



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Questions in context: the case of French wh-in-situ

Glasbergen , A.

### Citation

Glasbergen, A. (2021, November 4). *Questions in context: the case of French wh-in-situ. LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3239072>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3239072>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**Questions in context:  
the case of French *wh*-in-situ**

Published by

LOT

phone: +31 20 525 2461

Kloveniersburgwal 48  
1012 CX Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

e-mail: lot@uva.nl

<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: wallpaper inspired on 'Almond Blossom' by Vincent van Gogh.

Wallpaper: BN International, 220020 Van Gogh, Huizen 2019.  
'Almond Blossom', Vincent van Gogh (1853-1890), Saint-Rémy-de-Provence, February 1890. Van Gogh Museum, Amsterdam (Vincent van Gogh Foundation).

ISBN: 978-94-6093-391-2

DOI: <https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0606>

NUR: 616

Copyright © 2021: Aliza Glasbergen-Plas. All rights reserved.

# **Questions in context: the case of French *wh*-in-situ**

## **Proefschrift**

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 4 november 2021  
klokke 15.00 uur

door

**Aliza Glasbergen-Plas**

geboren te Rotterdam  
in 1984

Promotores:                    prof. dr. Jenny Doetjes  
                                      prof. dr. Lisa Lai-Shen Cheng

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. Yiya Chen  
                                      prof. dr. Ur Shlonsky (Université de Genève)  
                                      prof. dr. Claire Beyssade (Université Paris 8)  
                                      dr. Stella Gryllia  
                                      dr. Leticia Pablos Robles

The research for this dissertation has been conducted as part of the project Understanding Questions (360-70-480), funded by the Dutch Research Council (NWO).

To Stefan, my parents and Tamar



# Contents

Glossing conventions .....	xiii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1 French <i>wh</i> -in-situ questions .....	1
2 The approach of this dissertation .....	7
3 Outline of the work .....	10
<b>2 French <i>wh</i>-in-situ questions</b>	<b>13</b>
1 Indirect questions .....	13
2 Long-distance questions .....	16
3 Strong islands .....	20
4 Prosody .....	22
4.1 Sentence-final rise .....	22
4.2 Prosodic constraints or strategy .....	25
5 Intervention effects .....	28
6 Extra-strong presupposition .....	32
7 Conclusions .....	37
<b>3 Context: the relevant notions</b>	<b>39</b>
1 Information structure .....	39
1.1 Introduction .....	39
1.2 Focus .....	41
1.2.1 Introducing two conceptions of focus .....	41
1.2.2 Focus in <i>wh</i> -questions .....	43
1.2.3 Semantic representation of focus in <i>wh</i> -questions ..	48
1.3 Givenness .....	49
1.3.1 Definition of givenness .....	49
1.3.2 Relation of givenness to focus .....	51
1.4 Contextual salience .....	52

1.4.1	Büring's (2016) notion of contextual salience . . . . .	52
1.4.2	A refinement of the notion of contextual salience . . . . .	55
2	Echo versus information seeking questions . . . . .	59
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	59
2.2	Syntactic properties . . . . .	61
2.3	Semantico-pragmatic properties . . . . .	62
2.4	Prosodic properties . . . . .	65
3	Conclusions . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>A role for context: prosody</b>	<b>69</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	69
2	Background . . . . .	71
2.1	Prosodic correlates of information structure in French . . . . .	71
2.2	French echo versus information seeking questions . . . . .	74
3	Experimental design . . . . .	75
3.1	Elicitation paradigm: Scripted Simulated Dialogue . . . . .	76
3.2	Materials . . . . .	78
3.3	Recording procedure . . . . .	83
3.4	Participants . . . . .	84
3.5	Acoustic analysis . . . . .	84
3.6	Statistical analysis . . . . .	87
4	Results . . . . .	88
4.1	Descriptions of the three characteristic prosodic tunes . . . . .	89
4.2	Comparisons of the three characteristic tunes: Fo . . . . .	93
4.3	Comparisons of the three characteristic tunes: duration and intensity . . . . .	97
4.4	Summary of the results . . . . .	99
5	Discussion . . . . .	99
5.1	The influence of information structure . . . . .	100
5.2	The influence of an echo versus an information seeking question . . . . .	104
5.3	Prosodic properties that were unaffected by context . . . . .	106
6	Conclusions . . . . .	109

