

novel analytical approaches to characterize particles in biopharmaceuticals

Grabarek, A.D.

Citation

Grabarek, A. D. (2021, October 21). *novel analytical approaches to characterize particles in biopharmaceuticals*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3217865

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral</u> <u>thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University</u> <u>of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3217865

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Chapter 7

Forced degradation of cell-based medicinal products guided by flow imaging microscopy: explorative studies with Jurkat cells

Adam D. Grabarek^{1,2}, Wim Jiskoot^{1,2*}, Andrea Hawe², Karin Overzet-Pike³, Tim Menzen^{2*}

¹Coriolis Pharma, Fraunhoferstraße 18 b, 82152 Martinsried, Germany ²Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, The Netherlands ³Department of Immunology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. *corresponding author

The chapter has been published in the European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics: Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2021; 167: 38-47

Abstract

Cell-based medicinal products (CBMPs) offer ground-breaking opportunities to treat diseases with limited or no therapeutic options. However, the intrinsic complexity of CBMPs results in great challenges with respect to analytical characterization and stability assessment. In our study, we submitted Jurkat cell suspensions to forced degradation studies mimicking conditions to which CBMPs might be exposed from procurement of cells to administration of the product. Flow imaging microscopy assisted by machine learning was applied for determination of cell viability and concentration, and quantification of debris particles. Additionally, orthogonal cell characterization techniques were used. Thawing of cells at 5 °C was detrimental to cell viability and resulted in high numbers of debris particles, in contrast to thawing at 37 °C or 20 °C which resulted in better stability. After freezing of cell suspensions at -18 °C in presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), a DMSO concentration of 2.5% (v/v) showed low stabilizing properties, whereas 5% or 10% was protective. Horizontal shaking of cell suspensions did not affect cell viability, but led to a reduction in cell concentration. Fetal bovine serum (10% [v/v]) protected the cells during shaking. In conclusion, forced degradation studies with application of orthogonal analytical characterization methods allow for CBMP stability assessment and formulation screening.

Introduction

The number of cell-based medicinal products (CBMPs) entering clinical trials and being approved by major regulatory bodies for commercial use is consistently increasing each year¹. Despite the promising clinical data emerging from the use of these innovative therapeutic products, many challenges remain in the areas of manufacturing, formulation development and analytical characterization^{2,3}.

Most CBMPs consist of living cells, which are intrinsically fragile and much more susceptible to unfavourable conditions compared to other biotherapeutics, such as protein-based products. Nonetheless, irrespective of the type of the CBMP (e.g., autologous or allogenic, genetically modified or not), they often undergo multiple and complex manufacturing steps before being administered to the patient. The main processing steps CBMPs undergo during their production include isolation of cells from a healthy donor or patient, ex-vivo cell manipulation, formulation, storage, and quality control prior to release and administration⁴. Furthermore, between each of the above listed steps, transportation, freeze-thawing or manual handling of CBMPs occurs. With clinical site-specific handling procedures, differences in processing and administration of the product will also occur⁵. Such diverse and multistep production and handling processes expose cells to a range of intended and/or accidental environmental stress factors, such as freeze-thawing, surface related stress (i.e., mechanical stress), thermal and oxidative stress. Each of these kinds of stresses may result in accidental cell death or alterations in cell activity. Therefore, examination of the impact of formulation parameters on cell stability under these stress conditions should be considered to better understand the sensitivity of the product to the stress factors involved. This will likely contribute to new insights that can be employed to mitigate the potential risk of therapeutic failure and the occurrence of serious adverse effects due to poor product quality^{6,7}.

Introduction or formation of particulate impurities in CBMPs is one of the potential risks associated with manufacturing and handling of these drug products^{3,8,9}. Particles found in CBMPs can originate from either the process or the cell product itself. Process-related

particulate impurities may include ineffectively removed antibody coated magnetic beads used for activation and expansion of cells, viral vectors utilized in a cell transduction step, extrinsic particles (glass, cellulose, rubber), or leachables derived from primary containers¹⁰⁻¹³. Sterile filtration of cell suspensions is not possible because of the inherent size of cells; therefore, unwanted micrometer-sized particles will remain in the product if their removal is not complete¹⁴. Cell-based impurities, on the other hand, comprise materials originating from cells. These may be non-viable or non-therapeutic cells¹⁵, as well as cell agglomerates and cellular debris^{9,16}. For example, necrosis induced in cells exposed to extreme physiological conditions (pyroptosis) leads to plasma membrane rupture, release of intracellular contents and formation of debris particles¹⁷. In contrast to apoptotic (programmed) cell death, where cellular components are packaged into vesicles and digested by appropriate caspases for facilitated removal by the immune system¹⁸, necrosis produces debris with potent inflammatory properties. One of the results from these debris particles may be an adverse immune response upon administration¹⁹. Furthermore, if larger micrometer-sized particles are introduced into the smaller blood vessels, they may result in tissue damage from thromboembolism²⁰.

Forced degradation studies are commonly included in the development of any pharmaceutical product as part of establishment of analytical methods and formulation screenings^{21,22}. Alongside real time stability studies at the intended storage conditions, forced degradation studies are applied to estimate the shelf-life of products as well as define suitable storage and handling conditions²³. Moreover, this type of studies can simulate accidental exposure of drug products to deleterious conditions and assist in the evaluation of potential risks occurring to drug products throughout their product life-cycle. These studies also assist in selecting appropriate excipients during formulation development where the aim is to determine a component mixture achieving maximum stability for the active pharmaceutical ingredient. Even though the manufacturability, critical quality attributes (CQAs), degradation pathways and product degradants in CBMPs will vary substantially from, e.g., protein-based therapeutics, the same concept of forced degradation studies can be highly relevant in process and product development of CBMPs.

Extensive testing of a CBMP prior to administration to a patient is a regulatory obligation to assure the product is safe, efficacious and of good quality. The testing parameters include identity, purity, activity and potency of cells. However, also cellular and non-cellular materials must be identified and qualified^{15,24}. For this purpose, reliable analytical methods for characterization of product quality and stability testing are necessary²⁵. In this study we utilized flow imaging microscopy coupled with convolutional neural networks (FIM-CNN) to establish a high-throughput and label free method for quantification of viable and necrotic cells as well as debris particles in the size range from 1 to 50 μ m. We submitted Jurkat cells to external stress factors, which allowed us to define the impact of thawing temperature, freeze-thaw stress and shaking stress on the stability of Jurkat cells. In addition to FIM-CNN, we measured cell quality attributes, including cell membrane integrity and cell metabolism, after exposure of the cells to the same stress stimuli.

