



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Emotional and behavioral problems in children with a cleft lip with or without palate or an Infantile Hemangioma

Dalen, M. van; Hermans, M.M.; Leemreis, W.H.; Kraaij, V.; Laat, P.C.J. de; Pasmans, S.G.M.A.; ... ; Okkerse, J.M.E.

Citation

Dalen, M. van, Hermans, M. M., Leemreis, W. H., Kraaij, V., Laat, P. C. J. de, Pasmans, S. G. M. A., ... Okkerse, J. M. E. (2021). Emotional and behavioral problems in children with a cleft lip with or without palate or an Infantile Hemangioma. *The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal*, 1-10. doi:10.1177/10556656211031411

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3246984>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Emotional and Behavioral Problems in Children With a Cleft Lip With or Without Palate or an Infantile Hemangioma

The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal
1-10

© 2021, American Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Association



Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/10556656211031411

journals.sagepub.com/home/cpc



Marije van Dalen, Msc¹ , Mireille M. Hermans, Msc², Willem H. Leemreis, Msc¹, Vivian Kraaij, PhD³, Peter C. J. De Laat, MD, PhD⁴, Suzanne G. M. A. Pasmans, MD, PhD², Sarah L. Versnel, MD, PhD⁵, Maarten J. Koudstaal, MD, DMD, PhD⁶, Manon H. J. Hillegers, MD, PhD¹, Elisabeth M. W. J. Utens, PhD^{1,7,8}, and Jolanda M. E. Okkerse, PhD¹ 

Abstract

Objective: Life can be challenging for children with a visible difference due to a medical condition, and they might be at risk for emotional and behavioral problems. This study examines emotional and behavioral problems in children with a cleft lip with or without palate (CL $\pm$ P) or an infantile hemangioma (IH) in relation to the visibility of the condition, the presence of additional condition-related problems, and parental affect.

Setting: This cross-sectional study took place in an academic medical hospital in the Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, the Netherlands.

Participants: A total of 309 parents (mean age = 40.34, 44.00% male) of 182 children with CL $\pm$ P and 48 parents (mean age = 39.21, 37.50% male) of 33 children with an IH completed questionnaires. Children were 1.5 to 12 years old.

Results: Parents reported fewer child emotional and behavioral problems compared to normative data. Problems reported were mainly related to learning difficulties and parent gender, while visibility of the condition had no significant influence. Parental negative affect was related to child internalizing problems. Parental positive affect was not related to any of the outcome measures.

Conclusions: Parents reported fewer problems for their children compared to normative data. This is inconsistent with previous research, showing similar or worse scores for these children compared to peers. Our findings may be explained by a protective parenting style, a response shift in parents, or problems developing at a later point in life.

Keywords

visible differences, cleft lip, capillary hemangioma, vascular malformations, vascular tissue neoplasms, child behavior

¹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Rotterdam, the Netherlands

² Department of Dermatology, Centre of Pediatric Dermatology, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Rotterdam, the Netherlands

³ Clinical Psychology, Leiden University, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Pediatrics, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, the Netherlands

⁵ Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery, The Dutch Craniofacial Centre, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Rotterdam, the Netherlands

⁶ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, The Dutch Craniofacial Centre, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Rotterdam, the Netherlands

⁷ Research Institute of Child Development and Education, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁸ Academic Center for Child Psychiatry Levvel/Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Corresponding Author:

Jolanda M. E. Okkerse, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, P.O. Box 2060, 3000 CB Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Email: j.okkerse@erasmusmc.nl

Introduction

In societies that place high demands on physical appearance, coping with a visible difference due to a medical condition, such as cleft lip with or without palate (CL $\pm$ P) or an infantile hemangioma (IH), can be challenging. Children with a visible difference that is present from birth or early infancy are at risk for negative social interactions, including stigmatization and bullying (Ablett & Thompson, 2016). These social interactions may be associated with emotional and behavioral problems and can negatively impact the overall quality of life of children with a visible difference (Hunt et al., 2006; Masnari et al., 2013).

Cleft lip with or without palate is one of the most common craniofacial anomalies with 1 occurrence per 700 to 1100 live births (Goodacre & Swan, 2008; Mai et al., 2014). Infantile hemangioma is the most common vascular tumor with an estimated incidence of 2.0% to 4.5% in infants, often located in the head and neck area (Dickison et al., 2011; Munden et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2016). Both conditions are visible from birth or shortly after, may cause significant functional problems during infancy, and leave permanent scars (Goodacre & Swan, 2008; Léauté-Labrèze et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the nature of the visible differences and functional problems may be different, potentially associated with variation in emotional and behavioral functioning.

A range of findings have been reported regarding emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P (Hunt et al., 2005). Some studies report an increased number of psychological difficulties in children with CL $\pm$ P compared to children from the general population (Murray et al., 2010; Snyder & Pope, 2010; Zeraatkar et al., 2019; Pinckston et al., 2020), while other studies suggest that children with CL $\pm$ P do not have more psychological difficulties (Berger & Dalton, 2009; Brand et al., 2009; Feragen & Stock, 2014). The nature and the extent of emotional and behavioral problems vary according to the child's age and gender (Pope & Snyder, 2005; Snyder & Pope, 2010; Pinckston et al., 2020). Furthermore, emotional and behavioral problems may be more prevalent in children with visible cleft as opposed to children with a nonvisible cleft (Hunt et al., 2007).

Previous studies suggest that children with IH have an average or a better quality of life than children without IH (Hoornweg et al., 2009; Cohen-Barak et al., 2013). Nonetheless, parents report that their school-age children with IH exhibit more negative emotions compared to peers (Hoornweg et al., 2009). In addition, children with an IH experience negative observer responses such as staring, when the IH is at a visible location or medically complicated (Hoornweg et al., 2009). Yet, research has not found elevated levels of emotional or behavioral problems in children with IH (Dieterich-Miller et al., 1992; Masnari et al., 2012; Moyakine et al., 2017). However, small sample sizes may have led to inconclusive results. Furthermore, associations between emotional and behavioral problems and visibility or additional medical problems were not explored.

