



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Prosecutorial discretion in international criminal justice

Davis, C.J.

Citation

Davis, C. J. (2022, February 23). *Prosecutorial discretion in international criminal justice*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3276051>

Version: Publisher's Version
License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3276051>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice

Cale Jordan Davis

Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
ter verdedigen op woensdag 23 februari 2022
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Cale Jordan Davis

geboren te Tweed Heads, Australië
in 1990

Promotor: prof.dr. C. Stahn
Copromotor: dr. J.C. Powderly

Promotiecommissie: prof.dr. W.A. Schabas
prof.dr. L.J. van den Herik
prof.dr. M.A.H. van der Woude
dr. B. Holá (Vrij Universiteit Amsterdam)
dr. N.P. Eltringham (*University of Sussex*, VK)

A list of datasets upon which this research relies can be found in the Bibliography.

This research was funded by the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies at Leiden University and produced within the framework of the *Exploring the Frontiers of International Law* Research Programme.

Cover, design, and typesetting by Studio Davis.
<https://www.caledavis.eu>

Printed by Ridderprint.
<https://www.ridderprint.nl>

Set in Adobe Garamond Pro (body) and ITC Franklin Gothic Pro (headings).

Typeset with L^AT_EX.

Acknowledgements

I owe significant thanks and gratitude to my two supervisors, Carsten Stahn and Joe Powderly, who have never failed to provide me with encouragement and feedback while I have been writing this dissertation. I am incredibly thankful for their support and guidance. I have been very fortunate to have them both as my supervisors.

I also owe thanks to the staff at the Offices of the Prosecutors of the International Criminal Court, Residual Mechanism for the International Criminal Tribunals, and the Residual Special Court for Sierra Leone, and all people who were interviewed for this project. Without their cooperation, I simply would not have been able to do this research.

Special thanks also go to numerous people who, at various points, were willing to act as sounding boards for ideas, provide me with suggestions and support, and expose me to different perspectives and new lines of inquiry. In particular, Professor Dr Maartje van der Woude; Professor Dr Koen Caminada; Associate Professor Dr Barbora Holá; Associate Professor Dr Carel Smith; Assistant Professor Dr Cecily Rose; Assistant Professor Dr Jason Rudall; Assistant Professor Dr Looi van Kessel; Assistant Professor Dr Rozemarijn Roland Holst; Dr Hanna Bosdriesz; Dr Rosemary Grey; Ms Sarah Leitner; and Ms Olivia Waddell. Thanks, too, to the T_EX Stack-exchange community for their excellent technical support.

To my colleagues at the Grotius Centre, and in particular Professor Dr Niels Blokker, thank you for your friendship and collegiality over these years.

Finally, I want to thank nine very special people. Lorraine, Rob, Adrienne, Marie, Lloyd, Stu, Audrey, Mick, and Charlotte, thank you for supporting me in whatever I do. I cannot express how thankful I am.

Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Figures and Tables	vii
Abbreviations	ix
I Theoretical and Methodological Foundations	I
1 Introduction	3
1 The Inquiry	3
2 Methodology	9
2.1 Data collection	9
2.2 Data analysis	14
3 Contribution to Knowledge	18
4 Structure	19
2 Understanding Discretion	21
1 Introduction	21
2 Conceptualising Discretion	22
2.1 Discretion in the legal paradigm	24
2.2 Discretion beyond the legal paradigm	37
3 Discretion as a Practice	42
4 Conclusion	46
II The Practice of International Prosecutorial Discretion	49
3 Selecting Situations and Cases	51
1 Introduction	51

