



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Prosecutorial discretion in international criminal justice

Davis, C.J.

### Citation

Davis, C. J. (2022, February 23). *Prosecutorial discretion in international criminal justice*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3276051>

Version: Publisher's Version  
[Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)  
License:  
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3276051>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# **Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice**

Cale Jordan Davis



Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
ter verdedigen op woensdag 23 februari 2022  
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Cale Jordan Davis

geboren te Tweed Heads, Australië  
in 1990

Promotor: prof.dr. C. Stahn  
Copromotor: dr. J.C. Powderly

Promotiecommissie: prof.dr. W.A. Schabas  
prof.dr. L.J. van den Herik  
prof.dr. M.A.H. van der Woude  
dr. B. Holá (Vrij Universiteit Amsterdam)  
dr. N.P. Eltringham (*University of Sussex*, VK)

A list of datasets upon which this research relies can be found in the Bibliography.

This research was funded by the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies at Leiden University and produced within the framework of the *Exploring the Frontiers of International Law* Research Programme.

Cover, design, and typesetting by Studio Davis.  
<https://www.caledavis.eu>

Printed by Ridderprint.  
<https://www.ridderprint.nl>

Set in Adobe Garamond Pro (body) and ITC Franklin Gothic Pro (headings).

Typeset with L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.

# Acknowledgements

I owe significant thanks and gratitude to my two supervisors, Carsten Stahn and Joe Powderly, who have never failed to provide me with encouragement and feedback while I have been writing this dissertation. I am incredibly thankful for their support and guidance. I have been very fortunate to have them both as my supervisors.

I also owe thanks to the staff at the Offices of the Prosecutors of the International Criminal Court, Residual Mechanism for the International Criminal Tribunals, and the Residual Special Court for Sierra Leone, and all people who were interviewed for this project. Without their cooperation, I simply would not have been able to do this research.

Special thanks also go to numerous people who, at various points, were willing to act as sounding boards for ideas, provide me with suggestions and support, and expose me to different perspectives and new lines of inquiry. In particular, Professor Dr Maartje van der Woude; Professor Dr Koen Caminada; Associate Professor Dr Barbora Holá; Associate Professor Dr Carel Smith; Assistant Professor Dr Cecily Rose; Assistant Professor Dr Jason Rudall; Assistant Professor Dr Looi van Kessel; Assistant Professor Dr Rozemarijn Roland Holst; Dr Hanna Bosdriesz; Dr Rosemary Grey; Ms Sarah Leitner; and Ms Olivia Waddell. Thanks, too, to the TeX Stackexchange community for their excellent technical support.

To my colleagues at the Grotius Centre, and in particular Professor Dr Niels Blokker, thank you for your friendship and collegiality over these years.

Finally, I want to thank nine very special people. Lorraine, Rob, Adrienne, Marie, Lloyd, Stu, Audrey, Mick, and Charlotte, thank you for supporting me in whatever I do. I cannot express how thankful I am.



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Figures and Tables</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>I Theoretical and Methodological Foundations</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1 The Inquiry . . . . .	3
2 Methodology . . . . .	9
2.1 Data collection . . . . .	9
2.2 Data analysis . . . . .	14
3 Contribution to Knowledge . . . . .	18
4 Structure . . . . .	19
<b>2 Understanding Discretion</b>	<b>21</b>
1 Introduction . . . . .	21
2 Conceptualising Discretion . . . . .	22
2.1 Discretion in the legal paradigm . . . . .	24
2.2 Discretion beyond the legal paradigm . . . . .	37
3 Discretion as a Practice . . . . .	42
4 Conclusion . . . . .	46
<b>II The Practice of International Prosecutorial Discretion</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>3 Selecting Situations and Cases</b>	<b>51</b>
1 Introduction . . . . .	51

2	The Legal Framework . . . . .	53
3	Functional Considerations . . . . .	63
3.1	The prospects of a successful investigation . . . . .	63
3.2	Likelihood of arrest . . . . .	68
3.3	Trial management . . . . .	73
3.4	Wellbeing of an actual or potential defendant . . . . .	76
4	Normative Considerations . . . . .	80
4.1	Setting an historical record . . . . .	80
4.2	Norm expression . . . . .	83
4.3	Representing criminality . . . . .	88
5	Strategic Considerations . . . . .	90
5.1	Existential threats . . . . .	90
5.2	Avoiding accusations of bias . . . . .	94
5.3	Getting a tribunal working . . . . .	98
5.4	Previous representations . . . . .	102
5.5	Closing the tribunal . . . . .	103
6	Conclusion . . . . .	107
<b>4</b>	<b>Selecting Charges</b>	<b>109</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	109
2	The Legal Framework . . . . .	110
3	Functional Considerations . . . . .	115
3.1	Likelihood of arrest . . . . .	115
3.2	Increasing the prospects of a guilty verdict . . . . .	119
3.3	The likely sentence . . . . .	122
4	Normative Considerations . . . . .	124
4.1	Advancing the law . . . . .	124
5	Strategic Considerations . . . . .	132
5.1	Judicial criticisms . . . . .	132
5.2	Public expectations . . . . .	136
5.3	Closing the tribunal . . . . .	137
6	Conclusion . . . . .	137
<b>5</b>	<b>Negotiating Outcomes</b>	<b>141</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	141
2	The Legal Framework . . . . .	142
3	Functional Considerations . . . . .	151
3.1	The strength of the evidence . . . . .	151
3.2	Efficiency gains . . . . .	153

