



**Universiteit  
Leiden**  
The Netherlands

## **Exploring host and pathogen biomarkers for leprosy**

Tio Coma, M.

### **Citation**

Tio Coma, M. (2021, October 28). *Exploring host and pathogen biomarkers for leprosy*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3229676>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3229676>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# 1

## General Introduction

---

## Highlights & Hallmarks of leprosy

Leprosy, a chronic disease affecting the skin and nerves, is caused by *Mycobacterium leprae* or *Mycobacterium lepromatosis* (1). Leprosy has been reported in humans for millennia with ancient reports in Roman, Egyptian and Greek manuscripts. In Europe it was endemic during the Middle Ages until the sixteenth century when it dissipated. In 1873, during his work in a leprosarium in Bergen (Norway), Gerhard Armauer Hansen discovered *M. leprae* in unstained material. *M. leprae* is unculturable in present media and this has hindered the study of the disease, bacterial transmission and pathogenesis. Nevertheless, leprosy is a curable disease currently treated with multi-drug therapy (MDT) (2). The first antimicrobial treatment for leprosy was introduced in 1943 and was based on sulphones. In 1950s dapsone monotherapy was established, in 1982 MDT was recommended to multibacillary (MB) patients and in 2002 this was extended to all patients (3).

## Leprosy classification

Leprosy can be distributed according to the Ridley Jopling (4) or the World Health Organization (WHO) classifications (5) based on clinical features and the immunological response against the leprosy bacilli. The Ridley Jopling classification consist of five forms, at one end of the spectrum tuberculoid leprosy (TT) patients display a low bacterial load and a strong cell-mediated immune response, characterized by Th1 and Th17 cells (6, 7), granuloma formation and elimination of bacteria. At the other end, lepromatous leprosy (LL) is characterized by a high bacterial load and humoral immunity with Th2 cells but almost no protective cell mediated immunity, allowing accumulation of high numbers of bacilli around foamy macrophages (8-12). However, the majority of leprosy patients present unstable borderline forms, between LL and TT and classified as borderline lepromatous (BL), borderline borderline (BB) and borderline tuberculoid (BT) (9).

The WHO classifies leprosy in two groups, paucibacillary (PB) and multibacillary (MB) leprosy and is based on the number of skin lesions and presence of acid fast bacilli in slit skin smears (SSS) (5). Leprosy patients with up to five skin lesions and negative SSS are considered PB whereas patients with six or more skin lesions and a positive SSS are classified as MB.

## Leprosy reactions

Leprosy reactions present a major challenge in the prevention of (permanent) disabilities (13) because reactions can cause peripheral nerve damage and are the major cause of irreversible neuropathy (14). Leprosy reactions are increased inflammation episode which may occur at any time before, during or after MDT (15, 16). Up to 30-50% of leprosy patients may experience leprosy reactions, however, these are most common in unstable BL patients presenting a high number of bacilli (17). There are two types of reactions: type

1 reactions (T1R) or reversal reactions (RR) and type 2 reactions (T2R) or erythema nodosum leprosum (ENL). While T1R are characterized by inflammation of nerves and/or skin lesions, T2R, which can be very painful, are characterized by erythematous skin lesions which may present in combination with fever and/or malaise (18). T1R are delayed hypersensitivity reactions caused by an increased cellular immune response against the bacteria mediated via Th1 cells resulting in a switch from borderline leprosy to TT leprosy (19, 20). Several cytokines and chemokines such as IFN $\gamma$ , IL-12, CXCL10 and IL-6 show higher levels in lesions or plasma during T1R (18). Moreover, anti-helminth treatment (21-24), extensive anti-TNF- $\alpha$  therapy (16, 20), HIV highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) or BCG vaccination (25) produce a shift from Th2 to Th1 cells that may trigger T1R.

ENL are likely immune complex-mediated reactions, however, the mechanism of action is still unclear (18). Nevertheless, lower expression of Treg cells and higher CD4/CD8 ratios are observed (26) in patients presenting ENL.

### **Leprosy epidemiology**

In 2000 leprosy elimination as a public health problem, defined as a prevalence of less than 1 case per 10,000 in the world population, was achieved (2). However, the number of new cases has been steady during the last decade, with 208,619 new leprosy cases worldwide in 2018 (27). The majority of new cases occur in low- and middle-income countries where leprosy is still endemic. India with 120,334 new cases in 2018 is the country with the highest number of new cases, followed by Brazil with 28,660 new cases and Indonesia with 17,017 new cases. Numerous studies of this thesis were performed in Bangladesh, a leprosy endemic country reporting up to 3,729 new leprosy cases in 2018 (27).

The incubation period of leprosy is significantly long being 5-10 years for MB cases and 2-5 for PB cases (28). Moreover, only 5% of people exposed to *M. leprae* become infected, and from those, barely 20% eventually develop the disease (29). The long incubation period and the low amount of leprosy progressors within individuals exposed to *M. leprae* in addition to the limited awareness of leprosy by the public and healthcare providers as well as the social stigma hinders the identification of new cases, particularly amongst household contacts (HC) of leprosy patients who are at highest risk of developing disease (30).

The incidence of leprosy in females is lower than in males. Women represent around 35-37% of the new cases, however, this lower rate may be influenced by an under-diagnosis due to limitations women face in endemic countries such as restricted access to health services, illiteracy and low status (28). Moreover, MB cases are more common in men than in women. From all new cases children represent 9% and since these are recent infections, it indicates that *M. leprae* transmission is still ongoing.

## Leprosy: the pathogen side

### Transmission and One Health

*M. leprae* transmission is still not completely understood, however, aerosol transmission via the respiratory route and skin-to-skin contact are assumed to be the most probable ways of bacterial dissemination (31, 32). Besides bacterial exposure, other risk factors have been shown to be associated with leprosy development, including host genetic polymorphisms (33-37), close contact with untreated, MB patients (38), infection with soil transmitted helminths (21), immunosuppression (38), nutritional factors (39), food shortage (40), living conditions (41, 42) and individual characteristics (43, 44). HC of leprosy patients present the highest risk to develop leprosy (45), due to the continuous contact with a person infected with the leprosy bacilli. Besides, they might not develop leprosy, but bear *M. leprae* serving as asymptomatic carriers who contribute to transmission.

*M. leprae* and *M. lepromatosis* have been identified in several animals as well as environmental samples representing a reservoir that could potentially become a source of infection (46, 47). Moreover, a leprosy-like disease caused by *Mycobacterium lepraemurium*, *Mycobacterium tarwinense* or *Mycobacterium lepraefelis* has been reported in cats (48).