Given concerns about the impact of the visible medical conditions CL $\pm$ P and IH, an investigation of emotional and behavioral problems in these patient groups is highly relevant. Conclusions about why some patients with CL $\pm$ P or IH, but not others, experience mental health problems are hard to draw (Pope & Snyder, 2005; Feragen & Stock, 2017). Adjustment to living with a visible difference involves a complex interplay of physical, sociocultural, and psychological factors (Clarke et al., 2014; Gee et al., 2020). It is commonly assumed that the degree of visibility of a medical condition is directly associated with mental health problems in children with visible differences. However, results in the literature are variable (Feragen & Stock, 2016). It has been suggested that the relationship between visibility and mental health problems follow an inverted U shape, with individuals with minor or major visibility experiencing less stress (Moss, 2005). Additionally, parent-reported or child-reported subjective visibility of a child's medical condition may be a more appropriate indicator of a child's emotional and behavioral problems than clinician-reported visibility of a child's medical condition (Moss, 2005; Feragen et al., 2010).

Children develop within the broader context of their family. Parents may also be emotionally affected by having a child with a visible difference (Gee et al., 2020). In turn, parental emotions may influence emotional and behavioral problems in their children. For example, children of parents with psychopathology have an elevated risk for developing psychiatric symptoms (Wesseldijk et al., 2018). Similarly, parenting stress is associated with increased parent-reported emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P or IH (van Dalen et al., 2021). On the other hand, parental positive affect promotes child development (Stifter et al., 2020).

Additional medical difficulties could also play a role in emotional and behavioral problems of children with CL $\pm$ P or IH (Hoornweg et al., 2009; Feragen & Stock, 2016). Infantile hemangioma may be life- or function-threatening during infancy, obstructing vital organs and causing feeding difficulties (Léauté-Labrèze et al., 2017). Feeding problems in infants can impact parent-child interaction and indirectly contribute to infant mental health (Tyler et al., 2013). At school age, speech problems and academic problems in children with CL $\pm$ P, such as learning disability, low academic achievement, and grade retention, are also associated with emotional and behavioral problems (Wehby et al., 2014; Feragen et al., 2017).

Finally, child behavioral problems vary according to a child's age, gender, and socioeconomic status (Verhulst & van der Ende, 2013). Parental characteristics, such as parent age and gender, also play a role in the assessment of child emotional and behavioral problems (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000; Zondervan-Zwijnenburg et al., 2020). Therefore, these variables have to be taken into account as covariates when identifying the association between emotional and behavioral problems and the visibility of CL $\pm$ P or IH, parental affect, and condition-related difficulties.

Our aim was to answer the following 2 research questions:

1. Do children with CL $\pm$ P or IH experience different levels of emotional and behavioral problems compared to children in the general population?
2. Which factors are associated with emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P or IH?

We expect that parent-perceived visibility of the medical condition, parental affect, and additional condition-related difficulties (ie, feeding problems, eating problems, learning difficulties, and psychosocial problems) are associated with emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P or IH, when corrected for child age, child gender, parent age, parent gender, and socioeconomic status.

Method

This study was part of a larger project assessing parental well-being as described in van Dalen et al. (2021). Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Medical Ethics Review Committee Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (MEC-2006-185 and MEC-2008-191). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). This article was written in accordance with the STROBE guidelines (Von Elm et al., 2014).

Sample and Procedure

Recruitment took place at the Department of Dermatology in the Centre of Paediatric Dermatology and the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery of the Erasmus MC Sophia children's Hospital. Recruitment took place from 2008 until 2011, and data were later analyzed and reported in 2019 and 2020 by a PhD student. Parents were included in the study if they (1) had sufficient knowledge of the Dutch language and (2) had a child between 0 and 12 years of age with CL $\pm$ P (isolated cleft palate excluded due to the nonvisible nature) or an IH (regardless of the location on the body). This age range was chosen as in the Netherlands children often make the transition to secondary education at age 12. For many parents and their children, this is seen as the end of childhood and the start of adolescence. There were no additional exclusion criteria. For our analyses, children younger than 1.5 years were excluded.

Each family was sent an informed consent letter, 2 questionnaires, and a prepaid envelope. Parents were explicitly asked to fill out the questionnaires independently from their partner. Children did not complete any questionnaires. As an incentive, parents received a small gift for their child. Reminders were sent after approximately 1 month and after 3 months.

Medical Care at the Erasmus MC Sophia Children's Hospital, the Netherlands

Cleft care was provided at the [HOSPITAL], [COUNTRY] by multidisciplinary teams with average cleft lip surgery at an age of 3 months and cleft palate closure at an average age of

12 months. The alveolar cleft is closed around 9 to 12 years of age. Access to speech therapy is guaranteed through the treating hospital. Patients are followed up regularly by the multidisciplinary team until age 22.

Medical care for patients with an IH was provided at the Centre for Vascular Anomalies at the Erasmus MC. Treatment (such as propranolol topical/systemic, prednisone, system/intralesional, surgery) was administered to children with IH. All treated IHs were either potentially life-threatening or had functional risk, local discomfort, or severe cosmetic consequences. In case of treatment, follow-up is until the end of systemic treatment. If cosmetic surgery is a suspected possibility, the IHs or its residuals are reevaluated at about age 3.

Instruments

Parent and child demographic characteristics. Demographic and participant characteristics were obtained using questionnaires and included age and ethnicity of children and parents and parental educational level as a proxy measure of socioeconomic status. Parental education was divided into low, middle, and high, based on the International Standard Classification of Education guidelines (CBS, 2011).

