

Structural fine-tuning of desmuramylpeptide NOD2 agonists defines their in vivo adjuvant activity

Guzelj, S.; Nabergoj, S.: Gobec, M.; Pajk, S.; Klancic, V.; Slütter, B.A.; Frkanec, R.; ... ; Jakopin, Z.

Citation

Guzelj, S., Nabergoj, S. : G. , M., Pajk, S., Klancic, V., Slütter, B. A., Frkanec, R., … Jakopin, Z. (2021). Structural fine-tuning of desmuramylpeptide NOD2 agonists defines their in vivo adjuvant activity. *Journal Of Medicinal Chemistry*, *64*(11), 7809-7838. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644

Version: Publisher's Version License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3277304>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

[pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf) **Article**

<mark>^</mark>©⊙

Structural Fine-Tuning of Desmuramylpeptide NOD2 Agonists Defines Their In Vivo Adjuvant Activity

[Samo Guzelj,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Samo+Guzelj"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Sanja Nabergoj,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sanja+Nabergoj"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Martina Gobec,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Martina+Gobec"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Stane Pajk,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Stane+Pajk"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Veronika Klančič, Bram Slütter, Ruža Frkanec, Adela Štimac, Primož Šket[, Janez Plavec,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Janez+Plavec"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Irena Mlinarič-Raščan, and Ž[iga Jakopin](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Z%CC%8Ciga+Jakopin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-26-0)

ABSTRACT: We report on the design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a series of nucleotide-binding oligomerizationdomain-containing protein 2 (NOD2) desmuramylpeptide agonists with improved in vitro and in vivo adjuvant properties. We identified two promising compounds: 68, a potent nanomolar in vitro NOD2 agonist, and the more lipophilic 75, which shows superior adjuvant activity in vivo. Both compounds had immunostimulatory effects on peripheral blood mononuclear cells at the protein and transcriptional levels, and augmented dendritic-cell-mediated activation of T cells, while 75 additionally enhanced the cytotoxic activity of peripheral blood mononuclear cells against malignant cells. The C_{18} lipophilic tail of 75 is identified as a pivotal structural element that confers in vivo adjuvant activity in conjunction with a liposomal delivery system. Accordingly, liposomeencapsulated 75 showed promising adjuvant activity in mice, surpassing that of muramyl dipeptide, while achieving a more balanced Th1/Th2 immune response, thus highlighting its potential as a vaccine adjuvant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Defense against invading pathogens in vertebrates is accomplished through coordinated responses of the nonspecific innate and the antigen-specific adaptive immune systems. The former orchestrates the first line of defense through the action of a superfamily of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). PRRs are responsible for recognition of "nonself" features, which are conserved microbial components that are also known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns.^{1,2} As well as promotion of the immediate innate immune response, PRRs are involved in shaping of the gradually forming adaptive immune responses through engage-ment of antigen-presenting cells.^{[3](#page-27-0)} Thus, both naturally and synthetically derived modulators of PRRs have been of considerable interest for medicinal chemists for development as vaccine adjuvants.^{[4](#page-27-0)−}

Nucleotide-binding oligomerization-domain-containing protein 2 (NOD2) belongs to the intracellular NOD-like receptor family of PRRs, and it is composed of three motifs: (i) two effector N-terminal caspase recruitment domains (CARDs);

(ii) a centrally located nucleotide-binding domain that is required for oligomerization; and (iii) a C-terminal leucine-rich repeat domain that is implicated in ligand recognition.^{[8](#page-27-0)} NOD2 is primarily expressed in leukocytes and intestinal epithelial cells (especially Paneth cells), where it is required to sense bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan fragments that enter the cytosol.[8,9](#page-27-0) The minimal essential peptidoglycan substructure that can still activate NOD2 is muramyl dipeptide (MDP), a glycopeptide in the cell wall of both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria.[10](#page-27-0)−[13](#page-27-0) MDP comprises N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) and an L-alanine-D-isoglutamine dipeptide, which is attached to the MurNAc via a lactic acid spacer. Recognition of MDP is followed by self-oligomerization, through which

Received: April 8, 2021 Published: May 27, 2021

Figure 1. MDP and its representative derivatives.

NOD2 recruits the adaptor protein receptor-interacting serine/ threonine kinase 2, RIP2, via CARD−CARD interactions. This triggers the downstream signaling cascades that include the mitogen-activated protein kinase and nuclear factor κ B (NFκB) pathways, which results in a wide array of immune responses.[14](#page-27-0) These are characterized by release of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and antimicrobial factors (including defensins), generation of reactive nitrogen species, and recruitment and priming of neutrophils, inflammatory monocytes, and dendritic cells (DCs).^{[15](#page-27-0)−[17](#page-27-0)} Of note, NOD2 activation also facilitates autophagy, an essential process for efficient antigen processing and activation of the adaptive immune system.^{[18](#page-27-0),[19](#page-27-0)}

Due to their broad immunomodulatory effects, NOD2 agonists are of significant clinical relevance. They have been highlighted for providing nonspecific protective effects against bacterial and viral infections.^{[20](#page-27-0)} Furthermore, NOD2 is an enticing target for expansion of the currently limited selection of vaccine adjuvants.²¹ As well as generating robust and sustainable systemic immune responses, recent reports have additionally extended the potential of NOD2-activating adjuvants to mucosal vaccines.^{[22,23](#page-27-0)} These represent an attractive alternative to conventional vaccines, as they generate mucosal immune responses, which are essential for protection against pathogens transmitted through mucosal surfaces. Finally, the developing field of cancer immunotherapy has highlighted NOD2 agonists as potential immunotherapeutics, either as adjuvants in cancer vaccines, or by directly enhancing immune cell antitumor activity.⁷

MDP was first recognized as the minimal effective component of Freund's complete adjuvant. 24 While MDP promotes both innate and adaptive immune responses, its use in the clinic is hindered by its strong pyrogenicity, $25,26$ $25,26$ rapid elimination, 27 27 27 and metabolic instability. 28 To circumvent these issues and to improve its clinical utility, structural modifications of MDP have been studied extensively, with several reviews available that have comprehensively described their structure−activity relationships.[29](#page-27-0)−[31](#page-27-0) Notably, two lipophilic derivatives of MDP, known as romurtide^{[32](#page-27-0)} and mifamurtide, 33 are currently in use for the treatment of leukopenia and osteosarcoma, respectively, while a hydrophilic MDP derivative, murabutide, has been investigated in several clinical trials as a vaccine adjuvant (Figure 1). $34,35$ $34,35$

The discovery that the full glycopeptide scaffold is not mandatory for NOD2 agonism led to the concept of desmuramylpeptides, a class of compounds that lack the MurNAc moiety. Replacement of this carbohydrate fragment with suitable surrogates can simplify their synthesis and allow for easier manipulation of their lipophilicity. Most desmuramylpeptides comprise the preserved or slightly varied MDP dipeptide motif plus various lipophilic groups, which have included carbocycles,[36](#page-28-0) adamantane[,37](#page-28-0)[−][39](#page-28-0) and diverse aromatic moieties.[40](#page-28-0)−[46](#page-28-0) Here, we describe the design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a series of novel acylated desmuramyltripeptides that are based on the structure of 1, which is a potent NOD2 agonist that was previously reported by our group and contains a trans-feruloyl-glycine MurNAc mim-etic.^{[46](#page-28-0)} Our current study identified the structural requirements for in vitro and in vivo immunostimulatory activity of 1, which led to the surprising observation that NOD2 activation in vitro does not necessarily coincide with its adjuvant activity in vivo. We identified 68 as a potent in vitro NOD2 agonist with more than 2-fold improved potency over 1 and 75 with superior adjuvant activity in vivo. Compounds 68 and 75 induced proinflammatory transcriptional changes and cytokine production in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), both alone and in combination with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and enhanced antigen presentation of DCs. Furthermore, 75 stimulated the cytotoxic activity of PBMCs against malignant cells and, importantly, had promising in vivo adjuvant activity with a balanced Th1/Th2 immune response in a mouse model of adjuvanticity.

Figure 2. Design of novel desmuramylpeptides based on 1.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Design. Compound 1 comprises a trans-feruloylglycine MurNAc mimetic attached to the L-valine-D-glutamate dipeptide, and it showed good NOD2 agonistic activity with twice the potency of MDP in a HEK293 cell assay with overexpressed NOD2. It also enhanced the LPS-induced release of proinflammatory cytokines and was devoid of pyrogenicity, although it showed weak adjuvant activity in a mouse model of adjuvanticity.

Recent biophysical data have suggested that MDP interacts with NOD2 through the leucine-rich repeat domain. 47 Maekawa et al. (2016) solved the crystal structure of NOD2 apoprotein in its ADP-bound inactive form.^{[48](#page-28-0)} To date, however, no crystal structure of NOD2 with a bound ligand has been reported, and thus the exact binding mechanisms of MDP and its related compounds (including 1) remain to be defined. Previous studies of 1 have suggested that the aromatic ring of the trans-feruloyl moiety contributes to NOD2 binding with $\pi-\pi$ stacking and cation- π interactions, while both the 4hydroxy and 3-methoxy groups form H-bonds with residues in the putative binding site. 46 To determine whether these interactions can be optimized, we designed several derivatives with modified substitutions of the aromatic ring (Figure 2). Furthermore, we evaluated both increased flexibility of (i.e., reduction of the feruloyl alkene bond) and conformational restrictions to (i.e., introduction of the cyclopropyl ring) the trans geometry. Notably, cyclopropyl fragments have an established track record in drug design, in part due to their "locking" of E/Z isomerizable alkene bonds in favorable conformations.[49](#page-28-0) Exploration of the chemical space around the MurNAc surrogate moiety thus yielded compounds 18−21 and 24−28 (Figure 2, [Table 1\)](#page-9-0).

Recognition of MDP by NOD2 is highly stereospecific. While deviations from the ^L−^D conformation of the L-alanine-D-isoglutamine pharmacophore can result in reduced or lack of activity, slight variations of the amino acids are permissible. For example, replacement of L-alanine with L-valine or L-serine showed comparable activity[.50](#page-28-0)[−][52](#page-28-0) Additionally, the L-threonine derivative decoupled the pyrogenic activity of MDP from its

adjuvanticity.^{[53](#page-28-0)} Likewise, chain elongation with L-lysine at the C-terminus, which mimics the structure of peptidoglycan from Gram-positive bacteria, achieved a similar immunostimulatory effect compared to that of MDP.^{[54](#page-28-0)-[56](#page-28-0)} By applying both principles to 1 (see Figure 2), derivatives were designed where L-valine was replaced by L-serine and by its more lipophilic congeners O-benzyl-L-serine and L-threonine (compounds 30− 32; [Table 1\)](#page-9-0), as well as by compounds where the peptide was elongated at the ω -carboxyl group of D-glutamic acid with a methyl ester of either L-lysine or N^6 -stearoyl-L-lysine; this latter closely resembles the structure of romurtide (compounds 41 and 82; [Table 1\)](#page-9-0).

While 1 had potent activity in *in vitro* assays, it induced less pronounced increases in mouse serum titers of antigen-specific IgG upon ovalbumin immunization. We hypothesized that the disparity between the in vitro and in vivo data was a consequence of poor pharmacokinetic properties, including the metabolic instability of the ester groups. Compound 1 was suggested to be a prodrug by in vitro experiments, which was supported by *in silico* experiments, with the need for hydrolysis of the ethyl esters for its activation. However, if this occurs prior to 1 reaching its effector cells, this will be detrimental to its effects. We also demonstrated that the hydrolyzed free acid was less able to cross the cell membrane compared to its parent diester compound.^{[46](#page-28-0)} Additionally, while MDP enters cells through the SLC15 peptide transporters and endocytosis, $5⁵$ desmuramylpeptides cross the cell membrane by passive absorption to reach their target receptor NOD2 in the cytoplasm.^{[61](#page-28-0)}

Taking these aspects into account, we set out to modify the pharmacokinetic properties of the parent molecule, according to two different approaches. First, the introduction of lipophilic acyl groups to the carbohydrate^{[62](#page-28-0)} and D-isoglutamine^{[63](#page-28-0)} moieties of MDP was previously shown not only to improve the adjuvant and immunoprotective properties but also to decrease the pyrogenicity of these derivatives. Analogous transformations were applied to 1 by acylation of the phenolic hydroxyl group on the trans-feruloyl moiety, as well as by replacing the ethyl esters with bulkier groups, to yield a library

7811

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds $1−32^a$

a
Reagents and conditions: (i) Boc-L-Val or Boc-O-benzyl-L-Ser or Boc-L-Thr, DCC, HOBt, Et₃N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt; (ii) TFA/DCM (1:5), rt; (iii) Boc-Gly, DCC, HOBt, Et₃N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt; (iv) RCOOH, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DMF, rt; (v) H₂, Pd/C, CH₃COOH, rt.

a
Reagents and conditions: (i) SOCl₂, EtOH, reflux; (ii) CH₃COCl, Et₃N, THF, rt; (iii) NaH, trimethylsulfoxonium iodide, DMSO, 50 °C; (iv) 1 M NaOH, EtOH, rt; (v) BnCl, K₂CO₃, DMF, 80 °C; (vi) H₂, Pd/C, rt; (vii) Pd(OAc)₂, Et₃SiH, Et₃N, DCM, rt.

of prodrug derivatives (56, 68, 72−81; [Table 3\)](#page-11-0). Among these, the cyclopentyl derivatives might serve a dual role. In addition to increasing the lipophilicity, chemical motifs that incorporate cyclopentyl esters have been reported to be selectively cleaved by human carboxylesterase-1, an enzyme that is restricted in expression to hepatocytes and cells of monocyte−macrophage lineage. 64 As these latter cells express high levels of NOD2, implementation of these esterase-sensitive chemical motifs might lead to beneficial buildup of the hydrolyzed active compound only in these cells, given that charged acids would have little possibility to leave the cells.

Second, we explored the chemical space of the D-glutamic acid moiety with various mimetics of the carboxylic acid functionality. Namely, we introduced bioisosteric replacements of ester moieties with amides and esters of hydroxamic acid (compounds 57−64; [Table 2](#page-10-0)). Hydroxamates have previously been used as successful bioisosteric replacements of carboxylic acid groups.^{[65](#page-28-0)} Amides, on the other hand, are well established for their potential as prodrugs of carboxylic acids. 66

2.2. Chemistry. To prepare compounds with modifications of the trans-ferulic acid moiety, we designed a scalable divergent synthetic route that comprised the sequential deprotection and amide bond formation steps shown in Scheme 1. D-Glutamic acid was first esterified with thionyl chloride in ethanol, to produce the diester 2. Coupling of 2 to commercially available Boc-protected L-valine with dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC)/1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) produced the dipeptide 3. Boc deprotection of 3 with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dichloromethane (DCM) produced the deprotected TFA salt, which was immediately coupled to Bocglycine using DCC/HOBt. Treatment of the resulting 6 with TFA produced the deprotected salt, which allowed diversifiScheme 3. Synthesis of Compound 41^a

a
Reagents and conditions: (i) EtOH, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt; (ii) TFA/DCM (1.5) , rt; (iii) Boc-L-Val, DCC, HOBt, Et3N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt; (iv) Boc-Gly, DCC, HOBt, Et₃N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt; (v) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, rt; (vi) 37, COMU, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (vii) 39, NaHCO₃, THF/H₂O, rt; (viii) DBU, 1-octanethiol, THF, rt.

cation via coupling to various carboxylic acids using the 1 ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbodiimide (EDC)/ HOBt coupling strategy, to produce acyl tripeptides of 1, 19−23, and 25−29. Compound 1 was additionally reduced by catalytic hydrogenation to produce the more flexible congener 18, while 23 was deprotected with TFA to produce the free amine 24.

Analogously, desmuramylpeptides with a modified central amino acid were synthesized by coupling 2 to commercially available Boc-protected L-serine (O-benzyl protected) and Lthreonine, to produce dipeptides 4 and 5. Following deprotection with TFA, DCC/HOBt coupling to Boc-glycine produced the tripeptides 7 and 9. Catalytic hydrogenation of 7 produced the deprotected 8, after which compounds 7, 8, and 9 were deprotected with TFA and coupled with trans-ferulic acid using the EDC/HOBt coupling strategy, to produce, in turn, the desmuramylpeptides 30, 31, and 32.

Cyclopropane mimetics of trans-ferulic acid were synthesized as shown in [Scheme 2.](#page-4-0) trans-Ferulic acid was protected in sequential steps, first with thionyl chloride in ethanol to produce the ethyl ester 10, which was reacted with acetyl chloride to produce the doubly protected compound 11. Cyclopropanation of the double bond of 11 using the

Johnson−Corey−Chaykovsky reaction resulted in cleavage of the acetyl group, along with subsequent methylation of the in situ liberated 4-phenol group, to produce 12, which then underwent alkaline hydrolysis, to produce the 3,4-dimethoxy derivative 13. To avoid deprotection of the hydroxyl group, the less labile double benzyl protection was used, with trans-ferulic acid reacted with benzyl chloride, to produce 14. Cyclopropanation of 14 produced 15. Removal of the benzyl groups from 15 by catalytic hydrogenation over palladium/carbon unexpectedly resulted in the opening of the cyclopropyl ring (16), while a milder debenzylation method using palladium acetate, triethylsilane, and triethylamine was used to produce the desired compound, 17. [67](#page-28-0)

The synthesis of compounds with an additional L-lysine residue incorporated is shown in Scheme 3. First, the 5-benzyl ester of Boc-D-glutamic acid was subjected to DCC/HOBtmediated coupling with ethanol, to produce compound 33. TFA-mediated cleavage of the Boc protecting group and subsequent DCC/HOBt coupling was performed twice, first with Boc-L-valine, and then with Boc-glycine, to produce the tripeptide 35. Following cleavage of the benzyl ester of 35 with catalytic hydrogenation, the free acid 36 was coupled to Fmocprotected L-lysine (37; Supporting Information, [Scheme S1](#page-26-0))

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compounds 56–64^a

a
Reagents and conditions: (i) *trans-*ferulic acid, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DMF, rt; (ii) 1 M NaOH, EtOH, rt; (iii) 44, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DMF, rt; (v) 47−55, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DMF, rt.

using (1-cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy) dimethylamino-morpholino-carbenium hexafluorophosphate (COMU) as the coupling reagent, to produce 38. Then 38 was converted to 40 via a two-step sequence that involved cleavage of the Boc protecting group with TFA, and subsequent coupling to the N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated trans-ferulic acid 39 (Supporting Information, [Scheme S2](#page-26-0)). Finally, Fmoc deprotection of the tetrapeptide 40 under alkaline conditions generated by 1,8-diazabicyclo^[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in tetrahydrofuran (THF), with 1-octanethiol acting as the dibenzofulvene scavenger, 68 produced the desired desmuramylpeptide 41.

Assembly of the desmuramylpeptides with modifications of the D-glutamic acid moiety was carried out as shown in Scheme 4. Glycine was first esterified with thionyl chloride in ethanol to produce the ethyl ester 42, which was then coupled to transferulic acid using the EDC/HOBt coupling strategy. Next, the N-feruloyl-glycine 43 produced was deprotected with alkaline hydrolysis, and subsequently coupled with the ethyl ester of Lvaline (44) using EDC/HOBt, to produce the acyl dipeptide 45. Alkaline hydrolysis of 45 produced the free acid 46. Diversification by EDC/HOBt-mediated coupling to various Dglutamic acid derivatives (47−55; Supporting Information, [Scheme S3\)](#page-26-0) produced the desmuramylpeptides 56−64, with incorporated carboxylic acid bioisosteres.

A synthetic procedure similar to that described in [Scheme 1](#page-4-0) was used for the synthesis of lipophilic esters 68 and 72 (shown in [Scheme 5](#page-7-0)). Boc-D-glutamic acid was first esterified with cyclopentanol using EDC to produce the corresponding diester 65. Following Boc deprotection with TFA, the ensuing coupling to Boc-protected L-valine produced the dipeptide 66. Similarly, acid-catalyzed esterification of D-glutamic acid with 1-octadecanol produced the diester 69, which was coupled to Boc-L-valine to produce the dipeptide 70. Compounds 66 and 70 were then subjected to two iterative cycles of TFAmediated Boc deprotection with consecutive coupling, first to Boc-glycine, to produce 67 and 71, and ultimately to transferulic acid, to produce the ester congeners of 1, 68 and 72.

Compounds 1, 30, 31, 32, 41, and 68 were further acylated with acyl chlorides of varying chain lengths in the presence of triethylamine to produce the lipophilic ester derivatives 73−82 ([Scheme 6](#page-8-0)).

2.3. Biological Studies. 2.3.1. NOD2 Agonistic Activity of Synthesized Desmuramylpeptides. To determine the NOD2 agonistic potential of the synthesized desmuramylpeptides at the cellular level, we used the validated and commercially available HEK-Blue NOD2 cell line reporter assay. HEK-Blue NOD2 cells were first treated for 18 h with MDP, 1, or the novel desmuramylpeptides at 2 μ M. The NF- κ B transcriptional activity measured was normalized to that of the vehicle treated (0.1% DMSO) control HEK-Blue NOD2 cells. The compounds that showed significant activity at 2 μ M were further assayed for their dose-dependent activities, for determination of their EC_{50} values. None of the tested compounds were cytotoxic toward the HEK-Blue NOD2 cells at the highest tested concentration (20 μ M), as determined by the (3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) (i.e., MTS) cell viability assay (Supporting Information, [Figure S1\)](#page-26-0).

