiling CAZymes from shotgun metagenomic data generated from human fecal samples. To interpret these profiles with respect to broader substrate categories, we additionally derived a hierarchical substrate classification scheme and curated substrate information for all CAZyme families found in the human gut based on the scientific literature. To maximize the detection accuracy of CAZymes in uncharacterized (meta-)genomic sequences based on sequence homology, we systematically optimized and validated family-specific HMMs (and their E-value cutoffs) on a large set of manually annotated CAZyme sequences. By leveraging our novel substrate annotation scheme, we were for the first time able to perform enrichment testing with respect to substrate metabolism for CAZymes in metagenomic case-control studies. In an application to eight studies of CRC, these novel tools revealed a clear CRC-associated CAZyme signature that is largely consistent across the geographically diverse studies included in this meta-analysis.

Advantages of our newly developed tool over dbCAN2

The most widely used tool for detecting CAZymes in genomic data are dbCAN2 and its predecessor dbCAN^{9, 35}. This tool is largely based on building HMMs from CAZy (sub)families from the CAZy database. While it has proven to be extremely valuable for annotating genomic data, the E-value cutoffs have not been optimized for detection accuracy. Here we used blocked cross-validation on the protein sequences from the CAZy database to optimize HMM E-value cutoffs to more accurately identify CAZymes. Going a step further than annotation of genomic data, we devised a profiling framework to enable users to directly profile CAZymes from human gut metagenomic data. This is a major advance, as it allows for straightforward comparative analyses of CAZyme profiles between (groups of) metagenomic samples using statistical tests suitable for metagenomic data. We will ultimately make the workflow presented in this manuscript (from raw read processing to obtaining matrices with CAZyme abundances) publicly available as open-source software to enable other researchers to easily profile the CAZyme repertoire in their metagenome. While we purely focused on the human gut microbiome, we plan for future updates to extend the CAZyme substrate annotations to gene sequences found in metagenomic gene catalogs available for other mammalian gut microbiomes and environmental communities, such as those in the environment (e.g. soil, ocean)³⁶⁻⁴¹.

Manual substrate annotations allow for informative grouping at higher functional levels and statistical enrichment testing

By detecting broader shifts in carbohydrate degradation capabilities of microbial communities, key insights into community function have been obtained, in some cases

with important implications for host physiology^{42, 43}. However, this type of analysis is still not very commonly performed on human gut metagenomic data due to the following technical challenges. The combination of a lack of knowledge on substrate usage of specific CAZyme families and grouping CAZymes into families based on protein sequence similarity does not allow for easy interpretation of CAZyme profiles with regard to substrate utilization⁷. Therefore, it would be highly valuable to have a consistent higher-level substrate annotation that can be used to detect trends across families. A common workflow that researchers currently take when trying to assign functional information to CAZymes is to first investigate, through differential abundance testing, which CAZymes are differentially abundant between two groups of interest and then attempt to annotate these CAZymes only^{17, 44}. However, this does not leverage a potential ‘substrate-level’ effect, as while individual CAZymes may not be significantly differentially abundant, their combined effect may be significant at the substrate level²⁷. Such enrichment patterns can, however, be detected using our new substrate annotation scheme in combination with Kolmogorov-Smirnov type statistical tests that are also commonly applied in GSEA²⁷.

CAZyme and substrate enrichments correspond to and extend on previous nutritional epidemiological studies investigating CRC

Increased dietary fiber intake has long been linked to a reduced risk of developing CRC, although there is some conflicting evidence from nutritional epidemiological studies⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸. However, it has not been systematically investigated whether the gut microbiome may be involved in the physiological metabolic processes underlying this association¹⁷. Previous research showed specific CAZymes involved in fiber metabolism to be more abundant in controls and several CAZymes involved in host glycan metabolism (e.g. mucin) to be more abundant in CRC patients¹⁷. We investigated if this could be confirmed by applying our tool on data from eight different studies with patients recruited in seven different countries on three continents. Additionally, we moved away from exclusively testing individual CAZymes, but instead assessed enrichment of specific substrate categories through a GSEA. Importantly, we found dietary fiber metabolism to be increased in controls and an increase in GAG metabolism in CRC metagenomes (Figure 3). The latter possibly reflects increased meat consumption in CRC patients, which has been linked to an increased risk for developing CRC⁴⁹⁻⁵¹.

