

Systemic accountability of the European Border and Coast Guard: the legal responsibility of Frontex for human rights violations

Gkliati, M.

Citation

Gkliati, M. (2021, November 11). Systemic accountability of the European Border and Coast Guard: the legal responsibility of Frontex for human rights violations. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3240559

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion of

License: doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of

the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3240559

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Systemic Accountability of the European Border and Coast Guard

The legal responsibility of Frontex for human rights violations

Systemic Accountability of the European Border and Coast Guard

The legal responsibility of Frontex for human rights violations

PROEFSCHRIFT

Ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 11 november 2021 klokke 10.00 uur

door

Mariana Gkliati

Promotoren: Prof. dr. mr. P.R. Rodrigues

Prof. dr. L.F.M. Besselink (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. N.M. Blokker

Dr. V. Moreno-Lax (Queen Mary, University of London,

UK)

Prof. dr. S. Carrera (the European University Institute,

Florence, Italy)

Prof. dr. R.A. Lawson Prof. dr. M.L.P. Loenen

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven Printwerk: Ridderprint, Alblasserdam

© 2021 M. Gkliati

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.



Acknowledgments – The myth of the lone genius

"I felt that I had to do everything on my own, because asking for help was a sign that I was not intelligent enough. I now see how destructive this attitude was." Martin Chalfie, 2008 Nobel Prize in Chemistry

The myth of the lone genius perpetuates the idea that our creative accomplishments are exclusively our own. Our achievements as scientists, academics, writers tend to be seen as solo pursuits, lavished by hiding the contributions of others. My experience in this doctoral journey has deconstructed for me this hyper-individualistic conception of creativity. Collaborating was key and a significant number of people have greatly accounted for this product.

I wish to acknowledge their contributions, but unfortunately, I can only mention some of them in this limited space. Such mention could not possibly fail to start from my promotor, Prof.dr.mr. P.R. Rodrigues. I am grateful for the opportunity to develop my proposal and work with him on my PhD at one of the most important universities in Europe. He has been a supervisor to count on and an extremely valued colleague.

My experience in the Netherlands has started with Dhr. prof. dr. L.F.M. Besselink at Utrecht University (then) who supported me through my Masters, mentored me, offered me countless opportunities, supervised my MA thesis, and agreed to accompany me as co-promotor in my PhD, this time from the UvA. I would enter his office in panic and leave with a solution. This journey would have not been possible without him.

I am also grateful to the Meijers Research Institute, which has chosen and financially supported my PhD project. Moreover, I would like to acknowledge Gerrie Lodder and Mark Klaassen, my colleagues at the Institute of Immigration Law, Damiaan van Eeten, Licette Claproth and all other library and administrative staff of Leiden University, who have tirelessly supported my research, teaching and other activities throughout the years. As I am starting the new chapter at Radboud University, I cannot but look back with gratitude and respect.

The Refugee Law Initiative (RLI), University of London, has been my research's second home. I have profited immensely from the opportunities, support, hospitality, mentoring, and feedback I have received from David Cantor, Sarah Singer, Violeta Moreno-Lax, and the RLI network.

Moreover, I need to acknowledge the Netherlands Network for Human Rights Research that has supported me in my starting years and expanded my horizons. Annick Pijnenburg and my fellow members at the Migration & Borders Working Group deserve special mention for the fruitful collaboration and enriching discussions.

VIII Acknowledgments

I should also express my gratitude to the members of my Reading Committee that enhanced the quality of my work with their comments, along with all those colleagues that generously give their time, dedication, and ideas to each other. Academia may be a particularly competitive field – not always unsullied – but I feel the need to extend a warm thanks to all those keeping the academic culture of generosity alive. I should also extend a special thanks for the unseen work of mostly women academics and administrators keeping our institutions alive.

The PhD does not have to be a lonely process. Mine was not. Therefore, I would like to give a special thanks to colleagues from civil society, policy-makers, journalists, and lawyers who have kept me on my toes with their ever-burning questions. I am indebted to Statewatch's Tony Bunyan, Chris Jones and Jane Kilpatrick, for their hospitality, support and invaluable contribution to my work with material and insights.

A warm thanks also to all my students at Leiden, the RLI, and the Roma Tre Law Clinic for all the energy and inspiration they gave me. When I was tired, discouraged, when I was doubting myself, I could always draw from the waves of their energy.

