



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Spatial populations with seed-bank

Oomen, M.

### Citation

Oomen, M. (2021, November 18). *Spatial populations with seed-bank*.  
Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3240221>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3240221>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# APPENDIX B

## Appendix Part II

### §B.1 Computation of scaling coefficients

In Appendices B.1.1–B.1.2 we spell out a technical computation for the tail of the wake-up time defined in (4.40)–(4.41) in the two parameter regimes given by (4.52)–(4.53). In Appendix B.1.3 we carry out a computation that is needed in Section 5.1.

#### §B.1.1 Regularly varying coefficients

In (4.40), note that for large  $t$  in the sum over  $m$  only small values of  $e_m/N^m$  contribute, which means large  $m$ . Hence, by the Euler-MacLaurin approximation formula, we have

$$P(\tau > t) = \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} K_m \frac{e_m}{N^m} e^{-(e_m/N^m)t} \sim \frac{1}{\chi} \int_c^\infty dm K_m \frac{e_m}{N^m} e^{-(e_m/N^m)t}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where  $c$  is a constant that identifies from which value of  $m$  onward terms contribute significantly. Make the change of variable  $s = \frac{e_m}{N^m}$ . Since  $e_m \sim Bm^{-\beta}$  and  $K_m \sim Am^{-\alpha}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$s \sim Bm^{-\beta} N^{-m} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log s &\sim \log B - \beta \log m - m \log N, \\ \log \frac{1}{s} &= m \log N \left( -\frac{B}{m \log N} + \frac{\beta \log m}{m \log N} + 1 \right) = [1 + o(1)] m \log N, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which gives

$$m = [1 + o(1)] \frac{\log(\frac{1}{s})}{\log N}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{s} \frac{ds}{dm} = -\log N - \frac{\beta}{m} = -[1 + o(1)] \log N, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which implies

$$\frac{ds}{dm} = -[1 + o(1)] s \log N, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

so that  $s(m)$  is asymptotically decreasing in  $m$ , and

$$\frac{dm}{ds} = -[1 + o(1)] (s \log N)^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Note that if  $c \leq m < \infty$ , then asymptotically  $0 < m^{-\beta} N^{-m} < c^{-\beta} N^{-c} = C_2$ . Doing the substitution, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau > t) &\sim \frac{1}{\chi} \int_0^{C_2} ds K_m s (s \log N)^{-1} e^{-st} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\chi} \int_0^{C_2} ds A m^{-\alpha} (\log N)^{-1} e^{-st} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\chi} \int_0^{C_2} ds A \left( \frac{\log(\frac{1}{s})}{\log N} \right)^{-\alpha} (\log N)^{-1} e^{-st} \\ &\sim \frac{A}{\chi} \left( \frac{1}{\log N} \right)^{-\alpha+1} \int_0^{C_2} ds \log\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-st}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Next, put  $st = u$ , so  $s = \frac{u}{t}$  and  $\frac{ds}{du} = \frac{1}{t}$  and  $0 < u < tC_2$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t) \sim \frac{A}{\chi} \left( \frac{1}{\log N} \right)^{-\alpha+1} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u}. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

We will show that

$$\frac{A}{\chi} \left( \frac{1}{\log N} \right)^{-\alpha+1} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} \asymp \frac{A}{\chi} \left( \frac{1}{\log N} \right)^{-\alpha+1} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{C_2 t} du \log t^{-\alpha} e^{-u}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

For  $\alpha = 0$  this claim is immediate. For  $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$ , note that  $\log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha}$  is a decreasing function on  $(0, C_2 t)$ . Therefore we can reason as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} \\ &= \int_0^1 du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} + \int_1^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} + \int_1^{C_2 t} du \log t^{-\alpha} e^{-u} \\ &\leq 2^{-\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \log\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} + 2^{-\alpha} \int_{\frac{1}{t}}^1 du \log t^{-\alpha} + \log t^{-\alpha} [1 - e^{-1}] \\ &\leq 2^{-\alpha} \Gamma(-\alpha + 1) + 2^{-\alpha} \log t^{-\alpha} [1 - \frac{1}{t}] + \log t^{-\alpha} [1 - e^{-1}] \\ &= \log t^{-\alpha} \left[ 2^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha+1)}{\log t^{-\alpha}} + 2^{-\alpha} [1 - \frac{1}{t}] + [1 - e^{-1}] \right] \\ &\asymp \log t^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