Exploration around the chemical space of the cinnamoyl moiety of the parent compound 1 ($EC_{50} = 89$ nM) produced compounds 18−21 and 24−28 [\(Table 1\)](#page-9-0). Increased flexibility through the reduction of the double bond (18; $EC_{50} = 6.16$) μ M) resulted in decreased NOD2 agonistic activity by a factor of 70. Similarly, the NOD2 agonistic activity was decreased by a factor of 156 with the spacer prolonged to a propylene group (19; EC₅₀ = 13.9 μ M), which indicated that 1 provides the optimal positioning of the aromatic ring. The 4-hydroxy-3 methoxy substitution pattern of the aromatic ring in 1 was also seen to be advantageous; namely, the 3,4-dimethoxy (20; EC_{50}) = 763 nM), 3,4-dihydroxy (21; EC_{50} = 1.73 μ M), and 4-amino (24; EC₅₀ = 542 nM) derivatives all showed lower activities. Interestingly, the 4-isopropyl (25; $EC_{50} = 71$ nM) and the 4nitro (26; EC_{50} = 49 nM) derivatives showed similar NOD2 agonistic activity to 1, despite their contrasting electronic properties, which indicated that the interactions with the protein of the group in the 4-position are primarily of a

a Reagents and conditions: (i) cyclopentanol, EDC, DMAP, DCM, rt; (ii) 1-octadecanol, pTsOH, toluene, reflux; (iii) TFA/DCM (1:5), rt; (iv) Boc-L-Val, DCC, HOBt, Et₃N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt; (v) Boc-L-Val, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, rt; (vi) Boc-Gly, DCC, HOBt, Et3N, DMAP, EtOAc, rt, or Boc-Gly, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, rt; (vii) trans-ferulic acid, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, DMAP, DMF

72, $R =$ stearyl

or DCM, rt.

hydrophobic nature and are related to the size of the substituent. A nitro-substituted cinnamic acid was previously used in the design of MDP-C, which is a potent in vivo NOD2 agonist; however, in contrast to 26, the nitro group was attached to the D-glutamic acid moiety.^{[69](#page-29-0)} Cyclopropanation of the alkene moiety in the structure of the 3,4-dimethoxy derivative 20 produced 27 ($EC_{50} = 322$ nM) with a 2.4-fold improved potency over 20, which suggests that the cyclopropane ring assists in directing the aromatic ring to a more favorable position. Surprisingly, by applying the same concept to 1, which thus produced 28 (EC₅₀ = 369 nM), the potency was reduced by a factor of 4. The ¹H−¹H NOESY spectra revealed that both 27 and 28 retained the trans orientation of the substituents on the cyclopropane ring (Supporting Information, [Figures S7 and S8\)](#page-26-0). This analysis, however, did not differentiate between the two trans-configured diastereomers (i.e., carrying the R , R and S , S configurations on the

cyclopropyl ring), and the relative levels of those two species in the mixture could not be determined. Considering that it is likely that only one of them binds ideally to NOD2, changes in their proportions would influence the EC_{50} determined, which provides a possible explanation of the disparate data obtained after cyclopropanation of 1 and 20. Our previous study also identified 29, a cyclopropyl derivative with a 3,4-difluoro substituted aromatic ring.⁴⁶ With an EC₅₀ of 49 nM, 29 was the most potent derivative in the cyclopropyl series. With the aim to ascertain the potencies of individual diastereomers, we subjected 29 to chiral HPLC resolution (Supporting Information, [Figures S4, S5, and S6\)](#page-26-0), which yielded very low quantities of its pure diastereomers 29a ($EC_{50} = 66$ nM) and 29b (EC_{50} = 39 nM), with a relatively small difference between their NOD2 activities. ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ NOESY analysis revealed that both 29a and 29b contained a trans-configured cyclopropyl ring (Supporting Information, [Figure S9\)](#page-26-0); however, as for 27 and 28, it was not possible to determine their absolute stereochemical configurations here. Nonetheless, as this approach provided only marginal improvements in the NOD2 activity, we posited that their chiral resolution would serve no direct purpose here and that the diastereomeric mixtures of cyclopropane featuring derivatives do not need to be separated.

Next, we examined the effects of modifications to the aminoacid structure (30−32, 41, 82; [Table 1\)](#page-9-0). The MDP analogs with L-threonine and L-serine were previously shown to be suitable substitutes for the L-alanine, as demonstrated by their retained in vivo adjuvant activities; $50,51$ however, these substitutions have yet to be evaluated in the context of desmuramylpeptides. Interestingly, desmuramylpeptides with both L-threonine (32; $EC_{50} = 12.5 \ \mu M$) and L-serine (31; EC_{50} $>$ 20 μ M) showed relatively poor activities. O-Benzylation of Lserine (30; EC₅₀ = 5.56 μ M) slightly improved the activity, perhaps indicating the importance of the bulky nature of this amino-acid side chain. Lower activity was also seen when the peptide chain was extended by L-lysine (41; $EC_{50} = 10.2 \mu M$). This might be attributable to decreased membrane permeability at physiological pH, due to the presence of an ionized amine group; however, amidation of this group with a lipophilic stearoyl chain (82; $EC_{50} = 11.5 \mu M$) did little to improve the activity. Similar data were obtained in a study by Effenberg et al. (2017), where norAbuMDP-Lys-L18 (a derivative of MDP with stearoyl-L-lysine) showed reduced potency compared to MDP, despite the resemblance of both compounds to romurtide. $\frac{70}{6}$ $\frac{70}{6}$ $\frac{70}{6}$

Exploration of the chemical space around the D-glutamic acid moiety produced desmuramylpeptides 57−64 [\(Table 2](#page-10-0)). Both functionalized carboxamates and amides were evaluated as potential bioisosteric replacements of the carboxylic acid functionality. When compared to the 2.83-fold and 3.06-fold NOD2 activations of MDP and 1 in a single point assay, with respect to untreated control cells, these compounds showed considerably diminished NOD2 agonistic activity at 2 μ M (1.30-fold to 1.45-fold NOD2 activation). These data are in agreement with the previously reported impaired activities of MDP derivatives that have either a diamidated D-isoglutamine moiety^{[52](#page-28-0)} or a lipophilic amide attached to the α position of Disoglutamine.[71](#page-29-0) Slightly better activities were expected for the derivatives with hydroxamate, given that hydroxamic acids are readily hydrolyzed to their corresponding carboxylic acids.^{[72](#page-29-0)} However, it is worth noting that HEK293 cells have low hydrolytic activity due to low expression of carboxylesterases,

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Compounds 73–82^a

^aReagents and conditions: (i) RCOCl, Et₃N, THF, rt; (ii) $C_{17}H_{35}COCl$, Et₃N, DMF, rt.

the enzymes involved in the hydrolysis of both hydroxamic acids and amides.^{[73](#page-29-0),[74](#page-29-0)} Higher susceptibility of ester groups to both enzymatic and spontaneous hydrolytic processes might explain the superior effects of 1 and other ester derivatives in the HEK-Blue NOD2 cell assays. Nonetheless, given that direct bioisosteric replacement of both ethyl ester groups with their ethyl amide counterparts resulted in substantially diminished activity of 57, these data provide confirmation of our previous in vitro and docking studies that suggested that the 1 ester moieties predominantly assist in compound internalization and do not contribute to the NOD2 binding.⁴⁶

These data encouraged us to introduce the last group of modifications, in which we retained the original pharmacophore of 1 and increase its lipophilicity by introducing cleavable ester groups of varying sizes to the cinnamoyl and D-glutamic acid moieties. As the cellular assays are defined by both the crossing of the membrane by the compounds and their activation of NOD2, the lipophilicity of these compounds will have a major role in their cellular NOD2 activities. The phenolic hydroxy group served as a useful attachment point for the introduction of acetyl (C_2) , lauroyl (C_{12}) , and stearoyl (C_{18}) groups through esterification. Likewise, the two ethyl ester functional groups were readily replaced with bulkier cyclopentyl, tert-butyl, and stearyl groups. The NOD2 agonistic activities of the resulting prodrug derivatives are summarized in [Table 3.](#page-11-0)

Of note, there was an inverted U-shape correlation between the compound NOD2 activities and their lipophilicities (as calculated logP [ClogP] values; [Figure 3\)](#page-11-0). Namely, the desmuramylpeptides with ClogP in the 1.7 to 3.8 range showed increasing NOD2 activation, where compound 68 had an EC₅₀ of 40 nM, an over 2-fold improvement over 1 (EC₅₀ = 89 nM). Compound 74, a derivative with a lauroyl tail on the aromatic ring, was the most active NOD2 agonist of this series $(EC₅₀ = 30 nM)$, despite a significantly increased ClogP of 7.08. Interestingly, lauric acid (C_{12}) was previously shown to activate NOD2 and induce IL-8 secretion from HCT116 colon epithelial cells. 75 To determine whether the increased activity of 74 here is a result of the release of lauric acid after hydrolysis, the HEK-Blue NOD2 cells were also treated with lauric acid, both alone and in combination with 1. In contrast to previous indications, lauric acid did not show any NOD2 activation alone, and it did not enhance the NOD2 agonistic activity of 1 (data not shown). Further increases in the lipophilicity resulted in a sharp drop in the in vitro NOD2 agonistic activity. Extending the C_{12} chain of 74 to a longer C_{18} chain, which produced 75 (EC₅₀ = 2.83 μ M), resulted in markedly diminished activity, by a factor of 90, although the stearoyl group is a structural motif that has been used repeatedly in the preparation of potent MDP derivatives.

We postulated that the differences in NOD2 activation here can be attributed to more facile cleavage of the shorter acetyl

Table 1. NOD2 Agonistic Activities of Compounds with Structural Modifications of the MurNAc Mimetic, the Central Amino Acid, and the Effect of Chain Elongation by L-Lysine

 a SEAP activities were measured in NOD2-specific HEK-Blue cell supernatants after incubation for 18 h with MDP (2 μ M) or the compounds of interest $(2 \mu M)$. The data are shown as fold increases of NF- κ B transcriptional activity relative to the negative control $(0.1\%$ DMSO) and are ϵ pressure that the second as the matter of the contribution of the contributi experiments with 7 or 8 concentrations used (from 1 nM to 20 μ M). Mixture of two diastereomers (R,R and S,S configurations of substituents on experiments with 7 or 8 concentrations used (from 1 nM to 20 μ M). Mixture the cyclopropyl ring). ^dIt was not possible to conclusively determine the absolute stereochemical configurations of 29a and 29b; however, both contained a trans-configured cyclopropyl ring (R,R and S,S configurations).

Table 2. NOD2 Agonistic Activities of the Desmuramylpeptides with a Modified D-Glutamic Acid Moiety

 \sim

 a SEAP activities were measured in NOD2-specific HEK-Blue cell supernatants after incubation for 18 h with MDP (2 μ M) or the compounds of interest $(2 \mu M)$. The data are shown as fold increases of NF- k B transcriptional activity relative to the negative control $(0.1\%$ DMSO) and are expressed as mean \pm SEM of at least two independent experiments. ^bMixture of two diastereomers with L and D configurations of valine.

and lauroyl esters, compared to the longer stearoyl esters, by the intracellular enzymes in the HEK293 cell line used. We thus expected that the introduction of the acetyl, lauroyl, and stearoyl groups to the structure of the dicyclopentyl congener 68 would follow the same activity trend, with the lauroyl derivative additionally improving the activity of 68. Unexpectedly, the resulting C_{12} counterpart 77 (EC₅₀ = 243 nM) showed a sixth of the activity of 68. As exemplified by 78 $(EC_{50} = 6.16 \mu M)$, extending the lipophilic tail to C_{18} further abrogated the NOD2 activation. These data demonstrate that NOD2 activation by these compounds is most likely linked directly to their lipophilicity. This is further supported by the NOD2 agonistic activities of the two acetylated derivatives, the diethyl ester 73 ($EC_{50} = 62$ nM), and its dicyclopentyl surrogate 76 ($EC_{50} = 63$ nM). These two maintained similar ClogP values and consequently retained the EC_{50} values of their congeners with a free phenolic hydroxy group. Finally, replacing both of the ethyl groups of 1 with stearyl esters (i.e., 72) almost completely abrogated the NOD2 agonistic activity. Similar structure−activity relationships were identified previously and attributed to the different interactions of the lipophilic MDP analogs with the biomembranes. While higher lipophilicity facilitated membrane permeability, it also increased the association of the compounds with the membrane.⁷⁶ The retention of the lipophilic derivatives in the membrane might explain their reduced effects in the cell assays here, as they will then not be available to bind to NOD2, which is located in the cytoplasm. Conversely, these same

effects might be beneficial and lead to enhanced activities of such derivatives in in vivo assays, especially when liposomes are used as the lipophilic delivery system.

As expected, similarly reduced NOD2 activation was shown when a stearoyl chain was introduced into the structure of 30 (2.04-fold activation at 2 μ M) to produce 79 (1.68-fold), a derivative with O-benzyl-L-serine replacement of the L-valine. However, when a stearoyl chain was introduced into 31 (1.21 fold) to produce 80 (the L-serine based analog; 1.21-fold) there was almost no change to the NOD2 activation, while when a stearoyl chain was introduced into 32 (the L-threonine based analog; $EC_{50} = 12.5 \mu M$) to produce 81 ($EC_{50} = 1.45$ μ M), this showed a 10-fold improvement in potency.

Compound 56 provided a notable deviation from the ClogP−EC₅₀ relationship, where there were two tert-butyl ester groups on the D-glutamic acid. tert-Butyl esters are considerably less hydrolyzable by intracellular esterases, and especially by human carboxylesterase-1. On the other hand, cyclopentyl esters were previously shown to be excellent substrates for hydrolysis by human carboxylesterase-1.^{[64](#page-28-0)} Given the low carboxylesterase activity of HEK293 cells, it is no surprise that 56 resulted in markedly reduced NOD2 activation, despite its favorable ClogP (3.30).

As NOD2 and NOD1 agonists share certain structural characteristics, we wanted to determine whether these compounds selectively target NOD2. Selectivity against NOD1 of MDP and all of these synthesized desmuramylpeptides was analyzed in an analogous assay with the HEK-Blue

 Ω

Table 3. NOD2 Agonistic Activities of the Lipophilic Desmuramylpeptides

 H Ω

 a SEAP activities were measured in NOD2-specific HEK-Blue cell supernatants after incubation for 18 h with MDP (2 μ M) or the compounds of interest $(2 \mu M)$. The data are shown as fold increases of NF- k B transcriptional activity relative to the negative control $(0.1\%$ DMSO) and are ϵ pressure that the second as the matter of the contribution of the contributi experiments with 7 or 8 concentrations used (from 1 nM to 20 ^μM). ND, not determined. ^c ClogP values as calculated by the ChemDraw software.

Figure 3. ClogP-EC₅₀ relationship of the compound 1 prodrug derivatives.

NOD1 cell line. None of the compounds tested showed any significant activity at 2 μ M, which thus confirmed their selectivity for NOD2 (Supporting Information, [Figure S2\)](#page-26-0).

Furthermore, the specificities were determined by pretreating HEK-Blue NOD2 cells with a previously reported NOD2 antagonist^{[77](#page-29-0)} prior to stimulation with MDP $(2 \mu M)$ or the desmuramylpeptides (2 μ M). The resulting NF- κ B-induced SEAP activities were compared to those of the controls without the NOD2 antagonist pretreatment. The comparative reduction in NF-κB transcriptional activities indicated that the effects of these desmuramylpeptides are due to their NOD2 activation (Supporting Information, [Figure S3\)](#page-26-0).

We note here that the readouts from the HEK-Blue cell assay system might not accurately represent the behaviors of these synthesized desmuramylpeptides under in vivo conditions. Thus, further biological evaluations were carried out for the most potent in vitro NOD2 agonists, 68, 73, and 74, also with the inclusion of two derivatives with a stearoyl group, 75 and 81. The C_{18} lipophilic tail was shown to be beneficial for development of MDP derivatives with activities in vivo, in part due to its anchoring in the membrane of the liposomes⁷⁸ used as the delivery system of choice for the in vivo applications of NOD2 agonists. As the experimental design included the investigation of in vivo adjuvant activities of NOD2 agonists encapsulated in a liposomal formulation, inclusion of 75 and 81 in further testing was thus warranted, despite their lower NOD2 activation in the HEK-Blue NOD2 cell assays.

2.3.2. Immunostimulatory Effect of Desmuramylpeptides on PBMCs. The immunostimulatory effects of the selected

Figure 4. Effects of the MDP and desmuramylpeptide treatments on the release of cytokines from human PBMCs. Cytokine concentrations were measured after 18 h stimulation with MDP (2 μ M) or the desmuramylpeptides (2 μ M) in the absence or presence of LPS (10 ng/mL). Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of 4 independent experiments. $^{\#}p< 0.05,$ $^{\# \#}p< 0.001$ versus untreated controls; $^{\ast}p< 0.05,$ $^{\ast\ast}p< 0.01,$ $^{\ast\ast\ast}p< 0.001$ versus LPS-treated PBMCs.

desmuramylpeptides were evaluated using human primary peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). This heterogeneous mixture of immune cells allowed the study of the effects of these NOD2 agonists in a physiologically relevant system, where other NOD2-interacting and downstream signaling proteins were present. The effects of desmuramylpeptides were first determined for the secreted cytokine profile using a cytometric bead array cytokine kit. Moreover, considering that activation of NOD2 is also an important amplification signal for Toll-like receptor (TLR)-induced inflammatory responses,[79](#page-29-0)−[81](#page-29-0) we also examined the effects on cytokine secretion of the combination of desmuramylpeptides with LPS, a well-known TLR4 agonist.

Figure 4 shows the effects of the desmuramylpeptides (2 μ M) on induction of the cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α , both alone and in combination with LPS (10 ng/mL). These data show similar structure−activity relationships to the data obtained in the SEAP reporter gene assays. Compounds 68, 73, and 74 by themselves induced small increases in the levels of all four of these cytokines, with similar effects to MDP, while 75 and 81 induced lower responses. In line with previous studies, the effects of MDP and the desmuramylpeptides were most pronounced for the induction of the chemokine IL-8. 82

Stimulation with LPS resulted in large increases in cytokine production in general, which were further enhanced by MDP and the desmuramylpeptides. When compared to stimulation by LPS alone, all of the tested compounds significantly increased the levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α . These effects were synergistic; i.e., the IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α produced upon combined desmuramylpeptide and LPS stimulation were higher than the sum of the effects from these individual immunostimulants. This is in agreement with the previously

described synergistic signal amplification between NOD2 and TLR4.[83](#page-29-0),[84](#page-29-0) On the other hand, the IL-8 produced appeared to plateau following the combined stimulation with LPS and the NOD2 agonists, which thus indicated the saturation of IL-8 production in these PBMCs.

Among the diverse PBMC subpopulations, natural killer (NK) cells express high levels of functional NOD2. 85 As innate immune cells, NK cells have a central role in immunosurveillance, by their detection and destruction of virus-infected and cancer cells, through both direct cytolytic activity and release of cytokines, which can further facilitate the recruitment and activation of other innate and adaptive immune cell types. Stimulation of NK cells with MDP activates the NF-κB signaling pathway, which results in expression and release of TNF- α and interferon (IFN)- γ , as the key regulators of the Th1 cellular immune response.^{[85](#page-29-0)} This is further enhanced synergistically by IL-12 and IFN- α , which suggests a role for accessory-cell-derived cytokines in the formation of an optimal NK cell response.^{[86](#page-29-0)} MDP-stimulated NK cells also show enhanced cytotoxicity toward the Tu167 squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck cell line. 85 Furthermore, monocytes represent ∼10% of PBMCs, and they have a similar nonspecific cytolytic activity against cancer cells, which is potentiated after MDP stimulation, both alone and in combination with IFN- γ .^{[87,88](#page-29-0)}

In the present study, we examined these desmuramylpeptides in terms of potentiation of the cytotoxic activity of the PBMCs against cancer cells. To this end, we used a previously described flow-cytometry-based cytotoxicity assay where PBMCs are co-incubated with fluorescently labeled cancer cells.[89](#page-29-0) As indicated above, the NOD2-mediated NK cell activity originates from both the direct effects of NOD2 agonists on NK cells and the indirect activation through

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **Article [pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf) Article Article Article**

cytokine release by other NOD2-responsive cells, including monocytes. Thus, we used the whole PBMC population as the effector cells, rather than isolated NK cells, to provide conditions that would be closer to the in vivo environment, wherein the immune responses can be reinforced by interactions between the distinct immune cell subpopulations. Two malignant cell lines were used as the target cells in a 40:1 effector to target cell ratio: MEC1 B-chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells, and K562 chronic myelogenous leukemia cells. The K562 cells are considered a "classic" NK cell target, as they lack the expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I that is required for NK cell inhibition. 90

Interestingly, apart from LPS as the positive control, 91 only 75 showed any significant boost to the cytotoxicity of the PBMCs against both of these cancer cell lines at 10 μ M (Figure 5A). At 1 μ M, this augmented cytotoxicity was still

Figure 5. Effects of MDP and desmuramylpeptides on the cytotoxic activities of PBMCs against K562 and MEC1 cells. (A) PBMCs were treated for 18 h with MDP (10 μ M), desmuramylpeptides (10 μ M), or LPS $(1 \mu g/mL)$ before the addition of the K562 or MEC1 cells. Cytotoxicity was determined after 4 h co-incubation. (B) Concentration-dependent effect of 75 on the induction of PBMC cytotoxicity. Data are shown as activities relative to the negative control (NT, 0.1% DMSO) and are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three (MEC1) or four (K562) independent experiments. $* p < 0.05$, $** p < 0.01$, $*** p <$ 0.001 versus relevant negative controls.

significant against the K562 cells, but not against the MEC1 cells, while at 100 nM, no effects were seen against either cell line (Figure 5B). These data are markedly in contrast with the data obtained in the HEK-Blue NOD2 cell line, where 75 had one-hundredth the NOD2 agonistic activity compared to MDP, 1, 68, 73, and 74. As the desmuramylpeptides 73, 74, and 75 belong to a series of 1 prodrug derivatives that contain increasing lengths of lipophilic acids on the aromatic ring (i.e.,

acetic, lauric, stearic acids), these data indicated that the long lipophilic tail might be a contributing factor for PBMC cytotoxicity. Conversely, 81 also has a stearoyl tail but was devoid of activity; however, the generally weaker NOD2 agonism of L-threonine based desmuramylpeptides compared to L-valine derivatives has to be borne in mind. In parallel, the PBMCs and cancer cells were also treated with the desmuramylpeptides alone, to define any direct cytotoxicity they might have toward the PBMCs or cancer cells. None of these resulted in increased proportions of dead cells, thereby confirming that the enhanced activity of 75 can be attributed to the stimulation of PBMC cytotoxic activity (data not shown).