In the British Isles, Scotland and Ireland *M. leprae* and *M. lepromatosis* were detected in red squirrels causing a leprosy-like disease (46, 49-52). However, molecular testing by PCR showed absence of the leprosy bacilli in squirrels from France, Germany, Switzerland, Italy and Mexico (53), indicating that leprosy diseases and reservoirs of *M. leprae* and *M. lepromatosis* in squirrels are only present in the British Isles.

*M. leprae* was first found in nine-banded armadillos from southern United States in 1977 (54) and was thereafter extensively studied (55-57). Probable zoonotic transmission of *M. leprae* from armadillos was also identified in the southeastern United States where wild armadillos and patients were infected with the same genotype (3I-2-v1) (58). This genotype was also identified both in armadillos and humans from Florida (59) and is closely related to *M. leprae* strains circulating in medieval Europe, suggesting that leprosy arrived in the United States from Europe before it disappeared in the sixteenth century. Armadillos bearing *M. leprae* have also been found in Brazil, Mexico, and Colombia (60-63). A study in Pará (Brazilian Amazon), Brazil found a higher rate of leprosy amongst armadillo hunters and people who eat armadillo meat more than 12 times per year (60). However, other studies from Brazil found no association with armadillo meat consumption and leprosy in Curitiba (Paraná) (64) and absence of *M. leprae* in armadillos from Coari (Amazonas state) (65).

Furthermore, *M. leprae* infections have been reported in wild and captive nonhuman primates (66-68). The *M. leprae* strain identified in a captive nonhuman primate (branch 0, 3K genotype) in The Philippines was phylogenetically close to the human strains, suggest-

ing possible transmission between humans and nonhuman primates (66). Whereas wild chimpanzees in West Africa were found to be infected with *M. leprae* strains belonging to different and rare genotypes: 2F and 4N/O. Genotype 4N/O was also identified in a captive nonhuman primate in West Africa (67).

In addition to animals, *M. leprae* DNA has also been detected in the environment, namely in soil (47, 69-74) and water (74-76) from India and Brazil. It has been described that viable bacilli could survive in the environment in free living amoebic cysts up to 8 months (77). Thus, these environmental reservoirs should be taken into consideration to investigate transmission chains.

### **Pathogen genomics**

*M. leprae* genome was first sequenced in 2001 (78) leading to the identification of several repetitive elements such as RLEP which is currently used as the PCR target for *M. leprae* DNA detection (79-82). *M. leprae* underwent a massive gene reduction resulting in a genome of 3.27 Mb, whilst the closely related *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* possess a genome of 4.41 Mb (78). The majority of *M. leprae* genome is composed of pseudogenes which correspond to active genes in *M. tuberculosis*. Several of the genes absent in *M. leprae* are involved in vital metabolic activity, thus converting *M. leprae* into an obligate intracellular bacterium. *M. leprae* phylogeny is composed of four genotypes (1-4) (83) and 16 subtypes (A-P) (58, 84). This phylogenetic information has been recovered from *M. leprae* genomes obtained from contemporary leprosy patients (83-85), but also from ancient skeletons (86-90), red squirrels (46, 53), armadillos (58, 59) and non-human primates (66, 67). Extensive whole genome sequencing (WGS) from different sources has proven to be a relevant tool to study *M. leprae* transmission.

The genome of *M. leprae* is highly conserved, presenting a mutation rate of  $18 \pm 30$  mutations per 1000 years (87), which facilitates reconstruction of historic *M. leprae* transmission and human migration patterns (91). The most ancestral lineages of *M. leprae* are 3K-0 or branch 0 followed by 3K-1 or branch 5, which are predominant in modern East Asia, particularly in China, Japan and Korea (85, 87). In Medieval Europe different *M. leprae* genotypes were present reflecting ancient human migrations (91). Genotypes 2F and 3I were common in north Europe, whilst in Hungary, Byzantine Turkey and the Czech Republic subtypes 3M and the ancestral lineage 3K were present, likely introduced through the Silk Road from central Asia (86, 92). The origin of *M. leprae* is still ambiguous and two possible locations have been suggested: Western Eurasia or East Asia and the Middle East. Genotype 3K-0 is found in modern East Asia whilst this ancestral lineage was also present in medieval East Europe indicating that either of these regions could be the origin of leprosy (87). Genotype 3K-0 possibly spread through Europe, the Middle East and East Asia giving rise to the different genotypes 3 whilst genotype 1 is predominant in south Asia.

Genotype 4 is thought to have evolved from European genotype 3 into West Africa and later arrived to America through the slave trade. In addition to genotype 4, subtype 3I has also been identified in America, suggesting a likely introduction of leprosy by European immigrants (83, 84).

In 2008 *M. lepromatosis* was identified in two patients presenting diffuse lepromatous leprosy and a set of genes also present in *M. leprae* were sequenced (1). Although *M. lepromatosis* is closely related to *M. leprae*, 2.1% of divergence can be observed in the highly conserved bacterial marker 16S rRNA (1). In addition, protein coding genes show 93% nucleotide sequence identity between the two species and pseudogenes 82% (93). It was suggested that both *M. leprae* and *M. lepromatosis* diverged from the most recent common ancestor around 13.9-20 Mya (93, 94). Since *M. lepromatosis* has retained all functions required to infect Schwann cells of the peripheral nervous system it can also cause leprosy.

## The host side

### Host transcriptomic biomarkers for leprosy diagnosis

Leprosy, particularly PB leprosy, is difficult to diagnose and current diagnostic tests are not sensitive and/or specific enough, thus diagnosis still strongly relies on clinical symptoms. Early detection of leprosy together with identification of *M. leprae* asymptomatic carriers is crucial for an effective intervention aimed at stopping *M. leprae* transmission. Besides, an early diagnosis can prevent the development of disabilities. Late diagnosis or misdiagnosis of leprosy, particularly in non-endemic areas, is common due to the infrequent encounters of health personnel with this disease. Leprosy diagnosis is usually assisted by detection of acid-fast bacilli in tissue smears, lymph or histological sections using a Ziehl-Neelsen staining (95). This technique is not sensitive enough for the diagnosis of PB cases and also during the early stages of leprosy. Currently, molecular techniques based on (quantitative) PCRs to detect *M. leprae* DNA are also in use to support the diagnosis (79, 96, 97). While the sensitivity of such molecular techniques is higher than microscopy it is still challenging to detect *M. leprae* DNA in PB cases. In addition, it has been observed that HC, the group with the highest risk to develop leprosy, may be asymptomatic carriers of *M. leprae* (31, 70, 98-104). Therefore, presence of *M. leprae* DNA without clinical symptoms in HC is not a useful predictive marker of leprosy (105). Nevertheless, detection of *M. leprae* carriage or infection can be applied for targeted prophylactic treatment to reduce transmission.