Child emotional and behavioral problems. Validated Dutch versions of the Child Behavior Checklist, designed for ages 1½ to 5 (CBCL 1½-5; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000) and ages 6 to 18 (CBCL 6-18; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001), were used to assess child emotional and behavioral problems, as reported by parents. The CBCL 1½-5 consists of 100 items and the CBCL 6-18 has 120 items, both scored on a 3-point scale ranging from 0 (not true) to 2 (very true or often true).

For this study, the Total Problems scale and the Internalizing and Externalizing scales were used. The Total Problems scale is the sum of all items. To calculate the Internalizing scale for the CBCL 1½-5, the syndrome scales Emotionally Reactive, Anxious/Depressed, Somatic Complaints, and Withdrawn Behavior were used. For the CBCL 6-18, the syndrome scales Anxious/Depressed, Withdrawn/Depressed, and Somatic complaints were summed. The Internalizing scale measures problems that are present mainly within the child. To calculate the Externalizing scale on the CBCL 1½-5, the syndrome scales Attention Problems and Aggressive Behavior were summed. For the CBCL 6-18, the syndrome scales Rule Breaking Behavior and Aggressive Behavior were summed. The Externalizing scale measures problems with regard to conflicts with others and the conflicts in the expectation others have of the child.

Raw scores can be converted to *T* scores based on age (and gender for the CBCL 6-18). *T* scores are normally distributed with a mean of 50 and an SD of 10. *T* scores of 60 to 63 (84th-90th percentile) are considered borderline clinical range, and scores above 64 are in the clinical range.

Internal consistencies for the scales range from $\alpha = .89$ to $\alpha = .99$ (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000, 2001). In the present study, Cronbach alpha ranged between $\alpha = .84$ and $\alpha = .98$.

for the CBCL 1½-5 and between $\alpha = .86$ and $\alpha = .98$ for the CBCL 6-18.

Parental positive and negative affect. To measure parental affect, the Dutch version of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule was used (PANAS; Watson et al., 1988). The PANAS consists of the subscales Positive Affect (PA) and Negative Affect (NA). Positive Affect refers to the extent to which a person feels enthusiastic, active, and alert. High scores reflect a state of high energy, full concentration, and pleasurable engagement. In contrast, NA refers to a general dimension of subjective emotional distress and includes a variety of aversive mood states, including anger, anxiety, and disgust. High scores on the NA scale indicate more distress. Both scales consist of 10 items, using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*very slightly or not at all*) to 5 (*very much*). Parents were instructed to indicate how they had been feeling during the last 2 weeks. Scale scores are obtained by summing the item scores.

The PANAS has good psychometric properties, with $\alpha = .89$ for PA and $\alpha = .85$ for NA (Crawford & Henry, 2004). In the present study, Cronbach alphas were $\alpha = .89$ and $\alpha = .85$ for the PA and NA scales, respectively.

Visibility. To assess the visibility of the condition, parents were asked two questions, both measured on a 5-point Likert scale: (1) "To what extent do you think your child's condition is visible?" and (2) "To what extent do you experience that bystanders look at your child's condition?" (both ranging from "not at all" to "very much"). Item scores were added to derive a total visibility score. The items correlated significantly ($r = .59$, $P < .001$), and the scale was reasonably reliable ($\alpha = .72$).

Additional condition-related difficulties. Three additional questions measured other problems related to the medical condition. Questions were asked on feeding problems (eg, "Does your child have feeding problems?"), speech problems, and learning difficulties. All items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Rstudio version 1.3.959 (RStudio Team, 2020). Missing data were handled using multiple imputation. Multiple imputation was performed using the MICE package (van Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011) in R.

The frequency of scores on the CBCL in the subclinical and clinical ranges was calculated. For children where both parents completed the questionnaires, a Pearson correlation was calculated to assess concordance. Additional analyses were run for parents' PANAS scores, comparing parents' scores to normative data. These are reported in the Supplementary Materials.

The first research question was tested using one-sample t tests to compare T scores on the CBCL Total Problems, Internalizing, and Externalizing scales to normative data. The T scores were compared to a mean T score of 50 with an SD of 10. As one-sample t tests do not account for clustering in the

data (ie, 2 parents reporting on the same child), separate analyses were conducted for mothers and fathers.

The second research question was tested using linear mixed models (LMMs). In cases where both mother and father participated, there were 2 parent reports for the same child. The LMM account for this clustering in the data, so both parent reports can be analyzed in the same model. Three models were calculated. One for the Total Problems scale, one for the Internalizing scale, and one for the Externalizing scale. Type of condition (CL $\pm$ P or IH), parent-perceived visibility of the condition, parental affect, feeding difficulties, speech difficulties, and learning difficulties were entered as predictors. To assess whether visibility was associated with a possible U shape, as described by Moss (2005), visibility was entered into the model with 2 splines. In addition, to test whether the predictors differed depending on the type of condition, an interaction term with condition was entered for all predictors. Child age, child gender, parent age, parent gender, and socioeconomic status were entered as covariates. A model with all covariates was computed, after which a second model was calculated excluding the nonsignificant predictors. Both models were subsequently compared using a likelihood ratio test to assess which model had a better fit to the data.

To account for multiple testing, the false discovery rate method as proposed by Benjamini & Hochberg (1995) was used. All P values were 2 tailed and were compared to $\alpha = .05$.