Materials and methods

Materials

T-cell leukemia cells (Jurkat, Clone E6-1, ATCC[®] TIB152[™]) were donated by Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC) as frozen 1-ml aliquots at a total cell concentration of 1x10⁷ cells/ml (cells counted using NucleoCounter3000 [Chemometec]), and were stored at -145 °C prior to usage. Jurkat cells were formulated in high-glucose RPMI 1640 (RPMI medium; ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies, USA) and 10% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). For washing and dilution steps RPMI medium, 1x phosphate buffered saline (Gibco[™], pH, 7.4; ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA) or fetal bovine serum stain buffer (FisherScientific, New Hampshire, USA) was used. FBS (heat inactivated, sterile-filtered) and DMSO (suitable for hybridoma, ≥ 99.7%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit (annexin V, fluorescein isothiocyanate [FITC] and propidium iodide [PI]), calcein AM dye and CyQUANT[™] LDH kit were purchased from (ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA).

Forced degradation studies

Jurkat cells were exposed to three types of forced degradation studies, as described below. Each of the three types of stress conditions were carried out in duplicate on different days.

Thawing as stress factor

Single 1-ml frozen cell aliquots were transferred from storage at -145 °C on dry ice to a water bath (GFL, Burgwedel, Germany) for thawing at 37 °C for 1 min 30 sec (the default thawing condition). For investigation of other thawing temperatures, cells were also thawed in a water bath at 25 °C for 2 min 40 sec and at 5 °C for 4 min 25 sec. Thawing in the water bath was performed to solely dissolve the ice adhering to the walls of the cryovials. Further, the 1-ml aliquot of the partially frozen cell suspension was transferred into 40 ml of RPMI medium equilibrated to the thawing temperature, where the remaining of the ice nucleus dissolved within seconds. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 350 x g for 10 minutes at 20 °C, after which the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of RPMI medium. Total cell concentration and viability were assessed by using a CASY counter (Bremen, Germany) and dilution to 1x10⁶ cells/ml was performed by addition of the required volume of RPMI medium (containing DMSO or FBS where stated).

Freeze-thaw stress

DMSO, cooled on wet ice, was slowly added to cell suspensions (placed on wet ice) to reach a target concentration 1%, 2.5%, 5% and 10% (v/v) at a cell concentration of 1x10⁶ cells/ml. Further, five 1-ml aliquots were prepared in 1.8-ml Nalgene cryovials, which were submitted to freezing at -18 °C for 3 hours. This period of time was sufficient for complete freezing of the aliquots. Prior to analysis, the samples were thawed for 2 min at 37 °C, pooled and washed with fresh RPMI medium. Control samples were stored at 20 °C for 3 hours and were otherwise treated identically as the freeze-thawed samples.

Shaking stress

Cells at a concentration of 1x10⁶ cells/ml (without or with FBS at 10% [v/v]) were filled (1 ml) into five Nalgene cryovials (ThermoScientific, Waltham, USA) with a 1.8 ml volume capacity. The cryovials were submitted to shaking by constant horizontal agitation at 185 rpm at 25 °C by using an IC 4000 shaker (IKA, Germany). Analysis of cell suspensions of pooled cell aliquots was performed following 3 hours of shaking. Control samples were stored statically and protected from light at 25 °C in cryovials, and subsequently pooled.

Flow imaging microscopy (FIM)

Cells (viable and necrotic) and debris particles were quantified by using a FlowCam 8100 (Fluid Imaging Technologies, Scarborough, USA) equipped with a 50-µm flow cell. The objective used resulted in a 20x magnification and sample imaging was performed by a high-resolution CMOS camera (1920x1200 pixels) at 27 frames per second. In total, a sample volume of 140 µl was measured with an efficiency of approximately 63% (i.e., the imaged sample volume was ca. 87 µl). Particles imaged within the flow cell were detected with intensity thresholds of 12 for light and dark pixels. Cleaning steps between sample measurements involved thorough flushing of the flow cell with Terg-a-zyme[®] enzyme detergent (1% [w/v]) and highly purified water. Collected images were not sorted by using any morphological filters and samples were measured in triplicate, unless otherwise stated.

Image analysis using convolutional neural networks

Particle images captured with a FlowCam were analyzed by using convolutional neural networks (CNN). The CNN was based on the VGG-19 architecture described in our previous study²⁶. Briefly, the VGG-19 network using rectified linear unit activation functions, punctuated with max-pooling and dropout layers, was pre-trained on a ImageNet dataset discussed in detail elsewhere²⁷. The re-training of the network was performed with the first ten layers frozen in order to save computation time and improve accuracy of the model by preserving its pre-learned feature recognition capabilities. For fine-tuning we split our dataset into an 8:1:1 ratio for training, validation and testing,

respectively. The model was optimized by running 19 epochs with a stochastic gradient descent optimization algorithm and a 0.001 learning rate. The machine learning model was performed by using Keras (2.2.4), Tensorflow (1.13.1) and Python (3.7.3) libraries, and ran on a Nvidia Turing GPU with 11 GB of VRAM.

Generation of particle images for population discrimination

The CNN was fine-tuned on manually labeled images (4,000-4,500) of each of the three populations: viable cells, necrotic cells and debris particles.

Images of viable cells were manually selected from measured samples consisting of Jurkat cells with a viability of 86.8% (based on PI assay). For selection of necrotic cells, two separate methods were used to induce cell necrosis. Firstly, FlowCam images of cell suspensions treated by heat (55 °C for 90 min) were collected, which showed a viability of 7.6% (based on PI assay). Secondly, cells were imaged after incubation with ethanol (10% (v/v)) for 90 min at 37 °C and had a determined viability of 12.8% (based on PI assay). In order to further define image populations of viable and necrotic cells for the network finetuning, morphological parameters, such as aspect ratio, circle fit, convexity, sigma intensity and symmetry, of the two populations were used. Only particles (cells) of morphological parameter values falling between the 10th and 90th percentile of the manually selected population as viable and necrotic cells were taken for input to the training of the model. Images of debris particles were manually selected from measured suspensions of cells submitted to vortexing for approximately 2 min or two freeze-thaw cycles (-140 °C – 37 °C).