Several host markers have been proposed to diagnose leprosy based on the immunological response to *M. leprae* (106-111). The most commonly used is detection of serum levels of IgM anti-*M. leprae* phenolic glycolipid I (PGL-I) which has been implemented as a point-of-care (POC) test (97, 109, 112). However, the majority of the available host markers fail to diagnose PB leprosy cases (106, 113) and some markers, such as PGL-I, could also be

present in HC who have been exposed to *M. leprae* but do not develop leprosy.

Transcriptomic host profiles have been proven to be effective to identify correlates of risk for tuberculosis (114, 115). In leprosy, some studies employed transcriptomics to investigate the immunological response to *M. leprae* but others also aimed at identifying potential biomarkers for leprosy or leprosy reactions (116-125). However, the studies that focused on biomarkers to identify leprosy were employed after clinical symptoms, thus are not useful for early or predictive diagnosis. In addition, the biosamples used for these transcriptional studies were skin biopsies, nerve biopsies or cell culture, and although these are particularly useful to study the pathogenesis of the disease they are not practical for POC diagnostic tests. Instead, blood or urine are less invasive and easy-to collect samples that would be favored for diagnosis. For this reason, blood samples were employed in a study to identify longitudinal differential gene expression (DGE) during T1R (126). Using dual-color Reverse Transcriptase Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (dcRT-MLPA) an increased expression during T1R was observed in genes associated with cytotoxic T-cell response, IFN-induced genes and *VEGF* whilst a decrease was found in T-cell regulation genes. In line with this, a blood signature including type I IFN components, autophagy, parkins and Toll like receptors was also identified during T1R (127). In a different study, applying RNA-Seq a 44-gene signature in blood was established that could differentiate between leprosy patients suffering a T1R and no leprosy reaction (121). The signature was formed by pro-inflammatory regulators, arachidonic acid metabolism mediators and regulators of antiinflammation. However, to date none of these studies has resulted in application of host transcriptomics to diagnostic (POC) tests.

### **Influence of host genetics on leprosy**

Leprosy is an infectious disease, however, host genetics strongly influences the outcome of the disease. This is observed from the low rate of disease development in people exposed to *M. leprae* (29) and the wide spectrum of leprosy disease whilst bacterial genome variation is very limited, suggesting that host genetics play a crucial role in this variation. In the last 20 years the genetics of leprosy has been extensively investigated through genome-wide association studies (GWASs) and candidate gene association studies (CGASs) (128, 129). In 2009 the first GWAS in leprosy was performed in a Chinese population (33) and since then many studies have used GWAS to characterize the association of genetics with leprosy (130-133).

Most of the genes that have been associated with leprosy or leprosy reactions are immune related and involved in the innate or adaptive immunity. Numerous studies have explored the association of genetics with leprosy per se, leprosy type and leprosy reactions.

Leprosy per se, referring to the presence or absence of disease, was the first subject to be researched by genetic studies. Several genes have been associated with leprosy per se,





represent a risk for development of T1R (144). A selection of genes found to be associated with leprosy per se have also been linked to the occurrence of T1R: *PPARG*, *TNFSF8*, *TNFSF15*, *NOD2*, *LRRK2*, *TLR1*, and *TLR2* (Figure 1) (36, 135, 142, 144-148).

### Outline of this thesis

The aim of the research described in this thesis was to combine the study on the pathogen *M. leprae* with the identification of transcriptomic and genetic host biomarkers associated with leprosy to aid the development of diagnostic tests as well as reduce transmission.

First, pathogen transmission was investigated through a One Health approach which incorporated analyses of *M. leprae* DNA derived from human, environmental and animal samples. In **chapter 2**, we identified leprosy patients and their asymptomatic HC carrying or infected with *M. leprae* in Bangladesh. We explored *M. leprae* genetic variation between individuals and intra-individually by WGS to identify transmission patterns. In **chapter 3**, we assessed whether *M. leprae* or *M. lepromatosis* were present in the environment. For this, we analyzed by PCR soil samples from the homes of leprosy patients in Bangladesh, the area where squirrels infected with *M. leprae* and *M. lepromatosis* were found in the British Isles and around holes of armadillos in Suriname. Since leprosy was previously observed in squirrels from the British Isles, in **chapter 4** we investigated whether Dutch and Belgian squirrels infected with *M. leprae* or *M. lepromatosis* could be identified.

Next, transcriptomic and genetic host biomarkers were identified to predict leprosy, leprosy reactions and genetic markers associated with susceptibility for leprosy. In **chapter 5**, we aimed to develop a transcriptomic signature that could predict leprosy development in HC of leprosy patients, 4 to 61 months before clinical symptoms. For this purpose, we analyzed gene expression variation in leprosy progressors using RNA-Seq: gene expression of leprosy progressors before clinical symptoms was compared with HC who remained without leprosy symptoms (cross-sectional analysis) and with the timepoint of clinical diagnosis, when symptoms were present (longitudinal analysis). In **chapter 6**, we studied gene expression differences in leprosy patients from Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia and Nepal who developed leprosy reactions and identified a signature that predicted reversal reactions in leprosy patients before onset. In **chapter 7**, we investigated the association of 11 host genetic markers with leprosy in Bangladesh through a family-based study consisting of leprosy patients and both progenitors.

Finally, in **chapter 8** all findings and conclusions of this thesis are summarized and discussed.

### References

1. Han XY, Seo Y-H, Sizer KC, Schoberle T, May GS, Spencer JS, et al. A New Mycobacterium Species Causing Diffuse Lepromatous Leprosy. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*. 2008;130(6):856-64.
2. World Health Organization. Fact sheets: Leprosy Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019

[Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leprosy>.