<b>5 A role for context: intervention effects</b>	<b>111</b>
1 Introduction .....	111
2 The relevant data .....	114
2.1 Interveners .....	114
2.2 Data variation .....	115
2.3 The effect of context .....	117
3 Previous approach: nanosyntax and Relativized Minimality ..	119
4 Proposed generalisation: Maximal Givenness .....	124
5 Proposed explanation: a contextually supplied choice function	131
6 Explained data variation .....	137
6.1 Variation among interveners .....	137
6.2 Variation regarding the same intervener .....	143
7 Echo questions .....	146
8 Conclusions .....	149
<b>6 Two mechanisms to interpret <i>wh</i>-in-situ</b>	<b>151</b>
1 Introduction .....	151
2 <i>Wh</i> -in-situ with <i>des quoi</i> : a rating study .....	154
2.1 Materials .....	157
2.2 Procedure .....	162
2.3 Participants .....	164
2.4 Analysis .....	164
2.5 Results .....	165
2.6 Discussion of the results .....	167
3 <i>Wh</i> -in-situ out of the blue: a rating study .....	172
3.1 Materials .....	174
3.2 Analysis .....	179
3.3 Results .....	179
3.4 Discussion of the results .....	182
4 Explaining data variation .....	185
4.1 Extra-strong presupposition .....	186
4.2 Sentence-final rise .....	189
4.3 Back to intervention effects .....	190

5	<i>Wh-in-situ</i> in English and German . . . . .	193
5.1	Maximal Givenness . . . . .	193
5.2	Other (related) similarities . . . . .	202
6	Comparison with echo questions . . . . .	207
7	General discussion . . . . .	213
8	Conclusions . . . . .	217
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>221</b>
1	Properties of French <i>wh-in-situ</i> questions . . . . .	221
1.1	Occurrence of intervention effects . . . . .	223
1.2	Extra-strong presupposition . . . . .	225
1.3	Prosody, including the sentence-final rise . . . . .	226
1.4	Indirect questions, adjunct islands and long-distance questions . . . . .	228
2	Echo questions . . . . .	230
3	Focus and givenness . . . . .	231
4	Methodological considerations . . . . .	233
4.1	Intervention effects . . . . .	233
4.2	Prosody: Scripted Simulated Dialogue . . . . .	233
5	The cross-linguistic picture . . . . .	234

**Bibliography** **239****Appendix A (Chapter 4):**

Materials of the production experiment ..... 257

**Appendix B (Chapter 4):**

Statistical appendix ..... 277

**Appendix C (Chapter 5):**

Interveners in the literature ..... 281

**Appendix D (Chapter 6):**

Information provided to participants (in French) ..... 283

**Appendix E (Chapter 6):**

Materials of the rating study reported in Section 2 ..... 285

**Appendix F (Chapter 6):**

Materials of the rating study reported in Section 3 ..... 297

Samenvatting ..... 307

Acknowledgements ..... 315

Curriculum Vitae ..... 319



# Glossing conventions

## Abbreviations in glosses

ART	article
CL	classifier
DE	modification marker <i>de</i> (Mandarin)
DES	plural indefinite article (French)
F	feminine
INDF	indefinite
NE	clitic <i>ne</i> combining with negation (French)
PERF	perfective
PL	plural
REFL	reflexive

*Interveners* are marked by slanted text in all examples, also if the example was taken from another publication and the intervener was originally not marked like this.

CAPITALS in English and German examples represent the main pitch accent.

I added a translation in all cases where the source of an example did not provide one.