Automated cell counting

A CASY TTC 150 (Omni Life Science, Bremen, Germany) cell counter equipped with a 150- μ m capillary was used for automated cell counting. Cells were diluted 100-fold in CASYton (Omni Life Science, Bremen, Germany) shortly before analysis. Samples were measured in duplicate and each measurement consisted of 5 sub-runs of 400 µl, resulting in more than 5,000 events counted per analysis.

Imaging flow cytometry

To assess cell viability based on cell metabolism, imaging flow cytometry was used in combination with the membrane-permeable dye calcein-AM (calcein-AM assay), at a final concentration of 6.3 nM in the sample to be analyzed. After dye addition, cells were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes (in the dark) and further washed with 200 µl of stain buffer (with FBS; BD Bioscience, New Jersey, USA). Subsequently, samples were analyzed by using an Amnis FlowSight imaging flow cytometer (Luminex, Seattle, USA) and data were analyzed by using IDEAS 6.2.183 (Luminex, Seattle, USA) image analysis software. Sample measurements were carried out by using a 20x magnification objective in medium-sensitivity mode (132 mm/sec). The side scatter laser was set to 10 mW and the 488-nm fluorescence excitation laser was set to 12 mW. Images of the calcein-AM stained cells were recorded in channel 02 (532/55 nm). Brightfield acquisition was set to channel 9 (582/25 nm) with automatically set intensities. Only particles with a Gradient RMS >30 were collected as true events. Appropriate morphological filters were applied to discriminate between cells and debris particles, in order to assess cell viability/necrosis on the cell population only. Cell viability was calculated based on the proportion of viable cells from the total population shown in Figure S1. Each measurement was performed in duplicate.

Cell apoptosis and necrosis were determined by imaging flow cytometry after labelling of the cells with annexin V-FITC and PI assays. Sample preparation was carried out according to the Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit instructions. After incubation for 15 min in the dark at room temperature samples were kept on wet ice until analysis. After adjusting the excitation power of the FlowSight imaging flow cytometer to 4 mW, the same instrument settings as described in for the calcein-AM assay above was used. Brightfield as well as fluorescence images in channels 02 (532/55 nm) for annexin V-FITC and channel 04 (610/30 nm) for PI were recorded. Appropriate morphological filters based on mean intensity, area, aspect ratio and modulation from channel 09 were applied to discriminate between single cells and cell aggregates or debris particles. Once single cells were selected based on brightfield images, mean intensity values from channel 02 (fluorescence) were plotted against the

particle area (erode mask) where the positively stained cells were classified as viable. Cell viability was calculated based on the proportion of viable cells from the total population shown in Figure S1.

Lactate dehydrogenase release assay

Cell membrane integrity was assessed by using a lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay (CyQUANT; ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA). Loss of cell integrity was measured based on the level of LDH released upon damage to the plasma membrane. The assay is based on the conversion of lactate to pyruvate, which is catalyzed by LDH and results in formation of NADH⁺. The tetrazolium salt included in the kit is reduced to formazan in presence of NADH⁺ and can be quantified by absorbance measurements at 490 nm with a reference absorbance of 680 nm. Measurements were performed by using a Tecan Spark plate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The total cell concentration was adjusted to ca. 150,000 cells/ml with RPMI medium (cell concentration to be within the linear range for this assay (data not shown)). Cells were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 45 min (with spiked water or Triton X-100) before the assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol. Release of the amount of LDH in the medium was calculated according to Eq. 1:

LDH release (%) =
$$\left(\frac{Spontanous LDH activity}{Maximum LDH activity}\right) * 100$$
 (Eq. 1)

Spontaneous LDH activity was based on measurements of cell suspensions spiked with water and maximum LDH activity values were derived from cell suspensions spiked with Triton X-100 to a target concentration of 10% (v/v). The results for each sample are based on measurements of four replicate wells.

Results

Jurkat cell suspensions were submitted to thawing at three defined temperatures, freezethawing in the presence of different DMSO concentrations and shaking in absence and presence of FBS. The stressed samples were characterized by using orthogonal methods for evaluation of the quality of cell suspensions.

FIM-CNN for measurement of cell counts, cell viability and debris particle concentration

We used FlowCam images of viable and necrotic cells as well as debris particles (Figure 1 A) to train a CNN for image classification. The recall value (proportion of positive identifications of all true positives present in the population) for classification of debris particles with our model was 99.5%, proving a high confidence of this classification approach (Figure 1 B). Similar recall values were achieved for the viable and necrotic cell populations (94.0% and 96.6%, respectively). For evaluation of the classification model performance, the F1 score is an additional metric used to assess the weighted average of the precision and recall. Here, the F1 score for debris, viable cells and necrotic cells was determined to be 0.991, 0.933 and 0.919, respectively. Such high values confirm the low number of incorrectly classified images during the testing phase of the network's finetuning. Therefore, FIM-CNN is presented in this study as a novel method for determination of the concentration of viable and necrotic cells, as well as for quantification of debris particles with a heterogeneous size distribution (Figure 1C).



Figure 1: (A) Examplery FlowCam images selected for training of the CNN model, (B) confusion matrix obtained from cross-validation analysis on image datasets not used during model training, (C) particle size distribution of cell suspensions vortexed (enriched in debris particles), heat treated (enriched in necrotic cells) and freshly thawed (viable cells) determined by using FlowCam.

