

## **Galaxy alignments from multiple angles** Fortuna, M.C.

## Citation

Fortuna, M. C. (2021, November 25). *Galaxy alignments from multiple angles*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3243460

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3243460

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Galaxy alignments from multiple angles

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 25 november 2021 klokke 10:00 uur

door

Maria Cristina Fortuna geboren te Assisi (PG), Italië in 1991 Promotiecommissie

Promotors: Prof. dr. H. Hoekstra Prof. dr. K.H. Kuijken

Overige leden: Prof. dr. M. Franx Prof. dr. C. Heymans (Ruhr University Bochum, University of Edinburgh) Prof. dr. H.J.A. Röttgering Prof. dr. J. Schaye Dr. E.N. Chisari (Utrecht University)

ISBN: 978-94-6423-543-2

Cover design, illustration and coloring by Maria Cristina Fortuna

Printed by ProefschriftMaken www.proefschriftmaken.nl

iv

## Contents

1	Intr	ntroduction			
	1.1	A new view of the Universe	3		
	1.2	The Standard Cosmological Model	4		
		1.2.1 The galaxy-halo connection	5		
		<b>1.2.2</b> The era of precision cosmology and arising tensions .	8		
	1.3	Weak Gravitational Lensing	10		
		1.3.1 Fundamentals of Gravitational Lensing 1	10		
		<b>1.3.2</b> Cosmic shear	14		
	1.4	Intrinsic Alignment	٤8		
	1.5	Data	21		
	1.6	This Thesis	22		
2	The	halo model as a versatile tool to predict intrinsic align-			
_	mei	its 2	25		
	2.1	Introduction	26		
		IICE simulation			
	2.2	MICE simulation	29		
	2.2	MICE simulation	29 31		
	2.2 2.3	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocksGalaxy mocks3The impact of satellites at large scales3	29 31 33		
	2.2 2.3	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks3The impact of satellites at large scales32.3.1The linear alignment model3	29 31 33 33		
	2.2 2.3	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks2The impact of satellites at large scales22.3.1The linear alignment model32.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies	29 31 33 34		
	2.2 2.3	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks3The impact of satellites at large scales32.3.1The linear alignment model32.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture3	29 31 33 34 35		
	2.2 2.3	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks2The impact of satellites at large scales22.3.1The linear alignment model22.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture22.3.3A weighted linear alignment model2	29 31 33 34 35 36		
	2.2	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks3The impact of satellites at large scales32.3.1The linear alignment model32.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture32.3.3A weighted linear alignment model32.3.4Luminosity dependence of the IA signal3	29 31 33 34 35 36 39		
	2.2	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks2The impact of satellites at large scales22.3.1The linear alignment model22.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture22.3.3A weighted linear alignment model22.3.4Luminosity dependence of the IA signal22.3.5Colour dependence2	29 31 33 34 35 36 39 45		
	<ol> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.4</li> </ol>	MICE simulation       2         2.2.1       Galaxy mocks       2         The impact of satellites at large scales       2         2.3.1       The linear alignment model       2         2.3.2       From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture       2         2.3.3       A weighted linear alignment model       2         2.3.4       Luminosity dependence of the IA signal       2         2.3.5       Colour dependence       2         The impact of satellites at small scales       2	29 31 33 34 35 36 39 45 45		
	<ol> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.4</li> </ol>	MICE simulation22.2.1Galaxy mocks2The impact of satellites at large scales22.3.1The linear alignment model22.3.2From observations to models: how satellite galaxies complicate the picture22.3.3A weighted linear alignment model22.3.4Luminosity dependence of the IA signal22.3.5Colour dependence22.4.1The halo model formalism for satellite alignment2	29 31 33 34 35 36 39 45 45 45		