For the lower bound, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} &\geq \log(t)^{-\alpha} \int_0^1 du e^{-u} + \log\left(\frac{1}{C_2}\right)^{-\alpha} \int_1^{C_2 t} du e^{-u} \\ &= \log t^{-\alpha} \left[ 1 - e^{-1} + \frac{\log(\frac{1}{C_2})^{-\alpha}}{\log t^{-\alpha}} \right] \asymp \log t^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

For  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , note that the function  $\log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha}$  is increasing in  $u$ . For the lower bound estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} &\geq \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} [1 - e^{-1}] + \log t^{-\alpha} [e^{-1} - e^{-C_2 t}] \\ &= \log t^{-\alpha} [0 + e^{-1} - e^{-C_2 t}] \asymp \log t^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

For the upper bound estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{C_2 t} du \log\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} e^{-u} \\ &\leq \log t^{-\alpha} [1 - e^{-1}] + \log\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{C_2 t}}\right)^{-\alpha} \int_1^{\sqrt{C_2 t}} du e^{-u} + \log\left(\frac{1}{C_2}\right)^{-\alpha} \int_{\sqrt{C_2 t}}^{C_2 t} du e^{-u} \\ &= \log t^{-\alpha} [1 - e^{-1}] + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \log\left(\frac{t}{C_2}\right)^{-\alpha} [e^{-1} - e^{-\sqrt{C_2 t}}] \\ &\quad + \log\left(\frac{1}{C_2}\right)^{-\alpha} [e^{-\sqrt{C_2 t}} - e^{-C_2 t}] \\ &= \log t^{-\alpha} \left[ 1 - e^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{\log t - \log C_2}{\log t}\right)^{-\alpha} [e^{-1} - e^{-\sqrt{C_2 t}}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log\left(\frac{1}{C_2}\right)^{-\alpha} \frac{[e^{-\sqrt{C_2 t}} - e^{-C_2 t}]}{\log t^{-\alpha}} \right] \asymp \log t^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

## §B.1.2 Pure exponential coefficients

In order to satisfy condition in (4.12), we must assume that  $Ke < N$ . Since  $K \geq 1$  for  $\rho = \infty$ , we also have  $e < N$ . We again use that for large  $t$  only large  $m$  contribute to the sum. Hence, again by the Euler-MacLaurin approximation formula, we have

$$P(\tau > t) = \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} K_m \frac{e_m}{N^m} e^{-(e_m/N^m)t} \sim \int_M^\infty dm K_m \frac{e_m}{N^m} e^{-(e_m/N^m)t}. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Again we put  $s = \frac{e^m}{N^m}$ . Hence

$$\log s = m \log\left(\frac{e}{N}\right), \quad m = \frac{\log s}{\log \frac{e}{N}}, \quad \frac{dm}{ds} = \frac{1}{s \log \frac{e}{N}}, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

and

$$K_m \sim K^m \sim e^{\log s \frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} \sim s^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Since  $s(m)$  is decreasing in  $m$ , putting  $C = \left(\frac{e}{N}\right)^M$  we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t) \sim \int_0^C ds K_m \frac{s}{s \log \frac{e}{N}} e^{-st} \sim \frac{1}{\log \frac{e}{N}} \int_0^C ds s^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-st}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Substitute  $u = st$ , i.e.,  $\frac{u}{t} = s$ , to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau > t) &\sim \frac{1}{\log \frac{e}{N}} t^{-1 - \frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} \int_0^{Ct} du u^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-u} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\log \frac{e}{N}} t^{-\frac{\log(\frac{e}{N}) - \log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} \int_0^{Ct} du u^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-u} \sim \frac{1}{\log \frac{e}{N}} t^{-\frac{\log(\frac{N}{Ke})}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} \int_0^{Ct} du u^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-u}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