Effect of thawing temperature

Frozen Jurkat cell suspensions were thawed at 5 °C, 25 °C and 37 °C to evaluate the impact of thawing temperature on cell quality (Figure 2 A). FIM-CNN and automated cell counting showed an increase in recovery of viable cells with increasing thawing temperatures. Comparable concentrations of viable cells were obtained from both methods; however, the counts for necrotic cells and debris particles differed substantially. FIM-CNN measured a higher number of necrotic cells in samples thawed at 5 °C, as well as an increasing concentration of debris particles with decreasing thawing temperature. At the lowest tested thawing temperature of 5 °C, cell suspensions contained over 4x10⁶ debris particles/ml (i.e., 4-fold higher than the initial cell concentration), including dark and dense particles which were not observed in cell suspensions thawed at 37 °C and 20 °C (Supplementary figure S2). High cell viability was recorded for aliquots thawed at 37 °C and 20 °C (Figure 2 B). The two fluorescence-based methods showed a viability between 86% and 91%, whereas with FIM-CNN values were between 63% and 71%. However, a clear decrease in cell viability to 43%, 50% and 22% was observed for aliquots thawed at 5 °C according to the calcein-AM, PI and FIM-CNN assays, respectively. For all three thawing temperatures, there was a discrepancy in the absolute values of determined cell viability, when comparing the two fluorescence-based assays and FIM-CNN.

The annexin V-FITC assay suggests that cells are more likely to undergo apoptosis when thawed at lower temperatures (Figure 2 C). In particular, a marked increase in apoptotic cells can be observed in cell suspensions thawed at 5 °C. A similar trend was observed with the LDH release assay, albeit less pronounced (Figure 2 FigureD).



Figure 2: Measurements of cell aliquots after thawing at 5 °C, 25 °C and 37 °C. (A) Determination of concentration of cellular debris, viable and necrotic cells measured by using FIM-CNN (FIM) and automated cell counting (ACC). (B) Measurement of cell viability by performing calcein-AM (CA), propiodium iodide (PI) and FIM-CNN assays. Assessment of (C) cell apoptosis with annexin V-FITC assay and (D) LDH release. Error bars represent standard deviations of mean values.

Effect of freeze-thawing

Jurkat cells formulated in RPMI medium and DMSO (0%, 1%, 2.5%, 5% and 10% [v/v]) were submitted to one freeze-thaw cycle, which included storage of the cell suspensions at -18 °C for three hours and 2 min of thawing at 37 °C. Freeze-thawing of cell suspensions with 0% and 1% (v/v) DMSO led to a reduction of cell counts by >98%, indicating that under these conditions practically all cells lose their viability (data not shown).

Concentrations of cells (viable and necrotic) and debris particles were determined by using FIM-CNN (Figure 3 A and B). With higher DMSO concentrations, higher total cell concentrations were observed after one freeze-thaw cycle, compared to lower tested

cryoprotectant concentrations. Furthermore, cell suspensions with 10% (v/v) DMSO contained the lowest number of debris particles after freeze-thawing. Total cell concentrations prior to freezing (T0) and after three hours of storage at room temperature (Ctrl) were comparable for each tested DMSO concentration. However, control cell suspensions with 10% (v/v) DMSO showed slightly elevated debris contents compared to T0 and cell suspensions with lower DMSO content.

Interestingly, cell viability determined after freeze-thawing was heavily dependent on the assay used (Figure 3 C). The calcein-AM assay suggested the smallest changes of cell viability, with 85-90% cell viability, irrespective of DMSO content and time point. In contrast, PI and FIM-CNN assays showed noticeable (ca. 20%) losses in viability for cell suspensions frozen with 2.5% (v/v) DMSO, indicating that this concentration of cryoprotectant is insufficient for cell stabilization upon freezing. The PI assay also showed a smaller drop of ca. 10% in cell viability for cell suspensions with 5% and 10% (v/v) DMSO for freeze-thawed and control samples compared to T0, whereas FIM showed such a decrease in cell viability only for cell formulations containing 10% (v/v) DMSO. The toxic effects of DMSO was demonstrated by loss of cell viability after an exposure of 3 hours at RT for each tested concentration according to the PI assay, and at 10% (v/v) based on FIM. Based on the results from PI and FIM, the best cell-stabilizing effect of DMSO was achieved at 5% (v/v).



Figure 3: Cell suspensions supplemented with DMSO at three different concentrations before (TO) and after a single freeze-thaw step following a storage for 3 hours at either -18 °C (T1) or storage for 3 hours at RT (Ctrl). FIM was used to measure the concentration of (A) total (viable + necrotic) cells and (B) debris particles. (C) Cell viability was deteremined by using calcein-AM (CA), propiodium iodide (PI) and FIM-CNN (FIM) assays. Error bars represent standard deviation of mean values.

An increase in LDH release in the medium was observed in cell suspensions which underwent freeze-thawing or were stored at room temperature in presence of DMSO (Figure 4 A). Only small differences in LDH concentration were observed in cell formulations with different DMSO concentrations. However, cells frozen with 5% (v/v) DMSO showed less LDH release compared to suspensions with 2.5% and 10% (v/v). Similarly, the fraction of apoptotic cells was smallest for cell suspensions with 5% (v/v) upon freeze-thawing (Figure 4 B).



Figure 4: Cell suspensions supplemented with DMSO at three different concentrations befire (TO) and after a single freeze-thaw step following a storage for 3 hours at either -18 °C (T1) or storage for 3 hours at RT (Ctrl). (A) LDH release assay and (B) apoptotic cell fraction quantified by using imaging flow cytometry (annexin V-FITC assay) at given time points. Error bars represent standard deviation of mean values.

Effect of shaking stress

Jurkat cell suspensions were submitted to horizontal shaking in presence and absence of 10% (v/v) FBS. Figure 5 A presents the total concentration of viable and necrotic cells, as well as debris particles measured by FIM-CNN in the two cell formulations at given time points. Following shaking stress, a substantial decrease in the total number of cells was observed in formulations without FBS compared to cell suspensions containing FBS. A remarkable increase in concentration of debris particles was observed for both formulations. Notably, debris present in stressed cell suspensions supplemented with FBS originated not only from ruptured cells but also from aggregated proteins present in FBS (observed in stressed RPMI medium with 10% [v/v] FBS, data not shown). Cell viability after shaking stress and quiescent storage was very similar to the viability of cells at TO according to all three methods used (Supplementary figure S3).

The LDH release in stressed cell suspensions was higher compared to T0 or control cell suspensions, irrespective of FBS content. The increase in LDH release in the formulation with FBS was unexpected, given the observation that cell viability and cell concentration remained unchanged at all sampling points. A slight increase in the apoptotic cell fraction was observed after shaking in formulations with and without FBS, but a similar increase was observed in the unshaken control. Thus, shaking stress did not have a detectable impact on cell apoptosis in our study.