I am also grateful to Dimitris, my informal promotor for his career guidance and emotional support throughout my PhD. The contribution of my childhood friends, Despoina and Vasso, even though distant, has been invaluable. When everything around you is always changing it's important to have a constant in your life.

My dearest thanks to Antonia, Eduardo, Hariwa, Nacho, Sophia, Jeremy, Caspar, Jilles, Nikoletta, Merel, Sophia, Loes, Bas, Alex, Ying, and all my friends from KSU and ReInform for the interactions, triggers, debates, criticisms, support, and inspiration I draw from their example. Eternal gratitude I owe to Chrats, Kleoniki, Aman Molli and all my musical friends in Greece and in the Netherlands for keeping me sane and on track with the rest of my life.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my parents for their constant support, patience and self-sacrifice, especially sacrificing all these years without me and not once making me look back, for they know we would have all turned into stone.

Table of Contents

ACKNO	WLED	GMENTS	– THE MYTH OF THE LONE G	SENIUS VII
List of	Авви	REVIATI	NS	XIII
1 INT 1 2 3 4 5 6	Back Rese Scop Acad 4.1 Socie	earch ai be and demic I Conte etal relahodolo Huma		12 13 14
Part I	Емі	PIRICAL	The Development and H	uman Rights Sensitivities 17
2 FR0 1 2	Intro Fron	oductio ntex and	the European Border and ablishment andate Original mandate Frontex Regulation 2007 and Regulation on Frontex immonetworks Frontex Regulation 2011 and EUROSUR Regulation (20) Sea Operations Regulation (20) European Border and Coast 2.2.7.1 Returns 2.2.7.2 Monitoring and su 2.2.7.3 Intelligence Activity 2.2.7.4 Cooperation with The Hotspots 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate European Border and Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate Complate European Border and Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate Complate Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Complate Coast 2.2.7.5 Individual Coast 2.2.7.7.5 Individual Coast 2.2	19 20 24 25 25 26 27 28 27 28 28 28 29 29 29 29 20 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

X Table of Contents

		2.2.8.2 Returns	43
		2.2.8.3 In the centre of extensive data processing	44
		2.2.8.4 Cooperation with Third Countries	44
		2.3 Operational capacity	45
		2.4 Joint operations in a nutshell	50
		2.5 The European Border and Coast Guard: What it is	
		and what it's not	51
	3	Conclusions	52
3	Hu	uman Rights Sensitivities and the Need for Protection	
	FOI	r the Individual	53
	1	introduction	53
	2	Joint border surveillance operations	54
		2.1 Sea borders	54
		2.2 Land borders	63
		2.3 Air borders	64
		2.4 Frontex as a humanitarian agent	64
		2.5 The apprehended migrants in a member state	66
	3	Return operations	67
	4	Intelligence activities	70
	5	Cooperation with Third States	72
	6	Risk analysis	76
	7	Conclusions	77
Pai	rt II	Conceptual:From Many Hands to Systemic Accountability	79
4		EORETICAL FRAMEWORK (I): RESPONSIBILITY	81
	1	Introduction	81
	2	The conceptual understanding of responsibility	81
		2.1 The different readings of responsibility	82
		2.2 Liability	88
	3	The problem of many hands	89
	4	The responsibility of Frontex	90
		4.1 Fundamental rights obligations	90
		4.2 The irresponsibility of the agency or the <i>problematique</i>	
		of blame-shifting	93
		4.3 Fundamental rights find their place in Frontex work	
		but a dangerous mindset remains	95
		4.4 The Preliminary Question of the Responsibility of Frontex	96
	5	Nexus and the problem of many hands	101
	6	Conclusion	105
5	Тн	eoretical Framework (II): Accountability	107
	1	Introduction	107
	2	Accountability and its different readings	107