Similar lipophilicity-dependent effects on immune cell stimulation were described by Kalyuzhin et al. $(1996)^{76}$ An MDP derivative with a C_7 lipophilic tail was shown to be a potent stimulator of T cells, macrophages, and NK cells, with the NK cell activity seen as increased cytotoxicity against YAC-1 lymphoma cells. On the other hand, a C_{16} MDP derivative showed suppressive effects on the function of lymphocytes, except for the release of IL-1 and TNF- α , which was comparable after stimulation for both of these MDP derivatives. Based on these data, where the tested desmuramylpeptides induced similar levels of cytokines in PBMCs, the large differences in their cytotoxicity activation suggest that there is no linear dependence between lipophilicity and immunostimulatory effects in the various PBMC cell subpopulations. As for the HEK-Blue NOD2 cell assay, these effects might be ascribed to the hydrolipophilic balance and its effects on the interactions of these compounds with biomembranes.^{[76](#page-29-0)} Further studies are required to determine the physicochemical properties that are optimal for the induction of NK cell activity. Nonetheless, given the previously reported link between NOD2-dependent NK cell activation and in vivo antitumor activity, 92 the data from the present study demonstrate the potential of these desmuramylpeptides in NK cell-dependent cancer immunotherapeutic approaches.

Furthermore, we carried out an analogous cytotoxicity assay on macrophages that were produced by differentiation of THP-1 cells using phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate, for 3 days[.93](#page-29-0) Interestingly, the desmuramylpeptide treatments did not enhance the tumoricidal activities of the resulting M0 macrophages against the MEC1 cancer cell line (data not shown). THP-1-derived macrophages have been reported to express functional NOD2; however, in line with our findings, MDP treatment of these cells did not result in their activation, as shown by the unchanged levels of their secreted cytokines.⁸⁵

Based on the potent in vitro NOD2 activation by 68 and the PBMC cytotoxicity activation by 75, we explored the effects of 68 and 75 in terms of induction at the transcriptional level. Next-generation sequencing of RNA isolated from PBMCs was carried out following their stimulation for 18 h with 68 or 75 $(2 \mu M)$. After applying a low expression filter, the remaining 19046 genes from the desmuramylpeptide-treated samples were compared to the vehicle-treated controls (0.1% DMSO). As shown in [Figure 6](#page-14-0), 68 significantly modulated the expression of 445 genes (230 up-regulated, 215 downregulated, compared to the control), while 75 modulated the expression of 270 genes (127 up-regulated, 143 downregulated, compared to the control). Differential expression analysis between the 68- and 75-treated samples, however, did not show any significant differentially expressed genes (data not shown). This thus indicates that 68 and 75 induced similar

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **Article [pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf) Article Article Article**

Number of differentially expressed genes

Figure 6. Significantly up-regulated and down-regulated genes in PBMCs from three independent donors after 18 h of treatment with 68 and 75 (2 μ M) treatment. A false discovery rate cutoff of <0.05 and a gene expression fold-change >1.5 or <0.667 compared to the untreated control (0.1% DMSO) was applied.

transcriptional changes, where those of 75 were of lower magnitude, which is consistent with the weaker in vitro potency of 75 compared to 68.

To explore the functional implications of the observed transcriptional changes, gene enrichment analysis was performed for the differentially expressed genes after the 68 and 75 treatments. This was based on the Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes database and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. The analysis was performed using Metascape, which is a web-based annotation tool that is distinguished from other similar tools by hierarchical clustering of the overlapping enrichment terms.^{[94](#page-29-0)} Each cluster is then represented only by its most significant term, thus removing other redundant terms within each specific cluster. The analysis of the 68 and 75 induced transcriptomes revealed significant enrichment of several

pathways involved in immune responses (Figure 7). The pathway that was most significantly overexpressed by both compounds was the KEGG pathway "Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" (hsa04060), within which there was upregulation of IL1B, IL6, and CXCL8 (IL-8), which confirms the observations made at the protein level (see [Figure 4](#page-12-0)). Compounds 68 and 75 also induced the transcription of proinflammatory IL-1 cytokines and their antagonists (IL1A, IL36B, IL1RN, IL36RN), IL-17 cytokines (IL17A, IL17F), oncostatin M (member of the IL-6 cytokine family), and IL12B (p40 subunit of IL-12) [\(Figure 8A](#page-15-0)). Furthermore, there was marked up-regulation of several CC (CCL1, 3, 7, 20, 22, 3L3, 4L2) and CXC (CXCL1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8) chemokines. Indeed, a study on the transcriptional signatures of 23 different TLR/NOD-like receptor agonists (including murabutide as a representative NOD2 agonist) by Salyer and David (2018) identified strong up-regulation of CC and CXC chemokines as a shared characteristic of the majority of the innate immune stimulants they tested.^{[95](#page-29-0)} CXCL1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are primarily responsible for neutrophil trafficking, as these bind to the cognate receptor CXCR2, and thus contribute to neutrophil-mediated inflammation.^{[96](#page-29-0)} Interestingly, while the up-regulation of IL12B, IL17A, and IL17F indicated a Th1/ Th17 type response, 68 and 75 both induced the downregulation of Th1-associated chemokines CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11. These three chemokines share the same CXCR3 receptor that is mainly expressed on Th1 and NK cells, and they are predominantly involved in IFN-γ-driven Th1 immune responses. Conversely, the up-regulated CCL1 and CCL22 are generally considered to be indicative of Th2 responses.^{[96](#page-29-0)} The shift toward Th2-type responses was further substantiated by up-regulation of the co-stimulatory molecule TNFSF4 (also known as OX40 ligand [OX40L]). Notably, the activation of the OX40L−OX40 axis has previously been linked to NOD2 ligand-driven Th₂ polarization.^{[97](#page-29-0)}

Figure 7. Top 20 most-enriched Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms. The differentially expressed genes after 68 and 75 treatments (2 μ M) were used as input in pathway enrichment analysis using Metascape.

Figure 8. Heat maps of the (A) log₂(fold change) of the differentially expressed genes in the "Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" KEGG pathway and $(B) \log_2(fold \ change)$ of significantly modulated interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) by 68 and 75.

While 68 and 75 induced similar transcriptional changes in regards to cytokines and chemokines, there were pronounced differences in the induction of genes related to the defense response against viral pathogens. Specifically, there was a 68 mediated up-regulation of several interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), which, conversely, were modulated by 75 to a lesser degree (Figure 8B). Their transcription is triggered through the JAK-STAT pathway following recognition of IFNs by their cognate receptors. Several of the upregulated ISGs, such as EIF2AK2 (protein kinase R), IFITM1, ISG20, MX1, OAS1, and RSAD2 (viperin) were previously featured for their antiviral effector functions.^{[98](#page-30-0)} Importantly, the activity of endogenously induced type I IFNs and their respective ISGs was also found to be a key step in the development of adaptive immune responses induced by a wide range of currently used vaccine adjuvants. Their effects are especially essential in the formation of Th1-type responses by promoting the differentiation of Th1 cells and the induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, thus generating antiviral and antitumor protective immunity. $99,100$ $99,100$ A meta-analysis of the transcriptional profiles induced by several different vaccines linked LY6E, MX1, OAS3, IFI44L, IFI6, and IFITM3 to the early phases after vaccination. 101 101 101 With the exception of IFITM3, all of these genes were significantly up-regulated by 68. It is worth noting that while we did observe the up-regulation of IFN downstream signaling proteins, such as the transcription

factors STAT2, IRF7, and IRF9, we did not detect the transcription of type I IFN mRNA. However, it is known that the expression of IFNs is both induced and shut off rapidly, to ensure swift immunoprotective effects prior to the onset of detrimental effects to the host.^{[102](#page-30-0)}

2.3.3. In Vitro Adjuvant Properties of Desmuramylpeptides 68 and 75. Dendritic cells have an instrumental role in bridging the innate and adaptive arms of immunity by processing and presenting antigens to naive T cells, to thus generate antigen-specific T-cell and B-cell immune re-sponses.^{[103](#page-30-0)} As DCs express a wide range of PRRs, including NOD2, they serve as critical target cells for adjuvant functions. NOD2 activation in DCs was previously shown to increase expression of co-stimulatory molecules (e.g., CD80, CD86, CD40) and production of inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNFα, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12), indicators of DC maturation and activation.[104](#page-30-0),[105](#page-30-0) NOD2 engagement by MDP in DCs also induces autophagy, a vital process in the delivery of cytosolic proteins for MHC class II antigen presentation, which ultimately leads to induction of CD4⁺ T helper cell responses.[18,19](#page-27-0) Depending on the nature of the stimuli involved in DC activation, these cells respond with the production of different cytokines, which leads to the polarization of T helper cells toward distinct effector functions.[103](#page-30-0) Among these, the Th2 and Th1 subtypes are known to promote antigen-specific humoral and cellular immunity, respectively. Cellular immune responses are further characterized by induction of cytotoxic $CDS⁺$ T cells, which are instrumental in protective immunity against intracellular pathogens and tumors. Notably, NOD2 activation functions as an effective signal for DC-mediated cross-priming of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells, through up-regulation of MHC class I-dependent antigen cross-presentation pathways.[106](#page-30-0),[107](#page-30-0)

To evaluate the adjuvant potential of the two mostpromising desmuramylpeptides, 68 and 75, in terms of their effects on DC-mediated activation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, we used an in vitro antigen-presentation assay with C57BL/6 mouse bone-marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs). Following stimulation with 68 and 75 (1 or 10 μ M) in the presence of ovalbumin soluble protein (50 μ g/mL), BMDCs were cocultured with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled naive ovalbumin-specific $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells, which were isolated from splenocytes of OT II and OT I transgenic mice, respectively. After 3 days of coculture, CD25 (α subunit of IL-2 receptor)^{[108](#page-30-0)} expression was determined, along with the CFSE dilution, as markers of T-cell activation and proliferation, respectively. Furthermore, we also examined how this desmuramylpeptide-induced priming of T cells affected the secreted cytokine profiles in BMDC/T-cell cocultures.

As illustrated in [Figure 9](#page-16-0)A,B, with 68 and 75 at 10 μ M, both significantly enhanced BMDC-mediated CD4⁺ T-cell activation and proliferation. In line with its superior in vitro NOD2 activation, 68 also showed this effect at 1 μ M. Enhanced T-cell activation by 68, and to a lesser degree by 75, was additionally characterized by elevated levels of IL-2, IL-6, IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-17A ([Figure 9C](#page-16-0)). The effects of 68 were most pronounced for the production of IL-2, a pleiotropic cytokine that in addition to driving CD4⁺ T-cell growth also augments the activity of CD8⁺ and NK cells. Activated CD4⁺ T cells produce large amounts of IL-2, although Th1 cells are generally considered to be the major source of IL-2[.109](#page-30-0) IFN- γ is characterized by its inductive effects on CD8⁺ T cells,

Figure 9. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell activation, proliferation, and cytokine secretion in response to ovalbumin presentation by bone-marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) pretreated with desmuramylpeptides 68 and 75. BMDCs from C57BL/6 mice were treated with 68 and 75 (1 and 10 μ M) and ovalbumin (50 μ g/mL). After 18 h, they were washed and cocultured for 72 h with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled ovalbumin-specific CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells, isolated from OT II or OT I mouse splenocytes, respectively. (A) Representative dot plots of live Thy1.2 $^{\circ}$ /CD4 $^{\circ}$ or Thy1.2 $^{\circ}$ /CD8 $^{\circ}$ T cells, showing CD25 expression and CFSE dilution. (B) Quantification of the proportions of CD25 $^{\circ}$, CFSE $^{\rm low}$ T cells. The analysis regions are shown in panel A. (C) Cytokine concentrations in coculture supernatants following co-incubation with BMDCs as described in panel A. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of duplicates of two independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

macrophages, and NK cells, and its up-regulation of MHC I and MHC II antigen presentation pathways in DCs, and it is similarly associated with a switch toward a Th1-like immune response. Taken together with the unaffected levels of Th2 associated IL-4 and IL-10, these data indicate that 68 induced a primarily Th1 polarized response. Interestingly, NOD2 activation by MDP was previously identified as a driving force toward Th2 polarization, with reduced levels of IFN-γ and increased production of IL-4. 110 This previous study, however, used human-monocyte-derived DCs, while the present study used BMDCs from C57BL/6 mice, a strain that is generally considered as Th1 dominant.^{[111](#page-30-0)} Further studies on other mice strains and human DCs would thus be required to accurately determine the Th1/Th2 polarization promoted by the desmuramylpeptides.

Compound 68 also significantly increased the BMDC processing and cross-presentation of ovalbumin to CD8⁺ T cells, which resulted in their enhanced activation, proliferation, and cytokine secretion. It has been shown that activation of NOD1 and NOD2 in a similar in vitro cross-priming assay described by Asano et al. (2010) translated into enhanced

proliferation of IFN-γ-secreting CD8⁺ T cells in vivo, which resulted in increased antigen-specific antitumor and antibacterial cytotoxic activities.[106](#page-30-0) Complementary data in intranasally immunized mice were also reported for adamantylamide dipeptide, another representative of the desmuramylpeptide class of adjuvants. 112 As most of the currently licensed adjuvants almost exclusively induce antibody responses, there is a pressing need for adjuvants that can induce cellular immunity, especially in the cancer immunotherapy field. Based on the data described above, 68 holds great potential in this respect.

2.3.4. In Vivo Adjuvant Properties of Desmuramylpeptides 68 and 75 in Ovalbumin-Induced Antibody Responses. There is clear evidence that NOD2 activation translates into adjuvant activities in vivo. $31,52,113$ $31,52,113$ $31,52,113$ Due to the unfavorable pharmacokinetic and toxicologic properties of MDP, significant effort has been devoted to the development of more tolerable MDP derivatives. During this research, in vivo experimental data have revealed that both lipophilicity of the derivative and context of application influence the intensity and type of the provoked immune response. For example, MDP applied in

Figure 10. Ovalbumin-specific IgG (left), IgG1 (middle), and IgG2a (right) responses in NIH/OlaHsd mice after immunization with ovalbuminloaded neutral liposomes (10 μg of ovalbumin per dose), with adjuvants MDP or the desmuramylpeptides (0.30 μmol of adjuvant per dose). The concentrations were measured 1 week after the booster dose. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of 5 mice per group. *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001.

saline induces a predominantly Th2-biased humoral immune response.^{[15](#page-27-0)} On the other hand, lipophilic MDP derivatives promote a Th1-biased cellular immune response, especially when used in conjunction with lipophilic carrier systems such as liposomes.^{[70](#page-29-0)[,114](#page-30-0)} Notably, liposomes have repeatedly been featured in the development of vaccines, due to their versatility, biocompatibility, and enhancing effects on the generation of immune responses.^{[115](#page-30-0)}

To determine whether enhanced antigen presentation in vitro is correlated to in vivo adjuvant activities, an immunization study was performed in a murine model of adjuvanticity. Specifically, the selected desmuramylpeptides were investigated for induction of systemic immune responses against the model antigen ovalbumin. Seven groups of NIH/ OlaHsd mice were immunized with ovalbumin-containing neutral liposomes, either alone or with additional adjuvants of MDP or the desmuramylpeptides 1, 68, 74, 75, or 81. After immunization and one booster dose, the mice sera were collected and assayed for ovalbumin-specific IgG antibodies (Figure 10).

As expected, due to the low immunogenicity of ovalbumin, the ovalbumin-loaded liposomes without added adjuvants induced weak systemic responses and thus served as the negative controls. In agreement with our previous experiments, MDP moderately enhanced the production of anti-ovalbumin IgG antibodies, while 1 showed only marginal adjuvant activity. 46 On the other hand, there was a significant 5-fold boost in the elicited IgG responses in the group immunized with the addition of 75, followed by a 3-fold boost by 81, where both of these derivatives had a C_{18} lipophilic tail on the aromatic ring. The C_{12} -carrying derivative 74 showed similar activity to 1, while 68, although it was the most potent in vitro NOD2 agonist, was devoid of adjuvant activity under these conditions.

To understand the nature of these induced immune responses in terms of Th1/Th2 polarization, the levels of the Th1-associated IgG1 and Th2-associated IgG2a antibody isotypes were also measured (Figure 10). 116 116 116 In all of the experimental groups, the levels of induced anti-ovalbumin IgG1 closely resembled the total IgG levels; however, there were notable differences in the desmuramylpeptide enhancement of production of IgG2a. Consistent with previous reports, MDP induced a predominantly Th2-biased response, 15,117 15,117 15,117 with significant increases in IgG1 generation and only marginal increases in IgG2a, compared to the control without adjuvant. Likewise, a largely IgG1-based response was elicited by 1 and 81, while in the groups immunized by 68 and 74, there was

slight suppression of the IgG2a response. Finally, immunization with the liposomes containing the 75 adjuvant significantly enhanced ovalbumin-specific IgG1 responses and, importantly, also induced the highest levels of IgG2a antibodies, which indicated a shift toward a more balanced Th1/Th2 response.

It is clear that the *in vivo* activities of the desmuramylpeptides do not follow the same structure−activity relationship rules as the in vitro activities. Given that 1, 68, 74, and 75 act as prodrugs of the same active compound, their differential effects on the induction of humoral immune responses appear to originate from their distinctive physicochemical properties. Indeed, an evident increase in the adjuvant activities correlated with the addition of a lipophilic C_{18} tail on the aromatic ring, as for 75 and 81.

Entrapment and subsequent retention of both antigens and adjuvants are important considerations in the design of liposomal vaccines. The entrapment of soluble MDP in liposomes was previously shown to be problematic, due to the diffusive escape with a short half-life of retention of 5 h .^{[118](#page-30-0)} Many lipophilic MDP derivatives have been designed to allow their loading into liposomes to be increased, to provide increased adjuvant activity and reduced side effects. For example, addition of lipophilic adamantane groups to peptidoglycan fragments was shown to assist in the anchoring of these derivatives into the liposomal lipid bilayer, using NMR spectroscopy.[119](#page-30-0) Likewise, straight-chain lipophilic anchors can be used for the same purpose, due to the extensive network of van der Waals interactions that they can form in the lipophilic bilayer of liposomes. Surprisingly, a reduction in chain length from C_{18} to C_{16} was shown to significantly increase the propensity for the undesired escape of lipids from liposomal membranes.^{[78](#page-29-0)} Analogously, the enhanced in vivo activities of 75, and to a lesser extent of 81, compared to their C_{12} congener 74 and the compounds that lacked a lipophilic anchor appeared to be due to their more efficient liposome encapsulation and subsequent retention, thus facilitating their uptake by antigen-presenting cells. Stable incorporation into liposomes additionally protects adjuvants from the actions of hydrolytic enzymes. Given that desmuramylpeptides rely on passive absorption to cross the cell membrane, 61 extracellular hydrolysis would severely hamper their activation of the cytosolic NOD2.

One of the key advantages of liposomes is their versatility. The chemical properties of the lipid components and the preparation procedures can be chosen to modulate charge, size, size distribution, entrapment, and location of antigens and adjuvants, all of which can potentially influence the intensity and form of the immune response against the antigen of interest.^{[115](#page-30-0)} The net surface charge of liposomes, in particular, was shown to significantly influence the entrapment efficiency of the antigen¹²⁰ and the interactions of the liposomes with antigen-presenting cells and other endogenous tissue components.¹²¹ Moreover, surface modifications of liposomes can be exploited for targeted delivery of antigens and adjuvants to specific immune-cell populations, to thus promote the desired immune responses while avoiding adverse effects due to targeting of irrelevant cell types. Among the potential targets, mannose receptors are highly expressed on the surface of DCs and macrophages. Liposomes decorated with mannose receptor ligands (i.e., mannosylated liposomes) enhance the uptake and activation of DCs, to result in amplified immune responses against the encapsulated antigen.^{[122](#page-30-0)} Additionally, MDP-containing mannosylated liposomes have been shown to be effective for inhibition of liver metastasis through their targeted delivery to tumoricidal macrophages. This thus expands the potential of these formulations to cancer immunotherapies.^{[123](#page-30-0)}

To determine whether the adjuvanticity of 75 can be modulated through changes to the liposomal formulation, a second in vivo experiment was designed in which the adjuvant activities of three different liposomal compositions were compared. Neutral liposomes were prepared from egg phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol. Addition of the anionic dicetylphosphate resulted in liposomes with a negative net surface charge, while monomannosyl−poly(ethylene glycol)− palmitic acid derivative (Man-PEG-Pam) was added to assemble mannosylated liposomes.

The measured ovalbumin-specific IgG, IgG1, and IgG2a antibody levels after immunization with MDP or 75 adjuvants in neutral, negatively charged, and mannosylated liposomes (Figure 11) led to several observations: (i) Contrary to previous reports, 124 negatively charged liposomes without adjuvant elicited a substantially weaker ovalbumin-specific humoral response compared to both neutral and mannosylated liposomes, both of which exhibited similar adjuvant activities. It is worth noting that the entrapment efficiency of ovalbumin was not evaluated in our study and might contribute to the reduced adjuvanticity of negatively charged liposomes. (ii) The composition of liposomes with MDP-adjuvant significantly influenced the intensity of the immune response. Neutral liposomes had the weakest adjuvant activities, and interestingly, this was even weaker than the neutral liposomes without adjuvant. A stronger IgG response was observed with negatively charged liposomes, while mannosylated liposomes showed the strongest immunogenicity. The levels of Th2 associated IgG1 antibodies followed the same trend. The Th1 associated IgG2a response, however, remained unchanged, compared to liposomes without adjuvant, which is consistent with the predominantly Th2-biased adjuvant activity of MDP.[15](#page-27-0) (iii) The liposomal composition had little influence on the intensity of the 75-elicited IgG response, although it did alter the Th1/Th2 bias of the provoked immune response. Namely, the negatively charged liposomes showed diminished IgG1 activity. Mannosylated liposomes, on the other hand, enhanced the production of IgG2a antibodies, which is in agreement with previous reports of Th1-biased immune responses upon immunization with mannosylated liposomes with NOD2 agonist adjuvant.^{[125](#page-30-0)} (iv) Finally, regardless of the liposomal composition, 75 increased the levels of IgG

Figure 11. Ovalbumin-specific IgG (top), IgG1 (middle), and IgG2a (bottom) responses in NIH/OlaHsd mice after immunization with neutral (phosphatidylcholine−cholesterol, 7:5), anionic (phosphatidylcholine−cholesterol−dicetylphosphate, 7:5:1), and mannosylated (phosphatidylcholine−cholesterol−mannose-PEG-PA, 7:5:0.5) ovalbumin-loaded liposomes (10 μ g of ovalbumin per dose), with adjuvants MDP or 75 (0.15 μ mol of adjuvant per dose). The concentrations were measured 1 week after the second booster dose. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of 5 mice per group. *p < 0.05, $**p < 0.01$.

antibodies compared to the controls without adjuvant, with comparable or stronger adjuvant activities than MDP. Additionally, while MDP elicited a predominantly Th2-biased IgG1 response, 75 also augmented the production of ovalbumin-specific IgG2a antibodies, resulting in a mixed Th1/Th2 response.