Figure 5: Cell suspensions without or with FBS (10% (v/v)) before (T0) and after shaking (T1) or quiescent storage (Ctrl) at room temperature. (A) Total concentration of cells (viable and necrotic) and debris particles by using FIM. (B) LDH release and (C) apoptotic cell fraction quantified by using imaging flow cytometry (annexin V-FITC assay) at given time points. Error bars represent standard deviation of mean values.

Discussion

Currently approved CBMPs are either stored in a cryopreserved (frozen liquid) or a nonfrozen (liquid) state²⁵. In both cases, cells may be exposed to all kind of stress factors, e.g., resulting from freeze-thawing or handling in the clinic prior to administration. This may cause cell damage, loss in quality and potential clinical implications, such as serious adverse effects or lack of drug efficacy. Cells have developed mechanisms to handle certain stress stimuli by activating signalling pathways and stress response proteins⁶. However, when the threshold of deleterious factors is surpassed, cells can abruptly lose membrane integrity or undergo programmed death²⁸. Within human bodies, macrophages and dendritic cells of the innate immune system are equipped with appropriate mechanisms for removal of dying cells^{17,23}. However, in CBMPs any cell degradants that may be formed, such as dead cells and cell debris, will remain within the product and might accelerate further cell degradation²⁵. Furthermore, debris particles originating from abruptly ruptured cells are deficient in "eat-me" signals of apoptotic cells²⁹. After administration, such necrotic cells and debris particles may trigger the immune system potentially resulting in inflammatory responses¹⁷.

Viable cell concentration is considered as one of the most important quality attributes of CBMPs. A manifold of viability assays, based on the integrity of cell membrane or cellular metabolism, is available³⁰. However, common viability assays involve fluorescent staining which encompass expensive fluorescent dyes and multistep preparation procedures with incubation times. Additionally, manual gating in flow cytometers is heavily biased and difficult to reproduce between different operators^{31,32}. Thus, the drawbacks of these assays limit their capabilities to be robust and high-throughput methods for quantification of necrotic cells. Furthermore, classic trypan blue exclusion exhibits cytotoxic effects to cells³³, whereas other colorimetric assays can be affected by the pH of the culture medium or particular enzymes³⁰. These drawbacks may compromise assay accuracy and precision.

In our study we applied FIM-CNN to accurately determine the number of viable and necrotic cells in suspensions of up to 200,000 cells/ml based on morphological appearance of cells. Necrosis is manifested by several morphological changes to the cell appearance,

including rounding of the cell and cytoplasmic swelling³⁴. These are not obvious in the FlowCam images to a human eye and are difficult to discriminate with the use of morphological parameters output by the FlowCam software³⁵. However, pattern recognition algorithms implemented in CNN models have been shown to successfully discriminate between complex fingerprints, i.e., protein aggregate structures formed upon different stress conditions³⁶, and proved to be successful in classification of cell-related particles in our study.

The characterization of particulate matter in parenterals is described in pharmacopeial monographs and products should meet the acceptance limits for subvisible particle impurities sized above 10 μ m and 25 μ m¹⁹. However, given the particulate nature of cells, it is challenging to fulfil specific particle testing requirements applicable to injectable products, as human cells fall within the subvisible size range $(typically 7-30 \mu m)^{37}$. One of the standard pharmacopeial methods for quantification of subvisible particle impurities, light obscuration, will not discriminate between cells and other particulates. Hence, highthroughput microscopy methods providing morphological data on particles within several hundred μ l are promising tools for evaluation of particulates in cell-based products. Previously, the FIM approach was utilized to identify and quantify Dynabeads, which are commonly used during cell activation, in cell suspensions²⁶. Moreover, FIM has also found its use in detection of other particulates, such as process impurities in CBMPs¹³. Here, by using FIM-CNN, reliable numbers on the concentration of debris particles sized $1-50 \ \mu m$ could be obtained (Figure 1). Debris particles consist of a mixture of lipids, proteins, nucleic acids and potentially other extrinsic particles, and thus are particulate impurities in CBMPs. Nevertheless, the commonly applied cell characterization methods do not report on the debris content within cell suspensions, likely omitting relevant information on the potential implications for product guality (consistency and stability) and safety of these drug products (see discussion above). In addition, debris content imaged by using a brightfield microscope in cell suspensions was previously used to assess the level of late-stage apoptotic primary human hepatocytes and MDCK cells³⁸. Similarly, we observed that exposure of cells to stress conditions led to an increase in cellular debris which correlated well with loss in cell viability and total cell count.

The unique supply chain of some CBMPs, where at least one freeze-thaw cycle is included, makes cryopreservation crucial in conserving the integrity of the product.

Cryopreservation of human cells has been widely studied and multiple factors have been found critical in this process³⁹⁻⁴². The freezing and thawing rates are two of the most critical parameters to be optimized to achieve high recovery of functional cells postthawing. However, the optimal conditions are different for each cell suspension, and the impact of the thawing rate is less understood compared to the effect of freezing rate on cells³⁹. Jurkat cells used in our study were submitted to controlled freezing with 10% (v/v) DMSO at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. Subsequent thawing at 5 °C in a water bath had deleterious effect on the viability and apoptotic rate of cells (Figure 2). The negative impact of slow thawing on cells may result from recrystallization of ice. Metastable ice crystals formed during freezing may have formed larger crystals upon thawing at 5 °C, leading to denaturation of cellular proteins and disruption of membranes⁴³. Similar observations were made by Thorpe et al., who reported that decreasing the thawing temperature led to a lower survival of mouse lymphocytes⁴⁴. On the other hand, our results are in disagreement with another study in which the thawing temperature had minimal effects on the survival of T cells³⁹. In addition to reduced viability, we observed an increase in concentration of debris particles at lower thawing temperatures with dense and irregular particles formed at the lowest thawing temperature (Supplementary figure S2). Such debris particles were not observed in cell suspensions thawed at 20 °C or 37 °C.