Results

Participants

A total of 337 families with a child with CL $\pm$ P and 72 families with a child with IH were approached. Four parents with a child with CL $\pm$ P and 4 parents with a child with IH indicated that they did not have sufficient knowledge of the Dutch language. The response rate was 45.7% for CL $\pm$ P and 34.0% for IH. A total of 357 questionnaires of parents of children older than 1.50 years were returned and analyzed. Detailed sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Overall, 203 mothers and 154 fathers of 215 children participated. Concerning CL $\pm$ P, 40 (22.98%) children had an isolated cleft lip and 142 (78.02%) had a combined cleft lip and palate. Most clefts ($N = 137$, 75.69%) were unilateral. Concerning IH, 26 children (78.79%) had hemangiomas located on the face or the head, 4 (12.12%) on the face and the body, and 3 (9.09%) on the body. Parents' concordance between Total, Internalizing, and Externalizing scores on the CBCL ranged from $r = .62$ to $r = .67$.

As seen in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2, all the parents in this study had significantly less negative affect than adults in the norm group. Parental positive affect did not differ from the norm group, except for a small effect size of lower positive affect for fathers of a child with a cleft.

Comparison to Norm Group

Overall, 8.8% to 16.4% of children with CL $\pm$ P were rated by their parent as experiencing (sub)clinical problems. In children with IH, this percentage was 0% to 5.6%. The breakdown of

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics.

	CL $\pm$ P (N = 309)	IH (N = 48)
Parent gender, n (%)		
Male	136 (44.00)	18 (37.50)
Female	173 (56.00)	30 (62.50)
Parent age, mean (SD)	40.34 (6.30)	39.21 (5.37)
Age range	25.61-71.46	25.39-49.92
Child gender, n (%)		
Male	119 (65.38)	8 (24.24)
Female	63 (34.62)	25 (75.76)
Child age, mean (SD)	7.27 (2.71)	6.63 (2.79)
Age range	2.42-12.57	1.51-11.71
Parent nationality, n (%)		
Dutch	301 (97.40)	46 (95.83)
Other/unknown	8 (2.60)	2 (4.17)
Parent education, n (%)		
Low	34 (11.00)	4 (8.33)
Average	146 (47.25)	23 (47.92)
High	122 (39.48)	20 (41.67)
Other/unknown	7 (2.27)	1 (2.08)
Additional problems, mean (SD)		
Feeding problems	1.28 (0.79)	1.33 (0.99)
Speech problems	2.14 (1.18)	1 (0)
Learning difficulties	1.38 (0.90)	1.22 (0.49)

Abbreviations: CL $\pm$ P, cleft lip with or without palate; IH, infantile hemangioma.

children experiencing subclinical or clinical problems is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

To assess whether children with CL $\pm$ P or IH experience more emotional and behavioral problems than children in the general population, their scores on the CBCL were compared to the normative data. Results are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Analyses were not performed for total and internalizing problems for fathers of children with an IH, as these variables were not normally distributed and had a very low N. Nonparametric tests were not performed due to the low N.

Overall, parents of children with CL $\pm$ P and IH reported less emotional and behavioral problems regarding their children than parents of children in the norm group. For parents of children with CL $\pm$ P, the effect sizes ranged from small to medium ($\delta = 0.18$ to $\delta = 0.57$; Cohen, 1992). For parents of children with IH, the effect sizes range could all be classified as large ($\delta = 0.80$ to $\delta = 1.05$; Cohen, 1992).

Predictors and Correlates of Emotional and Behavioral Problems

To assess what variables are related to the scores for total, internalizing, and externalizing problems, LMMs were computed. For all 3 outcomes, the condition, parental positive affect, child age, child gender, parental age, and socioeconomic status (parental education) did not add significantly to the model. None of the interaction terms were significant, meaning that the type of condition (CL $\pm$ P or IH) did not influence the relation between the predictors and the CBCL scores. Results can be seen in Table 4.

Table 2. Comparison of Children With CL $\pm$ P to Normative Data (One-Sample *t* Tests).

	Parent	Sample				Norm group		Adjusted <i>P</i> value	Cohen <i>d</i>
		N	Subclinical score, n (%)	Clinical score, n (%)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>P</i> value		
Total problems	Mother	164	17 (10.4)	10 (6.10)	48.12 (10.64)	50 (10)	.025	.028	.18
	Father	125	7 (5.60)	5 (4.00)	44.26 (10.44)	50 (10)	<.001	<.001	.55
Internalizing	Mother	164	14 (8.54)	12 (7.32)	47.39 (10.96)	50 (10)	.003	.003	.24
	Father	125	4 (3.20)	7 (5.60)	44.15 (10.19)	50 (10)	<.001	<.001	.57
Externalizing	Mother	164	12 (7.32)	13 (7.93)	48.07 (10.12)	50 (10)	.016	.018	.19
	Father	125	6 (4.80)	5 (4.00)	44.83 (10.04)	50 (10)	<.001	<.001	.51

Abbreviation: CL $\pm$ P, cleft lip with or without palate.

Table 3. Comparison of Children With IH to Normative Data (One-Sample *t* Tests).

	Parent	Sample				Norm group		Adjusted <i>P</i> value	Cohen <i>d</i>
		N	Subclinical score, n (%)	Clinical score, n (%)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>P</i> value		
Total problems	Mother	29	0 (0)	0 (0)	40.07 (9.51)	50 (10)	<.001	<.001	1.04
	Father	18	0 (0)	1 (5.56)	41.56 (11.38)	50 (10)	-	-	-
Internalizing	Mother	29	1 (3.45)	0 (0)	41.55 (8.13)	50 (10)	<.001	<.001	1.04
	Father	18	0 (0)	1 (5.56)	42.28 (9.60)	50 (10)	.003	.004	0.80
Externalizing	Mother	29	1 (3.45)	0 (0)	40.72 (8.88)	50 (10)	<.001	.003	1.05
	Father	18	0 (0)	1 (5.56)	42.00 (12.70)	50 (10)	-	-	-

Abbreviation: IH, infantile hemangioma.