The combined data from both of the in vivo experiments showed that introduction of a C_{18} lipophilic anchor into the structure of 1, to produce 75, substantially improved the 1 in vivo adjuvant activity, which appears to be due to the stronger incorporation and retention of 75 in the liposomal lipid bilayer. Similar transformations were applied previously to MDP and its derivatives, which resulted in higher immunoadjuvant activities and, importantly, reduced pyrogenicity, one of the main factors that has hindered the use of MDP in the clinic.^{[70](#page-29-0)} In line with previous reports, the increased lipophilicity additionally augmented the production of IgG2a antibodies,

which indicated a shift toward a balanced Th1/Th2 immune response, especially when 75 was administered in the form of mannosylated liposomes. Given that the majority of the currently used adjuvants induce predominantly Th2-biased responses, the eliciting of a Th1-biased or balanced response remains a highly sought after trait for adjuvants; for example, induction of Th1 responses is highly desirable for vaccines that target intracellular pathogens or cancers. Furthermore, when another representative MDP derivative was used in an intranasal mucosal vaccine (i.e., murabutide), this induced robust systemic and mucosal immunity that was characterized by IgG and IgA levels higher than those after parenteral vaccination with alum-adjuvant.^{[22](#page-27-0)} We thus postulate that 75 shows similar potential, and propose 75-adjuvanted mucosal vaccination as the next potential direction for further research.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, we performed a focused structure− activity relationship optimization of 1, which resulted in a library of novel desmuramylpeptide NOD2 agonists. In particular, we have identified two promising compounds: 68, as a potent in vitro NOD2 agonist with a more than 2-fold improved potency over 1, and 75, which shows superior adjuvant activity in vivo. Both 68 and 75 induced cytokine production in PBMCs, both alone and in combination with LPS, and enhanced antigen presentation of DCs. Furthermore, 75 stimulated the cytotoxic activity of PBMCs against malignant cells. Interestingly, the in vitro NOD2 activation and in vivo adjuvant activities do not necessarily correlate in a linear fashion and are highly dependent on the lipophilicity of the compounds. Specifically, we identified a C_{18} lipophilic chain as a pivotal moiety, which conferred in vivo adjuvant activity if administered with a liposomal delivery system. As a C_{18} -lipidated derivative of 1, 75 showed promising adjuvant activity in vivo in a mouse model of adjuvanticity, surpassing that of MDP and achieving a more balanced Th1/Th2 immune response. The 75 bona fide induction of an immune response to a model antigen in mice thus highlights its potential as a vaccine adjuvant. Taken together, these data provide deeper understanding of the desmuramylpeptide structural features required to achieve in vitro and in vivo immunostimulatory activities.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Materials. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.), Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan), Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium), Enamine (Monmouth Junction, NJ, U.S.A.), and Apollo (Stockport, U.K.) and were used without further purification. MDP, C12-iE-DAP, and LPS (from E. coli O55:B5) were obtained from InvivoGen, Inc. (San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). Analytical TLC was performed on Merck 60 F254 silica gel plates (0.25 mm), with visualization using ultraviolet light, ninhydrin, and potassium permanganate. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (particle size 240−400 mesh). ¹ H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, on an Avance III spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, U.S.A.) in CDCl₃, $DMSO-d₆$ or deuterated methanol (MeOD) with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. NOESY spectra were recorded at 800 MHz on an Agilent Technologies NMR spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.). Mass spectra were obtained using an Exactive Plus orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.) or on Expression CMS mass spectrometer (Advion Inc., Ithaca, NY, U.S.A.). Analytical UHPLC analyses were performed on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 Rapid Separation Binary System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.) equipped with an autosampler, a

binary pump system, a photodiode array detector, a thermostatted column compartment, and the Chromeleon Chromatography data system. The columns used were Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (1.7 μ m, 2.1 mm × 50 mm) or Waters Acquity UPLC CSH C18 (1.7 μ m, 2.1 mm \times 50 mm) with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The eluent was a mixture of 0.1% TFA in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) with a gradient (%B) as follows: 0−10 min, 5−95%; 10−12 min, 95%; 12−12.5 min, 95−5%. The columns were thermostatted at 40 °C. All of the compounds tested were established to be ≥95% pure.

Compounds 1, 2, 3, 6, 29, 42, 43, 44, 45, and 46 were prepared as previously described by our group.^{[46](#page-28-0)} The NOD2 antagonist was synthesized as described.^{[77](#page-29-0)} The analytical data here were identical to those reported previously. The assembly of the final compounds was as described below, while the preparation of other precursors is given in the [Supporting Information](#page-26-0).

4.2. General Synthetic Procedures. 4.2.1. General Procedure A: TFA-Mediated Acidolysis. The Boc-protected compound was added to an ice-chilled stirred mixture of TFA and DCM (1:5), and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 3 h, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was washed three times with diethyl ether.

4.2.2. General Procedure B: EDC-Mediated Coupling. To an icechilled stirred solution of the requisite amine (1 equiv) and carboxylic acid (1.0−1.2 equiv) in dry dimethylformamide (DMF), N,Ndiisopropylethylamine (DIPEA; 3 equiv) was added. After stirring for 15 min, HOBt (1.0−1.2 equiv), EDC (1.0−1.2 equiv), and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) were added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The stirring was continued overnight, after which the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc; 40 mL) and washed with 1 M HCl (2 \times 20 mL), saturated NaHCO₃ solution $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, and brine (20 Hz) mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. If necessary, the residues were further purified using column chromatography to produce sufficiently pure compounds.

4.2.3. General Procedure C: HCl-Mediated Acidolysis. To an icechilled stirring solution of the Boc-protected compound in EtOAc (3 mL), 1 M HCl solution in acetic acid (2 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The stirring was continued for 2 h, after which the mixture was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and washed with a 1 M NaOH solution $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to produce the deprotected amine.

4.2.4. General Procedure D: Acylation with Acyl Chlorides. To an ice-chilled stirring solution of the alcohol or amine (1 equiv) in THF, Et₃N (1.2 equiv) and the requisite acyl chloride (1.2 equiv) were added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The stirring was continued for 1 h, after which the mixture was diluted with EtOAc (25 mL) and washed with 1 M HCl $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, saturated NaHCO₃ solution $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. If necessary, the residues were further purified using column chromatography, to produce sufficiently pure compounds.

4.3. Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds. 4.3.1. Diethyl (3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)propanoyl)glycyl-Lvalyl- D -glutamate (18). A solution of compound 1 (64 mg, 0.120 mmol) in acetic acid (10 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% palladiumon-carbon for 2 h at room temperature and under atmospheric pressure. The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to produce the title compound 18 as a white solid (65 mg, 100%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.71 (br, 1H), 8.38 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.19−8.10 (m, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30−4.19 (m, 2H), 4.12−3.99 (m, 4H), 3.90 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.78−3.70 (m, 4H), 2.74−2.64 (m, 2H), 2.43−2.31 (m, 4H), 2.06−1.92 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.22−1.12 (m, 6H), 0.90–0.76 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.57, 172.04, 171.54, 171.42, 169.50, 147.82, 145.03, 132.54, 120.63, 115.70, 112.76, 61.07, 60.42, 57.78, 55.90, 51.52, 42.49, 37.70, 31.23,

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **Article [pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf)** and pubs.acs.org/jmc

31.14, 30.17, 26.35, 19.56, 18.17, 14.52, 14.45. HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{40}N_3O_9$ m/z: 538.2759 (M + H)⁺, found 538.2756.

4.3.2. Diethyl (4-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)butanoyl)glycyl-Lvalyl-D-glutamate (19). Compound 6 (83 mg, 0.179 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 16 (42 mg, 0.200 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 19 as an offwhite solid (46 mg, 46%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 6.65 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.27−4.21 (m, 2H), 4.11−4.01 (m, 4H), 3.77−3.69 (m, 5H), 2.45 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.34 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.12 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.02−1.93 (m, 2H), 1.86−1.79 (m, 1H), 1.75 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.19−1.14 (m, 6H), 0.85−0.80 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.92, 172.86, 171.68, 171.35, 169.60, 146.54, 143.80, 133.38, 120.96, 114.30, 111.15, 61.64, 60.80, 58.44, 55.86, 51.86, 43.32, 35.33, 34.88, 31.01, 30.37, 27.31, 26.82, 19.26, 17.83, 14.14, 14.08. HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{42}N_3O_9$ m/z: 552.2916 (M + H)⁺, found 552.2907.

4.3.3. Diethyl ((E)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-Lvalyl- D -glutamate (20). Compound 6 (138 mg, 0.300 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-3,4 dimethoxycinnamic acid (68 mg, 0.330 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 20 as an off-white solid (102 mg, 64%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.51 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.13 $(m, 2H)$, 6.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49– 4.41 (m, 1H), 4.34−4.28 (m, 1H), 4.26−4.04 (m, 6H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.40 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.27–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.09–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.32−1.18 (m, 6H), 1.00 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) δ 172.94, 172.35, 171.55, 170.61, 168.07, 150.97, 149.31, 141.05, 127.82, 121.99, 117.56, 111.25, 110.02, 61.06, 60.28, 58.62, 55.02, 51.79, 42.58, 30.43, 29.85, 25.86, 18.35, 16.65, 13.07. HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{40}N_3O_9$ m/z : 550.2759 $(M + H)^+$, found 550.2756.

4.3.4. Diethyl ((E)-3-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl- D -glutamate (21). Compound 6 (78 mg, 0.170 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-caffeic acid (34 mg, 0.187 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 21 as a cream-colored solid (43 mg, 49%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.49−4.41 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.24−4.15 (m, 2H), 4.15−3.93 (m, 4H), 2.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.30−2.11 (m, 2H), 2.08−1.93 (m, 1H), 1.32−1.15 (m, 6H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) δ 172.95, 172.36, 171.54, 170.69, 168.37, 147.57, 145.36, 141.60, 126.75, 120.93, 116.29, 115.04, 113.69, 61.07, 60.30, 58.63, 51.78, 42.58, 30.43, 29.85, 25.85, 18.34, 16.65, 13.06. HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{36}N_3O_9$ m/z: 522.2446 $(M + H)^+$, found 522.2445.

4.3.5. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Aminophenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-Dglutamate (24) . Compound 6 $(276 \text{ mg}, 0.600 \text{ mmol})$ was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 22 (174 mg, 0.660 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce compound 23 as a yellow solid (179 mg, 49%). This compound was deprotected using general procedure A. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with a saturated NaHCO₃ solution $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ and brine (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to produce the title compound 24 as an orange solid (135 mg, 91%). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.46 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.42 $(d, J = 15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.48-4.42 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.31 \text{ (d, } J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 4.23−4.14 (m, 2H), 4.14−3.92 (m, 4H), 2.43 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.27−2.13 (m, 2H), 2.08−1.95 (m, 1H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 0.99 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) δ 172.95, 172.34, 171.54, 170.77, 168.77, 150.25, 141.92, 129.24, 123.70, 114.39, 114.16, 61.06, 60.29, 58.62, 51.80,

42.63, 30.43, 29.86, 25.87, 18.34, 16.65, 13.06. HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{37}N_4O_7$ m/z: 505.2657 (M + H)⁺, found 505.2641.

4.3.6. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Isopropylphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-Dglutamate (25). Compound 6 (92 mg, 0.200 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-4-isopropylcinnamic acid (42 mg, 0.220 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 25 as a white solid (44 mg, 42%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.42− 8.31 (m, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.40 $(d, J = 15.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 7.29 $(d, J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 6.69 $(d, J = 15.8 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 4.31−4.21 (m, 2H), 4.14−3.97 (m, 4H), 3.90 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.96−2.84 (m, 1H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.05−1.93 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.25−1.10 (m, 12H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 171.95, 171.44, 170.92, 168.82, 165.34, 149.99, 138.84, 132.40, 127.54, 126.79, 120.75, 60.48, 59.81, 57.27, 50.97, 42.10, 33.20, 30.66, 29.60, 25.81, 23.57, 19.02, 17.64, 13.95, 13.90. HRMS calcd for $C_{28}H_{42}N_3O_7$ m/z : 532.3017 (M + H)+ , found 532.3012.

4.3.7. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Nitrophenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-p-glutamate (26). Compound 6 (92 mg, 0.200 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-4-nitrocinnamic acid (42 mg, 0.220 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 26 as a yellow solid (69 mg, 65%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.51 $(t, J = 5.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.40 \text{ (d, } J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.27 \text{ (d, } J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 8.00 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, $J = 15.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.21 (m, 2H), 4.15–3.97 $(m, 4H)$, 3.94 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.07–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.91−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.22−1.11 (m, 6H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.53, 172.01, 171.47, 169.12, 165.04, 148.00, 141.94, 137.14, 129.09, 126.59, 124.59, 61.05, 60.39, 57.87, 51.53, 42.69, 31.26, 30.18, 26.40, 19.58, 18.25, 14.52, 14.47. HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{35}N_4O_9$ m/z: 535.2399 (M + H)⁺, found 535.2393.

4.3.8. Diethyl (2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1 carbonyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (27). Compound 6 (92 mg, 0.200 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 13 (49 mg, 0.220 mmol) using general procedure B. Purification by flash chromatography (5% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 27 as a yellow solid (36 mg, 31%). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.43–8.36 (m, 2H), 7.85–7.77 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.75−6.69 (m, 1H), 6.62 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.29− 4.20 (m, 2H), 4.14−3.98 (m, 4H), 3.84−3.76 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.22–2.14 (m, 1H), 2.06–1.88 (m, 3H), 1.88−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.32−1.24 (m, 1H), 1.21−1.11 (m, 7H), 0.89−0.79 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) δ 174.23, 172.92, 172.27, 171.56, 170.55, 149.07, 147.75, 133.45, 117.96, 111.70, 110.29, 61.06, 60.31, 58.40, 55.13, 55.05, 51.78, 42.65, 30.57, 29.84, 25.88, 24.96, 24.58, 18.35, 16.64, 14.62, 13.10, 13.06. HRMS calcd for $C_{28}H_{40}N_3O_9$ m/z: 562.2770 (M – H)⁻, found 562.2769.

4.3.9. Diethyl (2-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1 carbonyl)glycyl-L-valyl-p-glutamate (28). Compound 6 (111 mg, 0.242 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 17 (42 mg, 0.202 mmol) using general procedure B. White solid (98 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.41– 8.35 (m, 2H), 7.84−7.76 (m, 1H), 6.70−6.67 (m, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.31−4.20 (m, 2H), 4.14−3.99 (m, 4H), 3.83−3.77 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.19−2.09 (m, 1H), 2.03−1.93 (m, 2H), 1.92−1.86 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.31−1.22 (m, 1H), 1.22−1.12 (m, 7H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.52, 172.17, 172.14, 172.00, 171.48, 169.41, 147.94, 145.27, 132.10, 118.35, 115.81, 110.99, 61.05, 60.41, 57.65, 57.62, 56.03, 51.52, 42.68, 42.63, 31.34, 31.31, 30.17, 26.41, 25.50, 24.45, 19.56, 18.14, 15.38, 14.53, 14.46. HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{40}N_3O_9$ m/z: 550.2759 (M + H)⁺, found 550.2748.

4.3.10. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acryloyl) glycyl-L-threonyl-D-glutamate (32). Compound 9 (388 mg, 0.841 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-ferulic acid (180 mg, 0.925 mmol) using general procedure B. Purification by flash chromatography (6% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 32 as a yellow solid (263 mg, 58%). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.74 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.04−6.95 $(m, 2H)$, 6.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.43–6.31 $(m, 2H)$, 4.58–4.47 $(m,$ 2H), 4.45−4.35 (m, 1H), 4.23−4.01 (m, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.79 (d, J $= 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.43 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29–2.14 (m, 1H), 2.13– 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.28−1.14 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.08, 172.23, 171.25, 170.31, 167.36, 147.69, 146.86, 142.03, 127.09, 122.31, 117.15, 114.84, 110.06, 67.30, 61.91, 60.84, 58.35, 55.92, 52.35, 43.70, 30.54, 26.26, 18.82, 14.12, 14.07. HRMS calcd for $C_{25}H_{36}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 538.2395 (M + H)⁺, found 538.2396.

4.3.11. Diethyl O-Benzyl-N-(((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl)-L-seryl-D-glutamate (30). Compound 7 (227 mg, 0.420 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-ferulic acid (82 mg, 0.420 mmol) using general procedure B. Yellow solid (177 mg, 69%). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.71 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.33−7.17 (m, 6H), 7.02−6.94 (m, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 4.85−4.71 (m, 1H), 4.62−4.43 (m, 3H), 4.21−3.97 (m, 6H), 3.91−3.83 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.69−3.57 (m, 1H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.26−2.11 (m, 1H), 2.07−1.94 (m, 1H), 1.26−1.11 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.85, 171.70, 170.05, 169.86, 167.25, 147.78, 147.04, 141.84, 137.40, 128.43, 127.81, 127.73, 127.11, 122.20, 117.30, 115.01, 110.29, 73.40, 73.30, 69.54, 61.59, 60.64, 55.88, 53.03, 51.99, 43.60, 30.22, 26.93, 26.88. HRMS calcd for $C_{31}H_{40}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 614.2708 (M + H)⁺, found 614.2695.

4.3.12. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acryloyl) glycyl-L-seryl-p-glutamate (31) . Compound $8(277 \text{ mg}, 0.620)$ mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-ferulic acid (120 mg, 0.620 mmol) using general procedure B. Orange solid (150 mg, 46%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.52 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54−4.45 (m, 2H), 4.25−4.01 (m, 6H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.89−3.79 (m, 2H), 2.49− 2.40 (m, 2H), 2.29−2.17 (m, 1H), 2.10−2.03 (m, 1H), 1.35−1.18 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ 173.06, 171.69, 171.02, 170.72, 168.48, 148.68, 147.91, 141.59, 126.73, 122.00, 116.56, 115.08, 110.33, 61.46, 61.15, 60.26, 55.44, 55.00, 51.93, 42.91, 29.82, 29.37, 26.01, 13.04. HRMS calcd for $C_{24}H_{34}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 524.2239 (M + H)+ , found 524.2231.

4.3.13. Methyl ((R)-5-Ethoxy-4-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido)-5 oxopentanoyl)-L-lysinate (41). To a stirring solution of compound 40 (104 mg, 0.119 mmol) in THF (15 mL), 1-octanethiol (210 μL, 1.19 mmol) and 1,8-diazabicyclo^[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU; 9 μ L, 0.060 mmol) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was washed twice with diethyl ether then recrystallized from ethanol/diethyl ether to produce the title compound 41 as a yellow solid (40 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.47 (d, J = 15.7) Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.05 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.77 $(d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 6.48 $(d, J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 4.43–4.35 (m, 1H), 4.33−4.07 (m, 4H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.66−3.59 (m, 1H), 3.61−3.51 (m, 1H), 2.62 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.40−2.18 (m, 4H), 2.11−2.01 (m, 2H), 1.84−1.70 (m, 2H), 1.67−1.50 (m, 1H), 1.49− 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 173.24, 172.22, 171.97, 171.59, 169.51, 166.35, 165.79, 148.35, 140.00, 126.67, 122.12, 118.80, 116.16, 111.37, 60.93, 55.96, 53.76, 52.43, 52.28, 52.19, 48.32, 42.76, 38.08, 32.00, 28.74, 26.45, 23.88, 19.65, 19.41, 18.26, 14.50. HRMS calcd for $C_{31}H_{48}N_5O_{10}$ m/z: 650.3396 (M + H)⁺, found 650.3387.

4.3.14. Di-tert-butyl ((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl) $acryloyl)glycyl-*L*-valyl-*D*-glutamate (56). Compound 47 (55 mg,$ 0.153 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (64 mg, 0.184 mmol) using general procedure B. Purification by flash chromatography (5% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 56 as an orange solid (27 mg, 30%). ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 15.6, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J $= 8.4$ Hz, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.29−4.25 (m, 2H), 4.02−3.91 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.26 $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 2.15−2.00 (m, 2H), 1.94−1.81 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.12, 172.28, 171.23, 170.71, 166.75, 165.00, 147.17, 146.93, 144.83, 144.20, 138.75, 127.17, 122.49, 117.24, 114.70, 110.20, 80.92, 58.47, 52.43, 38.61, 28.10, 28.01, 27.96, 27.32. HRMS calcd for $C_{30}H_{44}N_3O_9$ m/z: 590.3078 (M – H)⁻, found 590.3062.

4.3.15. (R)-N1,N5-Diethyl-2-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido) pentanediamide (57). Compound 48 (108 mg, 0.326 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (139 mg, 0.391 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 57 as an orange solid (99 mg, 57%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.45 (s, 1H), 8.40–8.13 (m, 2H), 8.03–8.00 (m, 1H), 7.90−7.75 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 16.0, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), $4.28-4.05$ (m, 2H), $3.92-3.82$ (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.07−3.02 (m, 4H), 2.18−1.86 (m, 3H), 1.83−1.60 (m, 2H), 1.19−1.00 (m, 6H), 0.98−0.96 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.05, 169.51, 169.48, 169.41, 166.32, 160.85, 160.10, 159.44, 148.30, 147.77, 126.67, 125.45, 118.57, 115.61, 110.81, 107.63, 107.07, 84.11, 55.47, 46.64, 33.28, 31.40, 29.96, 27.69, 27.60, 26.69, 24.43, 21.90, 14.69. HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{40}N_5O_7$ m/z : 534.2990 $(M + H)^+$, found 534.2986.

4.3.16. $(R)-N¹,N⁵-Dibutyl-2-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-4))$ methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido) pentanediamide (58). Compound 49 (120 mg, 0.336 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 46 (130 mg, 0.370 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 58 as an orange solid (115 mg, 58%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.45 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.05−7.94 (m, 1H), 7.85−7.71 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 15.6, 1H), 7.14 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18−4.11 (m, 2H), 3.92−3.86 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.06−3.00 (m, 4H), 2.10−1.99 (m, 2H), 1.98−1.81 (m, 2H), 1.78−1.63 (m, 1H), 1.36− 1.22 (m, 8H), 0.87–0.80 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.18, 171.17, 171.13, 169.45, 147.76, 139.38, 138.21, 121.54, 115.60, 110.81, 55.46, 52.37, 38.09, 31.87, 31.21, 31.13, 19.53, 19.45, 19.08, 18.26, 13.65. HRMS calcd for $C_{30}H_{48}N_5O_7m/z$: 590.3554 (M + H)+ , found 590.3542.