The presence of cryoprotectants is important for the cryopreservation of cells stored at ultra-low temperatures (vapour phase of liquid nitrogen [< 120 °C]). DMSO is currently the most widely used cryoprotectant in cryobiology⁴⁰. Its amphiphilic and water-binding properties allow for a ready pass through cell membranes, thereby avoiding the efflux of water from the cytoplasm and thus preventing cellular dehydration upon freezing. At commonly used DMSO concentrations, such as 5-10% (v/v), formation of large intracellular and extracellular crystalline lattices is prevented by interfering with water molecules⁴⁵. Formation of ice crystals and preservation of cell viability will also depend on the cooling rate, where the preferred very slow cooling rates (0.1 °C min⁻¹) produce fine dendritic ice structures and fast cooling rates (10 °C min⁻¹) generate large ice crystals ³⁹. In

our study, Jurkat cells were subjected to passive (uncontrolled) freezing at -18 °C in presence of DMSO at a concentration ranging from 0% to 10% to mimic unintentional freezing. In agreement with previously reported data⁴⁶, the lowest tested DMSO concentrations (i.e., 0% and 1%) did not show any cryoprotection towards cells upon freeze-thawing as nearly a complete loss in cell viability was observed (data not shown). In contrast, the highest tested DMSO concentration of 10% (v/v) showed the highest cell recovery after one freeze-thaw cycle with the lowest number of debris particles present in cell suspensions (Figure 3). Although, control samples (stored at RT) with the highest tested DMSO concentration showed an increase in debris particle over time, most likely due to its cytotoxic effect on cells.

Interestingly, the three viability assays used in our study did not provide conclusive results on the degree of protection against freeze-thawing at each tested DMSO concentration. The calcein-AM assay showed to be least sensitive in detecting changes in cell viability after freeze-thaw, whereas the PI and FIM assays detected a substantial drop in viability in suspensions with 2.5% (v/v) DMSO. However, for cell suspensions with 5% and 10% (v/v) DMSO, a reduced viability was observed with the PI assay for freeze-thawed and control samples, whereas FIM suggested minimal impact of freeze-thawing on cell viability with 5% (v/v) DMSO. It should be noted that each of the viability assays used may result in different outcomes given the different assay principles. For example, when using the calcein-AM assay, some apoptotic cells would be metabolically active and show positive staining, whereas others may be classified as necrotic. In the PI assay, only PI positive cells were classified as necrotic and cells undergoing early apoptosis did not show fluorescence, thus were regarded as viable. However, it is not currently possible to discriminate apoptotic cells by using FIM-CNN, therefore these cells may be classified to either the viable or necrotic population. In addition, post-thawed cell suspensions with 5% (v/v) DMSO showed the smallest fraction of apoptotic cells (based on annexin V-FITC assay) and the lowest LDH release, compared to the two other tested DMSO concentrations (Figure 4). Similar observations were made for peripheral blood stem cells^{47,48}. After cryopreservation with 5% DMSO, less apoptotic and necrotic peripheral blood stem cells compared to suspensions with 10% DMSO were measured⁴⁸. The apoptosis-inducing

effect of DMSO, via interaction with the PD-1 receptor and their ligands⁴⁰, was observed in our control group where a nearly 2-fold increase in apoptotic cell content was recorded. Taking into consideration the toxicity of DMSO and its potential to cause adverse effects upon administration⁴⁷, optimization of the DMSO concentration, or its replacement by a less cytotoxic alternative, should be an important focus during formulation development of cryopreserved CBMPs. Furthermore, effects of freeze-thawing on the functionality of cells and cellular biochemical pathways was beyond the scope of this study, but should also be tested during the development of CBMPs^{25,49}.

Mechanical stress is potentially the most frequent stress factor to which biopharmaceutical products are exposed to during processing, transportation and handling⁵⁰. Solid-liquid and air-liquid interfaces play a crucial role in the formation of particles in protein-based formulations during agitation⁵¹. Thereby, amphiphilic, non-ionic surfactants (e.g., polysorbate 20 or 80) are commonly used in order to reduce protein aggregation upon interfacial stress and mechanical shock⁵². Unfortunately, such an approach may not be successful for CBMPs, as polysorbates have lytic effects on cells even at low concentrations⁵³. Up to date, mild shaking conditions were applied to cell suspensions mainly for the purpose of cell expansion or to induce formation of cell aggregates for creation *ex vivo* tissue models^{54,55}. In our study, we instigated harsher stress conditions to mimic potential "real-life" mechanical stress which has been shown to have detrimental effects on protein-based therapeutics^{50,51}. Cell suspensions filled into cryovials and positioned vertically were unaffected by shaking speeds up to 500 rpm. However, positioning of the cryovials horizontally led to a dramatic loss (ca. 50%) of total cell concentration after relatively short shaking stress at 185 rpm (Figure 5). Although the cell viability was unaffected by the mechanical stress according to the two fluorescencebased methods and FIM-CNN, LDH release and apoptosis were markedly increased compared to control samples. The increase in free LDH content suggests compromised cell quality and illustrates the value of using orthogonal cell characterization techniques. Furthermore, FBS demonstrated a protective effect towards cells upon shaking. While FBS is a commonly used supplement in cell culture and may be protective towards cells against mechanical stress, it is considered as a process-related impurity that is difficult to remove

in the downstream processing steps⁴. Additionally, FBS is of non-human origin, which is associated with safety and immunogenicity concerns. Moreover, bovine serum proteins are also susceptible to aggregation and may form particulate species, which add another unwanted complexity with respect to particulate impurities in CBMPs¹⁹. Ultimately, a wider array of formulation excipients should be tested in cell suspensions submitted to forced degradation studies in order to allow for a decision-based formulation strategy of CBMPs.

Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate the application of systematic forced degradation studies in the evaluation of cell stability and formulation, using Jurkat cells as model. The uniform cell line of immortalized cells may not fully reflect the behaviour of cell samples used in clinics. The aging of primary human cells will introduce a much greater heterogeneity on morphological cell features and pose greater challenges for acquisition of quality parameters. However, application of CNN for image classification allowed us to utilize FIM as a robust and fast analytical tool for characterization of cell suspensions, which has the potential for translation into assessment of "real-life" samples. Cell viability was assessed by using FIM-CNN in addition to other established approaches and a good correlation between the methods was observed. In addition, quantification of debris particles in CBMPs was only possible with FIM-CNN, highlighting the method's ability to monitor particulate impurities in cell suspensions. Overall, our study shows that thermal, freeze-thaw and shaking stresses are relevant tools for CBMP formulation studies. Moreover, it illustrates the importance of choosing a broad range of analytical methods to better understand the impact of each stress factor on CBMP quality.