Unfortunately, no food frequency questionnaire or other detailed dietary information was collected from the CRC patients or controls, which prevents us from correlating reported dietary intake to abundance of specific CAZymes or to the observed enrichment in GAG metabolism. Importantly, while we see this enrichment in GAG in CRC metagenomes, it should be noted that this remains an association and cannot be interpreted as a causal relationship. Lastly, we observed an enrichment in peptidoglycan metabolism in CRC

metagenomes, which is in line with a previous observation of an increase in bacterial cell wall components in CRC metagenomes¹⁷, but this result remains difficult to interpret. In conclusion, our novel tool allows for accurate profiling of CAZymes from metagenomic shotgun sequence data and the annotation scheme enables substrate-based analysis and interpretation. Together, the tool and substrate annotation scheme pave the way for more informative functional analyses from metagenomic data with respect to the CAZyme repertoire. We applied our tool and annotation scheme to investigate the role of CAZymes and substrate metabolism in CRC metagenomes and discovered specific CAZymes and substrates to be enriched and depleted in CRC metagenomes. While both the dietary fiber and GAG signatures are consistent with known dietary risk factors of CRC, it remains unknown whether the gut microbiome mediates the potentially beneficial and harmful effects of these dietary components in CRC development, or whether the gut microbiome simply adapts to the diet and plays no mechanistic role in the diet-CRC relationship. Therefore, future studies should aim to delineate whether the effects of dietary factors on CRC development are microbiome-mediated or not.

Notes

Funding

QD was supported by a FEMS Research and Training Grant. NK and GZ are supported by EMBL core funding, GZ is partially funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF; the de. NBI network no. 031A537B and grant no. 031L0181A).

Author contributions

QD, NK and GZ conceptualized and designed the study. QD, NK and CS performed all bioinformatic analyses. QD and HT performed substrate annotations and QD wrote the manuscript with contributions from NK and GZ. GZ supervised the study. All authors discussed and approved the manuscript.

Supplementary tables

Table S1: Table with annotations at several functional categories per glycan. Dietary fiber (DF), non-starch polysaccharides (NSP), peptidoglycan (PG), glycosaminoglycan (GAG).

Glycan	Origin	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3
arabinogalactan	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin
arabinoxylan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose
beta-glucan	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose
beta-mannan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans
cellobiose	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose
cellulose	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose
galactomannan	Plant,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans
glucomannan	Plant,Fungal,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans,Hemicellulose
xylan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose
xyloglucan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose
amylopectin	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown
amylose	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown
arabinan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin
glycogen	Animal	Storage	Glycogen	Unknown	Unknown
pullulan	Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown
starch	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown
alginate	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum
carrageenan	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum
galactan	Algae,Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin
laminarin	Algae	Storage	DF	NSP	Unknown
porphyran	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown
fucoidan	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown
ulvan	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown
alpha-mannan	Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown
chitin	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown
chitosan	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown
chitodextrin	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown
dextrin	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_oligosaccharides	Unknown
chondroitin	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown
chondroitin_sulfate	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown
heparin	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown
heparin_sulfate	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown
hyaluronan	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown
mucin	Animal	Unknown	Mucin	Unknown	Unknown
fructan	Plant	Storage	DF	NSP	Unknown
inulin	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_oligosaccharides	Unknown
homogalacturonan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin
rhamnogalacturonan	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin
melibiose	Fungal	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

Glycan	Origin	Function_in_ origin	Function_ destination_1	Function_d estination_2	Function_ destination_3
raffinose	Plant	Unknown	DF	Resistant_ oligosaccharides	Unknown
agarose	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown
peptidoglycan	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG
lichenin	Plant	Storage	DF	NSP	Unknown
GlcNAc	Animal,Bacteria	Structural	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
GalNAc	Animal,Bacteria	Structural	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
sialic_acid	Animal,Bacteria, Archaea,Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

Table S2: Table with annotations for all CAZymes annotated in the IGC (when substrates could be assigned).