4.3.17. tert-Butyl N^5 -Butyl- N^2 -((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutaminate (59). Compound 50 (45 mg, 0.126 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to 46 (53 mg, 0.151 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 59 as a yellow solid (35 mg, 47%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.42 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.24−4.14 (m, 2H), 4.09−3.89 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.15−3.00 (m, 2H), 2.22−2.03 (m, 4H), 1.94−1.79 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.33−1.20 (m, 3H), 0.97−0.82 (m, 9H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.46, 172.41, 171.41, 171.03, 169.98, 167.32, 167.11, 147.79, 146.92, 142.02, 141.72, 127.02, 122.29, 117.25, 114.91, 110.02, 82.22, 58.95, 55.93, 52.65, 43.81, 39.49, 32.63, 31.58, 31.52, 30.43, 27.96, 19.43, 17.64, 13.77. HRMS calcd for $C_{30}H_{47}N_{4}O_{8}$ m/z : 591.3394 (M + H)⁺, found 591.3403.

4.3.18. tert-Butyl N^2 -((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-N⁵-methoxy-_D-glutaminate (60). Compound 51 (62 mg, 0.187 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (79 mg, 0.224 mmol) using general procedure B. Purification by flash chromatography (3% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 60 as an orange waxy solid $(12 \text{ mg}, 11\%)$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.34−4.33 (m, 1H), 4.13−4.09 (m, 1H), 4.05−3.93 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.45−2.20 (m, 2H), 2.15−2.02 (m, 2H), 1.88−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 0.92− 0.90 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.20, 169.08, 167.42, 166.65, 161.17, 146.74, 141.98, 127.12, 124.23, 122.54,

117.21, 114.74, 114.18, 109.51, 82.51, 57.32, 55.96, 52.30, 43.49, 31.19, 29.32, 28.29, 27.98, 24.88, 19.00, 17.75. HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{39}N_4O_9m/z$: 563.2717 (M – H)⁻, found 563.2722.

4.3.19. tert-Butyl N²-((E)-3-(4-Ḥydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-∟-valyl-N⁵-methoxy-N⁵-methyl- \emph{p} -glutaminate (**61**). Compound 52 (78 mg, 0.225 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (95 mg, 0.270 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 61 as an orange solid (57 mg, 44%). ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.34-4.20 (m, 2H),$ 4.05−3.90 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.70−3.57 (m, 3H), 3.13−3.07 (m, 3H), 2.58−2.42 (m, 2H), 2.18−2.01 (m, 2H), 1.98−1.84 (m, 1H), 1.42−1.41 (m, 9H), 0.97−0.90 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.63, 173.44, 171.20, 170.65, 169.65, 169.40, 166.94, 147.62, 146.88, 141.82, 141.63, 127.22, 122.25, 122.22, 117.55, 114.86, 110.01, 82.03, 65.88, 61.22, 58.43, 58.37, 55.91, 53.06, 52.99, 43.57, 43.37, 32.19, 31.26, 31.14, 28.32, 26.16, 19.35, 19.16, 18.07, 15.28. *Some signals in the 13C spectrum are doubled due to the presence of both L- and D-configured valine. HRMS calcd for $C_{28}H_{41}N_4O_9$ m/z: 577.2874 (M – H)⁻, found 577.2858.

4.3.20. tert-Butyl (R)-2-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido)-5 oxo-5-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pentanoate (62). Compound 53 (70 mg, 0.196 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (82 mg, 0.235 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 62 as a yellow solid $(65 \text{ mg},\ 57\%)$. ^1H NMR $(400$ MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.42−4.16 (m, 2H), 4.09−3.90 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.83−3.65 (m, 2H), 3.43−3.31 (m, 2H), 2.43−2.25 (m, 2H), 2.21−1.68 (m, 7H), 1.44−1.37 (m, 9H), 0.97−0.89 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 172.27, 171.17, 171.08, 169.80, 167.08, 167.03, 147.81, 147.07, 146.98, 141.70, 127.28, 127.19, 122.29, 122.22, 117.66, 117.60, 115.10, 115.04, 114.94, 110.21, 110.12, 82.00, 80.92, 58.47, 58.44, 56.02, 56.00, 53.52, 53.39, 46.84, 46.77, 46.74, 46.12, 46.01, 43.49, 31.22, 28.08, 26.09, 24.37, 19.37, 19.33, 18.02. *Some signals in the $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ spectrum are doubled due to the presence of both \textsc{l} - and \textsc{d} configured valine. HRMS calcd for $C_{30}H_{43}N_4O_8$ m/z: 587.3081 (M – H)[−], found 587.3088.

4.3.21. tert-Butyl (R)-4-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido)-5- (methoxy(methyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (63). Compound 54 (70 mg, 0.201 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (84 mg, 0.241 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound $\bf 63$ as an orange solid $(40 \text{ mg},\, 34\%)$. $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.34−4.22 (m, 2H), 4.06−3.91 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 2.36−2.22 (m, 2H), 2.16−1.92 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.78 (m, 1H), 1.41−1.38 (m, 9H), 0.95− 0.90 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.29, 172.08, 171.22, 171.12, 169.46, 169.38, 166.85, 147.54, 146.82, 141.61, 127.29, 122.25, 122.19, 117.62, 114.81, 109.98, 109.91, 80.77, 65.88, 61.64, 58.39, 58.28, 55.92, 48.82, 48.73, 43.46, 43.41, 32.14, 31.23, $13C$ spectrum are doubled due to the presence of both L- and Dconfigured valine. HRMS calcd for $C_{28}H_{43}N_4O_9$ m/z: 579.3030 (M + H)+ , found 579.3036.

4.3.22. tert-Butyl (R)-4-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3 methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido)-5 oxo-5-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)pentanoate (64). Compound 55 (65 mg, 0.182 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure C and coupled to 46 (76 mg, 0.218 mmol) using general procedure B to produce the title compound 64 as a yellow solid (30 mg, 28%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.44 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.47 $(d, J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.65–4.60 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.34–4.19 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.06–$ 3.91 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.70−3.59 (m, 2H), 3.44−3.31 (m, 2H), 2.34−2.22 (m, 2H), 2.14−2.04 (m, 1H), 2.02−1.91 (m, 3H), 1.86−

1.79 (m, 3H), 1.41−1.38 (m, 9H), 0.94−0.89 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 172.20, 172.09, 171.01, 169.94, 169.57, 169.42, 166.85, 147.49, 146.81, 141.58, 141.40, 127.35, 122.16, 117.80, 114.79, 109.91, 80.79, 58.13, 55.92, 50.02, 46.60, 46.21, 43.41, 31.35, 30.97, 30.92, 30.88, 28.06, 27.24, 26.01, 24.12, 19.30, 17.99, 17.88. *Some signals in the 13C spectrum are doubled due to the presence of both L- and D-configured valine. HRMS calcd for $C_{30}H_{43}N_4O_8$ m/z: 587.3081 (M − H)[−], found 587.3078.

4.3.23. Dicyclopentyl ((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)- $\frac{1}{2}$ acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-p-glutamate (68). Compound 67 (108 mg, 0.200 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-ferulic acid (43 mg, 0.220 mmol) using general procedure B. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 68 as an off-white solid $(93 \text{ mg}, 76\%)$. ¹H NMR $(400$ MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.09−6.97 (m, 3H), 6.92−6.81 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 5.21−5.07 (m, 2H), 4.55−4.47 (m, 1H), 4.46−4.40 (m, 1H), 4.23−4.06 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.41−2.29 (m, 2H), 2.26− 2.08 (m, 2H), 2.06−1.93 (m, 1H), 1.87−1.76 (m, 4H), 1.74−1.51 (m, 12H), 1.00–0.88 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.72, 171.46, 171.10, 169.47, 166.86, 147.57, 146.75, 141.86, 127.23, 122.31, 117.40, 114.73, 109.87, 78.65, 77.57, 58.50, 55.96, 52.03, 43.69, 32.70, 32.65, 32.60, 32.51, 30.80, 30.69, 26.93, 23.70, 23.64, 19.34, 17.74. HRMS calcd for $C_{32}H_{46}N_3O_9$ m/z: 616.3229 (M + H)+ , found 616.3221.

4.3.24. Dioctadecyl ((E)-3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (72). Compound 71 (489 mg, 0.538 mmol) was deprotected using general procedure A and coupled to trans-ferulic acid (125 mg, 0.646 mmol) using general procedure B, using DCM instead of DMF as the reaction solvent. Purification by flash chromatography (5% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 72 as a yellow solid (376 mg, 71%). $\rm ^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.60−4.52 (m, 1H), 4.47−4.38 (m, 1H), 4.17−4.06 (m, 4H), 4.02 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.48−2.32 (m, 2H), 2.30−2.13 (m, 2H), 2.10−1.97 (m, 1H), 1.64−1.52 (m, 4H), 1.25 (s, 60H), 1.00–0.91 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.08, 171.78, 171.11, 169.50, 166.89, 147.59, 146.72, 141.97, 127.19, 122.36, 117.29, 114.73, 109.77, 65.88, 65.09, 58.48, 55.93, 51.99, 43.74, 31.94, 30.70, 30.40, 29.73, 29.68, 29.64, 29.57, 29.54, 29.38, 29.31, 29.26, 29.21, 28.56, 28.49, 26.84, 25.90, 25.81, 22.71, 19.37, 17.65, 14.14. HRMS calcd for $C_{58}H_{102}N_3O_9$ m/z : 984.7611 (M + H)⁺, found 984.7609.

4.3.25. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-Acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acryloyl) glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (73). Synthesized from 1 (64 mg, 0.120 mmol) and acetyl chloride (10 μ L, 0.144 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc) produced the title compound 73 as a white solid $(27 \text{ mg}, 39\%)$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.40 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 $(d, J = 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.17 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.12 \text{ (d, } J = 8.1 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31−4.21 (m, 2H), 4.14−3.98 (m, 4H), 3.91 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.05−1.91 (m, 2H), 1.91−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.21−1.11 (m, 6H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 172.55, 172.05, 171.50, 169.31, 168.94, 165.70, 151.53, 140.65, 138.89, 134.34, 123.75, 122.60, 120.58, 112.06, 61.06, 60.40, 57.82, 56.23, 51.52, 42.68, 31.26, 30.17, 26.37, 20.88, 19.58, 18.23, 14.52, 14.47. HRMS calcd for $C_{28}H_{40}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 578.2708 (M + H)⁺, found 578.2704.

4.3.26. Diethyl ((E)-3-(4-(Dodecanoyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl) $acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate$ (74). Synthesized from 1 (64 mg, 0.120 mmol) and lauroyl chloride (34 μ L, 0.144 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (20% hexanes in EtOAc) produced the title compound 74 as a white solid (45 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13−7.04 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.97−6.90 (m, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.60−4.50 (m, 1H), 4.49−4.41 (m, 1H), 4.21−4.05 (m, 6H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.57 $(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.47 - 2.32 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 2.26 - 2.15 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 2.10 -$ 1.96 (m, 1H), 1.75 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.47−1.18 (m, 22H), 1.01− 0.91 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.97, 171.74, 171.66, 171.14, 169.35, 166.41, 151.38, 141.22, 141.19, 133.54, 123.21, 120.65, 120.03, 111.60, 61.67, 60.82, 58.52, 55.89, 51.97, 43.69, 34.04, 31.92, 30.83, 30.41, 29.63, 29.52, 29.36, 29.30, 29.06, 26.77, 25.01, 22.70, 19.35, 17.72, 14.14, 14.11. HRMS calcd for $C_{38}H_{60}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 718.4273 (M + H)⁺, found 718.4260.

4.3.27. Diethyl ((E)-3-(3-Methoxy-4-(stearoyloxy)phenyl) $acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-o-glutamate$ (75). Synthesized from 1 (64 mg, 0.120 mmol) and stearoyl chloride (49 μ L, 0.144 mmol) using general procedure D. The residue was washed twice with diethyl ether to produce the title compound 75 $(38 \text{ mg}, 40\%)$. ^1H NMR $(400$ MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.14−7.05 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.60−4.50 (m, 1H), 4.49−4.40 (m, 1H), 4.22−4.05 (m, 6H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.45−2.35 (m, 2H), 2.29−2.13 (m, 2H), 2.10−1.98 (m, 1H), 1.79−1.69 (m, 2H), 1.47−1.17 (m, 34H), 1.00−0.92 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.98, 171.74, 171.69, 171.16, 169.37, 166.43, 151.38, 141.22, 141.19, 133.54, 123.21, 120.65, 120.03, 111.61, 61.68, 60.83, 58.52, 55.89, 51.97, 43.70, 34.04, 31.94, 30.81, 30.41, 29.71, 29.68, 29.65, 29.54, 29.38, 29.31, 29.08, 26.77, 25.01, 22.71, 19.35, 17.71, 14.14, 14.11. HRMS calcd for $C_{44}H_{72}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 802.5212 (M + H)⁺, found 802.5204.

4.3.28. Dicyclopentyl ((E)-3-(4-Acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (76). Synthesized from 68 (69 mg, 0.112 mmol) and acetyl chloride (10 μ L, 0.134 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (3% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 76 as an off-white solid (59 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15− 7.06 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.20−5.08 (m, 2H), 4.56−4.42 (m, 2H), 4.20−4.10 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.41−2.29 (m, 5H), 2.26−2.08 (m, 2H), 2.03−1.92 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.77 (m, 4H), 1.74−1.60 (m, 8H), 1.59−1.51 (m, 4H), 1.01− 0.88 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.66, 171.54, 171.21, 169.40, 168.86, 166.40, 151.32, 140.99, 133.77, 123.15, 120.58, 120.32, 111.73, 78.66, 77.55, 58.54, 55.93, 52.01, 43.61, 32.69, 32.64, 32.60, 32.50, 30.93, 30.69, 26.94, 23.69, 23.63, 20.66, 19.33, 17.84. HRMS calcd for $C_{34}H_{48}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 658.3334 (M + H)⁺, found 658.3311.

4.3.29. Dicyclopentyl ((E)-3-(4-(Dodecanoyloxy)-3 methoxyphenyl)acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (77). Synthesized from 68 (60 mg, 0.097 mmol) and lauroyl chloride (28 μ L, 0.117 mmol) using general procedure D. The residue was washed twice with hexane to produce the title compound 77 as a white solid (77 mg, 97%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17−7.08 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (t, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.21– 5.09 (m, 2H), 4.56−4.46 (m, 1H), 4.46−4.38 (m, 1H), 4.23−4.06 $(m, 2H)$, 3.85 $(s, 3H)$, 2.57 $(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 2.44–2.29 $(m, 2H)$, 2.27−2.08 (m, 2H), 2.05−1.92 (m, 1H), 1.88−1.51 (m, 18H), 1.48− 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.36−1.25 (m, 14H), 1.00−0.91 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.79, 171.70, 171.41, 170.96, 169.22, 166.32, 151.40, 141.29, 141.24, 133.52, 123.21, 120.71, 119.97, 111.57, 78.69, 77.61, 58.48, 55.90, 52.06, 43.67, 34.04, 32.70, 32.65, 32.61, 32.52, 31.91, 30.78, 30.68, 29.62, 29.51, 29.34, 29.28, 29.06, 26.91, 25.01, 23.69, 23.64, 22.69, 19.33, 17.69, 14.12. HRMS calcd for $C_{44}H_{68}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 798.4899 $(M + H)⁺$, found 798.4876.

4.3.30. Dicyclopentyl ((E)-3-(3-Methoxy-4-(stearoyloxy)phenyl) acryloyl)glycyl-L-valyl-D-glutamate (78). Synthesized from 68 (62 mg, 0.101 mmol) and stearoyl chloride $(41 \mu L, 0.121 \text{ mmol})$ using general procedure D. The residue was washed twice with hexane to produce the title compound 78 as a white solid $(83 \text{ mg}, 93\%)$. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.04 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.22−5.09 (m, 2H), 4.56−4.40

(m, 2H), 4.19−4.13 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.48−2.27 (m, 2H), 2.25−2.09 (m, 2H), 2.06−1.94 (m, 1H), 1.88− 1.51 (m, 18H), 1.47−1.37 (m, 2H), 1.37−1.18 (m, 26H), 1.00−0.92 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.71, 171.70, 171.44, 171.07, 169.30, 166.36, 151.39, 141.20, 141.16, 133.58, 123.19, 120.64, 120.10, 111.66, 78.66, 77.57, 58.51, 55.90, 52.03, 43.64, 35.31, 34.05, 32.69, 32.65, 32.60, 32.52, 31.93, 30.91, 30.68, 29.70, 29.67, 29.64, 29.53, 29.37, 29.30, 29.20, 29.08, 28.88, 26.95, 25.01, 24.24, 23.69, 23.64, 22.70, 19.32, 17.79, 14.13. HRMS calcd for $C_{50}H_{80}N_3O_{10}$ m/z: 882.5838 (M + H)⁺, found 882.5810.

4.3.31. Diethyl O-Benzyl-N-(((E)-3-(3-methoxy-4-(stearoyloxy) phenyl)acryloyl)glycyl)-L-seryl-D-glutamate (79). Synthesized from 30 (68 mg, 0.110 mmol) and stearoyl chloride (41 μ L, 0.120 mmol) using general procedure D as a white solid (93 mg, 96%). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.59 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.22 (m, 6H), 7.13−6.98 (m, 4H), 6.62 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (td, J = 6.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62−4.47 (m, 3H), 4.21−3.98 (m, 6H), 3.97−3.89 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.65−3.58 (m, 1H), 2.58 $(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.43-2.26 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 2.26-2.13 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.07-$ 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.76 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.46−1.16 (m, 34H), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.97, 171.70, 171.46, 169.59, 169.07, 166.33, 151.39, 141.44, 141.26, 137.28, 133.47, 128.51, 127.97, 127.84, 123.21, 120.77, 119.80, 111.52, 73.51, 69.18, 61.63, 60.74, 55.90, 52.89, 52.08, 43.60, 34.05, 31.93, 30.24, 29.71, 29.67, 29.64, 29.53, 29.37, 29.30, 29.08, 26.87, 25.02, 22.70, 14.13. HRMS calcd for $C_{49}H_{74}N_3O_{11}$ m/z: 880.5318 (M + H)⁺, found 880.5302.

4.3.32. Diethyl ((E)-3-(3-Methoxy-4-(stearoyloxy)phenyl) acryloyl)glycyl-L-seryl-D-glutamate (80) . Synthesized from 31 (75) mg, 0.140 mmol) and stearoyl chloride (53 μ L, 0.160 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (6% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 80 as a white solid (23 mg, 21%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 7.57 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.69 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.55–4.44 (m, 2H), 4.23– 4.03 (m, 6H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87−3.84 (m, 2H), 2.60 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.51–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.13–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.18 (m, 34H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.27, 172.12, 171.72, 170.89, 169.57, 166.69, 151.40, 141.53, 141.29, 133.41, 123.22, 120.75, 119.75, 111.61, 61.95, 60.91, 55.90, 54.87, 52.50, 43.75, 34.05, 31.93, 30.53, 29.71, 29.67, 29.53, 29.37, 29.30, 29.08, 25.01, 22.70, 14.13, 14.10. HRMS calcd for $C_{42}H_{68}N_3O_{11}$ m/z: 790.4848 $(M + H)⁺$, found 790.4835.

4.3.33. Diethyl ((E)-3-(3-Methoxy-4-(stearoyloxy)phenyl) acryloyl)glycyl-L-threonyl-D-glutamate (81). Synthesized from 32 (65 mg, 0.120 mmol) and stearoyl chloride (49 μ L, 0.144 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (5% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 81 as an off-white solid (35 mg, 36%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.06 $(m, 3H)$, 7.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 4.58– 4.44 (m, 2H), 4.41 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.21−4.02 (m, 6H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29−2.16 (m, 1H), 2.13−1.99 (m, 1H), 1.75 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.49−1.06 (m, 37H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.16, 172.22, 171.79, 171.29, 170.13, 166.79, 151.38, 141.41, 141.23, 133.46, 123.20, 120.73, 119.83, 111.62, 67.28, 61.97, 60.89, 58.29, 55.88, 52.41, 34.04, 31.94, 30.55, 29.71, 29.68, 29.65, 29.54, 29.38, 29.31, 29.08, 26.16, 25.01, 24.95, 22.71, 18.78, 14.14, 14.08. HRMS calcd for $C_{43}H_{70}N_3O_{11}$ m/z: 804.5005 $(M + H)^+$, found 804.4999.

4.3.34. Methyl N²-((R)-5-Ethoxy-4-((S)-2-(2-((E)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamido)acetamido)-3-methylbutanamido)-5 oxopentanoyl)-N⁶-stearoyl-L-lysinate (82). Synthesized from 41 (30 mg, 0.0462 mmol) and stearoyl chloride (14 μ L, 0.0462 mmol) using general procedure D. Purification by flash chromatography (7% MeOH in DCM) produced the title compound 82 as an off-white solid (11 mg, 26%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.65–7.54 (m,

2H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.25−7.21 (m, 1H), 7.12−7.06 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.94−6.84 (m, 2H), 6.41 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (s, 1H), 5.75 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.41−4.34 (m, 2H), 4.29−4.12 (m, 3H), 4.03−3.93 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.34−3.18 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.22−2.13 (m, 4H), 1.78 (s, 2H), 1.69−1.36 (m, 5H), 1.34−1.16 (m, 33H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.92−0.84 (m, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 173.19, 172.41, 172.20, 171.92, 171.50, 169.42, 166.27, 148.83, 148.28, 139.95, 126.79, 122.05, 118.95, 116.10, 111.36, 60.94, 57.77, 55.98, 52.38, 52.25, 52.17, 38.49, 35.89, 31.75, 31.56, 31.29, 30.98, 29.49, 29.47, 29.40, 29.23, 29.16, 29.12, 27.15, 25.78, 23.23, 22.56, 19.64, 18.23, 14.50, 14.42. HRMS calcd for $C_{49}H_{82}N_5O_{11}$ m/z: 916.6005 (M + H)⁺, found 916.6002.