Acknowledgment

We thank Karin Hoogendoorn for her useful suggestions and critical review of the manuscript.

References:

- 1. Walsh G. Biopharmaceutical benchmarks 2018. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(12):1136-1145. doi:10.1038/nbt.4305.
- Eyles JE, Vessillier S, Jones A, Stacey G, Schneider CK, Price J. Cell therapy products: focus on issues with manufacturing and quality control of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies. J Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2019;94(4):1008-1016. doi:10.1002/jctb.5829.
- 3. Jere D, Sediq AS, Huwyler J, Vollrath I, Kardorff M, Mahler H-C. Challenges for Cell-Based Medicinal Products From a Pharmaceutical Product Perspective. J Pharm Sci. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2020.11.040.
- 4. Levine BL, Miskin J, Wonnacott K, Keir C. Global Manufacturing of CAR T Cell Therapy. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2017;4:92-101. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2016.12.006.
- Iancu EM, Kandalaft LE. Challenges and advantages of cell therapy manufacturing under Good Manufacturing Practices within the hospital setting. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2020;65:233-241. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2020.05.005.
- Fulda S, Gorman AM, Hori O, Samali A. Cellular stress responses: cell survival and cell death. Int J Cell Biol. 2010;2010:214074. doi:10.1155/2010/214074.
- Woods EJ, Thirumala S, Badhe-Buchanan SS, Clarke D, Mathew AJ. Off the shelf cellular therapeutics: Factors to consider during cryopreservation and storage of human cells for clinical use. Cytotherapy. 2016;18(6):697-711. doi:10.1016/j.jcyt.2016.03.295.
- 8. Clarke D, Harati D, Martin J, et al. Managing particulates in cellular therapy. Cytotherapy. 2012;14(9):1032-1040. doi:10.3109/14653249.2012.706709.
- 9. Clarke D, Stanton J, Powers D, et al. Managing particulates in cell therapy: Guidance for best practice. Cytotherapy. 2016;18(9):1063-1076. doi:10.1016/j.jcyt.2016.05.011.
- 10. Marín Morales JM, Münch N, Peter K, et al. Automated Clinical Grade Expansion of Regulatory T Cells in a Fully Closed System. Front Immunol. 2019;10:38. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00038.
- 11. Neurauter AA, Bonyhadi M, Lien E, et al. Cell isolation and expansion using Dynabeads. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 2007;106:41-73. doi:10.1007/10_2007_072.
- Iyer RK, Bowles PA, Kim H, Dulgar-Tulloch A. Industrializing Autologous Adoptive Immunotherapies: Manufacturing Advances and Challenges. Front Med (Lausanne). 2018;5:150. doi:10.3389/fmed.2018.00150.
- 13. Vollrath I, Mathaes R, Sediq AS, et al. Subvisible Particulate Contamination in Cell Therapy Products-Can We Distinguish? J Pharm Sci. 2019. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2019.09.002.
- 14. Morgan RA, Boyerinas B. Genetic Modification of T Cells. Biomedicines. 2016;4(2). doi:10.3390/biomedicines4020009.
- 15. European Medicines Agency. Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical requirements for investigational advanced therapy medicinal products in clinical trials. 2019

https://www.ema.europa.eu/ documents/ scientific-guideline/draft-guideline-quality-nonclinical-clinical-requirements-investigational-advanced-therapy_en.pdf. Accessed 05.Mar.2021

- 16. Herberts CA, Kwa MSG, Hermsen HPH. Risk factors in the development of stem cell therapy. J Transl Med. 2011;9(1):29. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-9-29.
- 17. Westman J, Grinstein S, Marques PE. Phagocytosis of Necrotic Debris at Sites of Injury and Inflammation. Front Immunol. 2019;10:3030. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.03030.
- Green DR, Ferguson T, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G. Immunogenic and tolerogenic cell death. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9(5):353-363. doi:10.1038/nri2545.
- 19. Carpenter JF, Randolph TW, Jiskoot W, et al. Overlooking subvisible particles in therapeutic protein products: gaps that may compromise product quality. J Pharm Sci. 2009;98(4):1201-1205. doi:10.1002/jps.21530.
- Bukofzer S, Ayres J, Chavez A, et al. Industry Perspective on the Medical Risk of Visible Particles in Injectable Drug Products. PDA J Pharm Sci Tech. 2015;69(1):123. doi:10.5731/pdajpst.2015.01037.
- 21. Hawe A, Wiggenhorn M, van de Weert M, Garbe JHO, Mahler H-C, Jiskoot W. Forced Degradation of Therapeutic Proteins. J Pharm Sci. 2012;101(3):895-913. doi:10.1002/jps.22812.
- Halley J, Chou YR, Cicchino C, et al. An Industry Perspective on Forced Degradation Studies of Biopharmaceuticals: Survey Outcome and Recommendations. J Pharm Sci. 2020;109(1):6-21. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2019.09.018.
- 23. Ammann C. Stability studies needed to define the handling and transport conditions of sensitive pharmaceutical or biotechnological products. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2011;12(4):1264-1275. doi:10.1208/s12249-011-9684-0.
- European Medicines Agency. Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of medicinal products containing genetically modified cells. 2020. https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-quality-non-clinicalclinical-aspects-medicinal-products-containing-genetically-modified_en.pdf. Accessed 03.Mar.2021.
- 25. Hoogendoorn KH, Crommelin DJA, Jiskoot W. Formulation of Cell-Based Medicinal Products: A Question of Life or Death? J Pharm Sci. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2020.07.002.
- Grabarek AD, Senel E, Menzen T, et al. Particulate impurities in cell-based medicinal products traced by flow imaging microscopy combined with deep learning for image analysis. Cytotherapy. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jcyt.2020.04.093.
- Russakovsky O, Deng J, Su H, et al. ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge. Int J Comput Vis. 2015;115(3):211-252. doi:10.1007/s11263-015-0816-y.
- Green DR, Llambi F. Cell Death Signaling. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2015;7(12):a006080. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006080.