Table of Contents XI

		2.1	Accountability as answerability: the importance of	
			the rule of law	108
		2.2	A supranationalist starting point on accountability	110
		2.3	Types of accountability as a social mechanism for	
			answerability	112
		2.4	The relationship between accountability,	
			responsibility and liability	114
	3	The	answerability of Frontex before legal and non-legal fora	115
		3.1	Non-legal accountability	115
		3.2	Democratic or political accountability	116
		3.3	Social accountability	118
			3.3.1 The Frontex Consultative Forum	120
		3.4	Professional accountability	122
			Administrative accountability	123
			3.5.1 Financial oversight	123
			3.5.2 External monitoring	123
			3.5.2 External monitoring 3.5.3 The Fundamental Rights Officer 3.5.4 The Fundamental Rights Strategy	124
			3.5.4 The Fundamental Rights Strategy	126
			3.5.5 The individual complaints mechanism	127
		3.6	Interim Conclusion	131
		3.7	Legal accountability: From individualist to systemic	
			accountability	133
		3.8	The traditional approach to accountability and its	
			limitations	134
		3.9	A Cue from Rawls' Theory of Justice and Court Practice	135
		3.10	Systemic Accountability as Limitation of Coercive Power	139
		3.11	The identifying features of systemic accountability	
			and its practical implementations	141
	4	Con	clusion	144
Pa	rt II	I No	rmative:Pluralism in Human Rights Protection	147
6	Αl	Vorm	ative Framework on Responsibility	149
	1	Intro	oduction	149
	2	And	ormative framework found in the interaction of	
		inte	rnational and EU law	149
		2.1	Responsibility of Frontex or the Union?	151
		2.2	Frontex as a matter of international law: a pluralist	
			interpretation of EU law	157
		2.3	Applying non-binding law?	161
	3	The	ARIO principles and the angles of attribution	163
		3.1	The internationally wrongful act	164
		3.2	Attribution of conduct	165
		3.3	Agents of an international organization	166
		3.4	Exercise of effective control	167

XII Table of Contents

			3.4.1	Normative power	169
			3.4.2	Decision-making power (operational command and	
				control)	169
			3.4.3	De facto power	170
			3.4.4	Disciplinary power	170
			3.4.5		170
			3.4.6	Degree of effective control	171
		3.5	Dual a	attribution of conduct	171
		3.6	Rules	of indirect attribution of responsibility	174
		3.7	Aid a	nd Assistance	175
		3.8	Direct	tion and Control	177
	4	Con	clusior	ns	178
7	Ar	PLICA	TION O	f the legal framework to the EBCG	181
	1	Intr	oductic	on	181
	2	Dire	ect resp	onsibility	181
		2.1	Are th	ne agency's new statutory staff de jure agents	
			of Fro	ontex?	182
		2.2		on-staff members of the standing corpse	
				itute de facto organs of Frontex?	183
		2.3		Frontex have effective control over the conduct	
				seconded officers?	184
		2.4		attribution of responsibility in EBCG operations	187
	3	Indi		sponsibility	188
		3.1		ntex responsible due to aid and assistance?	188
		3.2		ntex responsible through direction and control?	189
	4			de facto competence	190
	5			m of many hands in EBCG operations	192
	6	The 6.1		theory and the responsibility of multiple actors Responsibility in general EU law and the EBCG	193
		0.1	Regul		193
		6.2	_	Meaning and Practical Implications of Joint	1,0
				nsibility	195
		6.3		exus in the rules of attribution of responsibility	198
		6.4		of Invocation of Responsibility	199
		6.5		dvantages of the Nexus theory in EBCG operations	203
		6.6		mitation of the Nexus theory and a systemic	
				ntability solution	204
	7	Con	clusior		205
D.	T	\$7 A =		Development and Lype (A	200
ľA	RT I	v API	PLIED:L	egal Remedies and Litigation Avenues	209
8	LE	gal A	CCOUN'	tability in Practice: CJEU	211
	1		oductic		211
	2	Leg	al accoı	untability in the EBCG regulation	212