3.3	The alleged conduct of the accused . . . . .	155
3.4	The risks to victims and witnesses . . . . .	158
4	Normative Considerations . . . . .	159
4.1	Establishing the ‘truth’ . . . . .	159
4.2	Norm expression . . . . .	167
5	Strategic Considerations . . . . .	168
5.1	The prosecutor’s social capital . . . . .	168
5.2	The prosecutor’s legal background . . . . .	168
6	Conclusion . . . . .	169
<b>6</b>	<b>Selecting Witnesses</b>	<b>171</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	171
2	The Legal Framework . . . . .	173
3	Witness Statistics . . . . .	174
4	Functional Considerations . . . . .	176
4.1	Evidential factors . . . . .	176
4.2	Health, risk, and trauma . . . . .	187
5	Normative Considerations . . . . .	193
5.1	Public evidence . . . . .	193
6	Strategic Considerations . . . . .	196
6.1	Emotions and storytelling . . . . .	196
7	Conclusion . . . . .	205
<b>7</b>	<b>Appealing</b>	<b>207</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	207
2	The Legal Framework . . . . .	208
3	Appeals Statistics . . . . .	211
4	Normative Considerations . . . . .	213
4.1	A ‘need’ for appropriate sentences . . . . .	213
4.2	Developing the law . . . . .	217
4.3	Protecting the integrity of the law . . . . .	222
5	Strategic Considerations . . . . .	224
5.1	Communicating disagreement and garnering support . . . . .	224
5.2	Providing work to judges . . . . .	227
5.3	Responding to the interests of the victims . . . . .	229
6	Conclusion . . . . .	231

<b>III Reflections on International Prosecutorial Discretion</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>8 Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice</b>	<b>235</b>
1 Introduction . . . . .	235
2 Discretion and the Roles of the Prosecutor . . . . .	236
2.1 Prosecutors as norm performers . . . . .	237
2.2 Prosecutors as builders . . . . .	243
2.3 Prosecutors as guardians . . . . .	245
2.4 Towards a relational understanding of the prosecutorial role	249
3 Why are Roles Important? . . . . .	251
3.1 Roles help us to understand decision-making . . . . .	251
3.2 Roles invite a conscious engagement with their appropriateness . . . . .	252
3.3 Roles highlight how discretion can be controlled . . . . .	255
3.4 Roles invite an holistic conception of performance . . . . .	259
4 Conclusion . . . . .	261
<b>Summary</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>Samenvatting (Dutch Summary)</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>269</b>

# **Figures and Tables**

## **List of Figures**

1.1	Considerations in discretion, typology of . . . . .	15
1.2	Prosecutorial roles, typology of . . . . .	16
4.1	Charges per defendant, as box plot . . . . .	121
5.1	Plea agreements, number concluded each year . . . . .	144
6.1	Witnesses, number of prosecution as box plot . . . . .	175
8.1	Prosecutorial roles, expanded typology of . . . . .	237

## **List of Tables**

5.1	Guilty pleas, change in charges between first and last charging documents . . . . .	143
6.1	Witnesses, summary statistics for prosecution . . . . .	175

7.1	Appeals, prospects of prosecution by court . . . . .	211
7.2	Appeals, prosecution grounds by court . . . . .	212
7.3	Appeals, judicial outcomes of prosecution . . . . .	213

# Abbreviations

ACABQ	Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions
CDF	Civil Defence Forces
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
ECCC	Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia
EU	European Union
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia
IDP	Internally Displaced Persons
JNA	<i>Jugoslovenska narodna armija</i> (Yugoslav People's Army)
KSC	Kosovo Specialist Chambers
LRA	Lord's Resistance Army
MICT	United Nations Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NT	Northern Territory (of Australia)
OSCE	Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
RPF	Rwandan Patriotic Front
RUF	Revolutionary United Front
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
SRK	<i>Sarajevsko-romanijski korpus</i> (Sarajevo Romanija Corps)
STL	Special Tribunal for Lebanon
UN	United Nations
UNPROFOR	United Nations Protection Force
US	United States of America