- 29. Sachet M, Liang YY, Oehler R. The immune response to secondary necrotic cells. Apoptosis. 2017;22(10):1189-1204. doi:10.1007/s10495-017-1413-z.
- 30. Kepp O, Galluzzi L, Lipinski M, Yuan J, Kroemer G. Cell death assays for drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2011;10(3):221-237. doi:10.1038/nrd3373.
- 31. Lippeveld M, Knill C, Ladlow E, et al. Classification of Human White Blood Cells Using Machine Learning for Stain-Free Imaging Flow Cytometry. Cytometry A. 2019. doi:10.1002/cyto.a.23920.
- 32. Grant R, Coopman K, Medcalf N, et al. Quantifying Operator Subjectivity within Flow Cytometry Data Analysis as a Source of Measurement Uncertainty and the Impact of Experience on Results. PDA J Pharm Sci Tech. 2021;75(1):33. doi:10.5731/pdajpst.2019.011213.
- Chan LL-Y, Rice WL, Qiu J. Observation and quantification of the morphological effect of trypan blue rupturing dead or dying cells. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(1):e0227950. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0227950.
- Vanden Berghe T, Vanlangenakker N, Parthoens E, et al. Necroptosis, necrosis and secondary necrosis converge on similar cellular disintegration features. Cell Death Differ. 2010;17(6):922-930. doi:10.1038/cdd.2009.184.
- Sediq AS, Klem R, Nejadnik MR, Meij P, Jiskoot W. Label-Free, Flow-Imaging Methods for Determination of Cell Concentration and Viability. Pharm Res. 2018;35(8):150. doi:10.1007/s11095-018-2422-5.
- Gambe-Gilbuena A, Shibano Y, Krayukhina E, Torisu T, Uchiyama S. Automatic Identification of the Stress Sources of Protein Aggregates Using Flow Imaging Microscopy Images. J Pharm Sci. 2020;109(1):614-623. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2019.10.034.
- 37. Rosenberg G. Microscopic haematology : a practical guide for the laboratory. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers; 1997.
- 38. Ölander M, Handin N, Artursson P. Image-Based Quantification of Cell Debris as a Measure of Apoptosis. Anal Chem. 2019:5548-5552. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.9b01243.
- Baboo J, Kilbride P, Delahaye M, et al. The Impact of Varying Cooling and Thawing Rates on the Quality of Cryopreserved Human Peripheral Blood T Cells. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):3417. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-39957-x.
- Baust JM, Campbell LH, Harbell JW. Best practices for cryopreserving, thawing, recovering, and assessing cells. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2017;53(10):855-871. doi:10.1007/s11626-017-0201y.
- 41. Hughes SM, Shu Z, Levy CN, et al. Cryopreservation of Human Mucosal Leukocytes. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(5):e0156293. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156293.
- Hornberger K, Yu G, McKenna D, Hubel A. Cryopreservation of Hematopoietic Stem Cells: Emerging Assays, Cryoprotectant Agents, and Technology to Improve Outcomes. Transfus Med Hemother. 2019;46(3):188-196. doi:10.1159/000496068.

- Mazur P, Seki S. Survival of mouse oocytes after being cooled in a vitrification solution to -196°C at 95° to 70,000°C/min and warmed at 610° to 118,000°C/min: A new paradigm for cryopreservation by vitrification. Cryobiology. 2011;62(1):1-7. doi:10.1016/j.cryobiol.2010.10.159.
- 44. Thorpe P, Knight S, Farrant J. Optimal conditions for the preservation of mouse lymph node cells in liquid nitrogen using cooling rate techniques. Cryobiology. 1976;13:126-133.
- 45. Brayton CF. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO): a review. Cornell Vet. 1986;76(1):61-90.
- Giugliarelli A, Sassi P, Urbanelli L, et al. Cryopreservation of cells: FT-IR monitoring of lipid membrane at freeze-thaw cycles. Biophys Chem. 2016;208:34-39. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2015.08.001.
- Mitrus I, Smagur A, Fidyk W, et al. Reduction of DMSO concentration in cryopreservation mixture from 10% to 7.5% and 5% has no impact on engraftment after autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation: results of a prospective, randomized study. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2018;53(3):274-280. doi:10.1038/s41409-017-0056-6.
- 48. Abrahamsen, J. et al. Cryopreserving human peripheral blood progenitor cells with 5-percent rather than 10-percent DMSO results in less apoptosis and necrosis in CD34+ cells.
- Panch SR, Srivastava SK, Elavia N, et al. Effect of Cryopreservation on Autologous Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cell Characteristics. Mol Ther. 2019:1275-1285. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.05.015.
- Nejadnik MR, Randolph TW, Volkin DB, et al. Postproduction Handling and Administration of Protein Pharmaceuticals and Potential Instability Issues. J Pharm Sci. 2018;107(8):2013-2019. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2018.04.005.
- Das TK, Narhi LO, Sreedhara A, et al. Stress Factors in mAb Drug Substance Production Processes: Critical Assessment of Impact on Product Quality and Control Strategy. J Pharm Sci. 2020;109(1):116-133. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2019.09.023.
- 52. Grabarek AD, Bozic U, Rousel J, et al. What Makes Polysorbate Functional? Impact of Polysorbate 80 Grade and Quality on IgG Stability During Mechanical Stress. J Pharm Sci. 2019. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2019.10.015.



Supplementary information

Supplementary figure S1: Manual gating of (a) freshly thawed cells, (b) heat treated cells, (c) camptothecin treated cells measured by using annexin V-FITC and PI assay. Viable cells consist of healthy and apoptotic cells. Manual gating of (d) freshly thawed cells and (e) digitonin treated cells measured by using calcein-AM assay.



Supplementary figure S2: (A) Size distribution of Jurkat cells measured by using FIM after thawing at 5 °C and 37 °C. (B) Exemplary images of particles detected in Jurkat cell suspensions after thawing at 5 °C. Particles highlighted in the red boxes were not present in thawed suspensions at 20 °C and 37 °C



Supplementary figure S3: Measurements of cell viability by performing calcein-AM (CA), propiodium iodide (PI) and FIM-CNN (FIM) assays after horizontal shaking in absence and presence of 10% (v/v) FBS. (A). Error bars represent standard deviation of mean values.