Table 4. Determinants of CBCL Problem Scales Scores: Results of Linear Mixed Models.

	Learning difficulties	Parent gender	PANAS NA
Total problems			
B	4.32	2.69	–
Standard error	0.78	0.70	
P value			
Unadjusted	<.001	<.001	
Adjusted	<.001	<.001	
Internalizing problems			
B	2.96	2.24	0.38
Standard error	0.73	0.73	0.10
P value			
Unadjusted	<.001	.002	<.001
Adjusted	<.001	.003	<.001
Externalizing problems			
B	3.03	2.29	–
Standard error	0.74	0.74	
P value			
Unadjusted	<.001	.002	
Adjusted	<.001	.003	

Abbreviations: CBCL, Child Behavior Checklist; PANAS NA, Positive and Negative Affect Schedule–Negative Affect.

The model that best predicted total problems included learning difficulties, $t(106.62) = 5.51$, $P_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$, and parent gender, $t(4126.41) = 3.83$, $P_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$. Hence, parents reported more problems when the child had more learning difficulties and when the mother completed the questionnaires.

The model that best predicted internalizing problems included the variables learning difficulties, parent gender, and parental negative affect. Parents reported more internalizing problems for their child when the child had more learning difficulties and when the parent themselves experienced more negative affect. Furthermore, fathers reported significantly less internalizing problems than mothers.

The model that best predicted externalizing problems included the variables learning difficulties, $t(352.29) = 4.07$, $P_{\text{adjusted}} < .001$ and parent gender, $t(20,424.90) = 3.11$, $P_{\text{adjusted}} = .003$. Both variables were significantly related to externalizing problems. Hence, parents of children with more learning difficulties and mothers reported more externalizing problems.

Discussion

This study assessed parent-reported emotional and behavioral problems in children with the visible conditions such as CL $\pm$ P or IH aged 1.5 to 12 years old. We expected more emotional and behavioral problems in both patient groups when compared to normative data of same-aged peers. Contrary to this expectation, the results of the current study indicated significantly fewer emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P and IH when compared to normative data. In the CL $\pm$ P group, 8.8% to 16.4% of children experienced subclinical or clinical problems. In the IH group, this percentage was 0% to

5.6%. As these represent scores in the 84th percentile or higher (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000, 2001), our sample had less children in the subclinical or clinical range than might be expected.

The current results are inconsistent with findings from some previous studies, which found either no significant differences with norm data (Berger & Dalton, 2009; Brand et al., 2009; Masnari et al., 2013; Stock & Feragen, 2016; Moyakine et al., 2017) or significantly more emotional and behavioral problems in children with a visible difference (Pope & Snyder, 2005; Kenny et al., 2016). The only previous study on Dutch children with an oral cleft found no differences in emotional and behavioral problems when compared to normative data (Hoek et al., 2009). It remains unclear whether children with a visible difference are at risk for experiencing emotional and behavioral problems.

This study's current findings can be explained in several ways. A first explanation may be that children with CL $\pm$ P or IH grow up in a protective environment. Parents may perceive children with a visible difference as more vulnerable, which could elicit a protective parenting style. Such overprotection has previously been shown in a meta-analysis including parents of children with a chronic medical condition (Pinquart, 2013). Appropriate protection may facilitate positive emotional and behavioral development throughout childhood. Nonetheless, appropriate protection also includes a certain amount of autonomy support, which was recently associated with better child quality of life, less externalizing behavior, and less anxiety in a meta-analysis (Crandell et al., 2018). A second explanation is that emotional and behavioral difficulties in children with CL $\pm$ P or IH are less prevalent during childhood than in puberty. This may be due to methodological differences between studies, with research in young children often using parent/caregiver reports, while research in adolescent populations often use self-reports. Moreover, body image plays a more prominent role in well-being during adolescence (Smolak, 2012). Indeed, studies have shown that the majority of adolescents in the general population experience body dissatisfaction (Dion et al., 2015). Body dissatisfaction has been linked to negative outcomes such as social anxiety and school avoidance (Vannucci & Ohannessian, 2017) and depressive symptoms (Flores-Cornejo et al., 2017) and could thus play a vital role in the origin of emotional and behavioral problems. Its role in people with a visible difference remains unclear, but there are indications that satisfaction with appearance decreases with age for people with CL/P (Stock & Feragen, 2016). A third possibility is that parents of children with CL $\pm$ P or IH may exhibit a response shift. Response shift is a shift in an individual's perception of health and well-being, which can occur due to potentially challenging circumstances (eg, parenting a child with a visible condition; Sprangers & Schwartz, 1999). Possibly, parents of children with CL $\pm$ P or IH have a more positive or a milder perception of emotional and behavioral problems than other parents and evaluate their child's behavior in a more positive way.

The second research question involved an investigation of factors associated with emotional and behavioral problems in children with CL $\pm$ P or IH. Our analyses led to 3 major results. First, learning difficulties and parent gender were related to all emotional and behavioral problem areas (ie, total problems, internalizing problems, and externalizing problems). Second, parental negative affect was associated with the child's internalizing problems, but not with the child's externalizing or total problems. Third, parent-reported subjective visibility was not associated with any of the emotional and behavioral problem areas. Lastly, the type of condition (CL $\pm$ P or IH) did not influence the relation between the predictors and CBCL scores.

Consistent with our results, learning difficulties have previously been recognized as a risk factor for emotional and behavioral problems and psychopathology (Castro et al., 2020; Visser et al., 2020). In this study, the role of parent gender was similar to the general population, as described in the CBCL manual: Mothers reported more child problems than fathers (Achenbach et al., 1987; Verhulst & van der Ende, 2013). Possible explanations are a different parent-child interaction and differences between the roles of fathers and mothers within the family (Verhulst & van der Ende, 2013; Alakortes et al., 2017).

