

"Consensus on placebo and nocebo effects connects science with practice:" reply to "questioning the consensus on placebo and nocebo effects"

Evers, A.W.M.; Colloca, L.; Blease, C.; Gaab, J.; Jensen, K.B.; Atlas, L. Y.; ...; Kirsch, I.

Citation

Evers, A. W. M., Colloca, L., Blease, C., Gaab, J., Jensen, K. B., Atlas, L. Y., ... Kirsch, I. (2021). "Consensus on placebo and nocebo effects connects science with practice:" reply to "questioning the consensus on placebo and nocebo effects". *Psychotherapy And Psychosomatics*, 90(3), 213-214. doi:10.1159/000514435

Version: Publisher's Version

License: <u>Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 license</u>

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3256800

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics

Letter to the Editor / Reply

Psychother Psychosom 2021;90:213–214 DOI: 10.1159/000514435 Received: December 18, 2020 Accepted: December 23, 2020 Published online: February 25, 2021

"Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects Connects Science with Practice:" Reply to "Questioning the Consensus on Placebo and Nocebo Effects"

Andrea W.M. Evers^{a, b} Luana Colloca^c Charlotte Blease^d Jens Gaab^e Karin B. Jensen^f Lauren Y. Atlas^g Chris J. Beedie^h Fabrizio Benedettiⁱ Ulrike Bingel^j Christian Büchel^k Jet Bussemaker^l Ben Colagiuri^m Alia J. Crumⁿ Damien G. Finniss^o Andrew L. Geers^p Jeremy Howick^q Regine Klinger^r Stefanie Helena Meeuwis^a Karin Meissner^s Vitaly Napadow^t Keith J. Petrie^u Winfried Rief^v Ionica Smeets^w Tor D. Wager^x Vishvarani Wanigasekera^y Lene Vase^z John M. Kelley^A Irving Kirsch^A on behalf of the Consortium of Placebo Experts

^a Health, Medical and Neuropsychology Unit, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands; ^bErasmus University Rotterdam & Delft University of Technology, Rotterdam/Delft, The Netherlands; ^cDepartments of Pain Translational Symptoms Science and Anesthesiology, School of Nursing and Medicine, University of Maryland Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, USA; ^dGeneral Medicine and Primary Care, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA; ^eFaculty of Psychology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; fDepartment of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁹ National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, National Institute of Mental Health, and National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; hSchool of Psychology, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK; Physiology and Neuroscience, University of Turin Medical School, Turin, Italy; Department of Neurology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany; ^kDepartment of Systems Neuroscience, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ^mSchool of Psychology, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; ⁿDepartment of Psychology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; OROyal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia; Department of Psychology, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, USA; ^qNuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ^rCenter for Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ⁵Division of Health Promotion, University of Applied Sciences, Coburg, Germany; ^tAthinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Charlestown, MA, USA; "Department of Psychological Medicine, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; ^vDepartment of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ^wScience Communication and Society, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands; *Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA; ^yNuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ^zDepartment of Psychology and Behavioural Sciences, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ^AProgram in Placebo Studies, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

We thank Drs. Hardman, Hutchinson and Ongaro for their thoughtful comments [1]. We provide consensus and recommendations in our paper on how to inform patients about placebo and nocebo effects in clinical practice, and how to train clinicians in disclosing that information, based upon previous consensus papers [2–4]. Hardman et al. claim that our recommendations are not in line

with recent research that shows a disconnect between the modern scientific definition of placebo and nocebo effects and how patients and clinicians understand those effects [5]. However, it is precisely this disconnect that makes our consensus statement important. For example, while patients and clinicians tend to focus on "placebos" as inert sugar pills, *placebo effects* are defined broadly as "positive

karger@karger.com www.karger.com/pps



treatment outcomes that cannot be attributed to active treatment components, but are elicited by positive expectations and/or the psychosocial context in which treatment takes place" [2–4]. Thus, our definition and recommendations encompass an integrated approach to health-care that includes biomedical, psychological, ethical, and philosophical perspectives. Moreover, our expert panel included a diverse group of scientists, medical ethicists, and clinicians from many disciplines.