3. Gelber RH, Grosset J. The chemotherapy of leprosy: an interpretive history. *Leprosy review*. 2012;83(3):221-40.
4. Ridley DS, Jopling WH. Classification of leprosy according to immunity. A five-group system. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis*. 1966;34(3):255-73.
5. Kumar B, Uprety S, Dogra S. Clinical diagnosis of leprosy. In: Scollard DM, Gillis TP, editors. *International textbook of leprosy*. [www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2017](http://www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2017).
6. Barnes PF, Lu S, Abrams JS, Wang E, Yamamura M, Modlin RL. Cytokine production at the site of disease in human tuberculosis. *Infect Immun*. 1993;61(8):3482-9.
7. Saini C, Ramesh V, Nath I. CD4+ Th17 cells discriminate clinical types and constitute a third subset of non Th1, Non Th2 T cells in human leprosy. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2013;7(7):e2338.
8. Modlin RL, Melancon-Kaplan J, Young SM, Pirmez C, Kino H, Convit J, et al. Learning from lesions: patterns of tissue inflammation in leprosy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1988;85(4):1213-7.
9. Scollard DM. Pathogenesis and Pathology of Leprosy. In: Scollard DM, Gillis TP, editors. *International textbook of leprosy*. [www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2016](http://www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2016).
10. Misch E, Berrington W, Vary J, Hawn T. Leprosy and the Human Genome. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews* : MMBR. 2010;74:589-620.
11. Geluk A. Challenges in immunodiagnostic tests for leprosy. *Expert Opin Med Diagn*. 2013;7(3):265-74.
12. Geluk A. Biomarkers for leprosy: would you prefer T (cells)? *Leprosy review*. 2013;84(1):3-12.
13. Assis BPN, Lyon S, Grossi MAF, Rocha M. Risk factors for physical disability upon release from multidrug therapy in new cases of leprosy at a referral center in Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo*. 2019;61:e13.
14. Croft RP, Nicholls PG, Steyerberg EW, Richardus JH, Withington SG, Smith WC. A clinical prediction rule for nerve function impairment in leprosy patients-revisited after 5 years of follow-up. *Leprosy review*. 2003;74(1):35-41.
15. Lockwood DN, Saunderson P. Nerve damage in Leprosy: a continuing challenge for scientists, clinicians and service providers. *Int Health*. 2012;4(2012):77-85.
16. Scollard DM, Martelli CM, Stefani MM, Maroja MF, Villahermosa L, Pardillo F, et al. Risk factors for leprosy reactions in three endemic countries. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2015;92(1):108-14.
17. Antunes DE, Ferreira GP, Nicchio MV, Araujo S, Cunha AC, Gomes RR, et al. Number of leprosy reactions during treatment: clinical correlations and laboratory diagnosis. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop*. 2016;49(6):741-5.
18. Walker SL. Leprosy Reactions. In: Scollard DM, Gillis TP, editors. *International textbook of leprosy*. [www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2020](http://www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2020).
19. Ridley DS, Radia KB. The histological course of reactions in borderline leprosy and their outcome. *International journal of leprosy and other mycobacterial diseases* : official organ of the International Leprosy Association. 1981;49(4):383-92.
20. Geluk A. Correlates of immune exacerbations in leprosy. *Semin Immunol*. 2018.
21. Hagge DA, Parajuli P, Kunwar CB, Rana D, Thapa R, Neupane KD, et al. Opening a Can of Worms: Leprosy Reactions and Complicit Soil-Transmitted Helminths. *EBioMedicine*. 2017;23:119-24.
22. Khadge S, Banu S, Bobosha K, JJ vdP-vS, Goulart IM, Thapa P, et al. Longitudinal immune profiles in type 1 leprosy reactions in Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia and Nepal. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2015;15(1):477.
23. Scollard DM, Chaduvula MV, Martinez A, Fowlkes N, Nath I, Stryjewska BM, et al. Increased CXC ligand 10 levels and gene expression in type 1 leprosy reactions. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2011;18(6):947-53.
24. Corstjens PL, van HA, Tjon Kon Fat EM, van den Eeden SJ, Wilson L, Geluk A. Field-Friendly Test for Monitoring Multiple Immune Response Markers during Onset and Treatment of Exacerbated Immunity in Leprosy. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2016;23(6):515-9.
25. Richardus RA, Butlin CR, Alam K, Kundu K, Geluk A, Richardus JH. Clinical manifestations of leprosy after BCG vaccination: an observational study in Bangladesh. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(13):1562-7.
26. Lee DJ, Li H, Ochoa MT, Tanaka M, Carbone RJ, Damoiseaux R, et al. Integrated pathways for neutrophil recruitment and inflammation in leprosy. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(4):558-69.
27. World Health Organization. Global leprosy update, 2018: moving towards a leprosy-free world. *Weekly Epidemiological Record*. 2019;94(35/36):389-412.
28. Richardus JH, Ignotti E, Smith WCS. Epidemiology of Leprosy. In: Scollard DM, Gillis TP, editors. *International textbook of leprosy*. [www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2016](http://www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org/2016).
29. Nunzi E, Massone C. *Leprosy: A Practical Guide*. Milan: Springer; 2012.
30. Quilter EEV, Butlin CR, Singh S, Alam K, Lockwood DNJ. Patients with skin smear positive