Our finding that parental negative affect is associated with child internalizing problems can be linked to previous research showing a relationship between parental stress and child emotional and behavioral problems. However, this relationship was not specific to internalizing problems (Spijkers et al., 2013; van Dalen et al., 2021). The association found in the current study may reflect the familial aggregation of anxiety and depression. Although the major source of familial anxiety and depression seems genetic, parenting practices, including parental control, are also related to childhood anxiety (Hettema et al., 2001; van der Bruggen et al., 2008). Theoretical models including social learning theory (Dishion et al., 1994) and attachment theory (Ainsworth et al., 1974) emphasize the role of parenting in child development (McLeod et al., 2007). Furthermore, common method variance may have played a role in the current study. The same informant completed questionnaires about both their parental affect and their children's emotional and behavioral problems. Parents with a more negative affect could have reported more emotional and behavioral problems in their children. Similarly, parents with internalizing problems are prone to observe internalizing problems in their children (Miller et al., 2020). Any correlations between informants (ie, between the mother and father of the same child) were adjusted for in our statistical analyses.

Concerning the visibility of CL $\pm$ P or IH, previous results on the association between visibility of a medical condition and emotional and behavioral problems have been mixed. Possibly, children and parents are used to the always present visible nature of CL $\pm$ P or IH. This may not impact functioning as much as repeatedly recurring problems related to the medical condition.

The current study includes some strengths and limitations. Strengths of the current study were the sample size ($n = 357$)

and the number of fathers participating ($n = 154$). Fathers are often not included in other studies, especially when the target group involves children below the age of 3 (Alakortes et al., 2017). Mothers and fathers each provide a unique view of their child's development. This unique point of view was highlighted in the current study, in which mothers appointed different scores to their child's emotional and behavioral functioning than fathers. Hence, multi-informant research is important in obtaining a detailed view of the child's development.

The current research involved a few limitations. First, this study was limited due to a low response rate of both patient groups (45.7% for children with CL $\pm$ P and 34.0% for children with IH). Second, our sample was selected from a tertiary health care center, which could entail more severe cases of IH. Furthermore, our sample mostly involved highly educated parents. Therefore, parents in our study may have access to more resources to help their children with emotional or behavioral problems, compared to a more evenly distributed or lower educated group of families. Third, parents could have been influenced by a social desirability bias and completed the questionnaires to encompass less problems than they actually experienced. However, questionnaires have a lower social desirability bias than other methods such as a face-to-face interview (Bowling, 2005). Fourth, despite requesting parents to complete the questionnaires independently from each other, we cannot guarantee that all parents complied with these instructions, as the questionnaires were completed without supervision of the research team. Hence, some parents could have influenced each other during completion of the questionnaires. Fifth, this study was limited by the lack of a standardized measure of the functional impact of children's CL $\pm$ P or IH diagnosis. Finally, treatment status was not accounted in the analysis.

To address the abovementioned limitations, we advise future research to include children from multiple health care settings, have a representative group of parents across a wider range of educational attainment, gather information about treatment status, and construct standardized measures to capture the impact of diagnoses. Furthermore, qualitative research is needed to assess specific concerns that might not have been addressed in our questionnaires. These studies can also help to indicate what factors, such as parenting style or a response shift, play a role in the etiology of the current findings. In addition, replication across countries and cultures is needed.

In conclusion, this study showed that parents of children with CL $\pm$ P or IH report less emotional and behavioral problems in their children compared to the general population. Nonetheless, some children appear to be at risk. These include children with increased learning difficulties and children of parents with heightened negative affect. To identify patients most at risk, we advise clinicians to use screening questionnaires in clinical follow-up. These can be used to offer timely psychological support.

Authors' Note

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, J.M.E.O., upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

First, we thank Prof. Dr Oranje, the initiator of the present study who regrettably passed away during the writing of this article. Furthermore, we thank Gerard Madern, Claartje Plaisier, and Ditta van Dijk for their collaboration in the study design and data gathering.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by "Stichting In Face".

ORCID iDs

Marije van Dalen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8361-8604>

Jolanda M. E. Okkerse  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7644-2548>

