



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A European Ministry of Finance? Charting and testing the national constitutional limits to EU fiscal integration

Behre, F.

Citation

Behre, F. (2021, October 21). *A European Ministry of Finance?: Charting and testing the national constitutional limits to EU fiscal integration*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3220830>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3220830>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

A European Ministry of Finance?

A European Ministry of Finance?

Charting and Testing the National Constitutional
Limits to EU Fiscal Integration

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 21 oktober 2021
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Frederik Behre

geboren te Hannover (Duitsland)

in 1991

Promotor: prof. dr. S.C.G. Van den Bogaert
Co-promotor: prof. dr. A. Cuyvers

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. R.C. Tobler
prof. dr. M. Nettesheim (Tübingen University, Germany)
prof. dr. T. Ojanen (Helsinki University, Finland)
prof. B. Smulders (Vrije Universiteit Brussels, Belgium)

Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio, Delft
Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest

© 2021 F. Behre

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden veeleenvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische veeleenvoudingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

Acknowledgments

Thanking people and acknowledging their support, contribution and inspiration in the writing of this thesis is a pleasant and an impossible task. I want to start by thanking everybody that was part of this process and take the opportunity to thank some people individually.

First and foremost, my supervisors Stefaan Van den Bogaert and Armin Cuyvers for their continuous support, for inspiring and motivating me. Your detailed and constructive feedback was vital for developing, refining and finishing this manuscript. To put it with a metaphor Stefaan often used: you helped me to get the “plane” into the air, to reach cruising altitude and to land it safely onto the tarmac. I am extremely grateful for your supervision.

Secondly, I want to thank the Meijers Institute and Graduate School for awarding my PhD-project with a Meijers research grant.

Thirdly, I would like to thank all members of the Reading Committee, Prof. Christa Tobler, Prof. Martin Nettesheim, Prof. Tuomas Ojanen and Prof. Ben Smulders. I feel extremely honored that you invested time and effort into reading my manuscript and want to thank you for your valuable comments.

Fourthly, I want to thank Prof. Juha Raitio, Prof. Tuomas Ojanen and Prof. Kaarlo Tuori for welcoming me to Helsinki University and for providing an excellent environment for fruitful discussion. I also want to thank Prof. Martin Nettesheim for engaging in an (online) conversation on parts of my research – and I hope to come to Tübingen soon.

Then, I want to thank Prof. Volker Epping from Hannover University who supported me in the beginning of my studies, recommended me to the *Studienstiftung* and sparked my interest for (German) constitutional law.

Andrew Graham, Tracey Sowerby, Hartmut Mayer, Susanne Heinrich and all Europaeum Scholars, thank you for enriching my PhD-time with stimulating new perspectives on EU integration and enabling us to create *You&EU*. Andrew and Peggotty thank you for being so kind and inspiring.

I want to thank my close friends and paronyms Barбора Budinská and Lukas Pajunk. Barбора, I enjoyed our countless discussions – and I am looking forward to continuing them, maybe in the Tatra Mountains. Lukas, thank you for being a steady friend for the past fifteen years. I have great memories of our time at school, at university, in Finland and of our travels. I am sure many more will follow.

A special thanks goes to the colleagues at the Europa Institute – Pauline, Petra, Micky, Daniel C., Daniel M., Vestert, Melanie, Elise, Eva, Veronika,

Maarten, Valentine, Richard, Carina, Alison, Rick, Luuk, Tom, Moritz, Ben and many more. You make the Europa Institute an enjoyable working environment. Thank you, Vicky, for all our inspiring talks and your critical questions on our many walks in Amsterdam West – and for being a caring friend. Thank you, Darinka, for your feedback on the comparative framework. Barbora, Ilektra, Jet and Norika, thank you for all emotional and intellectual “office” support. Thank you Kristel – you were a dear colleague and became a close friend. And thank you Jorrit for your continuous support.