2	The Legal Framework	53
3	Functional Considerations	63
3.1	The prospects of a successful investigation	63
3.2	Likelihood of arrest	68
3.3	Trial management	73
3.4	Wellbeing of an actual or potential defendant	76
4	Normative Considerations	80
4.1	Setting an historical record	80
4.2	Norm expression	83
4.3	Representing criminality	88
5	Strategic Considerations	90
5.1	Existential threats	90
5.2	Avoiding accusations of bias	94
5.3	Getting a tribunal working	98
5.4	Previous representations	102
5.5	Closing the tribunal	103
6	Conclusion	107
4	Selecting Charges	109
1	Introduction	109
2	The Legal Framework	110
3	Functional Considerations	115
3.1	Likelihood of arrest	115
3.2	Increasing the prospects of a guilty verdict	119
3.3	The likely sentence	122
4	Normative Considerations	124
4.1	Advancing the law	124
5	Strategic Considerations	132
5.1	Judicial criticisms	132
5.2	Public expectations	136
5.3	Closing the tribunal	137
6	Conclusion	137
5	Negotiating Outcomes	141
1	Introduction	141
2	The Legal Framework	142
3	Functional Considerations	151
3.1	The strength of the evidence	151
3.2	Efficiency gains	153

3.3	The alleged conduct of the accused	155
3.4	The risks to victims and witnesses	158
4	Normative Considerations	159
4.1	Establishing the ‘truth’	159
4.2	Norm expression	167
5	Strategic Considerations	168
5.1	The prosecutor’s social capital	168
5.2	The prosecutor’s legal background	168
6	Conclusion	169
6	Selecting Witnesses	171
1	Introduction	171
2	The Legal Framework	173
3	Witness Statistics	174
4	Functional Considerations	176
4.1	Evidential factors	176
4.2	Health, risk, and trauma	187
5	Normative Considerations	193
5.1	Public evidence	193
6	Strategic Considerations	196
6.1	Emotions and storytelling	196
7	Conclusion	205
7	Appealing	207
1	Introduction	207
2	The Legal Framework	208
3	Appeals Statistics	211
4	Normative Considerations	213
4.1	A ‘need’ for appropriate sentences	213
4.2	Developing the law	217
4.3	Protecting the integrity of the law	222
5	Strategic Considerations	224
5.1	Communicating disagreement and garnering support	224
5.2	Providing work to judges	227
5.3	Responding to the interests of the victims	229
6	Conclusion	231

III Reflections on International Prosecutorial Discretion	233
8 Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Justice	235
1 Introduction	235
2 Discretion and the Roles of the Prosecutor	236
2.1 Prosecutors as norm performers	237
2.2 Prosecutors as builders	243
2.3 Prosecutors as guardians	245
2.4 Towards a relational understanding of the prosecutorial role	249
3 Why are Roles Important?	251
3.1 Roles help us to understand decision-making	251
3.2 Roles invite a conscious engagement with their appropri- ateness	252
3.3 Roles highlight how discretion can be controlled	255
3.4 Roles invite an holistic conception of performance	259
4 Conclusion	261
Summary	263
Samenvatting (<i>Dutch Summary</i>)	265
Curriculum Vitae	267
Bibliography	269

Figures and Tables

List of Figures

1.1	Considerations in discretion, typology of	15
1.2	Prosecutorial roles, typology of	16
4.1	Charges per defendant, as box plot	121
5.1	Plea agreements, number concluded each year	144
6.1	Witnesses, number of prosecution as box plot	175
8.1	Prosecutorial roles, expanded typology of	237

List of Tables

5.1	Guilty pleas, change in charges between first and last charging documents	143
6.1	Witnesses, summary statistics for prosecution	175

7.1	Appeals, prospects of prosecution by court	211
7.2	Appeals, prosecution grounds by court	212
7.3	Appeals, judicial outcomes of prosecution	213

Abbreviations

ACABQ	Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions
CDF	Civil Defence Forces
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
ECCC	Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia
EU	European Union
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia
IDP	Internally Displaced Persons
JNA	<i>Jugoslovenska narodna armija</i> (Yugoslav People's Army)
KSC	Kosovo Specialist Chambers
LRA	Lord's Resistance Army
MICT	United Nations Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NT	Northern Territory (of Australia)
OSCE	Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
RPF	Rwandan Patriotic Front
RUF	Revolutionary United Front
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
SRK	<i>Sarajevsko-romanijski korpus</i> (Sarajevo Romanija Corps)
STL	Special Tribunal for Lebanon
UN	United Nations
UNPROFOR	United Nations Protection Force
US	United States of America

