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Here, we elucidate the atomistic details of how a palladium electrocatalyst avoids CO poisoning during both formic acid oxidation to carbon dioxide and carbon dioxide reduction to formic acid. To this end, we compare results obtained with a Pt(111) single-crystal electrode modified with and without a single monolayer of palladium. We apply (high-scan-rate) cyclic voltammetry together with density functional theory in a comparative fashion to explain the absence of CO poisoning on the palladium electrode. We show how the high formate coverage on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) protects the surface from poisoning during formic acid oxidation, and why the adsorption of CO precursor dictates the delayed poisoning of Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) during CO$_2$ reduction. We show that the nature of the hydrogen adsorbed on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) is considerably different from Pt(111), supporting a model to explain the reversibility of this reaction. Our results can help in design catalysts for which CO poisoning needs to be avoided.

This chapter is based on Chen, X.; Granda-Marulanda, L. P.; McCrum, I. T.; Koper, M. T. M How Palladium prohibits CO poisoning during electrocatalytic Formic Acid oxidation and CO$_2$ reduction. Submitted


All experiments were performed by Xiaoting Chen.
6.1 Introduction

Low-temperature fuel cells consuming organic molecules as fuel have been regarded as a prospective solution to reduce our dependence on traditional fossil fuels.\(^1\)\(^2\) Formic acid is one of the fuel candidates to be employed in a so-called direct formic acid fuel cell (DFAFC).\(^2\)\(^3\) The electrocatalytic formic acid oxidation reaction has also been considered as a model reaction for the oxidation of more complex organic molecules.\(^4\) Of all pure metal electrodes, platinum and palladium show the highest formic acid oxidation activity. Formic acid oxidation on Pt surfaces has been studied extensively and the dual-pathway mechanism\(^5\) has been well established by the community.\(^2\)

This mechanism assumes that there are two parallel pathways in the reaction scheme. One pathway leads to the desired final product \(\text{CO}_2\) at relatively low potentials through a reactive intermediate (presumably some form of adsorbed formate\(^6\)), and another pathway includes a chemical dehydration step leading to adsorbed \(\text{CO}\), which acts as a poison blocking the surface and impedes further oxidation of formic acid. The identification of \(\text{CO}\) as the poisoning intermediate and its role in the oxidation mechanism has been widely accepted,\(^7\) but the nature of the reactive intermediate in the direct pathway is still under strong debate. The prominence of the \(\text{CO}\) poisoning pathway on Pt electrodes renders Pt an unsuitable catalyst for direct formic acid fuel cells and also not ideal for the study of the direct pathway.\(^5\)\(^9\)

Recent advances in catalyst development have led to the synthesis of Pd-based metal nanoparticles with excellent catalytic properties towards formic acid oxidation.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^15\) Pd-based catalysts for the electrochemical formic acid oxidation generally display high activity and, remarkably, the absence of \(\text{CO}\) poison formation. Therefore, Pd model electrodes can be used to study the mechanism of the direct pathway without the interference of \(\text{CO}\) poisoning, and, perhaps more importantly, to understand how \(\text{CO}\) poisoning can be avoided. However, Pd single crystals are difficult to prepare. Epitaxially grown Pd layers on a foreign metal are an interesting alternative, particularly Pt single-crystal surfaces modified by a Pd monolayer.\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^20\) The lattice parameters of both metals are close and it has been pointed out that the reactivity of Pd monolayer system is comparable to that of the corresponding Pd single crystal.\(^21\)

Palladium-based materials have also emerged as the best catalysts for the reverse reaction, i.e. carbon dioxide electroreduction to formic acid.\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^26\) Theoretically, for a two-electron transfer reaction such as the conversion between formic acid and \(\text{CO}_2\), reversible catalysts with very low overpotential must exist,\(^27\) and palladium-based electrocatalysts seem to approach this ideal situation closely.

This opens up the possibility of using palladium-based catalysts for application in unitized regenerative fuel cells based on carbon dioxide and formic acid. Recent efforts from our group have verified that Pd overlayers deposited on polycrystalline Pt, reduce \(\text{CO}_2\) to formic acid and may perform as reversible catalysts.\(^22\) Furthermore, \(\text{Pd}_x\text{Pt}_{1-x}\) nanoparticles were...
applied as bifunctional electrocatalysts for both the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction and formic acid oxidation and showed improved tolerance to CO poisoning and lower overpotentials.\textsuperscript{28} 

In this Chapter, we perform systematic electrochemical studies of formic acid oxidation and carbon dioxide reduction on Pd monolayer decorated Pt single crystals and explore the important role played by the involved formate anions on the direct formic acid oxidation pathway. We also study both reactions in comparison to unmodified Pt single crystals. In combination with first-principles density functional theory calculations, our studies reveal the crucial role of adsorbed formate anions in inhibiting CO poisoning during the formic acid oxidation. On the other hand, CO poisoning does occur during CO\textsubscript{2} reduction, but only at relatively high overpotential. Our DFT calculations indicate that the faster poisoning of Pt(111) during CO\textsubscript{2} reduction is related to the much stronger binding of the key *COOH intermediate on Pt(111) compared to palladium.