The last integral converges because  $\frac{\log K}{\log(\frac{e}{N})} > -1$ , and

$$\int_0^{Ct} du u^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-u} \leq \int_0^\infty du u^{\frac{\log K}{\log \frac{e}{N}}} e^{-u} = \Gamma\left(\frac{\log K}{\log(\frac{e}{N})} + 1\right). \quad (\text{B.20})$$

### §B.1.3 Slowly varying functions

Return to Section 5.1. Note that  $t(s) = \varphi(s)^{-1}s^\gamma$ . Since this is the total time two lineages are active up to time  $s$ ,  $t(s)$  must be smaller than  $s$ . By (4.49), we have

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} = \exp\left[-\int_{t(s)}^s \frac{du}{u} \psi(u)\right]. \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Since we are interested in  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we may assume that  $s \gg 1$  and  $t(s) > 1$ , and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} &\leq \exp\left[\int_{t(s)}^s \frac{du}{u} \frac{C}{\log u}\right] = \exp[C(\log \log s - \log \log t(s))] \\ &= \exp\left[C \log\left(\frac{\log s}{\log(\varphi(s)^{-1}s^\gamma)}\right)\right] = \exp\left[-C \log\left(\frac{\gamma \log s - \log \varphi(s)}{\log s}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

A similar lower bound holds with the sign reversed. Using that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(s)}{\log s} = 0$ , we get

$$\gamma^C \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} \leq \gamma^{-C}. \quad (\text{B.23})$$

Both bounds above are positive, so indeed  $\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} \asymp 1$ .

## §B.2 Meyer-Zheng topology

### §B.2.1 Basic facts about the Meyer-Zheng topology

In the Meyer-Zheng topology we assign to each real-valued Borel measurable function  $(w(t))_{t \geq 0}$  a probability law on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$  that is called the pseudopath  $\psi_w$ . Note that the Borel- $\sigma$  algebra on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$  is generated by sets of the form  $[a, b] \times B$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $0 < a < b$ . For  $A = [a, b] \times B$ , set

$$\psi_w(A) = \int 1_A(t, w(t)) e^{-t} dt = \int_a^b 1_B(w(t)) e^{-t} dt, \quad (\text{B.24})$$

i.e.,  $\psi_w$  is the image measure of the mapping  $t \rightarrow (t, w(t))$  under the measure  $\lambda(dt) = e^{-t}dt$ . The set of all pseudopaths is denoted by  $\Psi$ . Note that a pseudopath corresponding to  $(w(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is simply its occupation measure. The following important facts are stated in [59]:

- If two paths  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are the same Lebesgue a.e., then  $\psi_{w_1} = \psi_{w_2}$ .
- Denote by  $\mathbf{D}$  the space of càdlàg paths on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$ . The mapping  $\psi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \Psi, w \mapsto \psi_w$  is one-to-one on  $\mathbf{D}$  and hence gives an embedding of  $\mathbf{D}$  into the compact space  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ , the space of probability measures on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- Note if  $f$  is a function on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $w \in \mathbf{D}$ , then

$$\psi_w(f) = \int_0^\infty f(t, w(t)) e^{-t} dt. \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Therefore we say that the sequence of pseudopaths induced by  $(w_n) \subset \mathbf{D}$  converges to a pseudopath  $w$  if, for all continuous bounded function  $f(t, w(t))$  on  $[0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f(t, w_n(t)) e^{-t} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t, w(t)) e^{-t} dt. \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Since a pseudopath is a measure, convergence of pseudopaths is convergence of measures.

- $\mathbf{D}$  endowed with the pseudopath topology is *not* a Polish space.  $\Psi$  endowed with the pseudopath topology is a Polish space.
- According to [59][Lemma 1], the pseudopath topology on  $\Psi$  is convergence in Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{D}$ .