Table of Contents	XII

3		al remedies and the jurisdiction of the CJEU	214
4		iminary reference procedure	214
5		nplaints by priviledged applicants	216
6		ion for annulment and failure to act	217
	6.1	Individual access hindrances in an action for annulment	218
		6.1.1 Public interest litigation	222
	6.2	J I	223
	6.3	0 1	225
		6.3.1 The reviewability of the decision on individual	225
		complaints mechanism	225
		6.3.2 Reviewability of the risk analysis	227
_		6.3.3 Reviewability of the refusal to access documents	229
7		ion for damages	230
	7.1	Admissibility	231
		Merits	234
	7.3	0	234
	7.4	0	236
		Causal link	237
	7.6		240
		operations	240
	7.7	1	241
	7.8	0 1	244
	7.9	Positive obligations – breach by omission or failure	245
0	۸ ،	to act	245
8		ion for damages for joint liability	247
	8.1	,	247
	8.2	, I	249
	8.3	EU joint liability against the background of the	250
	0.4	nexus and systemic accountability	252
	8.4	A new route for joint liability through the principle	250
	0.5	of subsidiarity	256
0	8.5	Action for damages summarised	258
9	Con	clusions	259
Ιπ	¬лт Л	ACCOUNTABILITY IN PRACTICE: ECTHR AND DOMESTIC COURTS	263
1		oduction	263
2		opean Court of Human Rights	264
_	2.1	Present status of the EU before the ECtHR	264
	2.2		201
	2.2	promise	265
	2.3	•	266
	2.4	ĕ	200
	4.1	accession	268
	2.5	The future of the Bosphorus presumption	272
3		nestic courts	275
0	DOI.	icone courto	2 / 0

XIV Table of Contents

		3.1 Domestic courts complementing the EU system of	
		legal remedies	275
		3.2 In search of systemic accountability: the national	
		judge ruling on damages	276
		3.3 Ruling on the liability of members of teams	278
		3.4 The relevance of national courts in the ECHR legal	
		system	280
	4	Conclusion	281
10	GE	eneral Conclusions	283
	1	Forming a hypothesis on responsibility and accountability	y 283
	2	The fundamental rights obligations of the agency	284
	3	Responsibility in EBCG operations: a matter of interaction	n
		between EU and international law	285
	4	The responsibility of Frontex	286
		4.1 Direct responsibility	286
		4.2 Indirect responsibility	287
	5	Legal accountability difficult but not impossible	288
	6	Summing up the remedies before the CJEU	290
	7	Nexus and systemic accountability	292
		7.1 The Nexus theory	293
		7.2 Joint responsibility	294
		7.3 Systemic accountability	295
		7.4 The solution on joint liability through the principle	
		of subsidiarity	296
		7.5 Living up to the standards of responsibility and	
		accountability	298
	8	The future of joint liability and systemic accountability	
		before the ECtHR	299
	9	Recommendations: the way forward	300
Sui	MMA	ARY	303
Sai	MEN	nvatting (Dutch Summary)	305
LIT	ERA	ATURE	309
Cu	RRI	culum Vitae	359

List of Abbreviations

ACP States of Africa, the Caribbean and the Pacific AFIC Africa-Frontex Intelligence Community

ARIO Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations

ARS Articles on the Responsibility of States

CAT Convention against torture and other cruel, inhuman or

degrading treatment or punishment

CFI Court of First Instance

CFR Charter of Fundamental Right of the European Union

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union

CoE Council of Europe

CPMP Committee from Proprietary Medicinal Products

CPT Committee for the Prevention of Torture

CRATE Centralised Record of Available Technical Equipment

EASA European Union Aviation Safety Agency
EASO European Asylum Support Office
EBCG European Border and Coast Guard

EBCGA European Border and Coast Guard Agency

EC European Commission

ECDC European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control

ECRE European Council on Refugees and Exiles

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights
EDPS European Data Protection Supervisor
EEC European Economic Community

EGC General Court

EMA European Medicines Agency

EP European Parliament

ETIAS European Travel Information and Authorisation System

EU European Union

EUROPOL European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation

EUROSUR European Border Surveillance System

FRA European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights

FRO Frontex Fundamental Rights Officer FRS Fundamental Rights Strategy FRY Former Republic of Yugoslavia

GUE/NGL European United Left-Nordic Green Left

HRW Human Rights Watch

IBM EU's Integrated Border Management

ICC International Criminal Court ICJ International Court of Justice

IICPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

XVI List of Abbreviations

ILC International Law Commission ILOs Immigration Liaison Officers

IOM International Organization for Migration

JHA Justice and Home Affairs Council

LIBE European Parliament Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice

and Home Affairs

NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization NGO Non-governmental Organization NHRIs National Human Rights Institutions

OSCE Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
PACE Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
PCU External Borders Practitioners' Common Unit

RABIT Rapid border intervention teams SADC African Development Community

SBC Schengen Borders Code

SCIFA Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum

SIS Schengen Information System

TEC Treaty establishing the European Community

TEU Treaty on European Union

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

UK United Kingdom

UMOSOM United Nations Operation in Somalia

UN United Nation

UNHCR United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

VIS Visa Information System