6.2 Experimental Results and Discussion

6.2.1 Formic acid oxidation

Figure 6.1 a shows the blank voltammogram of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} compared to Pt(111). The Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode exhibits the same characteristic regions as Pt(111). For Pt(111), these windows correspond to the H adsorption-desorption feature (0.05<E<0.35 V\textsubscript{RHE}), the double layer region (0.35<E<0.60 V\textsubscript{RHE}), and the adsorption-desorption process for OH\textsubscript{ads} (0.60<E<0.90 V\textsubscript{RHE}).\textsuperscript{29} However, as we have shown recently and in Chapter 5, for the Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode, these regions correspond to different reactions.\textsuperscript{30} The two peaks in the “hydrogen region” of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) involve the replacement of adsorbed H by adsorbed OH (peak at E=0.21 V\textsubscript{RHE}) and the replacement of adsorbed OH by adsorbed ClO\textsubscript{4} (peak at E=0.31 V\textsubscript{RHE}). At higher potential (>0.65 V\textsubscript{RHE}), the adsorbed perchlorate is replaced by a higher coverage of OH\textsubscript{ads} or by adsorbed O. The primary reason for the strong difference between Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) surface is the significantly stronger anion and OH adsorption on the Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) surface.

In Figure 6.1 b, the voltammograms for the oxidation of formic acid on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} containing 50 mM HCOOH are shown. In perchloric acid, the effect of anion adsorption should be minimal, though we do note that we assume specific perchlorate adsorption on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) above 0.30 V\textsubscript{RHE} (see previous paragraph). As shown in Figure 6.1b, on the Pt(111) electrode formic acid oxidation starts from 0.35 V\textsubscript{RHE} along with a peak current of 2.2 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} during the positive-going scan, with a slightly higher peak current density at 0.6 V during the negative-going scan because the CO poisoning intermediate has been oxidatively stripped at potentials above 0.70 V\textsubscript{RHE} (as shown in Figure 6.1c).
HOW PALLADIUM PROHIBITS CO POISONING DURING ELECTROCATALYTIC FORMIC ACID OXIDATION AND CARBON DIOXIDE REDUCTION

Figure 6.1
(a) Cyclic voltammogram of Pd₈₆Pt(111) electrode (red) and Pt(111) (black) in 0.1 M HClO₄. (b) Voltammograms for the oxidation of formic acid on Pd₈₆Pt(111) electrode (red) and Pt(111) (black) in 0.1 M HClO₄ + 50 mM HCOOH. The evolution of 12 cycles on a rotating Pd₈₆Pt(111) (red) and Pt(111) (black) electrode at 1600 rpm with continuous cycling to two lower vertex potentials: one to the potential of the oxidation peak where no oxidative stripping of adsorbed CO takes place (see Figure 6.1c), and one to a higher potential at which adsorbed CO is oxidatively stripped off. Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹. (c) CO stripping voltammogram for Pd₈₆Pt(111) electrode (red curve) and Pt(111) (black curve) in 0.1 M HClO₄. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹.

Figure 6.1b also shows the fast deactivation of the formic acid oxidation on Pt(111) due to the accumulation of the surface adsorbed CO generated if we cycle to a vertex potential of 0.6 V_RHE at which the adsorbed CO is not oxidatively stripped. The formic acid oxidation current decreases fourfold after 12 cycles. These results are consistent with previous results for Pt(111), namely there exists two parallel pathways (direct and CO formation pathway) during the positive-going scan, while the negative-going scan after CO has been oxidatively stripped at high potential is usually chosen as representative for the formic acid oxidation through the direct pathway only.³¹

For the Pd₈₆Pt(111) electrode, a peak current density of 11.0 mA cm⁻² at 0.38 V_RHE (ca. four times higher current than on Pt(111), at a 0.2 V lower potential) is observed together with a low onset potential at around 0.20 V_RHE. The remarkable observation in Figure 6.1b is that there is hardly any hysteresis for the oxidation current in the positive- and negative-going scan.
of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode between 0.05 and 0.40 V\textsubscript{RHE}, suggesting the absence of CO poisoning. Therefore, only the formic acid oxidation direct pathway occurs, in agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{10,18} Figure 6.1c shows that surface-adsorbed CO on the Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode cannot be oxidatively stripped until the positive-going scan reaches 0.90 V\textsubscript{RHE}. Comparison of the blank voltammograms of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) in Figure 6.1a with the formic acid oxidation curves in Figure 6.1b indicates that the onset of formic acid oxidation appears to coincide with hydrogen desorption at 0.20 V\textsubscript{RHE}, suggesting that the adsorbed hydrogen inhibits the formic acid oxidation at low potential.