4.4. Mice. 4.4.1. Experiments with Bone-Marrow-Derived Dendritic Cells and T Cells. $C57BL/6$, OT I $(C57BL/6-Tg-$ (TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/J), and OT II (C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)- 425Cbn/Crl) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, U.S.A.) and bred at the University of Leiden (The Netherlands). The mice were kept under standard laboratory conditions, with food and water provided ad libitum. The mice were euthanized while sedated by cervical dislocation. All animal work was performed according to the guidelines of the European Parliament Directive 2010/63EU, and the experimental work was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Leiden University. For culture conditions of BMDCs, see below.

4.4.2. In Vivo Experiments. NIH/OlaHsd inbred mice were raised at the Institute of Immunology, Croatia. All mice used were females from 2.0 to 2.5 months old. During the experimental period, the mice were housed in the Animal Facility of the Institute of Immunology, with food and water provided ad libitum. All animal work was performed according to the Croatian Law on Animal Welfare (2017), which complies strictly with the EC Directive (2010/63/EU).

4.5. Cell Cultures. 4.5.1. HEK-Blue NOD1 and NOD2 Cells. HEK-Blue NOD1 and NOD2 cells (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) were cultured according to the manufacturer instructions in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 μg/mL normocin (InvivoGen) for two passages. All subsequent passages were cultured in medium additionally supplemented with 30 μ g/mL blasticidin (InvivoGen) and 100 μ g/ mL Zeocin (InvivoGen). The cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37 \degree C and 5% CO₂.

4.5.2. Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells. Human PBMCs from healthy and consenting donors were isolated from heparinized blood by density gradient centrifugation with Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia, Sweden). The isolated cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) supplemented with 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and used in the assays.

4.5.3. Cancer Cell Lines. K562 cells are a chronic myelogenous leukemia cell line (ATCC, Manassas, VA, U.S.A.),[126](#page-30-0) and MEC1 cells are a B-chronic lymphocytic leukemia cell line (DSMZ GmbH,
Braunschweig, Germany).¹²⁷ The K562 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 2 mM Lglutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). The MEC1 cells were cultured in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 μg/ mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich).

4.5.4. Bone-Marrow-Derived Dendritic Cells. Bone marrow cells were isolated from the tibia of C57BL/6 mice and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Lonza), 2 mM L-glutamine (Lonza), 100 U/mL penicillin (Lonza), 100 μ g/ mL streptomycin (Lonza), and 20 ng/mL granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (ImmunoTools, Friesoythe, Germany) for 7 days at 37 °C and 5% $CO₂$. The purity of the BMDCs was evaluated with PE-labeled anti-mouse CD11c (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) by flow cytometry with >90% shown to be CD11c positive.

4.6. Cytotoxicity. The tested compounds were dissolved in DMSO and further diluted in culture medium to the desired final concentrations, such that the final DMSO concentration did not exceed 0.1%. HEK-Blue NOD2 cells were seeded (40 000 cells/well) in 96-well plates in 100 μ L of culture medium and treated with 20 μ M of each compound or with the corresponding vehicle (0.1% DMSO; control cells). After 18 h of incubation $(37 \degree C, 5\% \degree CO_2)$, the metabolic activity was assessed using the CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution cell proliferation assay (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.), according to the manufacturer instructions. The experiments were run in duplicate and repeated as two independent biological replicates.

4.7. Measurement of NF-kB Transcriptional Activity (HEK-Blue Detection). HEK-Blue NOD2 and NOD1 cell line reporter assays are derived from HEK293 cells by cotransfection of the hNOD2 or hNOD1 genes, respectively, and an NF-κB-inducible secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene. Following activation of NOD2 or NOD1, the resulting NF-κB induces production of SEAP, the levels of which can be quantified colorimetrically. HEK-Blue NOD2 or NOD1 cells were seeded (2.5 \times 10⁵ cells/mL) in 96-well plates in 200 μ L of HEK-Blue detection medium (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) and treated with the compounds (2 μM for fixed concentration assay; 7−8 different concentrations from 1 nM to 20 μ M for EC₅₀ determination) or with the corresponding vehicle (0.1% DMSO). After 18 h of incubation (37 °C, 5% $CO₂$), secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) activity was determined spectrophotometrically as absorbance at 630 nm (BioTek Synergy microplate reader; Winooski, VT, U.S.A.). EC₅₀ values were calculated using Prism software (version 9; GraphPad Software, CA, U.S.A.). For determination of specificity, HEK-Blue NOD2 cells $(2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mL})$ were first pretreated for 1 h with a 10 μ M NOD2 antagonist, before the addition of the compounds (2 μ M), with incubation for 18 h. SEAP activity in the supernatants was determined as above. The experiments were run in duplicate and repeated as at least two independent biological replicates.

4.8. Cytokine Release from Peripheral Blood Mononuclear **Cells.** Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were seeded (1 \times 10⁶ cells/ mL) in 48-well plates in 500 μ L of growth medium and treated with the compounds $(2 \mu M)$ or with the corresponding vehicle $(0.1\%$ DMSO) in the absence and presence of LPS (10 ng/mL). Cell-free supernatants were collected after 18 h of incubation (37 °C, 5% CO₂) and stored at −80 °C until tested. Cytokine production was determined with the BD Cytometric Bead Array Human Inflammatory Cytokines Kit (contents: IL-8, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, TNF, IL-12p70; BD Bioscience) on an Attune NxT flow cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.). Standard curves were generated using recombinant cytokines contained in the kit. The data were analyzed using the FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, U.S.A.) and Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) software. The experiments were repeated as four independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by repeated measures one-way ANOVA followed with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

4.9. Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell Cytotoxicity. The PBMC cytotoxicity assays using K562 and MEC1 cells were performed as described previously, with some modifications.⁸ PBMCs were seeded $(4 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ in 96-well plates and treated with compounds (0.1–10 μ M) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) for 18 h. The K562 or MEC1 cells were stained with CFSE (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.), washed twice with complete medium, and added $(1 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$ to pretreated PBMCs for a final effector cell to target tumor cell ratio of 40:1. After a 4 h co-incubation (37 $^{\circ}$ C, 5% CO₂), cells were stained with Sytox blue dead cell stain (Invitrogen) and analyzed using an Attune NxT flow cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.) and the FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, U.S.A.). Cells that were positive for both CFSE and Sytox blue were defined as dead K562 and MEC1 cells. PBMCs alone and the CFSE-labeled cancer cells alone were also treated with the compounds at the same concentrations and stained with Sytox blue to determine any direct cytotoxicity of the compounds toward the PBMCs and cancer cells. The experiments were run in duplicate and repeated as three independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

4.10. RNA Sequencing. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from three independent donors were seeded $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/mL})$ in 24-well plates in 1 mL of growth medium and treated with the compounds (2 μ M) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) for 18 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, resuspended in RNAlater RNA stabilization solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.), and stored at −80 °C. RNA extraction, library construction, and sequencing were conducted by Genewiz (Leipzig, Germany).

Briefly, total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer protocol. RNA samples were quantified using Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.), and RNA integrity was checked with RNA Kit on a 5600 Fragment Analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.). All RNA samples were of high quality with an RNA quality number of ≥9.4. RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra II RNA library prep kit for Illumina according to the manufacturer instructions (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, U.S.A.). Libraries were loaded on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument, and clustering was performed directly on the NovaSeq before sequencing according to the manufacturer instructions. The samples were sequenced using a 2×150 paired end configuration. Image analysis and base calling were conducted by the NovaSeq Control Software. Raw sequence data (.bcl files) generated from the Illumina NovaSeq were converted into fastq files and demultiplexed using Illumina's bcl2fastq 2.19 software. One mismatch was allowed for index sequence identification. After investigating the quality of the raw data, sequence reads were trimmed to remove possible adapter sequences and nucleotides with poor quality using Trimmomatic v.0.36. The trimmed reads were mapped to the Homo sapiens reference genome as available on ENSEMBL, using STAR aligner v.2.5.2b, thus generating BAM files. Unique gene hit counts were calculated using feature counts from the Subread package v.1.5.2. Only unique reads that fell within exon regions were counted. After extraction of gene hit counts, the gene hit counts table was used for downstream differential expression analysis.

Differential expression analysis was performed with iDEP.91.^{[128](#page-30-0)} First, a low expression filter was applied (0.5 counts per million in at least 1 library). The remaining gene counts were normalized by counts per million in the EdgeR package, with a pseudocount of 4. Differential gene expression analysis was performed with the DESeq2 method, using a false discovery rate <0.05 and a gene expression fold change >1.5 or <0.667 as the cutoff values. The list of differentially expressed genes was then used as input for gene annotation and pathway enrichment analysis with Metascape.⁹

4.11. Bone-Marrow-Derived Dendritic Cell Antigen Presentation. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were purified from splenocytes of OT II and OT I transgenic mice using CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell isolation kits (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany), according to manufacturer instructions. Purified T cells were stained with CFSE (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.) and washed. Then, 5×10^4 T cells were mixed with 1×10^4 BMDCs per well (pretreated with desmuramylpeptides [1 or 10 μ M] and 50 μ g/mL ovalbumin [InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.] for 18 h, and then washed). After 72 h of co-incubation (37 °C, 5% CO₂), the supernatants were collected and stored at -80 °C for subsequent cytokine measurements. The cells were stained with Fixable viability dye eFluor 780 (eBioscience, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, U.S.A.), anti-Thy1.2-PE-Cy7 antibodies (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.), anti-CD8-eFluor450 antibodies (eBioscience), anti-CD4-eFluor450 antibodies (eBioscience), and anti-CD25-APC antibodies (Biolegend) and analyzed using a Beckman Coulter Cytoflex S flow cytometer (CA, U.S.A.) and FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, U.S.A.). Live Thy1.2⁺/CD4⁺ and Thy1.2⁺ /CD8⁺ were evaluated for CFSE dilution and CD25

expression. The experiments were run in duplicate and repeated as two independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

4.12. T Cell Cytokine Release. Supernatants from $CD4^+$ and CD8⁺ T cells after 72 h of co-incubation with BMDCs (pretreated with desmuramylpeptides $[10 \ \mu M]$ and washed) were collected as described above. The cytokine concentrations were determined with the Cytometric Bead Array Mouse Th1/Th2/Th17 Cytokine Kit (contents: IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IFN-γ, TNF, IL-17A, IL-10; BD Bioscience) on an Attune NxT flow cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.). Standard curves were generated using recombinant cytokines contained in the kit. The data were analyzed using the FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, U.S.A.) and Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) software. The experiments were run in duplicate and repeated as two independent biological replicates.

4.13. In Vivo Induction of Ovalbumin-Specific Immune Response. 4.13.1. Materials, Antigens, and Antibodies. Bovine serum albumin, Tween 20, monoclonal anti-chicken egg albumin (clone OVA-14 mouse IgG1 isotype), o-phenylenediaminedihydrochloride, and MDP were from Sigma (U.S.A.). Horseradishperoxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (HRP-anti-mouse IgG) was from Bio-Rad Laboratories (U.S.A.). Biotin-conjugated rat antimouse IgG1 and anti-mouse IgG2a monoclonal antibodies and streptavidin−peroxidase were from PharMingen, Becton Dickinson (U.S.A.). Chemicals for buffers and solutions were from Kemika (Croatia). Ovalbumin was from Serva (Germany). L- α -Phosphatidylcholine, type XI-E, from fresh egg yolk (egg-phosphatidylcholine) was from Avanti Polar Lipids. Cholesterol from porcine liver and dicetylphosphate were from Sigma (U.S.A.). Monomannosyl-PEGpalmitic acid derivative (Man-PEG-Pam) was synthesized as previously described.^{[129](#page-30-0)}

4.13.2. Preparation of Liposomes. Multilamellar liposomes were prepared by the modified thin lipid film method as described previously.^{[130,131](#page-30-0)} For the preparation of neutral liposomes, a 7:5 molar ratio of egg-phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol was used. For the preparation of negatively charged liposomes, a 7:5:1 molar ratio of egg-phosphatidylcholine, cholesterol, and dicetylphosphate was used, while a 7:5:0.5 molar ratio of egg-phosphatidylcholine, cholesterol, and Man-PEG-Pam was used in the preparation of mannosylated liposomes. Lipids (total lipid concentration, 4 mg/mL) were dissolved in chloroform/methanol (2:1). A methanol or chloroform/methanol solution of MDP or the desmuramylpeptides was added to the lipid solution for a final concentration of 2 mM and 1.5 mM for the first [\(Figure 10\)](#page-17-0) and second [\(Figure 11\)](#page-18-0) experiments, respectively. After rotary evaporation of the solvent, the remaining lipid film was dried in vacuum for 1 h and then dispersed by gentle handshaking in an ovalbumin solution in saline (0.0667 and 0.1 mg/mL for the first and second experiments, respectively). This was then left overnight at 4 °C to swell and stabilize. Liposome size was reduced by sonification. Nonentrapped material was not separated from liposomes, and the complete liposome suspension was used for immunizations.

4.13.3. Immunizations. For the first experiment [\(Figure 10\)](#page-17-0), sexmatched NIH/OlaHsd mice (five per group) were immunized subcutaneously in the tail base and boosted one time after 21 days. The injection volume in all experimental groups was 0.15 mL per mouse, which corresponds to 10 μ g of OVA, 400 μ g of lipids, and 0.30 μ mol of MDP and desmuramylpeptides. For the second experiment ([Figure 11](#page-18-0)), sex-matched NIH/OlaHsd mice (five per group) were immunized and boosted two times subcutaneously into the tail base at 21-day intervals. The injection volume in all experimental groups was 0.1 mL per mouse, which corresponds to 10 μ g of OVA, 400 μ g of lipids, and 0.15 μ mol of MDP and 75. The mice were anesthetized with ip application of ketamine/xylazine (25 mg/kg each) prior to blood collection from the axillary plexus on the seventh day after the last booster dose. Individual serum from each animal was decomplemented at 56 °C for 30 min and then stored at −20 °C until tested.

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **Article [pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf) pubs.acs.org/jmc Article Article Article**

4.13.4. Ovalbumin-Specific Serum Antibody Concentration Determination by ELISA. ELISA assays were performed as detailed previously[.46](#page-28-0) Briefly, high-binding ELISA plates (Costar, U.S.A.) were coated with a 15 μ g/mL solution of ovalbumin in carbonate buffer, pH 9.6, and incubated overnight at room temperature. Nonspecific antibody binding was blocked with 0.5% w/v bovine serum albumin in PBS-T (0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 in phosphate-buffered saline) for 2 h at 37 °C. After washing, five serial dilutions of mice sera and standard preparations were added in duplicate. Plates were incubated overnight at room temperature, washed, and analyzed for ovalbuminspecific IgG levels by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (2 h at 37 °C) and then, after washing, with 0.6 mg/mL o phenylenediaminedihydrochloride solution in citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 5.0, with 0.5 μ L of 30% H_2O_2 per milliliter for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. The enzymatic reaction was stopped with 12.5% H_2SO_4 , and absorbance at 492 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.). For the determination of ovalbumin-specific IgG1 and IgG2a, the plates were incubated with biotin-conjugated rat anti-mouse IgG1 or IgG2a (2 h at 37 °C) and subsequently with streptavidin−peroxidase for another 2 h at 37 °C. After washing, the substrate solution was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark, as described above. The enzymatic reaction was stopped with 12.5% H2SO4, and absorbance at 492 nm was measured using a microplate reader. The relative quantities of antibodies were determined by parallel line assays using appropriate standard preparations of antiovalbumin IgG, anti-ovalbumin IgG1, and anti-ovalbumin IgG2a. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

4.14. Screening against PAINS. All tested compounds were screened against the PAINS filter 132 as implemented in CANVAS (Schrödinger software, Release 2020-2, New York, U.S.A.). Compound 21 was identified as an interfering compound due to the presence of a catechol structure. Given that 21 was tested in two independent analogous assays with HEK-Blue NOD1 and NOD2 cells and it showed no activity in the NOD1 assay, the activity measured in the NOD2 assay did not arise from nonspecific activation. Furthermore, the reduced NOD2 activation of 21 after NOD2 antagonist pretreatment in the specificity assay provided an additional argument for the absence of interference of the catechol structure in 21.

4.15. Statistics. Data analysis was performed using Prism software (version 9; GraphPad Software, CA, U.S.A.). Statistical differences were determined as specified under individual experimental procedures. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644?goto=supporting-info).

(Molecular formula strings [CSV](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644/suppl_file/jm1c00644_si_001.csv))

Synthesis and analytical data of intermediate compounds 4, 5, 7−17, 22, 33−40, 47−55, 65−67, and 69−71, supporting schemes and figures, experimental procedure for chiral HPLC resolution of compound 29, $^{1}H-^{1}H$ NOESY determination of cyclopropane stereoconfiguration in compounds 27 , 28 , $29a$, and $29b$, and ${}^{1}H$ and 13 C NMR spectra of representative tested compounds [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644/suppl_file/jm1c00644_si_002.pdf))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Ziga Jakopin − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; [orcid.org/0000-0001-9384-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9384-0858) [0858;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9384-0858) Phone: +386 1 4769 646; Email: [ziga.jakopin@](mailto:ziga.jakopin@ffa.uni-lj.si) ff[a.uni-lj.si](mailto:ziga.jakopin@ffa.uni-lj.si); Fax: + 386 1 4258 031

Authors

- Samo Guzelj − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Sanja Nabergoj − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Martina Gobec $-$ Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Stane Pajk − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Veronika Klančič − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Bram Slütter − Div. BioTherapeutics, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands
- Ruža Frkanec − Centre for Research and Knowledge Transfer in Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- Adela Stimac − Centre for Research and Knowledge Transfer in Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- Primož Šket Slovenian NMR Centre, National Institute of Chemistry, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Janez Plavec − Slovenian NMR Centre, National Institute of Chemistry, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Irena Mlinarič-Raščan − Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00644?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

The study was designed by Ž.J. and S.G. The synthetic work and characterization of compounds was conducted by Ž.J., S.G., and V.K. Chiral HPLC resolution was performed by S.P. NOESY experiments were conducted by S.G., P.S., and J.P. In vitro assays of cytotoxicity, NOD1 and NOD2 stimulation, PBMC isolation, and stimulation and determination of cytotoxicity versus cancer cells were conducted by S.G., M. G., and S.N. In vitro assays with BMDCs and T cells were conducted by S.G. and B.S. Preparation of experimental liposomal formulations and in vivo experiments (immunization experiments, analysis of sera) were conducted by A.S. and R.F. Ž.J. and S.G. wrote the manuscript. Ž.J., S.G., S.N., M.G., S.P., V.K., B.S., A.Š ., R.F., and I.M.R. analyzed the data, read the manuscript, and gave approval to the final version.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by the Slovenian Research Agency (Grants P1-0208 and J3-9256), the Croatian Science Foundation (HrZZ) (Project No. IP-2018-01-6910), their mutual bilateral contract (BI-HR/18-19-001), and the COST action CA16231 "European Network of Vaccine Adjuvants (ENOVA)" (Grant ID STSM-CA16231- 130120-114265). The authors thank Dr. Chris Berrie for proofreading the manuscript.

■ ABBREVIATIONS USED

BMDC, bone-marrow-derived dendritic cell; CARD, caspase recruitment domain; CFSE, carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester; COMU, (1-cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy) dimethylamino-morpholino-carbenium hexafluorophosphate; DBU, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene; DC, dendritic cell; DCC, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DIPEA, N,N-diisopropylethylamine; DMAP, 4-dimethylaminopyridine; DMF, dimethylformamide; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl) carbodiimide; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; GO, Gene Ontology; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; ISG, interferon-stimulated gene; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; Man-PEG-Pam, monomannosyl− poly(ethylene glycol)−palmitic acid; MDP, muramyl dipeptide; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; MurNAc, Nacetylmuramic acid; NF-κB, nuclear factor κB; NK, natural killer; NOD, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PRR, pattern recognition receptor; SEAP, secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, tetrahydrofuran; TLR, Toll-like receptor

■ REFERENCES

(1) Janeway, C. A[. Approaching the Asymptote? Evolution and](https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1989.054.01.003) [Revolution in Immunology.](https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1989.054.01.003) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 1989, 54, 1−13.

(2) Janeway, C. A., Jr.; Medzhitov, R. [Innate Immune Recognition.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.20.083001.084359) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2002, 20, 197−216.

(3) Jain, A.; Pasare, C. [Innate Control of Adaptive Immunity:](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1602000) [Beyond the Three-Signal Paradigm.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1602000) J. Immunol. 2017, 198, 3791− 3800.

(4) Miyaji, E. N.; Carvalho, E.; Oliveira, M. L. S.; Raw, I.; Ho, P. L. [Trends in Adjuvant Development for Vaccines: DAMPs and PAMPs](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X2011000600003) [as Potential New Adjuvants.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X2011000600003) Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 2011, 44, 500− 513.

(5) Zhu, G.; Xu, Y.; Cen, X.; Nandakumar, K. S.; Liu, S.; Cheng, K. [Targeting Pattern-Recognition Receptors to Discover New Small](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.12.026) [Molecule Immune Modulators.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.12.026) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 144, 82−92.

(6) Pashenkov, M. V.; Dagil, Y. A.; Pinegin, B. V. [NOD1 and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2017.11.036) [NOD2: Molecular Targets in Prevention and Treatment of Infectious](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2017.11.036) [Diseases.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2017.11.036) Int. Immunopharmacol. 2018, 54, 385−400.

(7) Nabergoj, S.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Jakopin, Ž. [Harnessing the](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21557) [Untapped Potential of Nucleotide-Binding Oligomerization Domain](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21557) [Ligands for Cancer Immunotherapy.](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21557) Med. Res. Rev. 2019, 39, 1447− 1484.

(8) Philpott, D. J.; Sorbara, M. T.; Robertson, S. J.; Croitoru, K.; Girardin, S. E[. NOD Proteins: Regulators of Inflammation in Health](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3565) [and Disease.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3565) Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2014, 14, 9−23.

(9) Al Nabhani, Z.; Dietrich, G.; Hugot, J. P.; Barreau, F. [Nod2: The](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006177) [Intestinal Gate Keeper.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006177) PLoS Pathog. 2017, 13, e1006177.

(10) Girardin, S. E.; Boneca, I. G.; Viala, J.; Chamaillard, M.; Labigne, A.; Thomas, G.; Philpott, D. J.; Sansonetti, P. J. [Nod2 Is a](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200651200) [General Sensor of Peptidoglycan through Muramyl Dipeptide \(MDP\)](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200651200) [Detection.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200651200) J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 8869−8872.