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_1	All_domains_of_life	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	broad
GH_2	All_domains_of_life	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	broad
GH_3	All_domains_of_life	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	broad
GH_4	All_domains_of_life	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	broad
GH_5	All_domains_of_life	Structural, Storage	DF,Glycogen	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose
GH_5_1	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin
GH_5_2	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal, Animal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin, chitosan
GH_5_4	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin, xylan, xyloglucan
GH_5_5	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin
GH_5_7	Plant,Fungal,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans	beta-mannan, mannan, galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_5_8	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Mannans_and_Heteromannans, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin, mannan, galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_5_13	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	alpha-arabinoside, beta-galactofuranoside
GH_5_18	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-mannoside
GH_5_21	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_5_22	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin, xylan
GH_5_23						glucopyranoside
GH_5_25	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Mannans_and_Heteromannans, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin, mannan, galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_5_26	Plant,Microbial, Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin
GH_5_35	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_5_36	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Mannans_and_Heteromannans	beta-glucan, mannan, galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_5_37	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_5_38	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, cellulose, lichenin
GH_5_41	Plant,Fungal,Bacterial	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans	mannan,galactomannan,glucomannan
GH_5_44	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
GH_5_45	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	beta-glucoside,alpha-arabinofuranoside,arabinoxylan,arabinogalactan
GH_5_46	Plant,Bacteria, Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan,cellulose,lichenin
GH_5_52	Plant,Bacteria, Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose	beta-glucan,cellulose
GH_5_54	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose	cellulose
GH_8	Plant,Bacteria, Fungal, Animal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose,beta-glucan,xylan,lichenin,chitosan
GH_9	Plant,Bacterial, Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Mannans_and_Heteromannans	cellulose,xylan,beta-glucan,xyloglucan,glucomannan
GH_10	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_11	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_12	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose, lichenin, beta-glucan, xyloglucan
GH_13	All_domains_of_life	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Unknown	Unknown	broad
GH_13_2	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides
GH_13_3	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
GH_13_4	Plant		DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch		amylosucrose
GH_13_5	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides
GH_13_8	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	amylose
GH_13_9	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	amylose
GH_13_10	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides,trehalose
GH_13_11	Plant,Animal	Storage	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	glycogen,amylopectin

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_13_12	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides,pullulan, amylopectin
GH_13_13	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan,amylopectin,glycogen
GH_13_14	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan,amylopectin,glycogen,starch, related_polysaccharides
GH_13_16						maltose
GH_13_18						sucrose,glucosylglycerate
GH_13_19	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	amylose,amyloseous_polysaccharides
GH_13_20	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan,amylopectin,glycogen,starch, related_polysaccharides,maltodextrin
GH_13_21	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides
GH_13_26						alpha-glucan
GH_13_27	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides
GH_13_28	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan,amylopectin,glycogen,starch, related_polysaccharides
GH_13_29	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	trehalose,starch
GH_13_30	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
GH_13_31	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides,sucrose
GH_13_32	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides,pullulan, amylopectin,amyloseous_polysaccharides
GH_13_33						maltose
GH_13_36	Animal,Fungal,Plant	Storage, Structural	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides,pullulan, maltodextrin
GH_13_37	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,glycogen,related_polysaccharides
GH_13_38	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
GH_13_39	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan,amylopectin,glycogen,starch, related_polysaccharides

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_13_41	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	pullulan, amylopectin, glycogen, starch, related_polysaccharides
GH_13_42	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch, glycogen, related_polysaccharides, amyaceous_polysaccharides
GH_14	Animal,Plant	Storage	Glycogen,DF	Unknown,Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch, glycogen, related_polysaccharides
GH_15	Plant	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	trehalose, alpha-glucan, dextran, isomaltos accharide
GH_16_3	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Unknown	lichenin, beta-glucan, laminarin, paramylon, pachyman, keratan_sulfate
GH_16_6	Algae,Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	transglycosylases, glucan, galactan
GH_16_8	Algae,Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	transglycosylases, glucan, galactan
GH_16_12	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	porphyran
GH_16_14	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose
GH_16_15	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose
GH_16_16	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose, porphyran
GH_16_17	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	carrageenan
GH_16_21	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Unknown	lichenin, beta-glucan, laminarin, xylan
GH_16_24	Plant,Bacteria, Fungal, Algae,Animal,Bacteria	Structural, GAG	DF,GAG	NSP,Unknown	Hemicellulose, Gum, Unknown	beta-glucan, karatan, hyaluronan, carrageenan
GH_16_25	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Unknown	lichenin, beta-glucan
GH_17	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Unknown	beta-glucan, lichenin, abscisate
GH_18	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	chitin, chitodextrin, peptidoglycan, high-mannose_glycoproteins
GH_19	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	chitin, chitodextrin, peptidoglycan
GH_20	Bacterial,Animal	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	gangliosides, chitobiose
GH_23	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	chitin, chitodextrin, peptidoglycan
GH_24	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	chitodextrin, peptidoglycan