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Ablett K, Thompson AR. Parental, child, and adolescent experience of chronic skin conditions: a meta-ethnography and review of the qualitative literature. *Body Image*. 2016;19(suppl C):175-185.
- Achenbach TM, McConaughy SH, Howell C. Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional problems: implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychol Bull*. 1987;101(2):213-232.
- Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA Preschool Forms and Profiles*. Vol. 30. University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and Families; 2000.
- Achenbach TM, Rescorla LA. *Manual for the ASEBA School-age Forms & Profiles: An Integrated System of Multi-Informant Assessment*. Vol. 1617. University of Vermont; 2001.
- Ainsworth MDS, Bell SM, Stayton DF. Infant-mother attachment and social development: Socialization as a product of reciprocal responsiveness to signals. In: *The Integration of a Child Into a Social World*. Cambridge University Press; 1974:99-135.
- Alakortes J, Fyrstén J, Bloigu R, Carter AS, Moilanen IK, Ebeling HE. Parental reports of early socioemotional and behavioral problems: does the father's view make a difference? *Infant Ment Health J*. 2017;38(3):363-377.
- Anderson KR, Schoch JJ, Lohse CM, Hand JL, Davis DM, Tollefson MM. Increasing incidence of infantile hemangiomas (IH) over the past 35 years: correlation with decreasing gestational age at birth and birth weight. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2016;74(1):120-126.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol*. 1995;57(1):289-300.
- Berger ZE, Dalton LJ. Coping with a cleft: psychosocial adjustment of adolescents with a cleft lip and palate and their parents. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2009;46(4):435-443.
- Bowling A. Mode of questionnaire administration can have serious effects on data quality. *J Public Health*. 2005;27(3):281-291.
- Brand S, Blechschmidt A, Müller A, Sader R, Schwenzer-Zimmerer K, Zeilhofer H-F, Holsboer-Trachsler E. Psychosocial functioning and sleep patterns in children and adolescents with cleft lip and palate (CLP) compared with healthy controls. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2009;46(2):124-135.
- Castro E, Cotov M, Brovedani P, Coppola G, Meoni T, Papini M, Terlizzi T, Vernucci C, Pecini C, Muratori P. Associations between learning and behavioral difficulties in second-grade children. *Children*. 2020;7(9):112.
- CBS. Niveau ISCED 2011. Published 2011. Accessed December 6, 2020. <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/onze-diensten/methoden/classificaties/onderwijs-en-beroepen/isced/niveau-isced-2011>
- Clarke A, Thompson ARJE, Rumsey N, Newell R. *Psychosocial Interventions for Anxiety due to Visible Difference*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2014.
- Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychol Bull*. 1992;112(1):155-159.
- Cohen-Barak E, Rozenman D, Shani Adir A. Infantile haemangiomas and quality of life. *Arch Dis Child*. 2013;98(9):676-679.
- Crandell JL, Sandelowski M, Leeman J, Havill NL, Knafl K. Parenting behaviors and the well-being of children with a chronic physical condition. *Fam Syst Health*. 2018;36(1):45-61.
- Crawford JR, Henry JD. The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS): construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 2004;43(3):245-265.
- Dickison P, Christou E, Wargon O. A prospective study of infantile hemangiomas with a focus on incidence and risk factors. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2011;28(6):663-669.
- Dieterich-Miller CA, Cohen BA, Liggett J. Behavioral adjustment and self-concept of young children with hemangiomas. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 1992;9(3):241-245.
- Dion J, Blackburn M-E, Auclair J, Laberge L, Veillette S, Gaudreault M, Vachon P, Perron M, Touchette É. Development and aetiology of body dissatisfaction in adolescent boys and girls. *Int J Adolesc Youth*. 2015;20(2):151-166.
- Dishion TJ, Patterson GR, Griesler PC. Peer adaptations in the development of antisocial behavior: a confluence model. In: *Aggressive Behavior: Current Perspectives*. Plenum Press; 1994:61-95.
- Feragen KB, Kvalem IL, Rumsey N, Borge AI. Adolescents with and without a facial difference: the role of friendships and social acceptance in perceptions of appearance and emotional resilience. *Body Image*. 2010;7(4):271-279.
- Feragen KB, Særvold TK, Aukner R, Stock NM. Speech, language, and reading in 10-year-olds with cleft: associations with teasing, satisfaction with speech, and psychological adjustment. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2017;54(2):153-165.
- Feragen KB, Stock NM. When there is more than a cleft: psychological adjustment when a cleft is associated with an additional condition. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2014;51(1):5-14.