- leprosy in Bangladesh are the main risk factor for leprosy development: 21-year follow-up in the household contact study (COCOA). *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2020;14(10):e0008687.
31. Araujo S, Freitas LO, Goulart LR, Goulart IM. Molecular evidence for the aerial route of infection of *Mycobacterium leprae* and the role of asymptomatic carriers in the persistence of leprosy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63(11):1412-20.
  32. Bratschi MW, Steinmann P, Wickenden A, Gillis TP. Current knowledge on *Mycobacterium leprae* transmission: a systematic literature review. *Leprosy review*. 2015;86(2):142-55.
  33. Zhang FR, Huang W, Chen SM, Sun LD, Liu H, Li Y, et al. Genomewide association study of leprosy. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(27):2609-18.
  34. Mira MT, Alcais A, Nguyen VT, Moraes MO, Di Flumeri C, Vu HT, et al. Susceptibility to leprosy is associated with PARK2 and PACRG. *Nature*. 2004;427(6975):636-40.
  35. Wang D, Xu L, Lv L, Su LY, Fan Y, Zhang DF, et al. Association of the LRRK2 genetic polymorphisms with leprosy in Han Chinese from Southwest China. *Genes Immun*. 2015;16(2):112-9.
  36. Sales-Marques C, Cardoso CC, Alvarado-Arnez LE, Illaramendi X, Sales AM, Hacker MA, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of the IL6 and NOD2 genes are risk factors for inflammatory reactions in leprosy. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2017;11(7):e0005754.
  37. Liu H, Irwanto A, Fu X, Yu G, Yu Y, Sun Y, et al. Discovery of six new susceptibility loci and analysis of pleiotropic effects in leprosy. *Nature genetics*. 2015;47(3):267-71.
  38. Moet FJ, Meima A, Oskam L, Richardus JH. Risk factors for the development of clinical leprosy among contacts, and their relevance for targeted interventions. *Leprosy review*. 2004;75(4):310-26.
  39. Dwivedi VP, Banerjee A, Das I, Saha A, Dutta M, Bhardwaj B, et al. Diet and nutrition: An important risk factor in leprosy. *Microbial pathogenesis*. 2019;137:103714.
  40. Feenstra SG, Nahar Q, Pahan D, Oskam L, Richardus JH. Recent food shortage is associated with leprosy disease in Bangladesh: a case-control study. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2011;5(5):e1029.
  41. Serrano-Coll H, Mora HR, Beltrán JC, Duthie MS, Cardona-Castro N. Social and environmental conditions related to *Mycobacterium leprae* infection in children and adolescents from three leprosy endemic regions of Colombia. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2019;19(1):520.
  42. Kerr-Pontes LRS, Montenegro ACD, Barreto ML, Werneck GL, Feldmeier H. Inequality and leprosy in Northeast Brazil: an ecological study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2004;33(2):262-9.
  43. Vieira MCA, Nery JS, Paixão ES, Freitas de Andrade KV, Oliveira Penna G, Teixeira MG. Leprosy in children under 15 years of age in Brazil: A systematic review of the literature. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2018;12(10):e0006788.
  44. Sarkar R, Pradhan S. Leprosy and women. *International Journal of Women's Dermatology*. 2016;2(4):117-21.
  45. Richardus R, Alam K, Kundu K, Chandra Roy J, Zafar T, Chowdhury AS, et al. Effectiveness of single-dose rifampicin after BCG vaccination to prevent leprosy in close contacts of patients with newly diagnosed leprosy: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases*. 2019;88:65-72.
  46. Avanzi C, Del-Pozo J, Benjak A, Stevenson K, Simpson VR, Busso P, et al. Red squirrels in the British Isles are infected with leprosy bacilli. *Science*. 2016;354(6313):744-7.
  47. Turankar RP, Lavania M, Singh M, Sengupta U, Siva Sai K, Jadhav RS. Presence of viable *Mycobacterium leprae* in environmental specimens around houses of leprosy patients. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2016;34(3):315-21.
  48. O'Brien C, Malik R. History and mysteries of leprosy. *Journal of feline medicine and surgery*. 2017;19(5):496-7.
  49. Schilling A-K, van Hooij A, Corstjens P, Lurz P, DelPozo J, Stevenson K, et al. Detection of humoral immunity to mycobacteria causing leprosy in Eurasian red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) using a quantitative rapid test 2019.
  50. Meredith A, Pozo JD, Smith S, Milne E, Stevenson K, McLuckie J. Leprosy in red squirrels in Scotland. *Veterinary Record*. 2014;175(11):285-6.
  51. Simpson V, Hargreaves J, Butler H, Blackett T, Stevenson K, McLuckie J. Leprosy in red squirrels on the Isle of Wight and Brownsea Island. *Veterinary Record*. 2015;177(8):206-7.
  52. Butler H, Stevenson K, McLuckie J, Simpson V. Further evidence of leprosy in Isle of Wight red squirrels. *Vet Rec*. 2017;180(16):407.
  53. Schilling A-K, Avanzi C, Ulrich RG, Busso P, Pisanu B, Ferrari N, et al. British Red Squirrels Remain the Only Known Wild Rodent Host for Leprosy Bacilli. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2019;6(8).
  54. Walsh GP, Storrs EE, Meyers W, Binford CH. Naturally acquired leprosy-like disease in the nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*): recent epizootiologic findings. *J Reticuloendothel Soc*. 1977;22(4):363-7.
  55. Smith JH, File SK, Nagy BA, Folse DS, Buckner JA, Webb LJ, et al. Leprosy-like disease of wild

- armadillos in French Acadiana, Louisiana. *J Reticuloendothel Soc.* 1978;24(6):705-19.
56. Stallknecht DE, Truman RW, Hugh-Jones ME, Job CK. Surveillance for naturally acquired leprosy in a nine-banded armadillo population. *J Wildl Dis.* 1987;23(2):308-10.
57. Loughry WJ, Truman RW, McDonough CM, Tilak MK, Garnier S, Delsuc F. Is leprosy spreading among nine-banded armadillos in the southeastern United States? *J Wildl Dis.* 2009;45(1):144-52.
58. Truman RW, Singh P, Sharma R, Busso P, Rougemont J, Paniz-Mondolfi A, et al. Probable zoonotic leprosy in the Southern United States. *The New England journal of medicine.* 2011;364(17):1626-33.
59. Sharma R, Singh P, Loughry WJ, Lockhart JM, Inman WB, Duthie MS, et al. Zoonotic leprosy in the Southeastern United States. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21(12):2127-34.
60. da Silva MB, Portela JM, Li W, Jackson M, Gonzalez-Juarrero M, Hidalgo AS, et al. Evidence of zoonotic leprosy in Pará, Brazilian Amazon, and risks associated with human contact or consumption of armadillos. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases.* 2018;12(6):e0006532.
61. Amezcua ME, Escobar-Gutierrez A, Storrs EE, Dhople AM, Burchfield HP. Wild Mexican armadillo with leprosy-like infection. *International journal of leprosy and other mycobacterial diseases : official organ of the International Leprosy Association.* 1984;52(2):254-5.
62. da Silva Ferreira J, de Carvalho FM, Vidal Pessolani MC, de Paula Antunes JMA, de Medeiros Oliveira IVP, Ferreira Moura GH, et al. Serological and molecular detection of infection with *Mycobacterium leprae* in Brazilian six banded armadillos (*Euphractus sexcinctus*). *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2020;68:101397-.
63. Pedrini SC, Rosa PS, Medri IM, Mourão G, Bagagli E, Lopes CA. Search for *Mycobacterium leprae* in wild mammals. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2010;14(1):47-53.
64. Schmitt JV, Dechandt IT, Dopke G, Ribas ML, Cerci FB, Viesi JMZ, et al. Armadillo meat intake was not associated with leprosy in a case control study, Curitiba (Brazil). *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz.* 2010;105:857-62.
65. Stefani MMA, Rosa PS, Costa MB, Schetinni APM, Manhães I, Pontes MAA, et al. Leprosy survey among rural communities and wild armadillos from Amazonas state, Northern Brazil. *PLoS one.* 2019;14(1):e0209491.
66. Honap TP, Pfister LA, Housman G, Mills S, Tarara RP, Suzuki K, et al. *Mycobacterium leprae* genomes from naturally infected nonhuman primates. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases.* 2018;12(1):e0006190.
67. Hockings KJ, Mubemba B, Avanzi C, Pleh K, Dux A, Bersacola E, et al. Leprosy in wild chimpanzees. *bioRxiv.* 2020:2020.11.10.374371.
68. Valverde CR, Canfield D, Tarara R, Esteves MI, Gormus BJ. Spontaneous leprosy in a wild-caught cynomolgus macaque. *International journal of leprosy and other mycobacterial diseases : official organ of the International Leprosy Association.* 1998;66(2):140-8.
69. Lavania M, Katoch K, Sachan P, Dubey A, Kapoor S, Kashyap M, et al. Detection of *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA from soil samples by PCR targeting RLEP sequences. *J Commun Dis.* 2006;38(3):269-73.
70. Turankar RP, Lavania M, Chaitanya VS, Sengupta U, Darlong J, Darlong F, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism-based molecular typing of *M. leprae* from multicase families of leprosy patients and their surroundings to understand the transmission of leprosy. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20(3):O142-9.
71. Turankar RP, Lavania M, Singh M, Siva Sai KS, Jadhav RS. Dynamics of *Mycobacterium leprae* transmission in environmental context: deciphering the role of environment as a potential reservoir. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases.* 2012;12(1):121-6.
72. Turankar RP, Pandey S, Lavania M, Singh I, Nigam A, Darlong J, et al. Comparative evaluation of PCR amplification of RLEP, 16S rRNA, rpoT and Sod A gene targets for detection of *M. leprae* DNA from clinical and environmental samples. *Int J Mycobacteriol.* 2015;4(1):54-9.
73. Lavania M, Katoch K, Katoch VM, Gupta AK, Chauhan DS, Sharma R, et al. Detection of viable *Mycobacterium leprae* in soil samples: insights into possible sources of transmission of leprosy. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases.* 2008;8(5):627-31.
74. Mohanty PS, Naaz F, Katara D, Misba L, Kumar D, Dwivedi DK, et al. Viability of *Mycobacterium leprae* in the environment and its role in leprosy dissemination. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2016;82(1):23-7.
75. Holanda MV, Marques LEC, Macedo MLB, Pontes MAA, Sabadia JAB, Kerr L, et al. Presence of *Mycobacterium leprae* genotype 4 in environmental waters in Northeast Brazil. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2017;50(2):216-22.
76. Arraes M, Holanda MV, Lima L, Sabadia JAB, Duarte CR, Almeida RLF, et al. Natural environmental water sources in endemic regions of northeastern Brazil are potential reservoirs of viable