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_25	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	chitodextrin,peptidoglycan
GH_26	Plant,Fungal,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans, Hemicellulose	mannan,galactomannan,glucomannan, beta-mannan,xylan
GH_27	Animal,Plant,Unknown	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose,Unknown	alpha-galactoside,N-acetylglactosamine,alpha-glucan,arabinopyranoside
GH_28	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan,pectin, homogalacturonan, polygalacturote
GH_29	Algae,Animal	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown,HMO	fucoidan
GH_30_1	Plant,Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Pectin	xylan,glucan,galactan,glucuronide
GH_30_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_30_3	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
GH_30_4	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-fucoside
GH_30_5	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin	arabinogalactan,beta-galactan
GH_30_6	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-glucoside
GH_30_8	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,glucuronarabinoxylans
GH_30_9	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin	beta-glucuronoside
GH_31	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,xylose,sucrose,isomaltose
GH_32	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_oligosaccharides	Unknown	sucrose,inulin,levan
GH_33	Animal,Microbial, Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	HMO,Unknown	sialic_acid
GH_34	Animal,Microbial, Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sialic_acid
GH_35	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Pectin,Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactoside,alpha-arabinoside,chitosan
GH_36	Plant	Unknown	DF	NSP,Resistant_oligosaccharides	Gum,Unknown	alpha-galactoside,N-acetylglactosamine,raffinose
GH_37	Animal,Bacteria,Plant, Fungal	Storage	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	trehalose
GH_38	Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	alpha-mannan
GH_39	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,dermatan_sulfate

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_42	Plant,Animal	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactoside, alpha-arabinoside
GH_43	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose, Pectin, Gum	broad
GH_43_1	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_2	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_3	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galactofuranoside
GH_43_4	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	arabin
GH_43_5	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	arabin
GH_43_7	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan, arabinofuranoside
GH_43_8						galactofuranoside
GH_43_9	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_10	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_11	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_12	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_16	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_17	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_18	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_19	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_22	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	xylan, xylobiose, arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_23	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin, Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside, arabin, arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_24	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum, Pectin	arabinogalactan

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_43_26	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside,arabin,arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_27	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	xylan,xylobiose,arabinofuranoside, arabin,arabinoxylan,arabinogalactan
GH_43_28	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,arabinofuranoside
GH_43_29	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	xylan,xylobiose,arabinofuranoside, arabin,arabinoxylan,arabinogalactan
GH_43_30	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galactofuranoside
GH_43_31	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galactofuranoside
GH_43_33	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside,arabin,arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_43_34	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	arabinofuranoside,arabin,arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan,galactofuranoside
GH_43_35	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	xylan,xylobiose,arabinofuranoside, arabin,arabinoxylan,arabinogalactan
GH_43_37	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galactofuranoside,arabin
GH_44	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose,lichenin,beta-glucan, xyloglucan
GH_45	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose, Mannans_ and_Heteromannans,Unknown	cellulose,lichenin,beta-glucan, xyloglucan,mannan,galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_46	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitosan
GH_47	Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	alpha-mannan
GH_48	Plant,Bacteria, Fungal, Animal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose,lichenin,beta-glucan,chitin, chitodextrin
GH_49	Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	dextran,pullulan
GH_50	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose
GH_51	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural, Storage	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose,Cellulose, Unknown	cellulose,lichenin,beta-glucan,xylan, arabinofuranoside,arabin,arabinoxylan, arabinogalactan
GH_52	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_53	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin	arabinogalactan
GH_54	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,alpha-arabinosides

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_55	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan,laminin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_57	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	amylase,galactoside
GH_58	Animal,Bacteria,Archaea,Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sialic_acid
GH_59	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactosides,ceramide_derivatives
GH_63	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch,alpha-glucan,mannosylglycerate,glucosylglycerate
GH_64	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	laminin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_65	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	matlose,trehalose,kojibiose
GH_66	Bacteria	Unknown	DF	Unknown	Unknown	alpha-glucan,dextran
GH_67	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	alpha-glucuronoside,xylan
GH_68	Unknown	Unknown	DF	Unknown	Unknown	sucrose,beta-fructofuranoside
GH_70	Unknown	Unknown	DF	Unknown	Unknown	sucrose,alpha-glucan
GH_71	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	isolichenin,pseudonigeran,nigeran
GH_73	Animal,Fungal,Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	peptidoglycan,chitodextrin,high-mannose_glycopeptides
GH_74	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural,Storage	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose,Mannans_and_Heteromannans,Unknown	cellulose,lichenin,beta-glucan,xyloglucan,mannan,galactomannan,glucomannan
GH_76	Plant,Fungal	StorageStructural	DF	Resistant_starch,NSP	Unknown	alpha-mannan,starch
GH_77	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	alpha-glucan
GH_78	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	alpha-rhamnoside,rhamnogalacturonan
GH_79	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	beta-glucuronoside,heparin_sulfate,hyaluronate
GH_80	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitosan
GH_81	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	laminin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_82	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	carrageenan
GH_83	Animal,Bacteria,Archaea,Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sialic_acid
GH_84	Animal,Bacteria	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	glycoproteins
GH_85	Plant,Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	high-mannose_glycopeptides