To Alicja, thank you for being an amazing friend and for making sure this manuscript reads well. To Bassi, you were a great flat mate and I have the best memories of your visits to Leiden and Amsterdam. Thank you for being such a joyful friend. To Pia, Michael, Jill, Laura, Maarten, Marjolein, Martijn, Claudio, Daniele, Luca, Joost, Jessica, Tom, Santiago, Gioele, Kim, Valentina, Roberto, Helenard, Nele, Kerstin, Alex – you were a much-needed distraction from the research work.

To my family in Germany, my parents, my sister, my grandparents, aunts, uncles, cousins, I am extremely grateful for the many joyous moments with you. Mom and dad, thank you for all your love and support on the long journey from Kindergarten to completing this PhD. You taught me to be committed, to stay curious and to be gentle in everything I do. Thank you for being the best role models I can imagine. Anna-Lena, so many moments we shared together since our childhood and I am happy you were part of this important process. Thank you to you and Jörg for the wonderful moments we shared. And thank you to Beatriz, Mauricio, Sebastian, Laura and all the Ballens for welcoming me to your family and for bringing so much additional joy to my life.

To Simón, thank you for your love, support and for being with me. I cannot find words to express my gratitude for everything you do for me – including for all your important help in finishing this manuscript. You assisted me in creating the graphical input of this PhD, you critically questioned my ideas and you opened new perspectives on many of my research findings. You enrich my thinking process and you show me how to be more creative and flexible. Thank you for making me happy.

Table of contents

I	INTRODUCTION	1
1	Charting and testing the national constitutional limits to EU fiscal integration	1
2	Making the case for EU fiscal integration	6
3	EU fiscal integration between a fiscal rock and a constitutional hard place?	12
4	Research question, methodology and structure of the research	17
4.1	Comparative research methodology	18
4.1.1	General distinction – on rigid and flexible constitutional approaches	19
4.1.2	A two-tiered comparative approach	24
4.1.2.1	Macro-comparison of constitutional systems	24
4.1.2.2	Micro-comparison of national constitutional identity limits	26
4.1.3	Resulting comparatively charted constitutional space for fiscal integration	31
4.2	Constitutional flexibility as employed research tool	32
4.2.1	Constitutional consistency assessment	33
4.2.2	National best practices	35
4.3	Structure of the research	37
5	Constructing a resilient Euro within the national constitutional framework	38
	PART I – CHARTING THE NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL SPACE	41
	<i>SECTION I: Macro-Comparative Assessment of Finland and Germany</i>	43
	<i>Introducing the macro-comparative assessment</i>	43
II	MACRO-COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF FINLAND	47
1	Finland and EU integration	47
2	Introducing the Finnish Constitutional Order	50
2.1	Finnish constitutional reforms to accommodate EU cooperation	52
2.2	The Finnish Constitutional Law Committee	54
2.3	Interim conclusion: Constitutional embracement of EU membership	58

3	Procedural and institutional framework applicable to EU fiscal integration	58
3.1	Transferring budgetary and fiscal competences to the EU	58
3.1.1	Preliminary step: Scope for EU cooperation	60
3.1.2	Conferral of competences under Section 94 Finnish Constitution	60
3.1.3	Constitutional amendments according to Section 73 Finnish Constitution	65
3.1.3.1	Fiscal integration via exceptive enactment?	65
3.1.3.2	Fiscal integration via constitutional amendments?	66
3.1.4	Application of the framework during the Eurocrisis	68
3.1.5	Interim conclusions: EU fiscal integration with parliamentary approval	70
3.2	The Finnish model of national engagement in EU matters	71
3.2.1	Mandating the Finnish vote in the Council of Ministers	72
3.2.1.1	The procedural steps	72
3.2.1.2	The effect of the parliamentary mandate – real scrutiny?	74
3.2.2	Section 97: Scrutinizing the Finnish vote in the European Council	75
3.2.3	Conclusive appraisal and outlook: Exemplary parliamentary involvement?	75
3.3	<i>Ex ante</i> Constitutional review and <i>ex post</i> judicial scrutiny	76
3.4	Applicable procedural and institutional framework to EU fiscal integration	77
4	Substantive framework applicable to EU fiscal integration	78
4.1	Finnish sovereignty: An evolutionary conception	79
4.1.1	The historic origin of Finnish sovereignty	80
4.1.2	A new conception of Finnish sovereignty	82
4.1.3	Application during the Eurocrisis and implications for EU fiscal integration	86
4.2	Finnish democracy	92
4.2.1	A normative core of Finnish democracy?	92
4.2.2	Consequences for EU fiscal integration steps	94
4.3	Section 94 (3) Finnish Constitution: A Finnish <i>eternity clause</i> ?	94
4.4	Conclusive appraisal: Substantive flexibility towards European fiscal integration	96
5	Resulting constitutional space: The Finnish road to EU fiscal integration	97
III	MACRO-COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF GERMANY	99
1	Germany and EU integration	99
2	Setting the stage: EU membership and the German Constitution	101
2.1	Origin of the German Constitution and its EU features	102
2.2	The German Constitutional Court	104
3	Procedural and institutional framework for EU cooperation	106
3.1	Conferral of additional competences to the EU under Article 23 GG	108
3.1.1	Structural requirements applicable to the conferral of powers	109