		2.4.3 Luminosity dependence of the satellite galaxy align-				
		ment				
	2.5 Halo model setup					
	2.6	Results				
		2.6.1 Impact on lensing				
		2.6.2 The impact of the modelling choice on the cosmolog-				
		ical parameter estimate 60				
	2.7	Conclusions				
	A1	Satellite galaxy fractions in MICE 70				
	A2	Halo exclusion and intermediate scales				
	A3	The angular part of the satellite alignment density run 72				
	A4	Intrinsic alignment dependence on photometric redshift dis-				
		tributions				
-	TZ:D					
3	KID mir	S-1000: Constraints on the intrinsic alignment of lu-				
		Introduction 79				
	3.1					
	3.2	$\mathbf{NIDS} \dots \dots$				
		3.2.1 The LKG sample				
	0.0	3.2.2 Satellite galaxy fraction estimation				
	3.3	Shape measurements				
		3.3.1 DEIMOS $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$				
		$3.3.2  \text{lensin}  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  $				
	0.4	3.3.3 Intage simulations				
	3.4	Correlation function measurements       93         Modelling       96				
	3.5	Modelling				
		3.5.1 Incorporating the photometric redshift uncertainty				
		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Into the model} & \dots & $				
		3.5.2 Containination to the signal				
	0.6	3.5.3 Likelihoods				
	3.0	2.6.1 Luminosity dopondonco				
		3.0.1 Lummosity dependence				
	07	Conclusions				
	3·/	m-bias calibration 116				
	AI	$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{III-DIAS Calibration} & \dots & $				
		$A1.0  lonefit \qquad 110$				
	٨٥	A1.2 WISHL				
	A2	Contamination from galaxy galaxy langing				
	АЗ	Contamination from galaxy-galaxy lensing				

	A4	Contamination from magnification						
	A5	Systematic tests and significance of the detection						
	A6	IA dependence on the shape measurement						
		method						
	A7	Mock catalogues						
4	S-1000: weak lensing and intrinsic alignment around							
•	lum	ninous red galaxies 129						
	4.1	Introduction						
	4.2	Data						
	-	4.2.1 The lens sample						
		4.2.2 The source sample						
	4.3	Measuring the signal						
		4.3.1 Contamination from physically associated galaxies:						
		boost factor and IA						
		4.3.2 Random subtraction						
		4.3.3 Estimator for the IA signal						
	4.4	Modelling the signal with the halo model						
		4.4.1 The halo model						
		4.4.2 The galaxy-matter power spectrum						
		4.4.3 The halo occupation distribution						
	4.5	Fitting procedure						
	4.6	Constraints on the lens sample properties						
		4.6.1 Luminosity-halo mass relation						
		4.6.2 IA dependence on halo mass						
	4.7	Constraints on the IA of the source sample 150						
	4.8	Conclusions						
	How well does the model predict the luminosity distribution							
		of the galaxies?						
	A2	Fraction of physically associated galaxies 155						
5	For	ecasting the potential of weak lensing magnification to						
	enh	ance LSST large-scale structure analyses 157						
	5.1	Introduction						
	5.2	Fisher Analysis						
	5.3	Weak Lensing Observables						
		5.3.1 2D power spectra						
		5.3.2 3D power spectra 164						
	5.4	Galaxy Luminosity Function						
	5.5	Survey Modelling						

	5.5.1	Redshift distributions	69			
	5.5.2	Faint end luminosity slopes	70			
	5.5.3	Systematics	73			
	5.5.4	Covariances	76			
	5.5.5	Fiducial values	78			
5.6	Result	${f s}$	78			
	5.6.1	Clustering	78			
	5.6.2	Shear calibration	83			
	5.6.3	Bias	83			
5.7	Conclu	1sions	85			
A1	A1 Volume Complete Cut for Galaxy Luminosity Function Co-					
	varian	$ce \ldots \ldots$	89			
A2	Fisher	Matrix Stability	91			
Diblio	manh		~			
DIDIIO	grapny	19	<del>j</del> 0			
Nederlandse Samenvatting 20						
Summary						
List of Publications						
Curriculum Vitae						
Acknow	ements 23	21				