Figure 6.1c shows the CO stripping voltammograms of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) surfaces in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4}, resp. Very low currents are measured on both Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) electrodes during the positive-going scan until 0.6 V\textsubscript{RHE}, implying that both surfaces are completely blocked by adsorbed CO at low potential. From this observation we conclude that CO binds strongly and irreversibly to both surfaces. For Pt(111), the oxidative stripping peak for adsorbed CO is located at about 0.72 V\textsubscript{RHE} and the subsequent scan shows the well-known butterfly feature of Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4}. By comparing to Pt(111), the CO stripping peak of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode is shifted to more positive potentials, between 0.80 and 0.90 V\textsubscript{RHE}, suggesting slower CO oxidation kinetics on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) compared to Pt(111) under identical experiment conditions, in agreement with a previous report.\textsuperscript{32} The charge corresponding to the CO stripping peak is related to the CO coverage, from which we estimate the coverages of CO on Pt(111) and Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode to be 0.69 and 0.75 ML, respectively, consistent with previous reports for Pt(111)\textsuperscript{33,34} and Pd(111).\textsuperscript{35} From these observations, we infer that we cannot ascribe the lack of CO poisoning during formic acid oxidation on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) to a lower CO adsorption strength; if anything, the stripping results show that CO binds stronger to Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) than to Pt(111).

### 6.2.2 Formate adsorption isotherm

Given the important role of adsorbed formate in the oxidation mechanism, we want to compare the adsorbed formate coverages for Pt(111) and Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) as a function of potential. At normal scan rates, the electrochemical signal corresponding to formate adsorption is masked by the formic acid oxidation currents; however, by employing higher scan rates, it is possible to detect adsorbed formate.\textsuperscript{31} The current for the reversible formate adsorption/desorption process is proportional to the scan rate, whereas the current for the oxidation of formic acid is independent of the scan rate as this is a process which is purely controlled by the kinetics of the reaction.
**FIGURE 6.2**
Voltammograms of (a) Pt(111) and (b) Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) electrode in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ (black line) and 0.1 M HClO$_4$ + 50 mM HCOOH (red line) solution at a high scan rate of 50 V s$^{-1}$. (c) Comparison between the coverage of adsorbed formate as a function of potential on the Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) and Pt(111) electrode.

Thus, by selecting fast enough scan rates, the current corresponding to the adsorption process will be much larger than the current corresponding to the oxidation of the intermediate so that this latter contribution can be considered negligible.

Figure E2a and b in the Appendix E show the blank voltammograms of Pt(111) and Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution recorded at 0.05 and 50 V s$^{-1}$, resp. As can be seen, the currents associated to the typical H$_{upd}$ region, the double layer region and the OH adsorption region of the Pt(111) electrode in perchloric acid media recorded at 50 V s$^{-1}$ have increased 3 orders of magnitude in comparison to that of 0.05 V s$^{-1}$, as expected. Although there appears to be a change in shape in the low potential region (0.05<E<0.40 V$_{RHE}$) of Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) recorded at 50 V s$^{-1}$ compared to the blank at 0.05 V s$^{-1}$, the charge associated to the H$_{upd}$ and anion adsorption has the same value of 240 µC cm$^{-2}$. The OH adsorption profile of the Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) electrode, between 0.65 and 0.80 V$_{RHE}$ recorded at 50 V s$^{-1}$ also increases 3 orders of magnitude compared to that recorded at 0.05 V s$^{-1}$. In the presence of formic acid, as shown in Figure 6.2a, the high-scan-rate voltammogram of Pt(111) is practically symmetric through the $j=0$ axis,
which indicates that currents are mainly due to adsorption processes and that the contribution from the continuous formic acid oxidation process can be neglected.

The characteristic H adsorption-desorption feature between 0.05 and 0.35 \(V_{\text{RHE}}\) is similar to what is found in 0.1 M HClO\(_4\), the signal corresponding to formate adsorption is observed between 0.38 and 0.70 \(V_{\text{RHE}}\), whereas the OH adsorption feature has diminished due to the blocking effect of adsorbed formate, in agreement with previous fast voltammetry results.\(^{31}\) The potential region of adsorbed formate agrees with that observed by ATR-FTIR on polycrystalline Pt electrodes.\(^{36}\) Figure 6.2b shows the high-scan-rate voltammogram of Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) in 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) + 50 mM HCOOH. The characteristic H-OH-anion feature between 0.05 and 0.40 \(V_{\text{RHE}}\) overlaps with the signal corresponding to formate adsorption, whereas the OH/O adsorption feature has diminished due to the formate blocking effect.