## §B.2.2 Pseudopaths of stochastic processes on a general metric separable space

In [53] the results of [59] on state space  $\mathbb{R}$  are generalised to a general metric separable space  $E$ . Let  $(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a stochastic process with state space  $E$ . Then we assign a random pseudopath to  $(Z(t))$  as follows: for  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $A = [a, b] \times B$ ,  $0 \leq a < b$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ ,

$$\psi_{(Z(t, \omega))_{t \geq 0}}(A) = \int_a^b 1_B(Z(t, \omega)) e^{-t} dt. \quad (\text{B.27})$$

Hence  $\psi_{(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}}$  is a random variable with state space  $\Psi$ , i.e.,  $\psi_{(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}} \in \mathcal{M}(\Psi)$ , the set of probability measures on pseudopaths. Note that

$$\mathbb{E} [\psi_{(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}} f] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty f(t, Z(t, \omega)) e^{-t} dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty f(t, Z(t)) e^{-t} dt \right]. \quad (\text{B.28})$$

**Weak convergence in the Meyer-Zheng topology.** Let  $(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be stochastic processes with state-space  $E$ . We say that

$$\mathcal{L}[(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}] = \mathcal{L}[(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}] \text{ in the Meyer-Zheng topology} \quad (\text{B.29})$$

if, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}})] = \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}})]. \quad (\text{B.30})$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_m([0, \infty) \times E) \subset \mathcal{C}_b([0, \infty) \times E)$  be the set of functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_m([0, \infty) \times E) = \left\{ F \in \mathcal{C}_b([0, \infty) \times E) : F(t, x(t)) = \prod_{i=1}^m \int_0^{T_i} f_i(t, x(t)) dt, \right. \\ \left. m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq m, f_i \in \mathcal{C}_b([0, \infty) \times E), T_i > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.31})$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_m$  is an algebra. Let  $M_E[0, \infty)$  be the space of measurable processes from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $\mathbb{E}$ , so  $\mathbf{D} \subset M_E[0, \infty)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{C}_m$  separates points in  $M_E[0, \infty)$ . By [53][Proposition 4.5], the set  $\mathcal{C}_m$  is separating in the set of measures on  $M_E[0, \infty)$ . This means that if two stochastic processes  $(Z_1(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(Z_2(t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[F(Z_1)] = \mathbb{E}[F(Z_2)] \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{C}_m, \quad (\text{B.32})$$

then  $\mathcal{L}[Z_1] = \mathcal{L}[Z_2]$ .

Define

$$F(\psi) = \int d\psi \prod_{i=1}^m \int_0^{T_i} f_i(t, x(t)) dt. \quad (\text{B.33})$$

Recall that a pseudopath  $\psi$  is associated with a path  $w \in M_E[0, \infty)$ . Hence this becomes

$$F(\psi_w) = \prod_{i=1}^m \int_0^{T_i} f_i(t, w(t)) dt. \quad (\text{B.34})$$

Since each pseudopath  $\psi \in \Psi$  is associated with a path in  $M_E[0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_m$  also separates points on  $\Psi$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}_m$  separates measures on  $\Psi$ . This implies that if

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\psi_{Z_1})] = \mathbb{E}[F(\psi_{Z_2})] \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{C}_m, \quad (\text{B.35})$$

then  $\mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z_1}] = \mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z_2}]$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{L}[Z_1] = \mathcal{L}[Z_2]$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z_1}] = \mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z_2}]$ .

The Meyer-Zheng topology is a weaker than the Skohorod topology.