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_86	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose,porphyran
GH_87	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	alpha-glucan,isolichenin, pseudonigeran,nigeran
GH_88	Unknown	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	glycosaminoglycans
GH_89	Animal	Unknown	Mucin	Unknown	Unknown	mucin
GH_90	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	rhamnose
GH_91	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_oligosaccharides	Unknown	inulin
GH_92	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	glycoproteins
GH_93	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	alpha-arabin
GH_94	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose	cellobiose,celloextrin,chitobiose, beta-glucan
GH_95	Plant,Algae,Animal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Unknown, HMO	fucoidan,fucoside,xyloglucan,HMO, blood_group_glycoconjugates
GH_96	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	agarose
GH_97	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	maltose
GH_98	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-galactoside,blood_group_antigen, xylan
GH_99	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	glucose-substituted_mannose,fungal_cell_wall
GH_101	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	N-acetyl/galactosamine
GH_102	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG	peptidoglycan
GH_103	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG	peptidoglycan
GH_104	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG	peptidoglycan
GH_105	Plant,Bacteria,Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Unknown, Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan,ulvan, arabinogalactan
GH_106	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_108	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	,PG	Unknown,PG	peptidoglycan,chitodextrin
GH_109	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG	peptidoglycan
GH_110	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	blood_group_antigen
GH_111	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	N-acetylglucosamine

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_112	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galacto-N-biose,lacto-N-biose
GH_113	Plant,Fungal,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans	mannan,galactomannan, glucomannan
GH_115	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_116	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
GH_117	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Unknown	beta-galactofuranoside,agarose, carrageenan
GH_120	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
GH_121	Plant	Plant	DF			hydroxproline-rich_glycoproteins
GH_122	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
GH_123	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	N-acetylglactoseamine
GH_125	Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	alpha-mannan
GH_126	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
GH_127	Plant	Unknown	DF	NSP	Pectin, Hemicellulose	Unknown
GH_128	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	lamirin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_129	Animal,Microbial	Unknown,Structural	Mucin,PG	Unknown,PG	Unknown,PG	mucin,peptidoglycan
GH_130	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-mannosides
GH_133	Animal	Storage	Glycogen	Unknown	Unknown	glycogen
GH_136	Animal,Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	HMO,Unknown	lacto-N-tetraose
GH_137	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_138	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_139	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_140	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_141	Plant,Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Unknown	xylan,fucoidan
GH_142	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_143	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	rhamnogalacturonan
GH_144	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
GH_145	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Gum,Unknown	gum-arabic
GH_146	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sugar_beet_arabin
GH_147	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactoside
GH_148	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
GH_149	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan,lamirin
GH_150	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	carrageenan
GH_151	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	HMO,Unknown	blood_group_antigen
GH_153	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		Unknown
GH_154	Plant,bacteria	structural	DF	NSP	Gum, pectin	beta-glucuronoside
GH_156	Animal,Bacteria,Archaea,Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sialic_acid
GH_157	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	lamirin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_158	Unknown	Unknown	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	lamirin,paramylon,pachyman
GH_159	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactofuranosides
GH_160	Bacteria	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	bacterial_polysaccharides
GH_161	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan,lamirin
GH_162	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
GH_163	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
GH_164	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-mannosides
GH_165	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactoside
GH_166	Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	bacterial_polysaccharides
GH_167	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	carrageenan
PL_1_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_1_6	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_2_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_2_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectin
PL_4	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_4_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_4_4	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_6_1	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	dermatan_sulfate,alginate
PL_6_2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
PL_8	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,chondroitin,xanthan, chondroitin_sulfate,dermatan- sulfate,heparin,heparin_sulfate

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
PL_8_1	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,chondroitin
PL_8_2	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,chondroitin,dermatan
PL_8_3	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	chondroitin_sulfate,dermatan_sulfate
PL_8_4	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_9_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate,rhamnogalacturonan
PL_9_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	Unknown
PL_9_4	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	Unknown
PL_10	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_10_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_10_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectate
PL_11	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_11_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_11_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan
PL_12	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_12_1	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin
PL_12_2	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_13	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_15	Algae,Bacteria,Animal	Structural,GAG	DF,GAG	NSP,Unknown	Gum,Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate,alginate
PL_15_1	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_15_2	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_16	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,chondroitin
PL_17	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_17_1	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_17_2	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_18	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_21	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_21_1	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	heparin,heparin_sulfate
PL_22	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectin
PL_22_1	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectin
PL_23	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	chondroitin
PL_26	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	rhamnogalacturonan