*Mycobacterium leprae*. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2017;112(12):805-11.

77. Wheat WH, Casali AL, Thomas V, Spencer JS, Lahiri R, Williams DL, et al. Long-term survival and virulence of *Mycobacterium leprae* in amoebal cysts. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2014;8(12):e3405.
78. Cole ST, Eiglmeier K, Parkhill J, James KD, Thomson NR, Wheeler PR, et al. Massive gene decay in the leprosy bacillus. Nature. 2001;409(6823):1007-11.
79. Donoghue HD, Holton J, Spigelman M. PCR primers that can detect low levels of *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA. Journal of medical microbiology. 2001;50(2):177-82.
80. Truman RW, Andrews PK, Robbins NY, Adams LB, Krahenbuhl JL, Gillis TP. Enumeration of *Mycobacterium leprae* Using Real-Time PCR. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2008;2(11):e328.
81. Martinez AN, Ribeiro-Alves M, Sarno EN, Moraes MO. Evaluation of qPCR-based assays for leprosy diagnosis directly in clinical specimens. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2011;5(10):e1354.
82. Braet S, Vandellannoote K, Meehan CJ, Brum Fontes AN, Hasker E, Rosa PS, et al. The repetitive element RLEP is a highly specific target for detection of *Mycobacterium leprae*. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2018;56(3).
83. Monot M, Honore N, Garnier T, Araoz R, Coppee JY, Lacroix C, et al. On the origin of leprosy. Science. 2005;308(5724):1040-2.
84. Monot M, Honore N, Garnier T, Zidane N, Sherafi D, Paniz-Mondolfi A, et al. Comparative genomic and phylogeographic analysis of *Mycobacterium leprae*. Nature genetics. 2009;41(12):1282-9.
85. Benjak A, Avanzi C, Singh P, Loiseau C, Girma S, Busso P, et al. Phylogenomics and antimicrobial resistance of the leprosy bacillus *Mycobacterium leprae*. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):352.
86. Schuenemann VJ, Singh P, Mendum TA, Krause-Kyora B, Jager G, Bos KI, et al. Genome-wide comparison of medieval and modern *Mycobacterium leprae*. Science. 2013;341(6142):179-83.
87. Schuenemann VJ, Avanzi C, Krause-Kyora B, Seitz A, Herbig A, Inskip S, et al. Ancient genomes reveal a high diversity of *Mycobacterium leprae* in medieval Europe. PLoS pathogens. 2018;14(5):e1006997.
88. Mendum TA, Schuenemann VJ, Roffey S, Taylor GM, Wu H, Singh P, et al. *Mycobacterium leprae* genomes from a British medieval leprosy hospital: towards understanding an ancient epidemic. BMC genomics. 2014;15:270.
89. Suzuki K, Takigawa W, Tanigawa K, Nakamura K, Ishido Y, Kawashima A, et al. Detection of *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA from archaeological skeletal remains in Japan using whole genome amplification and polymerase chain reaction. PLoS one. 2010;5(8):e12422.
90. Krause-Kyora B, Nutsua M, Boehme L, Pierini F, Pedersen DD, Kornell S-C, et al. Ancient DNA study reveals HLA susceptibility locus for leprosy in medieval Europeans. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1569-.
91. Donoghue HD. Tuberculosis and leprosy associated with historical human population movements in Europe and beyond - an overview based on mycobacterial ancient DNA. Annals of human biology. 2019;46(2):120-8.
92. Donoghue HD, Taylor GM, Mendum TA, Stewart GR, Rigouts L, Lee OY-C, et al. The Distribution and Origins of Ancient Leprosy. In: Ribón W, editor. Hansen's Disease - The Forgotten and Neglected Disease. London: IntechOpen; 2018.
93. Singh P, Benjak A, Schuenemann VJ, Herbig A, Avanzi C, Busso P, et al. Insight into the evolution and origin of leprosy bacilli from the genome sequence of *Mycobacterium lepromatosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(14):4459-64.
94. Han XY, Silva FJ. On the age of leprosy. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2014;8(2):e2544-e.
95. Fontes ANB, Lara FA, Santos AR, Suffys P. Pathogen Detection. In: Scollard DM, Gillis TP, editors. International textbook of leprosy. [www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org2017](http://www.internationaltextbookofleprosy.org2017).
96. Martinez AN, Lahiri R, Pittman TL, Scollard D, Truman R, Moraes MO, et al. Molecular determination of *Mycobacterium leprae* viability by use of real-time PCR. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2009;47(7):2124-30.
97. Barbieri RR, Manta FSN, Moreira SJM, Sales AM, Nery JAC, Nascimento LPR, et al. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction in paucibacillary leprosy diagnosis: A follow-up study. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2019;13(3):e0007147.
98. Gama RS, Gomides TAR, Gama CFM, Moreira SJM, de Neves Manta FS, de Oliveira LBP, et al. High frequency of *M. leprae* DNA detection in asymptomatic household contacts. BMC infectious diseases. 2018;18(1):153.
99. Santos DFD, Mendonca MR, Antunes DE, Sabino EFP, Pereira RC, Goulart LR, et al. Molecular, immunological and neurophysiological evaluations for early diagnosis of neural impairment in seropositive leprosy household contacts. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2018;12(5):e0006494.
100. Brito e Cabral P, Junior JE, de Macedo AC, Alves AR, Goncalves TB, Brito e Cabral TC, et al. Anti-PGL1 salivary IgA/IgM, serum IgG/IgM, and nasal *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA in individuals with household contact with leprosy. International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publica-