Given the prominence of placebo and nocebo effects in healthcare, it is important to communicate about them with patients in an open and transparent manner [6]. However, Hardman et al. conclude that clinicians should usually disclose nothing about placebo or nocebo effects. Two randomised trials suggest that this recommendation might harm patients, for example, those taking statins or those suffering from wind turbine syndrome [7, 8]. Additionally, our consensus statement emphasized the need for guidance on tailoring information to a patient's specific needs and circumstances [3]. We also highlight the need to explain the mechanisms behind placebo effects, and to replace the term "placebo effect" with alternative terms (e.g., reflecting specific mechanisms) whenever it is deemed helpful. Nevertheless, attempts at crafting an alternative term that covers the full range of effects and mechanisms associated with "placebo effect" have so far been unsuccessful. To illustrate, the supplementary material of our paper provides many alternative terms, including several proposed by Hardman et al., that we considered, but were ultimately found unsatisfactory, as they failed to cover the entirety of that which modern science understands to be placebo and nocebo effects.

We should stress that the aim of clinician training would not be to provide practitioners with "ready-to-use" explanations of placebo and nocebo effects. Instead, training should always emphasize the need to take the context and needs of the *individual* patient into account. Ultimately, it is the clinician's decision whether to disclose information about placebo and nocebo effects to patients. To do so effectively, healthcare professionals need training and information about these effects [9]. Which types of training and information would be most effective for which types of patients needs to be investigated thoroughly. In particular, more research about the effects of disclosing information about placebo and nocebo effects to patients, the ways in which the information should be disclosed, and the impact of those disclosures on health outcomes is necessary.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by an NWO Vici grant (No. 45316004) and an NWO Stevin prize, both granted to A.W.M.E. Further, L.Y.A. is supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. U.B., W.R., and R.K. were funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project ID 422744262-TRR 289). The funders had no role in the acquisition or analysis of the data or the content of this letter.

Author Contributions

A.W.M.E. and S.H.M. prepared the first draft of the letter. All authors provided feedback and revised the letter.

References

- 1 Hardman D, Hutchinson P, Ongaro G. Questioning the consensus on placebo and nocebo effects. Psychother Psychosom. 2020 Dec;1–
- 2 Evers AWM, Colloca L, Blease C, Annoni M, Atlas LY, Benedetti F, et al. Implications of placebo and nocebo effects for clinical practice: expert consensus. Psychother Psychosom. 2018;87(4):204–10.
- 3 Evers AWM, Colloca L, Blease C, Gaab J, Jensen KB, Atlas LY, et al.; Consortium of Placebo Experts. What should clinicians tell patients about placebo and nocebo effects? Practical considerations based on expert consensus. Psychother Psychosom. 2021; 90(1):49–56.
- 4 Mitsikostas DD, Blease C, Carlino E, Colloca L, Geers AL, Howick J, et al.; European Headache Federation. European Headache Federation recommendations for placebo and nocebo terminology. J Headache Pain. 2020 Sep;21(1):117.
- 5 Hardman DI, Geraghty AW, Lewith G, Lown M, Viecelli C, Bishop FL. From substance to process: A meta-ethnographic review of how healthcare professionals and patients understand placebos and their effects in primary care. Health (London). 2020 May;24(3):315–40.
- 6 Colloca L, Finniss D. Nocebo effects, patientclinician communication, and therapeutic outcomes. JAMA. 2012 Feb;307(6):567–8.
- 7 Crichton F, Petrie KJ. Health complaints and wind turbines: the efficacy of explaining the nocebo response to reduce symptom reporting. Environ Res. 2015 Jul;140:449–55.

- 8 Wood FA, Howard JP, Finegold JA, Nowbar AN, Thompson DM, Arnold AD, et al. N-of-1 Trial of a statin, placebo, or no treatment to assess side effects. N Engl J Med. 2020 Nov; 383(22):2182-4.
- 9 Bishop FL, Coghlan B, Geraghty AW, Everitt H, Little P, Holmes MM, et al. What techniques might be used to harness placebo effects in non-malignant pain? A literature review and survey to develop a taxonomy. BMJ Open. 2017 Jun;7(6):e015516.
- 10 Colloca L, Schenk LA, Nathan DE, Robinson OJ, Grillon C. When expectancies are violated: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2019 Dec;106(6): 1246–52.