(11) Inohara, N.; Ogura, Y.; Fontalba, A.; Gutierrez, O.; Pons, F.; Crespo, J.; Fukase, K.; Inamura, S.; Kusumoto, S.; Hashimoto, M.; Foster, S. J.; Moran, A. P.; Fernandez-Luna, J. L.; Nuñez, G. [Host](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200673200) [Recognition of Bacterial Muramyl Dipeptide Mediated through](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200673200) [NOD2: Implications for Crohn](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200673200)'s Disease. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 5509−5512.

(12) Grimes, C. L.; Ariyananda, L. D. Z.; Melnyk, J. E.; O'Shea, E. K. [The Innate Immune Protein Nod2 Binds Directly to MDP, a Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja303883c?ref=pdf) [Cell Wall Fragment.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja303883c?ref=pdf) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13535−13537.

(13) Mo, J.; Boyle, J. P.; Howard, C. B.; Monie, T. P.; Davis, B. K.; Duncan, J. A[. Pathogen Sensing by Nucleotide-Binding Oligomeriza](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.344283)[tion Domain-Containing Protein 2 \(NOD2\) Is Mediated by Direct](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.344283) [Binding to Muramyl Dipeptide and ATP.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.344283) J. Biol. Chem. 2012, 287, 23057−23067.

(14) Boyle, J. P.; Parkhouse, R.; Monie, T. P[. Insights into the](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.140178) [Molecular Basis of the Nod2 Signalling Pathway.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.140178) Open Biol. 2014, 4, 140178.

(15) Magalhaes, J. G.; Fritz, J. H.; Le Bourhis, L.; Sellge, G.; Travassos, L. H.; Selvanantham, T.; Girardin, S. E.; Gommerman, J. L.; Philpott, D. J. [Nod2-Dependent Th2 Polarization of Antigen-](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.11.7925)[Specific Immunity.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.11.7925) J. Immunol. 2008, 181, 7925−7935.

(16) Chen, G.; Shaw, M. H.; Kim, Y.-G.; Nuñez, G[. NOD-Like](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092239) [Receptors: Role in Innate Immunity and Inflammatory Disease.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092239) Annu. Rev. Pathol.: Mech. Dis. 2009, 4, 365−398.

(17) Negroni, A.; Pierdomenico, M.; Cucchiara, S.; Stronati, L. [NOD2 and Inflammation: Current Insights.](https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S137606) J. Inflammation Res. 2018, 11, 49−60.

(18) Cooney, R.; Baker, J.; Brain, O.; Danis, B.; Pichulik, T.; Allan, P.; Ferguson, D. J. P.; Campbell, B. J.; Jewell, D.; Simmons, A[. NOD2](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2069) [Stimulation Induces Autophagy in Dendritic Cells Influencing](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2069) [Bacterial Handling and Antigen Presentation.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2069) Nat. Med. 2010, 16, 90−97.

(19) Travassos, L. H.; Carneiro, L. A. M.; Ramjeet, M.; Hussey, S.; Kim, Y. G.; Magalhaes, J. G.; Yuan, L.; Soares, F.; Chea, E.; Le Bourhis, L.; Boneca, I. G.; Allaoui, A.; Jones, N. L.; Nunez, G.; Girardin, S. E.; Philpott, D. J. [Nod1 and Nod2 Direct Autophagy by](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1823) [Recruiting ATG16L1 to the Plasma Membrane at the Site of Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1823) [Entry.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1823) Nat. Immunol. 2010, 11, 55−62.

(20) Geddes, K.; Magalhães, J. G.; Girardin, S. E. [Unleashing the](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2783) [Therapeutic Potential of NOD-like Receptors.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2783) Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2009, 8, 465−479.

(21) Maisonneuve, C.; Bertholet, S.; Philpott, D. J.; De Gregorio, E. [Unleashing the Potential of NOD- and Toll-like Agonists as Vaccine](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400478111) [Adjuvants.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1400478111) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2014, 111, 12294−12299.

(22) Jackson, E. M.; Herbst-Kralovetz, M. M[. Intranasal Vaccination](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041529) [with Murabutide Enhances Humoral and Mucosal Immune](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041529) [Responses to a Virus-like Particle Vaccine.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041529) PLoS One 2012, 7, No. e41529.

(23) Bumgardner, S. A.; Zhang, L.; LaVoy, A. S.; Andre, B.; Frank, C. B.; Kajikawa, A.; Klaenhammer, T. R.; Dean, G. A[. Nod2 Is](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196950) [Required for Antigen-Specific Humoral Responses against Antigens](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196950) [Orally Delivered Using a Recombinant Lactobacillus Vaccine](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196950) [Platform.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196950) PLoS One 2018, 13, e0196950.

(24) Ellouz, F.; Adam, A.; Ciorbaru, R.; Lederer, E[. Minimal](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(74)90458-6) [Structural Requirements for Adjuvant Activity of Bacterial Peptido](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(74)90458-6)[glycan Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(74)90458-6) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1974, 59, 1317-1325.

(25) Dinarello, C. A.; Elin, R. J.; Chedid, L.; Wolff, S. M[. The](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/138.6.760) [Pyrogenicity of the Synthetic Adjuvant Muramyl Dipeptide and Two](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/138.6.760) [Structural Analogues.](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/138.6.760) J. Infect. Dis. 1978, 138, 760−767.

(26) Riveau, G.; Masek, K.; Parant, M.; Chedid, L. [Central](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.152.4.869) [Pyrogenic Activity of Muramyl Dipeptide.](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.152.4.869) J. Exp. Med. 1980, 152, 869−877.

(27) Parant, M.; Parant, F.; Chedid, L.; Yapo, A.; Petit, J. F.; Lederer, E. [Fate of the Synthetic Immunoadjuvant, Muramyl](https://doi.org/10.1016/0192-0561(79)90028-6) [Dipeptide \(14C-Labelled\) in the Mouse.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0192-0561(79)90028-6) Int. J. Immunopharmacol. 1979, 1, 35−41.

(28) Harrison, J.; Fox, A. [Degradation of Muramyl Dipeptide by](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.50.1.320-321.1985) [Mammalian Serum.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.50.1.320-321.1985) Infect. Immun. 1985, 50, 320−321.

(29) Ogawa, C.; Liu, Y. J.; Kobayashi, K. S. [Muramyl Dipeptide and](https://doi.org/10.2174/157340711796817913) [Its Derivatives: Peptide Adjuvant in Immuno- Logical Disorders and](https://doi.org/10.2174/157340711796817913) [Cancer Therapy.](https://doi.org/10.2174/157340711796817913) Curr. Bioact. Compd. 2011, 7, 180−197.

(30) Dzierzbicka, K.; Wardowska, A.; Trzonkowski, P. [Recent](https://doi.org/10.2174/092986711795843173) [Developments in the Synthesis and Biological Activity of](https://doi.org/10.2174/092986711795843173) [Muramylpeptides.](https://doi.org/10.2174/092986711795843173) Curr. Med. Chem. 2011, 18, 2438−2451.

(31) Griffin, M. E.; Hespen, C. W.; Wang, Y. C.; Hang, H. C. [Translation of Peptidoglycan Metabolites into Immunotherapeutics.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cti2.1095) Clin. Transl. Immunol. 2019, 8, No. e1095.

(32) Azuma, I. [Review: Inducer of Cytokines in Vivo: Overview of](https://doi.org/10.1016/0192-0561(92)90180-S) [Field and Romurtide Experience.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0192-0561(92)90180-S) Int. J. Immunopharmacol. 1992, 14, 487−496.

(33) Meyers, P. A. [Muramyl Tripeptide \(Mifamurtide\) for the](https://doi.org/10.1586/era.09.69) [Treatment of Osteosarcoma.](https://doi.org/10.1586/era.09.69) Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther. 2009, 9, 1035−1049.

(34) Chedid, L. A.; Parant, M. A.; Audibert, F. M.; Riveau, G. J.; Lederer, E.; Choay, J. P.; Lefrancier, P. L.; Parant, F. J. [Biological](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.35.2.417-424.1982) [Activity of a New Synthetic Muramyl Peptide Adjuvant Devoid of](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.35.2.417-424.1982) [Pyrogenicity.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.35.2.417-424.1982) Infect. Immun. 1982, 35, 417−424.

(35) Jakopin, Z[. Murabutide Revisited: A Review of Its Pleiotropic](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867311320160002) [Biological Effects.](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867311320160002) Curr. Med. Chem. 2013, 20, 2068−2079.

(36) Kikelj, D.; Pečar, S.; Kotnik, V.; Štalc, A.; Wraber-Herzog, B.; Simčič, S.; Ihan, A.; Klamfer, L.; Povšič, L.; Grahek, R.; Suhadolc, E.; Hočevar, M.; Hönig, H.; Rogi-Kohlenprath, R. [N-{trans-2-\[\[2](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm970509d?ref=pdf)'-[\(Acetylamino\)Cyclohexyl\]Oxy\]Acetyl}-L-Alanyl-D-Glutamic Acid: A](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm970509d?ref=pdf) [Novel Immunologically Active Carbocyclic Muramyl Dipeptide](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm970509d?ref=pdf) [Analogue.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm970509d?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 530−539.

(37) Ribić, R.; Habjanec, L.; Vranesic, B.; Frkanec, R.; Tomic, S. [Synthesis and Immunostimulating Properties of Novel Adamant-1-Yl](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100232) [Tripeptides.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201100232) Chem. Biodiversity 2012, 9, 777−788.

(38) Masihi, K. N.; Lange, W.; Schwenke, S.; Gast, G.; Huchshorn, P.; Palache, A.; Mašek, K[. Effect of Immunomodulator Adamantyla](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(90)90140-H) [mide Dipeptide on Antibody Response to Influenza Subunit Vaccines](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(90)90140-H) [and Protection against Aerosol Influenza Infection.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(90)90140-H) Vaccine 1990, 8, 159−163.

(39) Becker, P. D.; Corral, R. S.; Guzmán, C. A.; Grinstein, S. [Adamantylamide Dipeptide as Effective Immunoadjuvant in Rabbits](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(01)00259-6) [and Mice.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(01)00259-6) Vaccine 2001, 19, 4603−4609.

(40) Simčič, S.; Wraber, B.; Sollner, M.; Urleb, U.; Gobec, S. [Modulation of Tumour Necrosis Factor Production with Desmur](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004240000008)[amyldipeptide Analogues.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004240000008) Pfluegers Arch. 2000, 440, R064−R066.

(41) Jakopin, Ž.; Corsini, E.; Gobec, M.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Dolenc, M. S[. Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.05.042) [Desmuramyldipeptide Analogs.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.05.042) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 46, 3762− 3777.

(42) Zhao, N.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, S.; Fang, X.; Liang, Z.; Liu, G[. New](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.05.056) [Muramyl Dipeptide \(MDP\) Mimics without the Carbohydrate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.05.056) [Moiety as Potential Adjuvant Candidates for a Therapeutic Hepatitis](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.05.056) [B Vaccine \(HBV\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.05.056) Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 4292−4295.

(43) Jakopin, Ž.; Gobec, M.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Sollner Dolenc, M. [Immunomodulatory Properties of Novel Nucleotide Oligomerization](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm300503b?ref=pdf) [Domain 2 \(Nod2\) Agonistic Desmuramyldipeptides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm300503b?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 6478−6488.

(44) Gobec, M.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Dolenc, M. S.; Jakopin, Z. [Structural Requirements of Acylated Gly-l-Ala-d-Glu Analogs for](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.03.030) [Activation of the Innate Immune Receptor NOD2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.03.030) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 116, 1−12.

(45) Khan, F. A.; Ulanova, M.; Bai, B.; Yalamati, D.; Jiang, Z. H. [Design, Synthesis and Immunological Evaluation of Novel Amphi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.070)[philic Desmuramyl Peptides.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.070) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 141, 26−36.

(46) Gobec, M.; Tomašič, T.; Štimac, A.; Frkanec, R.; Trontelj, J.; Anderluh, M.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Jakopin, Ž. [Discovery of Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01052?ref=pdf)[molar Desmuramylpeptide Agonists of the Innate Immune Receptor](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01052?ref=pdf) [Nucleotide-Binding Oligomerization Domain-Containing Protein 2](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01052?ref=pdf) [\(NOD2\) Possessing Immunostimulatory Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01052?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 2707−2724.

(47) Lauro, M. L.; D'Ambrosio, E. A.; Bahnson, B. J.; Grimes, C. L. [Molecular Recognition of Muramyl Dipeptide Occurs in the Leucine-](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00154?ref=pdf)[Rich Repeat Domain of Nod2.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00154?ref=pdf) ACS Infect. Dis. 2017, 3, 264−270.

(48) Maekawa, S.; Ohto, U.; Shibata, T.; Miyake, K.; Shimizu, T. [Crystal Structure of NOD2 and Its Implications in Human Disease.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11813) Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 11813.

(49) Talele, T. T. The "[Cyclopropyl Fragment](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00472?ref=pdf)" Is a Versatile Player [That Frequently Appears in Preclinical/Clinical Drug Molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00472?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 8712−8756.

(50) Chedid, L.; Audibert, F.; Lefrancier, P.; Choay, J.; Lederer, E. [Modulation of the Immune Response by a Synthetic Adjuvant and](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.73.7.2472) [Analogs.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.73.7.2472) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1976, 73, 2472−2475.

(51) Byars, N. E.; Allison, A. C[. Adjuvant Formulation for Use in](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(87)90105-8) [Vaccines to Elicit Both Cell-Mediated and Humoral Immunity.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(87)90105-8) Vaccine 1987, 5, 223−228.

(52) Rubino, S. J.; Magalhaes, J. G.; Philpott, D.; Bahr, G. M.; Blanot, D.; Girardin, S. E. [Identification of a Synthetic Muramyl](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425912471691) [Peptide Derivative with Enhanced Nod2 Stimulatory Capacity.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425912471691) Innate Immun. 2013, 19, 493−503.

(53) Waters, R. V.; Terrell, T. G.; Jones, G. H[. Uveitis Induction in](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.51.3.816-825.1986) [the Rabbit by Muramyl Dipeptides.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.51.3.816-825.1986) Infect. Immun. 1986, 51, 816− 825.

(54) Chedid, L.; Parant, M.; Parant, F.; Lefrancher, P.; Choay, J.; Lederer, E. [Enhancement of Nonspecific Immunity to Klebsiella](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.5.2089) [Pneumoniae Infection by a Synthetic Immunoadjuvant \(N-Acetyl](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.5.2089)[muramyl-L-Alanyl-D-Isoglutamine\) and Several Analogs.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.5.2089) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1977, 74, 2089−2093.

(55) Roychowdhury, A.; Wolfert, M. A.; Boons, G. J[. Synthesis and](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200500181) [Proinflammatory Properties of Muramyl Tripeptides Containing](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200500181) [Lysine and Diaminopimelic Acid Moieties.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200500181) ChemBioChem 2005, 6, 2088−2097.

(56) Girardin, S. E.; Travassos, L. H.; Hervé, M.; Blanot, D.; Boneca, I. G.; Philpott, D. J.; Sansonetti, P. J.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D. [Peptidoglycan Molecular Requirements Allowing Detection by](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307198200) [Nod1 and Nod2.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307198200) J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 41702−41708.

(57) Nakamura, N.; Lill, J. R.; Phung, Q.; Jiang, Z.; Bakalarski, C.; De Maziere, A.; Klumperman, J.; Schlatter, M.; Delamarre, L.; ̀ Mellman, I[. Endosomes Are Specialized Platforms for Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13133) [Sensing and NOD2 Signalling.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13133) Nature 2014, 509, 240−244.

(58) Marina-García, N.; Franchi, L.; Kim, Y.-G.; Hu, Y.; Smith, D. E.; Boons, G.-J.; Núñez, G. [Clathrin- and Dynamin-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0802197) [Endocytic Pathway Regulates Muramyl Dipeptide Internalization and](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0802197) [NOD2 Activation.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0802197) J. Immunol. 2009, 182, 4321−4327.

(59) Lee, J.; Tattoli, I.; Wojtal, K. A.; Vavricka, S. R.; Philpott, D. J.; Girardin, S. E. [PH-Dependent Internalization of Muramyl Peptides](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.033670) [from Early Endosomes Enables Nod1 and Nod2 Signaling.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.033670) J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 23818−23829.

(60) Vavricka, S. R.; Musch, M. W.; Chang, J. E.; Nakagawa, Y.; Phanvijhitsiri, K.; Waypa, T. S.; Merlin, D.; Schneewind, O.; Chang, E. B. [HPepT1 Transports Muramyl Dipeptide, Activating NF-](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.07.024)κB and [Stimulating IL-8 Secretion in Human Colonic Caco2/Bbe Cells.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.07.024) Gastroenterology 2004, 127, 1401−1409.

(61) Smrdel, P.; Grabnar, I.; Locatelli, I.; Č erne, M.; Andrensek, S.; ̌ Kovačič, N.; Kristl, A.; Bogataj, M.; Urleb, U.; Mrhar, A. [Physicochemical and Preclinical Pharmacokinetic and Toxicological](https://doi.org/10.3109/03639040902889814) Evaluation of LK-423-[a New Phthalimido-Desmuramyl-Dipeptide](https://doi.org/10.3109/03639040902889814) [Derivative with Immunomodulating Activity.](https://doi.org/10.3109/03639040902889814) Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 2009, 35, 1293−1304.

(62) Azuma, I.; Sugimura, K.; Yamawaki, M.; Uemiya, M.; Kusumoto, S.; Okada, S.; Shiba, T.; Yamamura, Y[. Adjuvant Activity](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.20.3.600-607.1978) of Synthetic 6-O-'mycoloyl'[-N-Acetylmuramyl-L-Alanyl-D-Isoglut](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.20.3.600-607.1978)[amine and Related Compounds.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.20.3.600-607.1978) Infect. Immun. 1978, 20, 600−607.

(63) Lefrancier, P.; Derrien, M.; Jamet, X.; Choay, J.; Lederer, E.; Audibert, F.; Parant, M.; Parant, F.; Chedid, L[. Apyrogenic, Adjuvant-](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00343a018?ref=pdf)[Active N-Acetylmuramyl-Dipeptides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00343a018?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 87−90. (64) Needham, L. A.; Davidson, A. H.; Bawden, L. J.; Belfield, A.; Bone, E. A.; Brotherton, D. H.; Bryant, S.; Charlton, M. H.; Clark, V. L.; Davies, S. J.; Donald, A.; Day, F. A.; Krige, D.; Legris, V.; McDermott, J.; McGovern, Y.; Owen, J.; Patel, S. R.; Pintat, S.; Testar, R. J.; Wells, G. M. A.; Moffat, D.; Drummond, A. H[. Drug](https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.183640) [Targeting to Monocytes and Macrophages Using Esterase-Sensitive](https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.183640) [Chemical Motifs.](https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.183640) J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2011, 339, 132−142.

(65) Barrett, S. D.; Bridges, A. J.; Dudley, D. T.; Saltiel, A. R.; Fergus, J. H.; Flamme, C. M.; Delaney, A. M.; Kaufman, M.; Lepage, S.; Leopold, W. R.; Przybranowski, S. A.; Sebolt-Leopold, J.; Van Becelaere, K.; Doherty, A. M.; Kennedy, R. M.; Marston, D.; Howard, W. A.; Smith, Y.; Warmus, J. S.; Tecle, H[. The Discovery of the](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.10.054) [Benzhydroxamate MEK Inhibitors CI-1040 and PD 0325901.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.10.054) Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 6501−6504.

(66) Karaman, R[. Prodrugs Design Based on Inter- and Intra](https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12224)[molecular Chemical Processes.](https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12224) Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 2013, 82, 643− 668.

(67) Coleman, R. S.; Shah, J. A. [Chemoselective Cleavage of Benzyl](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1999-3664) [Ethers, Esters, and Carbamates in the Presence of Other Easily](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1999-3664) [Reducible Groups.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1999-3664) Synthesis 1999, 1999 (S1), 1399−1400.

(68) Sheppeck, J. E.; Kar, H.; Hong, H[. A Convenient and Scaleable](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)00853-4) [Procedure for Removing the Fmoc Group in Solution.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)00853-4) Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 5329−5333.

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **Article [pubs.acs.org/jmc](pubs.acs.org/jmc?ref=pdf) Article Article Article**

(69) Yang, H.-Z.; Xu, S.; Liao, X.-Y.; Zhang, S.-D.; Liang, Z.-L.; Liu, B.-H.; Bai, J.-Y.; Jiang, C.; Ding, J.; Cheng, G.-F.; Liu, G[. A Novel](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0493313?ref=pdf) Immunostimulator, N2-[α[-O-Benzyl-N-\(Acetylmuramyl\) -L-Alanyl-](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0493313?ref=pdf)[D-Isoglutaminyl\]-N6-Trans-\(m-Nitrocinnamoyl\)-L-Lysine, and Its](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0493313?ref=pdf) [Adjuvancy on the Hepatitis B Surface Antigen.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0493313?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 5112−5122.

(70) Effenberg, R.; Turánek Knötigová, P.; Zyka, D.; Čelechovská, H.; Mašek, J.; Bartheldyová, E.; Hubatka, F.; Koudelka, Š.; Lukáč, R.; Kovalová, A.; Š aman, D.; Krupka, M.; Barkocziova, L.; Kosztyu, P.; ̌ .
Šebela, M.; Drož, L.; Hučko, M.; Kanásová, M.; Miller, A. D.; Raška, M.; Ledvina, M.; Turánek, J[. Nonpyrogenic Molecular Adjuvants](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00593?ref=pdf) [Based on NorAbu-Muramyldipeptide and NorAbu-Glucosaminyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00593?ref=pdf) [Muramyldipeptide: Synthesis, Molecular Mechanisms of Action, and](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00593?ref=pdf) [Biological Activities in Vitro and in Vivo.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00593?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 7745−7763.

(71) Cheng, W. C.; You, T. Y.; Teo, Z. Z.; Sayyad, A. A.; Maharana, J.; Guo, C. W.; Liang, P. H.; Lin, C. S.; Meng, F. C[. Further Insights](https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202001003) [on Structural Modifications of Muramyl Dipeptides to Study the](https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202001003) [Human NOD2 Stimulating Activity.](https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202001003) Chem. - Asian J. 2020, 15, 3836− 3844.