tion of the International Society for Infectious Diseases. 2013;17(11):e1005-10.

101. Carvalho RS, Foschiani IM, Costa M, Marta SN, da Cunha Lopes Virmond M. Early detection of *M. leprae* by qPCR in untreated patients and their contacts: results for nasal swab and palate mucosa scraping. *European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases* : official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology. 2018;37(10):1863-7.
102. van Beers SM, Izumi S, Madjid B, Maeda Y, Day R, Klatser PR. An epidemiological study of leprosy infection by serology and polymerase chain reaction. *International journal of leprosy and other mycobacterial diseases* : official organ of the International Leprosy Association. 1994;62(1):1-9.
103. Romero-Montoya M, Beltran-Alzate JC, Cardona-Castro N. Evaluation and Monitoring of *Mycobacterium leprae* Transmission in Household Contacts of Patients with Hansen's Disease in Colombia. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2017;11(1):e0005325.
104. Cardona-Castro N, Beltran-Alzate JC, Manrique-Hernandez R. Survey to identify *Mycobacterium leprae*-infected household contacts of patients from prevalent regions of leprosy in Colombia. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2008;103(4):332-6.
105. Manta FSN, Barbieri RR, Moreira SJM, Santos PTS, Nery JAC, Duppre NC, et al. Quantitative PCR for leprosy diagnosis and monitoring in household contacts: A follow-up study, 2011-2018. *Scientific reports*. 2019;9(1):16675.
106. van Hooij A, van den Eeden S, Richardus R, Tjon Kon Fat E, Wilson L, Franken K, et al. Application of new host biomarker profiles in quantitative point-of-care tests facilitates leprosy diagnosis in the field. *EBioMedicine*. 2019;47:301-8.
107. van Hooij A, Tjon Kon Fat EM, Batista da Silva M, Carvalho Bouth R, Cunha Messias AC, Gobbo AR, et al. Evaluation of Immunodiagnostic Tests for Leprosy in Brazil, China and Ethiopia. *Scientific reports*. 2018;8(1):17920.
108. van Hooij A, Tjon Kon Fat EM, Richardus R, van den Eeden SJ, Wilson L, de Dood CJ, et al. Quantitative lateral flow strip assays as User-Friendly Tools To Detect Biomarker Profiles For Leprosy. *Scientific reports*. 2016;6:34260.
109. van Hooij A, Tjon Kon Fat EM, van den Eeden SJF, Wilson L, Batista da Silva M, Salgado CG, et al. Field-friendly serological tests for determination of *M. leprae*-specific antibodies. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7(1):8868.
110. Chen X, You YG, Yuan YH, Yuan LC, Wen Y. Host immune responses induced by specific *Mycobacterium leprae* antigens in an overnight whole-blood assay correlate with the diagnosis of paucibacillary leprosy patients in China. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2019;13(4):e0007318.
111. Queiroz EA, Medeiros NI, Mattos RT, Carvalho APM, Rodrigues-Alves ML, Dutra WO, et al. Immunological biomarkers of subclinical infection in household contacts of leprosy patients. *Immunobiology*. 2019;224(4):518-25.
112. Penna ML, Penna GO, Iglesias PC, Natal S, Rodrigues LC. Anti-PGL-1 Positivity as a Risk Marker for the Development of Leprosy among Contacts of Leprosy Cases: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2016;10(5):e0004703.
113. Spencer JS, Brennan PJ. The role of *Mycobacterium leprae* phenolic glycolipid I (PGL-I) in serodiagnosis and in the pathogenesis of leprosy. *Leprosy review*. 2011;82(4):344-57.
114. Zak DE, Penn-Nicholson A, Scriba TJ, Thompson E, Suliman S, Amon LM, et al. A blood RNA signature for tuberculosis disease risk: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10035):2312-22.
115. Suliman S, Thompson E, Sutherland J, Weiner Rd J, Ota MOC, Shankar S, et al. Four-gene Pan-African Blood Signature Predicts Progression to Tuberculosis. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2018.
116. Jorge K, Souza RP, Assis MTA, Araujo MG, Locati M, Jesus AMR, et al. Characterization of MicroRNA Expression Profiles and Identification of Potential Biomarkers in Leprosy. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2017;55(5):1516-25.
117. Montoya DJ, Andrade P, Silva BJA, Teles RMB, Ma F, Bryson B, et al. Dual RNA-Seq of Human Leprosy Lesions Identifies Bacterial Determinants Linked to Host Immune Response. *Cell reports*. 2019;26(13):3574-85.e3.
118. Andrade PR, Mehta M, Lu J, Teles RMB, Montoya D, Scumpia PO, et al. The cell fate regulator NUPR1 is induced by *Mycobacterium leprae* via type I interferon in human leprosy. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2019;13(7):e0007589.
119. Inkeles MS, Teles RM, Poudar D, Andrade PR, Madigan CA, Lopez D, et al. Cell-type deconvolution with immune pathways identifies gene networks of host defense and immunopathology in leprosy. *JCI insight*. 2016;1(15):e88843.
120. Realegeno S, Kelly-Scumpia KM, Dang AT, Lu J, Teles R, Liu PT, et al. S100A12 Is Part of the Antimicrobial Network against *Mycobacterium leprae* in Human Macrophages. *PLoS pathogens*. 2016;12(6):e1005705.
121. Orlova M, Cobat A, Huong NT, Ba NN, Van TN, Spencer J, et al. Gene set signature of reversal reaction type I in leprosy patients. *PLoS Genet*. 2013;9(7):e1003624.
122. Guerreiro LT, Robottom-Ferreira AB, Ribeiro-Alves M, Toledo-Pinto TG, Rosa BT, Rosa PS, et