- Feragen KB, Stock NM. Risk and protective factors at age 10: psychological adjustment in children with a cleft lip and/or palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2016;53(2):161-179.
- Feragen KB, Stock NM. Psychological adjustment to craniofacial conditions (excluding oral clefts): a review of the literature. *Psychol Health*. 2017;32(3):253-288.
- Flores-Cornejo F, Kamego-Tome M, Zapata-Pachas MA, Alvarado GF. Association between body image dissatisfaction and depressive symptoms in adolescents. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2017;39(4):316-322.
- Gee C, Maskell J, Newcombe P, Kimble R, Williamson H. Australian health professionals' perspectives of psychosocial adjustment to visible differences: a qualitative analysis of pediatric populations. *Body Image*. 2020;33(1):13-26.
- Goodacre T, Swan MC. Cleft lip and palate: current management. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2008;18(6):283-292.
- Hettema JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS. A review and meta-analysis of the genetic epidemiology of anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001;158(10):1568-1578.
- Hoek IH, Kraaimaat FW, Admiraal RJ, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, Verhaak CM. Psychosocial adjustment in children with a cleft lip and/or palate [in Dutch]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*. 2009;153:B352.
- Hoornweg MJ, Grootenhuys MA, van der Horst CM. Health-related quality of life and impact of haemangiomas on children and their parents. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2009;62(10):1265-1271.
- Hunt O, Burden D, Hepper P, Johnston C. The psychosocial effects of cleft lip and palate: a systematic review. *Eur J Orthod*. 2005;27(3):274-285.
- Hunt O, Burden D, Hepper P, Stevenson M, Johnston C. Self-reports of psychosocial functioning among children and young adults with cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2006;43(5):598-605.
- Hunt O, Burden D, Hepper P, Stevenson M, Johnston C. Parent reports of the psychosocial functioning of children with cleft lip and/or palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2007;44(3):304-311.
- Kenny SA, Majeed N, Zhand N, Glikstein R, Agid R, Dos Santos MP. Psychological comorbidities and compliance to interventional treatment of patients with cutaneous vascular malformations. *Interv Neuroradiol*. 2016;22(4):489-494.
- Léauté-Labrèze C, Harper JJ, Hoeger PH. Infantile haemangioma. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10089):85-94.
- Mai CT, Cassell CH, Meyer RE, Isenburg J, Canfield MA, Rickard R, Olney RS, Stallings EB, Beck M, Hashmi SS, et al. Birth defects data from population-based birth defects surveillance programs in the United States, 2007 to 2011: highlighting orofacial clefts. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2014;100(11):895-904.
- Masnari O, Landolt MA, Roessler J, Weingaertner SK, Neuhaus K, Meuli M, Schiestl C. Self- and parent-perceived stigmatisation in children and adolescents with congenital or acquired facial differences. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2012;65(12):1664-1670.
- Masnari O, Schiestl C, Rossler J, Gutlein SK, Neuhaus K, Weibel L, Meuli M, Landolt MA. Stigmatization predicts psychological adjustment and quality of life in children and adolescents with a facial difference. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2013;38(2):162-172.
- McLeod BD, Weisz JR, Wood JJ. Examining the association between parenting and childhood depression: a meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2007;27(8):986-1003.
- Miller ML, Williams BM, McCabe JE, Williamson JA, King S, Laplante DP, Hart KJ, O'Hara MW. Perinatal anxiety and depressive symptoms and perception of child behavior and temperament in early motherhood. *J Dev Orig Health Dis*. 2020;12(3):1-10.
- Moss TP. The relationships between objective and subjective ratings of disfigurement severity, and psychological adjustment. *Body Image*. 2005;2(2):151-159.
- Moyakine AV, Spillekom-van Koulil S, van der Vleuten CJM. Propranolol treatment of infantile hemangioma is not associated with psychological problems at 7 years of age. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;77(1):105-108.
- Munden A, Butschek R, Tom WL, Marshall JS, Poeltler DM, Krohne SE, Alió AB, Ritter M, Friedlander DF, Catanzarite V, et al. Prospective study of infantile haemangiomas: incidence, clinical characteristics and association with placental anomalies. *Br J Dermatol*. 2014;170(4):907-913.
- Murray L, Arteche A, Bingley C, Hentges F, Bishop DV, Dalton L, Goodacre T, Hill JCleft Lip and Palate Study Team. The effect of cleft lip on socio-emotional functioning in school-aged children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2010;51(1):94-103.
- Pinkston M, Dalton L, Farrar S, Hutton MT. The psychosocial adjustment of children born with a cleft lip and/or palate: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2020;57(11):1280-1290.
- Pinquart M. Do the parent-child relationship and parenting behaviors differ between families with a child with and without chronic illness? A meta-analysis. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2013;38(7):708-721.
- Pope AW, Snyder HT. Psychosocial adjustment in children and adolescents with a craniofacial anomaly: age and sex patterns. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2005;42(4):349-354.
- RStudio Team. RStudio: integrated development for R. Published 2020. Accessed December 6, 2020. <http://www.rstudio.com/>
- Smolak L. Appearance in childhood and adolescence. In: Rumsey N, Harcourt D, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of the Psychology of Appearance*. Oxford University Press; 2012:123-141.
- Snyder H, Pope AW. Psychosocial adjustment in children and adolescents with a craniofacial anomaly: diagnosis-specific patterns. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2010;47(3):264-272.
- Spijkers W, Jansen DEMC, Reijneveld SA. Parental internalizing problems in a community sample: association with child psychosocial problems. *Eur J Public Health*. 2013;24(1):11-15.
- Sprangers MAG, Schwartz CE. Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: a theoretical model. *Soc Sci Med*. 1999;48(11):1507-1515.
- Stifter C, Augustine M, Dollar J. The role of positive emotions in child development: a developmental treatment of the broaden and build theory. *J Posit Psychol*. 2020;15(1):89-94.
- Stock NM, Feragen KB. Psychological adjustment to cleft lip and/or palate: a narrative review of the literature. *Psychol Health*. 2016;31(7):777-813.
- Tyler MC, Wehby GL, Robbins JM, Damiano PC. Separation anxiety in children ages 4 through 9 with oral clefts. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2013;50(5):520-527.
- van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. MICE: multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw*. 2011;45(3):1-67.

- van Dalen M, Leemreis WH, Kraaij V, de Laat PCJ, Pasmans SGMA, Versnel SL, Koudstaal MJ, Hillegers MHJ, Utens EMWJ, Okkerse JME. Parenting children with a cleft lip or infantile haemangioma: a cross-sectional study of parenting stress and distress. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2021.
- van der Bruggen CO, Stams GJ, Bögels SM. Research review: the relation between child and parent anxiety and parental control: a meta-analytic review. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2008;49(12):1257-1269.
- Vannucci A, Ohannessian CM. Body image dissatisfaction and anxiety trajectories during adolescence. *J Clin Child Adolesc*. 2017;47(3):1-11.
- Verhulst FC, van der Ende J. *Handleiding ASEBA: Vragenlijsten Voor Leeftijden 6 t/m 18 Jaar: CBCL/6-18, YSR, TRF*. ASEBA Nederland; 2013.
- Visser L, Kalmar J, Linkersdörfer J, Görden R, Rothe J, Hasselhorn M, Schulte-Körne G. Comorbidities between specific learning disorders and psychopathology in elementary school children in Germany. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:292.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Strobe I. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Int J Surg*. 2014;12(12):1495-1499.
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1988;54(6):1063-1070.
- Wehby GL, Collet B, Barron S, Romitti PA, Ansley TN, Speltz M. Academic achievement of children and adolescents with oral clefts. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(5):785-792.
- Wesseldijk LW, Dieleman GC, van Steensel FJA, Bleijenberg EJ, Bartels M, Bögels SM, Middeldorp CM. Do parental psychiatric symptoms predict outcome in children with psychiatric disorders? A naturalistic clinical study. *J Am Acad Child Psy*. 2018;57(9):669-677.e666.
- World Medical Association. World medical association declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194.
- Zeraatkar M, Ajami S, Nadjmi N, Faghihi SA, Golkari A. A qualitative study of children's quality of life in the context of living with cleft lip and palate. *Pediatric Health Med Ther*. 2019;10:13-20.
- Zondervan-Zwijnenburg MAJ, Veldkamp SAM, Neumann A, Barzeva SA, Nelemans SA, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Branje SJT, Hillegers MHJ, Meeus WHJ, Tiemeier H, et al. Parental age and offspring childhood mental health: a multi-cohort, population-based investigation. *Child Dev*. 2020;91(3):964-982.