(72) Hermant, P.; Bosc, D.; Piveteau, C.; Gealageas, R.; Lam, B.; Ronco, C.; Roignant, M.; Tolojanahary, H.; Jean, L.; Renard, P. Y.; Lemdani, M.; Bourotte, M.; Herledan, A.; Bedart, C.; Biela, A.; Leroux, F.; Deprez, B.; Deprez-Poulain, R[. Controlling Plasma](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01444?ref=pdf) [Stability of Hydroxamic Acids: A MedChem Toolbox.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01444?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 9067−9089.

(73) Pratt, S. E.; Durland-Busbice, S.; Shepard, R. L.; Heinz-Taheny, K.; Iversen, P. W.; Dantzig, A. H[. Human Carboxylesterase-2](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1184) [Hydrolyzes the Prodrug of Gemcitabine \(LY2334737\) and Confers](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1184) [Prodrug Sensitivity to Cancer Cells.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1184) Clin. Cancer Res. 2013, 19, 1159− 1168.

(74) Wang, D.; Zou, L.; Jin, Q.; Hou, J.; Ge, G.; Yang, L[. Human](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2018.05.005) [Carboxylesterases: A Comprehensive Review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2018.05.005) Acta Pharm. Sin. B 2018, 8, 699−712.

(75) Zhao, L.; Kwon, M. J.; Huang, S.; Lee, J. Y.; Fukase, K.; Inohara, N.; Hwang, D. H. [Differential Modulation of Nods Signaling](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M608644200) [Pathways by Fatty Acids in Human Colonic Epithelial HCT116 Cells.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M608644200) J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 11618−11628.

(76) Kalyuzhin, O. V.; Zemlyakov, A. E.; Fuchs, B. B. [Distinctive](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561(96)00061-6) [Immunomodulating Properties and Interactivity with Model Mem](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561(96)00061-6)[branes and Cells of Two Homologous Muramyl Dipeptide Derivatives](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561(96)00061-6) [Differing by Their Lipophilicity.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561(96)00061-6) Int. J. Immunopharmacol. 1996, 18, 651−659.

(77) Guzelj, S.; Gobec, M.; Urbančič, D.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Corsini, E.; Jakopin, Ž[. Structural Features and Functional Activities](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112089) [of Benzimidazoles as NOD2 Antagonists.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112089) Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2020, 190, 112089.

(78) Gleue, L.; Schupp, J.; Zimmer, N.; Becker, E.; Frey, H.; Tuettenberg, A.; Helm, M[. Stability of Alkyl Chain-Mediated Lipid](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9102213) [Anchoring in Liposomal Membranes.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9102213) Cells 2020, 9, 2213.

(79) Van Der Meer, J. H. M.; Netea, M. G.; Dinarello, C. A. [Modulation of Muramyl Dipeptide Stimulation of Cytokine](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.03926.x) [Production by Blood Components.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.03926.x) Clin. Exp. Immunol. 2009, 156, 428−433.

(80) Netea, M. G.; Ferwerda, G.; de Jong, D. J.; Jansen, T.; Jacobs, L.; Kramer, M.; Naber, T. H. J.; Drenth, J. P. H.; Girardin, S. E.; Jan Kullberg, B.; Adema, G. J.; Van der Meer, J. W. M. [Nucleotide-](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.10.6518)[Binding Oligomerization Domain-2 Modulates Specific TLR Path](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.10.6518)[ways for the Induction of Cytokine Release.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.10.6518) J. Immunol. 2005, 174, 6518−6523.

(81) Traub, S.; von Aulock, S.; Hartung, T.; Hermann, C[. MDP and](https://doi.org/10.1179/096805106X89044) [Other Muropeptides - Direct and Synergistic Effects on the Immune](https://doi.org/10.1179/096805106X89044) [System.](https://doi.org/10.1179/096805106X89044) J. Endotoxin Res. 2006, 12, 69−85.

(82) Uehara, A.; Yang, S.; Fujimoto, Y.; Fukase, K.; Kusumoto, S.; Shibata, K.; Sugawara, S.; Takada, H. [Muramyldipeptide and](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00433.x) [Diaminopimelic Acid-Containing Desmuramylpeptides in Combina](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00433.x)[tion with Chemically Synthesized Toll-like Receptor Agonists](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00433.x) [Synergistically Induced Production of Interleukin-8 in a NOD2-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00433.x) [and NOD1-Dependent Manner, Respectively, in Human.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00433.x) Cell. Microbiol. 2005, 7, 53−61.

(83) Fritz, J. H.; Girardin, S. E.; Fitting, C.; Werts, C.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Caroff, M.; Cavaillon, J. M.; Philpott, D. J.; Adib-Conquy, M[. Synergistic Stimulation of Human Monocytes and](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.200526286) [Dendritic Cells by Toll-like Receptor 4 and NOD1- and NOD2-](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.200526286) [Activating Agonists.](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.200526286) Eur. J. Immunol. 2005, 35, 2459−2470.

(84) Tsai, W. H.; Huang, D. Y.; Yu, Y. H.; Chen, C. Y.; Lin, W. W. [Dual Roles of NOD2 in TLR4-Mediated Signal Transduction and](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01567.x) [-Induced Inflammatory Gene Expression in Macrophages.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01567.x) Cell. Microbiol. 2011, 13, 717−730.

(85) Qiu, F.; Maniar, A.; Quevedo Diaz, M.; Chapoval, A. I.; Medvedev, A. E[. Activation of Cytokine-Producing and Antitumor](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425910372000) [Activities of Natural Killer Cells and Macrophages by Engagement of](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425910372000) [Toll-like and NOD-like Receptors.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425910372000) Innate Immun. 2011, 17, 375−387. (86) Athié-Morales, V.; O'Connor, G. M.; Gardiner, C. M. [Activation of Human NK Cells by the Bacterial Pathogen-Associated](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4082) [Molecular Pattern Muramyl Dipeptide.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4082) J. Immunol. 2008, 180, 4082− 4089.

(87) Davies, B.; Edwards, S. W. [Interactions between Human](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1992.296) [Monocytes and Tumour Cells. Monocytes Can Either Enhance or](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1992.296) [Inhibit the Growth and Survival of K562 Cells.](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1992.296) Br. J. Cancer 1992, 66, 463−469.

(88) Sone, S.; Lopez-Berestein, G.; Fidler, I. J. [Potentiation of Direct](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199855) [Antitumor Cytotoxicity and Production of Tumor Cytolytic Factors](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199855) [in Human Blood Monocytes by Human Recombinant Interferon-](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199855)[Gamma and Muramyl Dipeptide Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199855) Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 1986, 21, 93−99.

(89) Kandarian, F.; Sunga, G. M.; Arango-Saenz, D.; Rossetti, M[. A](https://doi.org/10.3791/56191) [Flow Cytometry-Based Cytotoxicity Assay for the Assessment of](https://doi.org/10.3791/56191) [Human NK Cell Activity.](https://doi.org/10.3791/56191) J. Visualized Exp. 2017, 126, No. e56191.

(90) Tremblay-McLean, A.; Coenraads, S.; Kiani, Z.; Dupuy, F. P.; Bernard, N. F[. Expression of Ligands for Activating Natural Killer Cell](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12865-018-0272-x) [Receptors on Cell Lines Commonly Used to Assess Natural Killer](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12865-018-0272-x) [Cell Function.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12865-018-0272-x) BMC Immunol. 2019, 20, 8.

(91) Conti, P.; Dempsey, R. A.; Reale, M.; Barbacane, R. C.; Panara, M. R.; Bongrazio, M.; Mier, J. W. Activation of Human Natural Killer Cells by Lipopolysaccharide and Generation of Interleukin-1alpha, Beta, Tumour Necrosis Factor and Interleukin-6. Effect of IL-1 Receptor Antagonist. Immunology 1991, 73, 450−456.

(92) Dzierzbicka, K.; Kolodziejczyk, A. M.; Wysocka-Skrzela, B.; Mysliwski, A.; Sosnowska, D. [Synthesis and Antitumor Activity of](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm001115g?ref=pdf) ́ [Conjugates of Muramyldipeptide, Normuramyldipeptide, and Des](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm001115g?ref=pdf)[muramylpeptides with Acridine/Acridone Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm001115g?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 3606−3615.

(93) Tsuchiya, S.; Gota, Y.; Okumura, H.; Nakae, S.; Konno, T.; Tada, K.; Kobayashi, Y. Induction of Maturation in Cultured Human Monocytic Leukemia Cells by a Phorbol Diester. Cancer Res. 1982, 42, 1530−1536.

(94) Zhou, Y.; Zhou, B.; Pache, L.; Chang, M.; Benner, C.; Chanda, S. K.; Khodabakhshi, A. H.; Tanaseichuk, O[. Metascape Provides a](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6) [Biologist-Oriented Resource for the Analysis of Systems-Level](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6) [Datasets.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6) Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 1523.

(95) Salyer, A. C. D.; David, S. A[. Transcriptomal Signatures of](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1480284) [Vaccine Adjuvants and Accessory Immunostimulation of Sentinel](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1480284) [Cells by Toll-like Receptor 2/6 Agonists.](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1480284) Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2018, 14, 1686−1696.

(96) Griffith, J. W.; Sokol, C. L.; Luster, A. D. [Chemokines and](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120145) [Chemokine Receptors: Positioning Cells for Host Defense and](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120145) [Immunity.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120145) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2014, 32, 659−702.

(97) Magalhaes, J. G.; Rubino, S. J.; Travassos, L. H.; Le Bourhis, L.; Duan, W.; Sellge, G.; Geddes, K.; Reardon, C.; Lechmann, M.; Carneiro, L. A.; Selvanantham, T.; Fritz, J. H.; Taylor, B. C.; Artis, D.; Mak, T. W.; Comeau, M. R.; Croft, M.; Girardin, S. E.; Philpott, D. J. [Nucleotide Oligomerization Domain-Containing Proteins Instruct T](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015063108) [Cell Helper Type 2 Immunity through Stromal Activation.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015063108) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011, 108, 14896−14901.

(98) Schoggins, J. W.; Rice, C. M[. Interferon-Stimulated Genes and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.008) [Their Antiviral Effector Functions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.008) Curr. Opin. Virol. 2011, 1, 519− 525.

(99) Proietti, E.; Bracci, L.; Puzelli, S.; Di Pucchio, T.; Sestili, P.; De Vincenzi, E.; Venditti, M.; Capone, I.; Seif, I.; De Maeyer, E.; Tough, D.; Donatelli, I.; Belardelli, F[. Type I IFN as a Natural Adjuvant for a](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.1.375) [Protective Immune Response: Lessons from the Influenza Vaccine](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.1.375) [Model.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.1.375) J. Immunol. 2002, 169, 375−383.

(100) Bracci, L.; La Sorsa, V.; Belardelli, F.; Proietti, E[. Type I](https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.7.3.373) [Interferons as Vaccine Adjuvants against Infectious Diseases and](https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.7.3.373) [Cancer.](https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.7.3.373) Expert Rev. Vaccines 2008, 7, 373−381.

(101) Zhang, J.; Shao, J.; Wu, X.; Mao, Q.; Wang, Y.; Gao, F.; Kong, W.; Liang, Z. [Type I Interferon Related Genes Are Common Genes](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1008884) [on the Early Stage after Vaccination by Meta-Analysis of Microarray](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1008884) [Data.](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1008884) Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2015, 11, 739−745.

(102) Khabar, K. S. A.; Young, H. A[. Post-Transcriptional Control of](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2007.02.008) [the Interferon System.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2007.02.008) Biochimie 2007, 89, 761−769.

(103) Banchereau, J.; Steinman, R. M[. Dendritic Cells and the](https://doi.org/10.1038/32588) [Control of Immunity.](https://doi.org/10.1038/32588) Nature 1998, 392, 245−252.

(104) Todate, A.; Suda, T.; Kuwata, H.; Chida, K.; Nakamura, H. [Muramyl Dipeptide-Lys Stimulates the Function of Human Dendritic](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.70.5.723) [Cells.](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.70.5.723) J. Leukoc. Biol. 2001, 70, 723−729.

(105) Vidal, V.; Dewulf, J.; Bahr, G. M. [Enhanced Maturation and](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01269.x) [Functional Capacity of Monocyte-Derived Immature Dendritic Cells](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01269.x) [by the Synthetic Immunomodulator Murabutide.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01269.x) Immunology 2001, 103, 479−487.

(106) Asano, J.; Tada, H.; Onai, N.; Sato, T.; Horie, Y.; Fujimoto, Y.; Fukase, K.; Suzuki, A.; Mak, T. W.; Ohteki, T[. Nucleotide](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900726) [Oligomerization Binding Domain-Like Receptor Signaling Enhances](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900726) [Dendritic Cell-Mediated Cross-Priming In Vivo.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900726) J. Immunol. 2010, 184, 736−745.

(107) Corridoni, D.; Shiraishi, S.; Chapman, T.; Steevels, T.; Muraro, D.; Thézénas, M.-L.; Prota, G.; Chen, J.-L.; Gileadi, U.; Ternette, N.; Cerundolo, V.; Simmons, A[. NOD2 and TLR2 Signal](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00958) [via TBK1 and PI31 to Direct Cross-Presentation and CD8 T Cell](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00958) [Responses.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00958) Front. Immunol. 2019, 10, 958.

(108) Reddy, M.; Eirikis, E.; Davis, C.; Davis, H. M.; Prabhakar, U. [Comparative Analysis of Lymphocyte Activation Marker Expression](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006) [and Cytokine Secretion Profile in Stimulated Human Peripheral](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006) [Blood Mononuclear Cell Cultures: An in Vitro Model to Monitor](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006) [Cellular Immune Function.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006) J. Immunol. Methods 2004, 293, 127−142.

(109) Létourneau, S.; Krieg, C.; Pantaleo, G.; Boyman, O. [IL-2- and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.02.011) [CD25-Dependent Immunoregulatory Mechanisms in the Homeo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.02.011)[stasis of T-Cell Subsets.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.02.011) J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2009, 123, 758−762.

(110) Butler, M.; Chaudhary, R.; van Heel, D. A.; Playford, R. J.; Ghosh, S. [NOD2 Activity Modulates the Phenotype of LPS-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crohns.2007.08.006)[Stimulated Dendritic Cells to Promote the Development of T-Helper](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crohns.2007.08.006) [Type 2-like Lymphocytes - Possible Implications for NOD2-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crohns.2007.08.006) [Associated Crohn](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crohns.2007.08.006)'s Disease. J. Crohn's Colitis 2007, 1, 106−115.

(111) Watanabe, H.; Numata, K.; Ito, T.; Takagi, K.; Matsukawa, A. [Innate Immune Response in Th1- and Th2-Dominant Mouse Strains.](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.shk.0000142249.08135.e9) Shock 2004, 22, 460−466.

(112) Becker, P. D.; Nörder, M.; Guzmán, C. A.; Grinstein, S. [Immune Modulator Adamantylamide Dipeptide Stimulates Efficient](https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00316-06) [Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I-Restricted Responses in](https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00316-06) [Mice.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00316-06) Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 2007, 14, 538−543.

(113) Meshcheryakova, E.; Makarov, E.; Philpott, D.; Andronova, T.; Ivanov, V. [Evidence for Correlation between the Intensities of](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.006) [Adjuvant Effects and NOD2 Activation by Monomeric, Dimeric and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.006) [Lipophylic Derivatives of N-Acetylglucosaminyl-N-Acetylmuramyl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.006) [Peptides.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.006) Vaccine 2007, 25, 4515−4520.

(114) Jain, V.; Vyas, S. P.; Kohli, D. V[. Well-Defined and Potent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2008.12.004) [Liposomal Hepatitis B Vaccines Adjuvanted with Lipophilic MDP](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2008.12.004) [Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2008.12.004) Nanomedicine 2009, 5, 334−344.

(115) Schwendener, R. A[. Liposomes as Vaccine Delivery Systems:](https://doi.org/10.1177/2051013614541440) [A Review of the Recent Advances.](https://doi.org/10.1177/2051013614541440) Ther. Adv. Vaccines 2014, 2, 159− 182.

(116) Finkelman, F. D.; Holmes, J.; Katona, I. M.; Urban, J. F.; Beckmann, M. P.; Park, L. S.; Schooley, K. A.; Coffman, R. L.;

Mosmann, T. R.; Paul, W. E[. Lymphokine Control of in Vivo](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.iy.08.040190.001511) [Immunoglobulin Isotype Selection.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.iy.08.040190.001511) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 1990, 8, 303−330.

(117) Habjanec, L.; Halassy, B.; Tomašić, J[. Comparative Study of](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.04.005) [Structurally Related Peptidoglycan Monomer and Muramyl Dipeptide](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.04.005) [on Humoral IgG Immune Response to Ovalbumin in Mouse.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2010.04.005) Int. Immunopharmacol. 2010, 10, 751−759.

(118) Phillips, N. C.; Moras, M. L.; Chedid, L.; Lefrancier, P.; Bernard, J. M. Activation of Alveolar Macrophage Tumoricidal Activity and Eradication of Experimental Metastases by Freeze-Dried Liposomes Containing a New Lipophilic Muramyl Dipeptide Derivative. Cancer Res. 1985, 45, 128−134.

(119) Ribić, R.; Manček-Keber, M.; Chain, F.; Sinnaeve, D.; Martins, J. C.; Jerala, R.; Tomić, S.; Fehér, K. [Targeted Delivery of](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c00029?ref=pdf) [Adamantylated Peptidoglycan Immunomodulators in Lipid Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c00029?ref=pdf)[carriers: NMR Shows That Cargo Fragments Are Available on the](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c00029?ref=pdf) [Surface.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c00029?ref=pdf) J. Phys. Chem. B 2020, 124, 4132−4145.

(120) Brgles, M.; Jurašin, D.; Sikirić, M. D.; Frkanec, R.; Tomašić, J. [Entrapment of Ovalbumin into Liposomes - Factors Affecting](https://doi.org/10.1080/08982100802312762) [Entrapment Efficiency, Liposome Size, and Zeta Potential.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08982100802312762) J. Liposome Res. 2008, 18, 235−248.

(121) Tandrup Schmidt, S.; Foged, C.; Smith Korsholm, K.; Rades, T.; Christensen, D. [Liposome-Based Adjuvants for Subunit Vaccines:](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics8010007) [Formulation Strategies for Subunit Antigens and Immunostimulators.](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics8010007) Pharmaceutics 2016, 8, 7.

(122) Yu, R.; Mai, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Hou, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yang, J[. Targeting](https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2018.1547734) [Strategies of Liposomal Subunit Vaccine Delivery Systems to Improve](https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2018.1547734) [Vaccine Efficacy.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2018.1547734) J. Drug Target. 2019, 27, 780−789.

(123) Opanasopit, P.; Sakai, M.; Nishikawa, M.; Kawakami, S.; Yamashita, F.; Hashida, M. [Inhibition of Liver Metastasis by](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(02)00006-8) [Targeting of Immunomodulators Using Mannosylated Liposome](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(02)00006-8) [Carriers.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(02)00006-8) J. Controlled Release 2002, 80, 283−294.

(124) Yanasarn, N.; Sloat, B. R.; Cui, Z. [Negatively Charged](https://doi.org/10.1021/mp200016d?ref=pdf) [Liposomes Show Potent Adjuvant Activity When Simply Admixed](https://doi.org/10.1021/mp200016d?ref=pdf) [with Protein Antigens.](https://doi.org/10.1021/mp200016d?ref=pdf) Mol. Pharmaceutics 2011, 8, 1174−1185.

(125) Š timac, A.; Bendelja, K.; Sikiric, M. D.; Frkanec, L.; Frkanec, ́ R. [Mannosylated Liposomes with Built-in Peptidoglycan Based](https://doi.org/10.5562/cca3309) [Immunomodulators for Subunit Vaccine Formulations.](https://doi.org/10.5562/cca3309) Croat. Chem. Acta 2017, 90, 645−656.

(126) Lozzio, C.; Lozzio, B. [Human Chronic Myelogenous](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V45.3.321.321) [Leukemia Cell-Line with Positive Philadelphia Chromosome.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V45.3.321.321) Blood 1975, 45, 321−334.

(127) Stacchini, A.; Aragno, M.; Vallario, A.; Alfarano, A.; Circosta, P.; Gottardi, D.; Faldella, A.; Rege-Cambrin, G.; Thunberg, U.; Nilsson, K.; Caligaris-Cappio, F. [MEC1 and MEC2: Two New Cell](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2126(98)00154-4) [Lines Derived from B-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia in](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2126(98)00154-4) [Prolymphocytoid Transformation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2126(98)00154-4) Leuk. Res. 1999, 23, 127−136.

(128) Ge, S. X.; Son, E. W.; Yao, R[. IDEP: An Integrated Web](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6) [Application for Differential Expression and Pathway Analysis of RNA-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6)[Seq Data.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6) BMC Bioinf. 2018, 19, 534.

(129) Š timac, A.; Cvitas, J. T.; Frkanec, L.; Vugrek, O.; Frkanec, R. ̌ [Design and Syntheses of Mono and Multivalent Mannosyl-Lip](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.123)[oconjugates for Targeted Liposomal Drug Delivery.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.123) Int. J. Pharm. 2016, 511, 44−56.

(130) Frkanec, R.; Travaš, D.; Krstanović, M.; Špoljar, B. H.; Ljevaković, D.; Vranešić, B.; Frkanec, L.; Tomašić, J. [Entrapment of](https://doi.org/10.1081/LPR-120026452) [Peptidoglycans and Adamantyltripeptides into Liposomes: An HPLC](https://doi.org/10.1081/LPR-120026452) [Assay for Determination of Encapsulation Efficiency.](https://doi.org/10.1081/LPR-120026452) J. Liposome Res. 2003, 13, 279−294.

(131) Frkanec, R.; Noethig-Laslo, V.; Vranešić, B.; Mirosavljević, K.; Tomašić, J[. A Spin Labelling Study of Immunomodulating](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00054-3) [Peptidoglycan Monomer and Adamantyltripeptides Entrapped into](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00054-3) [Liposomes.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00054-3) Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr. 2003, 1611, 187−196. (132) Baell, J. B.; Holloway, G. A. [New Substructure Filters for](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901137j?ref=pdf) [Removal of Pan Assay Interference Compounds \(PAINS\) from](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901137j?ref=pdf) [Screening Libraries and for Their Exclusion in Bioassays.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901137j?ref=pdf) J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 2719−2740.