3.1.2	Constitutional requirements for the conferral	109
3.1.3	Application of Article 23 (1) GG to EU fiscal integration	111
3.2	Participation of parliament in the exercise of conferred powers	112
3.3	The involvement of the German Federal Council	113
3.4	Constitutional oversight: Proceedings before the <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>	114
3.4.1	Individuals initiating constitutional proceedings	115
3.4.1.1	Possible violation of individual constitutional rights	116
3.4.1.2	Application of subjective gravamen in EU matters	117
3.4.1.3	Emerging constitutional double standard	119
3.4.2	Institutional actors initiating constitutional proceedings	123
3.4.2.1	Constitutional requirements for initiating inter- institutional proceedings	123
3.4.2.2	Application of adversarial nature of proceedings in EU matters	124
3.4.2.3	Possible constitutional double standard	126
3.4.3	An apparent procedural double standard	127
3.5	Applicable procedural and institutional framework for EU fiscal integration	127
4	Substantive framework applicable to EU fiscal integration	129
4.1	Underpinning mechanisms	129
4.1.1	Eternity clause	129
4.1.2	The different limits employed by the German Constitutional Court	134
4.1.2.1	Fundamental rights review	135
4.1.2.2	Ultra vires review	136
4.1.2.3	Constitutional identity review	138
4.1.2.4	Overlap of ultra vires and identity review	138
4.1.3	Guiding concepts for constitutional jurisprudence on EU integration	139
4.1.3.1	EU law friendliness of the German Constitution	139
4.1.3.2	Continuous institutional responsibility for EU integration	141
4.2	Constitutional principles	142
4.2.1	Democracy	142
4.2.1.1	Legitimizing subject ('Volkssouveränität')	144
4.2.1.2	Elections as mechanism to determine representation	146
4.2.1.3	Legitimizing object: Components allowing for a political process	147
4.2.1.4	Delegation of public authority	149
4.2.1.5	Resulting challenge for EU (fiscal) integration	150
4.2.2	Overall budgetary responsibility	155
4.2.2.1	Underpinning democracy-theoretical considerations	156
4.2.2.2	Requirements of budgetary responsibility	158
4.2.2.3	Application of these requirements by the <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>	162