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
PL_27	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin	arabinogalactan
PL_28	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	ulvan
PL_29	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	chondroitin_sulfate,dermatan_sulfate, hyaluronic_acid
PL_30	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,chondroitin
PL_31	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate,beta-glucuron
PL_32	Algae,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum	alginate
PL_33	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,gellan,chondroitin_sulfate
PL_33_1	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron,chondroitin
PL_33_2	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	chondroitin_sulfate,dermatan_sulfate, hyaluronan
PL_35	Animal	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	chondroitin
PL_37	Algae,Animal	Structural,GAG	DF,GAG	NSP,Unknown	Unknown	heparan_sulfate,dermatan_sulfate, chondroitin_sulfate, ulvan
PL_38	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin	glucuronan
PL_40	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	ulvan
CE_1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
CE_2	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xyloligosaccharides
CE_3	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xyloligosaccharides
CE_4	Plant,Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	NSP,PG	Hemicellulose,Unknown,PG	peptidoglycan,chitin,xylan
CE_5	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xyloligosaccharides, cutin
CE_6	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xyloligosaccharides
CE_7	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xyloligosaccharides, cephalosporin
CE_8	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectin
CE_9	Microbial	Structural	PG	PG	PG	peptidoglycan
CE_11	Bacterial	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	LPS
CE_12	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Pectin	pectin,rhamnoglacturonan,xylan, xyloligosaccharides
CE_14	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
CE_15	Plant,Bacteria	structural	DF	NSP	Gum, pectin	lignin,lignocellulose
CE_17	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
CBM_2	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose,Hemicellulose, Unknown	cellulose,chitin,xylan
CBM_3	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose	cellulose
CBM_4	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,beta-glucan
CBM_5	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitin
CBM_6	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,beta-glucan
CBM_9	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
CBM_10	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose	cellulose
CBM_12	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitin
CBM_13	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	mannose,xylan
CBM_15	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
CBM_16	Plant,Fungal,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose,Mannans_and_Heteromannans	cellulose,glucanmannan
CBM_18	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitin
CBM_20	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_21	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_22	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,beta-glucan
CBM_23	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	mannan
CBM_25	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_26	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_27	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	mannan
CBM_30	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose	cellulose
CBM_32	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Mannans_and_Heteromannans	galactose,lactose,laminarin,pustulan,beta-mannan,mannooligosaccharides
CBM_34	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_35	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,manns,mannooligosaccharides,beta-galactan
CBM_36	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan,xylooligosaccharides
CBM_37	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP,	Cellulose,Hemicellulose, Unknown	xylan,chitin,cellulose,alfalfa_cell_walls,beta_stems,wheat_straw
CBM_38	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_oligosaccharides	Unknown	inulin
CBM_40	Animal,Bacteria,Archaea,Algae	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	sialic_acid

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
CBM_41	Plant,Animal,Fungal	Storage, Structural	DF,Glycogen	Resistant_starch, Unknown	Unknown	alpha-glucan,starch,glycogen, amylose,pullulan
CBM_42	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	arabinoxylan,arabin,arabinogalactan
CBM_47	Algae	Structural	DF	NSP	Unknown	fucoidan
CBM_48	Animal	Storage	Glycogen	Unknown	Unknown	glycogen
CBM_50	Animal,Fungal, Microbial	Structural	DF,PG	PG	Unknown,PG	peptidoglycan,chitin
CBM_51	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	galactose,blood_group_antigen
CBM_52	Plant,Bacteria ,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_54	Plant,Animal, Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP,	Hemicellulose,Unknown	xylan,chitin,yeast_cell_wall
CBM_55	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitin
CBM_56	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_57	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-glucuronide
CBM_58	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	maltoheptaose,acarbose
CBM_61	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	beta-galactan
CBM_62	Plant,Bacteria	Structural	DF	NSP	Gum,Pectin,Hemicellulose	xyloglucan,arabinogalactan,galactomann
CBM_63	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose	cellulose,arabinoxylan
CBM_64	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Cellulose	cellulose
CBM_65	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xyloglucan,beta-glucan
CBM_66	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	inulan,levan
CBM_67	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	rhamnose,mannose
CBM_68	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_69	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_70	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	hyaluron
CBM_71	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	lactose,LacNAc
CBM_72	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose,Cellulose	cellulose,beta-glucan,xylan,beta-mannan
CBM_73	Animal,Fungal	Structural	DF	Unknown	Unknown	chitin
CBM_75	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xyloglucan
CBM_76	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_77	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Pectin	pectin
CBM_79	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan

(Sub) family	Origin_Glycan	Function_in_origin	Function_destination_1	Function_destination_2	Function_destination_3	Glycan_annotation
CBM_80	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_81	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_82	Plant	Storage	DF	Resistant_starch	Unknown	starch
CBM_84	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	xanthan
CBM_85	Plant,Bacteria,Fungal	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	beta-glucan
CBM_86	Plant	Structural	DF	NSP	Hemicellulose	xylan
CBM_87	Animal,Bacteria	GAG	GAG	Unknown	Unknown	galactoseaminogalactan

References

1. El Kaoutari A, Armougom F, Gordon JJ, Raoult D, Henrissat B. The abundance and variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2013;11(7):497-504.
2. Makki K, Deehan EC, Walter J, Bäckhed F. The Impact of Dietary Fiber on Gut Microbiota in Host Health and Disease. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2018;23(6):705-15.
3. Ríos-Covián D, Ruas-Madiedo P, Margolles A, Gueimonde M, de Los Reyes-Gavilán CG, Salazar N. Intestinal Short Chain Fatty Acids and their Link with Diet and Human Health. *Front Microbiol*. 2016;7:185.
4. Litvak Y, Byndloss MX, Bäuml A. Colonocyte metabolism shapes the gut microbiota. *Science*. 2018;362(6418).
5. Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. *Gut Microbes*. 2016;7(3):189-200.
6. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, et al. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. *Cell*. 2016;167(5):1339-53.e21.
7. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2014;42(Database issue):D490-5.
8. Henrissat B. A classification of glycosyl hydrolases based on amino acid sequence similarities. *Biochem J*. 1991;280 (Pt 2)(Pt 2):309-16.
9. Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, et al. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2018;46(W1):W95-w101.
10. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2013;30(4):772-80.
11. Steinegger M, Söding J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2017;35(11):1026-8.
12. Li J, Jia H, Cai X, Zhong H, Feng Q, Sunagawa S, et al. An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014;32(8):834-41.
13. Coelho LP, Alves R, Monteiro P, Huerta-Cepas J, Freitas AT, Bork P. NG-meta-profiler: fast processing of metagenomes using NGLess, a domain-specific language. *Microbiome*. 2019;7(1):84.
14. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv*. 2013;preprint arXiv:1303.3997.
15. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25(16):2078-9.
16. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(6):841-2.
17. Zeller G, Tap J, Voigt AY, Sunagawa S, Kultima JR, Costea PI, et al. Potential of fecal microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. *Mol Syst Biol*. 2014;10(11):766.
18. Vogtmann E, Hua X, Zeller G, Sunagawa S, Voigt AY, Herczeg R, et al. Colorectal Cancer and the Human Gut Microbiome: Reproducibility with Whole-Genome Shotgun Sequencing. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0155362.
19. Feng Q, Liang S, Jia H, Stadlmayr A, Tang L, Lan Z, et al. Gut microbiome development along the colorectal adenoma-carcinoma sequence. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:6528.
20. Yu J, Feng Q, Wong SH, Zhang D, Liang QY, Qin Y, et al. Metagenomic analysis of faecal microbiome as a tool towards targeted non-invasive biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *Gut*. 2017;66(1):70-8.
21. Yachida S, Mizutani S, Shiroma H, Shiba S, Nakajima T, Sakamoto T, et al. Metagenomic and metabolomic analyses reveal distinct stage-specific phenotypes of the gut microbiota in colorectal cancer. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(6):968-76.
22. Thomas AM, Manghi P, Asnicar F, Pasolli E, Armanini F, Zolfo M, et al. Metagenomic analysis of colorectal cancer datasets identifies cross-cohort microbial diagnostic signatures and a link with choline degradation. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(4):667-78.
23. Wirbel J, Pyl PT, Kartal E, Zych K, Kashani A, Milanese A, et al. Meta-analysis of fecal metagenomes reveals global microbial signatures that are specific for colorectal cancer. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(4):679-89.
24. Hothorn T, Hornik K, van de Wiel MA, Zeileis A. A Lego System for Conditional Inference. *The American Statistician*. 2006;60(3):257-63.
25. Wirbel J, Zych K, Essex M, Karcher N, Kartal E, Salazar G, et al. Microbiome meta-analysis and cross-disease