**Lemma B.2.1.** *Let  $(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$   $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be stochastic processes with Polish state-space  $E$ . If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}] = \mathcal{L}[(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}] \text{ in the Skohorod topology,} \quad (\text{B.36})$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[(Z_n(t))_{t \geq 0}] = \mathcal{L}[(Z(t))_{t \geq 0}] \text{ in the Meyer-Zheng topology.} \quad (\text{B.37})$$

*Proof.* Since we do not know whether  $\Psi$  is compact, the set  $\mathcal{C}_m$  does not have to be convergence determining. Therefore, via Skorohod's theorem we construct the process  $\tilde{Z}^n$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  on one probability space, such that  $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{Z}^n] = \mathcal{L}[Z^n]$  and  $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{Z}] = \mathcal{L}[Z]$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{Z}^n = \tilde{Z} \quad a.s. \quad (\text{B.38})$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\tilde{Z}^n} = \psi_{\tilde{Z}} \quad a.s. \quad (\text{B.39})$$

Consequently, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{\tilde{Z}^n})] = \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{\tilde{Z}})]. \quad (\text{B.40})$$

Note that, since  $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{Z}^n] = \mathcal{L}[Z^n]$  and  $\mathcal{L}[\tilde{Z}] = \mathcal{L}[Z]$ , we can use (B.32) and (B.35) to see that the latter implies  $\mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z^n}] = \mathcal{L}[\psi_{\tilde{Z}^n}]$  and  $\mathcal{L}[\psi_Z] = \mathcal{L}[\psi_{\tilde{Z}}]$ . Hence (B.40) indeed implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[\psi_{Z^n}] = \mathcal{L}[\psi_Z]. \quad (\text{B.41})$$

□

**Convergence in probability in the Meyer-Zheng topology.** Let  $(S, d)$  be a metric space,  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  denote the Borel- $\sigma$  algebra on  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  the set of probability measures on  $\mathcal{B}(S)$ . Recall (see e.g. [32, Chapter 3]) that the Prohorov metric  $d_P$  on the space  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is given by

$$d_P(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0: \mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{Q}(A^\epsilon) + \epsilon \, \forall A \in \mathcal{C} \}, \quad (\text{B.42})$$

where  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}(S)$  is the set of all closed sets in  $S$  and  $A^\epsilon = \{x \in S: \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ .

Recall the following theorem (see e.g. [32, Theorem 3.1.2])

**Theorem B.2.2.** *Let  $(S, d)$  be separable and let  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Define  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  to be the set of all  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(S \times S)$  with marginals  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $\mu(A \times S) = \mathbb{P}(A)$  and  $\mu(S \times A) = \mathbb{Q}(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ . Then*

$$d_P(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \inf \{ \epsilon > 0: \mu(\{(x, y): d(x, y) \geq \epsilon\}) \leq \epsilon \}. \quad (\text{B.43})$$

Moreover, [32, Theorem 3.3.1] states that convergence of measures in the Prohorov distance,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_P(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}) = 0$ , is the same as weak convergence  $\mathbb{P}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ . Hence, since convergence of pseudopaths is weak convergence, we can endow the space of pseudopaths  $\Psi$  with the metric  $d_P$ .

Let  $(\Psi, d_P)$  be the pseudopath space metrized by the Prohorov distance. Let  $(Z^n(t))_{t>0}, (Z(t))_{t>0}$  be stochastic processes on the state space  $E$ , where  $E$  is endowed with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Note that convergence in probability in the Meyer-Zheng topology means that

$$\forall \delta > 0: \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[d_P(\psi_{Z^n}, \psi_Z) > \delta] = 0. \quad (\text{B.44})$$



**Tightness.** Define the *conditional variation* for an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued process  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with natural filtration  $(\mathcal{F}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  as follows. For a subdivision  $\tau: 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = \infty$ , set

$$V_\tau(U) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{E} \left[ |\mathbb{E}[U(t_{i+1}) - U(t_i) \mid \mathcal{F}(t_i)]| \right] \quad (\text{B.45})$$

(with  $U(\infty) = 0$ ) and

$$V(U) = \sup_{\tau} V_\tau(U). \quad (\text{B.46})$$

If  $V(U) < \infty$ , then  $U$  is called a *quasi-martingale*. Note that we can always stop the process at some finite time and work with compact time intervals.