- al. Gene expression profiling specifies chemokine, mitochondrial and lipid metabolism signatures in leprosy. *PLoS one*. 2013;8(6):e64748.
123. Bleharski JR, Li H, Meinken C, Graeber TG, Ochoa MT, Yamamura M, et al. Use of genetic profiling in leprosy to discriminate clinical forms of the disease. *Science*. 2003;301(5639):1527-30.
124. Salgado CG, Pinto P, Bouth RC, Gobbo AR, Messias ACC, Sandoval TV, et al. miRNome Expression Analysis Reveals New Players on Leprosy Immune Physiopathology. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2018;9(463).
125. Guerreiro LT, Robottom-Ferreira AB, Ribeiro-Alves M, Toledo-Pinto TG, Rosa Brito T, Rosa PS, et al. Gene expression profiling specifies chemokine, mitochondrial and lipid metabolism signatures in leprosy. *PLoS one*. 2013;8(6):e64748.
126. Geluk A, van Meijgaarden KE, Wilson L, Bobosha K, JJ vdP-vS, van den Eeden SJ, et al. Longitudinal immune responses and gene expression profiles in type 1 leprosy reactions. *J Clin Immunol*. 2014;34(2):245-55.
127. Rego JL, de Lima Santana N, Machado PRL, Ribeiro-Alves M, de Toledo-Pinto TG, Castellucci LC, et al. Whole blood profiling of leprosy type 1 (reversal) reactions highlights prominence of innate immune response genes. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2018;18(1):422.
128. Mi Z, Liu H, Zhang F. Advances in the Immunology and Genetics of Leprosy. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:567.
129. Fava VM, Dallmann-Sauer M, Schurr E. Genetics of leprosy: today and beyond. *Hum Genet*. 2019.
130. Zhang F, Liu H, Chen S, Low H, Sun L, Cui Y, et al. Identification of two new loci at IL23R and RAB32 that influence susceptibility to leprosy. *Nature genetics*. 2011;43(12):1247-51.
131. Wang Z, Sun Y, Fu Xa, Yu G, Wang C, Bao F, et al. A large-scale genome-wide association and meta-analysis identified four novel susceptibility loci for leprosy. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7(1):13760.
132. Wang Z, Mi Z, Wang H, Sun L, Yu G, Fu X, et al. Discovery of 4 exonic and 1 intergenic novel susceptibility loci for leprosy. *Clinical Genetics*. 2018;94(2):259-63.
133. Liu H, Wang Z, Li Y, Yu G, Fu Xa, Wang C, et al. Genome-Wide Analysis of Protein-Coding Variants in Leprosy. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 2017;137(12):2544-51.
134. Andiappan AK, Melchiotti R, Poh TY, Nah M, Puan KJ, Vigano E, et al. Genome-wide analysis of the genetic regulation of gene expression in human neutrophils. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6(1):7971.
135. Bochud P-Y, Hawn TR, Siddiqui MR, Saunderson P, Britton S, Abraham I, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) polymorphisms are associated with reversal reaction in leprosy. *J Infect Dis*. 2008;197(2):253-61.
136. de Messias-Reason IJ, Boldt ABW, Braga ACM, Stahlke EVRS, Dornelles L, Pereira-Ferrari L, et al. The Association between Mannan-Binding Lectin Gene Polymorphism and Clinical Leprosy: New Insight into an Old Paradigm. *J Infect Dis*. 2007;196(9):1379-85.
137. Santos AR, Suffys PN, Vanderborgh PR, Moraes MO, Vieira LMM, Cabello PH, et al. Role of Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$  and Interleukin-10 Promoter Gene Polymorphisms in Leprosy. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;186(11):1687-91.
138. Camargo RMD, Silva WLD, Medeiros P, Belone AdFF, Latini ACP. Polymorphisms in the TGFB1 and IL2RA genes are associated with clinical forms of leprosy in Brazilian population. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*. 2018;113.
139. Alter A, de Léséleuc L, Van Thuc N, Thai VH, Huong NT, Ba NN, et al. Genetic and functional analysis of common MRC1 exon 7 polymorphisms in leprosy susceptibility. *Human Genetics*. 2010;127(3):337-48.
140. Grant AV, Cobat A, Van Thuc N, Orlova M, Huong NT, Gaschignard J, et al. CUBN and NEBL common variants in the chromosome 10p13 linkage region are associated with multibacillary leprosy in Vietnam. *Human Genetics*. 2014;133(7):883-93.
141. de Messias IJ, Santamaria J, Brenden M, Reis A, Mauff G. Association of C4B deficiency (C4B\*Q0) with erythema nodosum in leprosy. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 1993;92(2):284-7.
142. Fava VM, Manry J, Cobat A, Orlova M, Van Thuc N, Ba NN, et al. A Missense LRRK2 Variant Is a Risk Factor for Excessive Inflammatory Responses in Leprosy. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2016;10(2):e0004412.
143. Fava VM, Xu YZ, Lettre G, Van Thuc N, Orlova M, Thai VH, et al. Pleiotropic effects for Parkin and LRRK2 in leprosy type-1 reactions and Parkinson's disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2019;116(31):15616-24.
144. Fava VM, Manry J, Cobat A, Orlova M, Van Thuc N, Moraes MO, et al. A genome wide association study identifies a lncRna as risk factor for pathological inflammatory responses in leprosy. *PLOS Genetics*. 2017;13(2):e1006637.
145. Fava VM, Sales-Marques C, Alcais A, Moraes MO, Schurr E. Age-Dependent Association of TNFSF15/TNFSF8 Variants and Leprosy Type 1 Reaction. *Front Immunol*. 2017;8:155.
146. Fava VM, Cobat A, Van Thuc N, Latini ACP, Stefani MMA, Belone AF, et al. Association of TNFSF8 Regulatory Variants With Excessive Inflammatory Responses but not Leprosy Per Se. *J Infect*



Dis. 2014;211(6):968-77.

147. Misch EA, Macdonald M, Ranjit C, Sapkota BR, Wells RD, Siddiqui MR, et al. Human TLR1 Deficiency Is Associated with Impaired Mycobacterial Signaling and Protection from Leprosy Reversal Reaction. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2008;2(5):e231.

148. Berrington WR, Macdonald M, Khadge S, Sapkota BR, Janer M, Hagge DA, et al. Common Polymorphisms in the NOD2 Gene Region Are Associated with Leprosy and Its Reactive States. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(9):1422-35.