4.2.2.4	Conclusion on overall budgetary responsibility	166
4.2.2.5	Possible double standard in conception of overall budgetary responsibility	167
4.2.3	German sovereignty	174
5	Resulting German constitutional space for EU fiscal integration steps	176
6	Additional constitutional space by applying consistent constitutional standard	178
IV	COMPARATIVE CONCLUSIONS ON FINLAND AND GERMANY	183
1	Comparing Finland and Germany	183
2	Comparing national constitutional review	183
3	Comparing the national procedural framework for EU fiscal integration	186
4	Comparing the national substantive benchmark for EU fiscal integration	190
5	Overall comparative conclusion	194
	<i>SECTION II: Micro-Comparative Assessment of Selected National Material Limits to EU Fiscal Integration</i>	197
	<i>Introducing the micro-comparative assessment</i>	197
V	MICRO-COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF FRENCH, GERMAN, POLISH AND SPANISH LIMIT TO EU FISCAL INTEGRATION	199
1	Introduction	199
2	The French constitutional approach to EU fiscal integration	199
2.1	Identifying the relevant French constitutional limits	202
2.1.1	Relevant constitutional limits for EU primary law	205
2.1.2	Relevant constitutional limits for EU secondary law	207
2.1.3	Ambiguity of the term 'constitutional identity' in the French context	209
2.2	Essential conditions for the exercise of national sovereignty	211
2.2.1	Institutional actor enforcing the limit	211
2.2.2	Procedural framework – or how to trigger the limit	214
2.2.3	Constitutional basis of the employed limit	216
2.2.4	Substantive core protected by the limit	218
2.2.4.1	The conception of the French sovereignty doctrine	218
2.2.4.2	Sovereignty as limit to EU integration: A competence-based approach	222
2.2.4.3	Interim conclusion – a substantive limit to EU fiscal integration?	227
2.2.5	Longevity and absoluteness of the constitutional limit	228
2.3	Particularity of the French constitutional identity limit	229
2.3.1	Scope of application of the constitutional identity limit	230
2.3.2	Substantive content covered by the French constitutional identity limit	233
2.3.3	Interim conclusion: No immediate limit for EU fiscal integration	235

2.4	Conclusion on the French constitutional identity limit	236
3	The Spanish constitutional perspective – an emerging <i>constitutional identity</i> ?	239
3.1	Identifying the core constitutional limit to EU fiscal integration in Spain	242
3.2	Spanish constitutional identity limit	245
3.2.1	Institutional actor enforcing the limit	246
3.2.2	Procedural framework – or how to trigger the limit	248
3.2.3	Constitutional basis of the employed limit	250
3.2.4	Substantive core protected by the limit	252
3.2.4.1	Protecting Spanish sovereignty and its statehood	253
3.2.4.2	Protecting the hierarchical position of the Spanish Constitution	256
3.2.4.3	The Tribunal’s concrete assessment	258
3.2.4.4	Interim conclusion on the Spanish substantive core	259
3.2.5	Longevity and absoluteness of the constitutional limit	261
3.3	Conclusion	263
4	The Polish perspective on EU fiscal integration	266
4.1	Identifying the core constitutional limits to EU fiscal integration in Poland	269
4.2	The Polish <i>constitutional identity</i> limit – protecting a <i>sovereign</i> Poland?	271
4.2.1	Institutional actor enforcing the limit	272
4.2.2	Procedural framework – or how to trigger the limit	273
4.2.3	Constitutional basis of the employed limit	276
4.2.4	Substantive core protected by the limit	277
4.2.4.1	Constitutional identity limit: general characteristics	278
4.2.4.2	Polish sovereignty	281
4.2.4.3	Interim conclusion	287
4.2.5	Longevity and absoluteness of the constitutional limit	289
4.3	The rule of law at risk in Poland: The independence of the Constitutional Tribunal	291
4.4	Conclusion	295
5	Research findings on German constitutional identity limit	299
VI	COMPARING THE DIFFERENT NATIONAL <i>CONSTITUTIONAL IDENTITY LIMITS</i> AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR EU FISCAL INTEGRATION PROPOSALS	303
1	Introducing the comparative evaluation	303
2	What national constitutional space for EU fiscal integration?	303
3	Institutional and procedural framework of national <i>constitutional identity</i> limits	316
4	Implications of the comparative findings for EU fiscal integration	319