**Lemma B.2.3 (Tightness in the Meyer-Zheng topology).**

If  $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of probability laws on  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  such that under  $P_n$  the coordinate process  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a quasi-martingale with a conditional variation  $V_n(U)$  that is bounded uniformly in  $n$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(P_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that converges weakly in the Meyer-Zheng topology on  $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  to a probability law  $P$ , and  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a quasi-martingale under  $P$ .

(See [59, Theorem 7] for the identification of the limiting semi-martingale.)

## §B.2.3 Proof of key lemmas

• **Proof of Lemma 6.2.19.**

*Proof.* Fix  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [d_P(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z) > \delta] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z)} \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : \mu(\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \geq \epsilon\}) \leq \epsilon \} > \delta \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z), \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : \mu(\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \geq \epsilon\}) \leq \epsilon \} > \delta] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z), \mu(\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \geq \delta\}) > \delta]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.47})$$

Let  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}([0, \infty] \times E)^2$  be the measure defined by

$$\mu_n(A) = \int_0^\infty 1_A((t, Z_n(t)), (t, Z(t))) e^{-t} dt, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty] \times E)^2, \quad (\text{B.48})$$

such that, for  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty] \times E)$ ,

$$\mu_n(B \times S) = \int_0^\infty 1_B(t, Z_n(t)) 1_S((t, Z(t))) e^{-t} dt = \psi_{Z_n}(B), \quad (\text{B.49})$$

and similarly  $\mu_n(S \times B) = \psi_Z(B)$ . Hence  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we

obtain from (B.47) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [d_P(\psi_{Z_n}, \psi_Z) > \delta] \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [\mu_n(\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \geq \delta\}) > \delta] \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \int_0^\infty 1_{\{(x, y) : d(x, y) \geq \delta\}} ((t, Z_n(t)), (t, Z(t))) e^{-t} dt > \delta \right] \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d(Z_n(t), Z(t)) \geq \delta\}} e^{-t} dt > \delta \right] \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty d(Z_n(t), Z(t)) e^{-t} dt \right] \\
 & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} [d(Z_n(t), Z(t))] e^{-t} dt = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.50}$$

□

• **Proof of Lemma 6.2.20.**

*Proof.* We have to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L} [\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)}] = \mathcal{L} [\psi_{(X, c)}]. \tag{B.51}$$

Hence we must show that, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)})] = \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X, c)})]. \tag{B.52}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)}) - f(\psi_{(X, c)})]| \\
 & \leq |\mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)}) - f(\psi_{(X_n, c)})]| + |\mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, c)}) - f(\psi_{(X, c)})]|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.53}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[d(Y_n(t), c)] = 0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[d((X_n(t), Y_n(t)), (X_n(t), c))] = 0$ , it follows from Lemma 6.2.19 that, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} [d_P(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)}, \psi_{(X_n, c)})] = 0. \tag{B.54}$$

Hence, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)}) - f(\psi_{(X_n, c)})]| = 0. \tag{B.55}$$

To see that the second term in the right-hand side of (B.53) tends to zero, note that we can define

$$\tilde{f}(\psi_x) = f(\psi_{x, c}). \tag{B.56}$$

We show that  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous.

Recall that convergence in the Meyer-Zheng topology is simply convergence in Lebesgue measure. Hence, for two paths  $(t, x_n(t))$  and  $(t, x(t)) \in M_E[0, \infty)$  we have  $\psi_{x_n} \rightarrow \psi_x$  if and only if, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d(x_n(t), x(t)) > \delta\}} e^{-t} dt = 0. \tag{B.57}$$

Therefore  $\psi_{x_n} \rightarrow \psi_x$  implies that, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d((x_n(t), c), (x(t), c)) > \delta\}} e^{-t} dt = 0, \quad (\text{B.58})$$

and hence  $\psi_{x_n, c} \rightarrow \psi_{x, c}$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(\psi_{x_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\psi_{(x_n, c)}) = f(\psi_{(x, c)}) = \tilde{f}(\psi_x) \quad (\text{B.59})$$

and we conclude that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}[X_n] = \mathcal{L}[X]$  in the Meyer-Zheng topology, we have, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, c)})] - \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X, c)})]| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\psi_{X_n})] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\psi_X)]| = 0. \quad (\text{B.60})$$