- comparison enabled by the SIAMCAT machine learning toolbox. *Genome Biol.* 2021;22(1):93.
26. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B (Methodological)*. 1995;57(1):289-300.
 27. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102(43):15545-50.
 28. Korotkevich G, Sukhov V, Budin N, Shpak B, Artyomov MN, Sergushichev A. Fast gene set enrichment analysis. *BioRxiv.* 2021:060012.
 29. Ausland C, Zheng J, Yi H, Yang B, Li T, Feng X, et al. dbCAN-PUL: a database of experimentally characterized CAZyme gene clusters and their substrates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021;49(D1):D523-d8.
 30. Koropatkin NM, Cameron EA, Martens EC. How glycan metabolism shapes the human gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2012;10(5):323-35.
 31. Patova OA, Golovchenko VV, Ovodov YS. Pectic polysaccharides: structure and properties. *Russ Chem Bull.* 2015;63(9):1901-24.
 32. Stephen AM, Champ MM, Cloran SJ, Fleith M, van Lieshout L, Mejbörn H, et al. Dietary fibre in Europe: current state of knowledge on definitions, sources, recommendations, intakes and relationships to health. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2017;30(2):149-90.
 33. Yamada S, Sugahara K, Ozbek S. Evolution of glycosaminoglycans: Comparative biochemical study. *Commun Integr Biol.* 2011;4(2):150-8.
 34. Namburi RB, Berteau O, Spillmann D, Rossi M. Chondroitinase AC: A host-associated genetic feature of *Helicobacter bizzozeronii*. *Vet Microbiol.* 2016;186:21-7.
 35. Yin Y, Mao X, Yang J, Chen X, Mao F, Xu Y. dbCAN: a web resource for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012;40(Web Server issue):W445-51.
 36. Lesker TR, Durairaj AC, Gálvez EJC, Lagkouvardos I, Baines JF, Clavel T, et al. An Integrated Metagenome Catalog Reveals New Insights into the Murine Gut Microbiome. *Cell Rep.* 2020;30(9):2909-22.e6.
 37. Li J, Zhong H, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Terrapon N, Lombard V, Potocki-Veronese G, et al. A catalog of microbial genes from the bovine rumen unveils a specialized and diverse biomass-degrading environment. *Gigascience.* 2020;9(6).
 38. Pan H, Guo R, Zhu J, Wang Q, Ju Y, Xie Y, et al. A gene catalogue of the Sprague-Dawley rat gut metagenome. *Gigascience.* 2018;7(5).
 39. Youngblut ND, de la Cuesta-Zuluaga J, Reischer GH, Dauser S, Schuster N, Walzer C, et al. Large-Scale Metagenome Assembly Reveals Novel Animal-Associated Microbial Genomes, Biosynthetic Gene Clusters, and Other Genetic Diversity. *mSystems.* 2020;5(6).
 40. Sunagawa S, Coelho LP, Chaffron S, Kultima JR, Labadie K, Salazar G, et al. Ocean plankton. Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science.* 2015;348(6237):1261359.
 41. Acinas SG, Sánchez P, Salazar G, Comejo-Castillo FM, Sebastián M, Logares R, et al. Deep ocean metagenomes provide insight into the metabolic architecture of bathypelagic microbial communities. *Commun Biol.* 2021;4(1):604.
 42. von Schwartzberg RJ, Bisanz JE, Lyalina S, Spanogiannopoulos P, Ang QY, Cai J, et al. Caloric restriction disrupts the microbiota and colonization resistance. *Nature.* 2021;595(7866):272-7.
 43. Wastyk HC, Fragiadakis GK, Perelman D, Dahan D, Merrill BD, Yu FB, et al. Gut-microbiota-targeted diets modulate human immune status. *Cell.* 2021.
 44. Bhattacharya T, Ghosh TS, Mande SS. Global Profiling of Carbohydrate Active Enzymes in Human Gut Microbiome. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(11):e0142038.
 45. Kunzmann AT, Coleman HG, Huang WY, Kitahara CM, Cantwell MM, Berndt SI. Dietary fiber intake and risk of colorectal cancer and incident and recurrent adenoma in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2015;102(4):881-90.
 46. Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, et al. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *BMJ.* 2011;343:d6617.
 47. Ben Q, Sun Y, Chai R, Qian A, Xu B, Yuan Y. Dietary fiber intake reduces risk for colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology.* 2014;146(3):689-99.e6.
 48. Goodlad RA. Dietary fibre and the risk of colorectal cancer. *Gut.* 2001;48(5):587-9.
 49. Chao A, Thun MJ, Connell CJ, McCullough ML, Jacobs EJ, Flanders WD, et al. Meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer. *JAMA.* 2005;293(2):172-82.
 50. Sandhu MS, White IR, McPherson K. Systematic review of the prospective cohort studies on meat consumption

- and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analytical approach. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2001;10(5):439-46.
51. Gurjao C, Zhong R, Haruki K, Li YY, Spurr LF, Lee-Six H, et al. Discovery and features of an alkylating signature in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2021.