PART II – TESTING THE CHARTED NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL SPACE	321
<i>Introduction: The Constitutional Feasibility of EU Fiscal Integration Proposals</i>	323
VII DECONSTRUCTING EU FISCAL INTEGRATION PROPOSALS	331
1 Introduction	331
2 Creating a Eurozone fiscal capacity	331
2.1 Eurozone-budget	332
2.2 Emergency budgetary instruments	335
2.3 Interim conclusion on increased fiscal capacity	337
3 Funding options for fiscal integration	338
3.1 The required funding	338
3.2 Funding sources	340
3.2.1 Treaty-based funding sources	340
3.2.2 Extending the current Treaty-framework	343
3.2.3 Interim conclusion on possible funding sources	345
3.3 Interim conclusion on funding options	345
4 EU Ministry of Finance: Creating administrative-executive capacity	346
5 Enhanced supervision of national budgeting	350
6 Conclusion: The envisaged EU fiscal integration	353
VIII THE COMPATIBILITY OF EU FISCAL INTEGRATION PROPOSALS WITH NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL CONCERNS	355
1 Introduction	355
2 Compatibility of fiscal integration with current national constitutional space	356
2.1 Compatibility of an EU fiscal capacity with national constitutional space	357
2.1.1 A fiscal capacity or instrument based on the existing Treaty-framework	357
2.1.1.1 Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	358
2.1.1.2 Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	367
2.1.1.3 Interim conclusion	371
2.1.2 A budgetary capacity or instrument introduced by EU Treaty amendment	372
2.1.2.1 Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	372
2.1.2.2 Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	378
2.1.2.3 Interim conclusion	387
2.1.3 Conclusion on feasibility of EU budgetary capacity or instrument	388
2.2 Compatibility of funding options with national constitutional space	392
2.2.1 Introducing new tax-based own resources for the EU	393

2.2.2	Genuine EU taxation competence	398
2.2.2.1	Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	399
2.2.2.2	Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	403
2.2.2.3	Interim conclusion	407
2.2.3	EU debts and shared liabilities	407
2.2.3.1	Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	408
2.2.3.2	Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	414
2.2.3.3	Interim conclusion	420
2.2.4	Conclusion – Altering constitutional appraisal of Eurozone budgetary tool	422
2.3	Compatibility of an EU Ministry of Finance with national constitutional space	424
2.3.1	Structural-institutional dimension of the proposed Eurozone Ministry	425
2.3.1.1	Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	425
2.3.1.2	Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	430
2.3.1.3	Interim conclusion	433
2.3.2	Conferring new competences to the Eurozone Ministry	433
2.3.2.1	Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	434
2.3.2.2	Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	437
2.3.2.3	Interim conclusion	439
2.3.3	Conclusion on the creation of an EU Ministry of Finance	439
2.4	Compatibility of tightened EU supervision with national constitutional space	441
2.4.1	Compatibility with the charted macro-comparative constitutional space	442
2.4.2	Compatibility with the charted micro-comparative constitutional space	444
2.4.3	Conclusion on tightened EU fiscal supervision	445
2.5	Interim conclusion: Fiscal integration within the current constitutional space?	447
3	Compatibility through constitutional flexibility	448
3.1	Consistent constitutional standard in Germany	448
3.1.1	Actual German contributions to the EU budget	450
3.1.2	Net contribution of Germany	451
3.1.3	Wider EU advantages and German interest in a functioning Euro	452
3.1.4	Focus on procedural dimension of overall budgetary responsibility	453
3.1.5	Interim conclusion on the German constitutional approach	454

3.2	Best practice examples	455
3.3	Re-conception of national approach and EU benefits	457
3.4	Interim conclusion: EU integration as two-way street	459
4	Final conclusions on the constitutional feasibility of EU fiscal integration	459
IX	OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK	467
1	Tackling the dilemma: Constitutional concerns and EU fiscal integration	467
2	Charted comparative constitutional space for EU fiscal integration	469
3	Constitutionally attainable EU fiscal integration steps	474
4	Transforming national constitutional limits through <i>constitutional flexibility</i>	475
4.1	Consistent application of the national constitutional framework	476
4.2	Creating constitutional flexibility through domestic best practices	478
4.3	Immediate and indirect benefits of EU cooperation	481
5	Transforming the design of fiscal integration proposals	483
6	Outlook: EU cooperation as a national constitutional duty in the 21 st century	485
	ZUSAMMENFASSUNG (GERMAN SUMMARY)	489
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	491
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	493
	CURRICULUM VITAE	533