Therefore also the second term on the right-hand side of (B.53) tends to 0.  $\square$

### • Proof of Lemma 6.2.21.

*Proof.* For part (a), suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{x_n} = \psi_x$ . Then, since convergence in pseudopath space is convergence in measure, we have, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d(x_n(t), x(t)) > \delta\}} e^{-t} dt = 0. \quad (\text{B.61})$$

Since  $f$  is a continuous function, this implies that, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d(f(x_n(t)), f(x(t))) > \epsilon\}} e^{-t} dt = 0. \quad (\text{B.62})$$

and we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{f(x_n)} = \psi_{f(x)}$ . Hence  $h$  is indeed continuous.

For part (b), recall that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[X_n] = \mathcal{L}[X] \text{ in the Meyer-Zheng topology} \quad (\text{B.63})$$

implies that, for all  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[g(\psi_{X_n})] = \mathbb{E}[g(\psi_X)]. \quad (\text{B.64})$$

Since  $h: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$  is continuous, we have for all  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$  that  $g \circ h \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi)$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[g(\psi_{f(X_n)})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[g \circ h(\psi_{X_n})] = \mathbb{E}[g \circ h(\psi_X)] = \mathbb{E}[g(\psi_{f(X)})]. \quad (\text{B.65})$$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[f(X_n)] = \mathcal{L}[f(X)] \text{ in the Meyer-Zheng topology.} \quad (\text{B.66})$$

$\square$

• **Proof of Lemma 7.2.14.**

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{(x_n, y_n)} = \psi_{(x, y)}$ . Since convergence of pseudopaths is convergence in Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d[(x_n, y_n), (x, y)] > \delta\}} e^{-t} dt = 0 \quad (\text{B.67})$$

and, consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty 1_{\{d[x_n, x] > \delta\}} e^{-t} dt = 0. \quad (\text{B.68})$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{x_n} = \psi_x$ . Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E))$ , so  $f$  is bounded continuous function on the space of pseudopaths on  $[0, \infty] \times E$ . Define the function  $\tilde{f}$  on the space of pseudopaths on  $[0, \infty] \times E^2$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f}$  is a function on  $\Psi(E^2)$ , by

$$\tilde{f}(\psi_{(x, y)}) = f(\psi_x). \quad (\text{B.69})$$

Then  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E^2))$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(\psi_{(x_n, y_n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\psi_{x_n}) = f(\psi_x) = \tilde{f}(\psi_{(x_n, y_n)}). \quad (\text{B.70})$$

Hence  $\tilde{f}$  is indeed a continuous function on  $\Psi(E^2)$ . Moreover, since  $f$  is bounded, it follows that  $\tilde{f}$  is bounded and we conclude that  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E^2))$ .

Therefore, if  $X_n, Y_n$  are continuous-time stochastic processes on  $E$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[(X_n(s), Y_n(s))_{s>0}] = \mathcal{L}[(X(s), Y(s))_{s>0}] \text{ in Meyer Zheng topology,} \quad (\text{B.71})$$

then for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E^2))$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)})] = \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X, Y)})]. \quad (\text{B.72})$$

Since for each  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E))$  we can construct a function  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E^2))$  as in (B.69), we obtain for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\Psi(E))$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_{(X_n)})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\psi_{(X_n, Y_n)})] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{f}(\psi_{(X, Y)})] = \mathbb{E}[f(\psi_X)]. \quad (\text{B.73})$$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[(X_n(s))] = \mathcal{L}[(X(s))_{s>0}] \text{ in Meyer-Zheng topology} \quad (\text{B.74})$$

and, similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}[(Y_n(s))] = \mathcal{L}[(Y(s))_{s>0}] \text{ in Meyer-Zheng topology.} \quad (\text{B.75})$$

□