To determine the charge corresponding to the adsorption of formate \((Q_{\text{HCOO}}})\) on the Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) electrode, we subtract the charge corresponding to the feature H-OH-anion \((Q_{\text{H, anions}}})\) in the absence of formic acid (see Figure 6.2b).

If the double layer capacity of Pt(111) is the same in the absence and presence of formic acid, we can obtain the experimental isotherms for formate adsorption on both surfaces from high scan-rate voltammetry, assuming an electrosorption valency equal to -1. According to the spectroscopic studies, the adsorbed formate (HCOO) on the Pt\(^{6,37}\) and Pd\(^{38,39}\) surface is bidentate formate. It is now generally agreed that the adsorbed bidentate formate species exist stably on the surface and does not desorb oxidatively as CO\(_2\).\(^{7,40,41}\) Therefore, the bidentate formate should be considered as a spectator species in the formic acid oxidation pathway. A fully saturated layer of bidentate formate has a coverage of 0.5 ML per Pt surface atom.

Comparing the bidentate formate coverage-electrode potential curves in Figure 6.2c on Pt(111) and Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) electrode, the bidentate formate adsorbate reaches a higher coverage of 1/3 ML on Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) compared to that of 1/4 ML on Pt(111). Also, formate binds at ca. 0.20 V lower potential on Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111), implying stronger adsorption of formate on the palladium-modified surface.

### 6.2.3 Formate and CO production from CO\(_2\) reduction

Next, we turn our attention to a comparison of CO\(_2\) electroreduction on Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) and Pt(111). Figure 6.3a and b show the production of formic acid and (adsorbed) CO from the reduction of CO\(_2\) on Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) (Figure 6.3a) and Pt(111) (Figure 6.3b) electrode as a function of potential. The formic acid production was followed with online HPLC as introduced in the Experimental Section. Figure 6.3a shows the production of formic acid on the Pd\(_{\text{ML}}\)Pt(111) electrode starts at a potential of -0.29 \(V_{\text{RHE}}\) and
Figure 6.3
Formation of formic acid detected with online HPLC and the CO coverage calculated from stripping voltammograms on (c) Pd_{ML}Pt(111) and (d) Pt(111) electrode under the same experimental condition.

approaches a peak production around -0.60 \text{V}_{\text{RHE}} and the trend here is similar to our previous results of Pd_xPt_{(1-x)} nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{22} Recently reported Pd catalysts also demonstrate formate formation at low overpotential and high efficiency.\textsuperscript{23} On the other hand, Pt(111) does not produce any measurable amounts of formic acid. Previous studies have shown both Pt(111)\textsuperscript{42} and Pd(111)\textsuperscript{43} single crystal electrodes to be inefficient CO\textsubscript{2} electroreduction catalysts as they convert CO\textsubscript{2} to adsorbed CO as the major product.

To investigate the formation of CO during CO\textsubscript{2} reduction on the Pd_{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111) electrode, experiments were carried out by stripping off adsorbed CO by going to positive potentials. We first scanned the potential to different negative vertex potentials performing CO\textsubscript{2} reduction at 1 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} following the same process as with online HPLC. Next, the electrode was scanned to positive potentials at 10 mV/s in the same cell immediately after finishing CO\textsubscript{2} reduction to avoid any contamination/exposure to air during electrode transfer. The corresponding cyclic voltammograms are shown in the Appendix E (Figure E4 a and b). From the CO stripping charges, we can determine the CO surface coverage generated during the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction; these CO coverages are shown as red data points in Figure 6.3.

Figure 6.3 shows that on the Pd_{ML}Pt(111) electrode CO\textsubscript{2} reduction starts producing adsorbed CO at potentials more negative than -0.475\text{V}_{\text{RHE}} whereas on Pt(111) adsorbed CO is formed at potentials more negative than -0.25 \text{V}_{\text{RHE}}. On Pd_{ML}Pt(111), the CO coverage saturates at ca. -0.70 \text{V}_{\text{RHE}}. Comparing to the production of formic acid in Figure 6.3a, it is also clear that the formation of formic acid drops as the Pd_{ML}Pt(111) electrode becomes saturated with adsorbed CO. This is thus a clear indication that the Pd_{ML}Pt(111) electrode is able to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} to formic acid at low overpotential window but becomes passivated due to the formation of a CO adlayer when the potential is more negative.
6.3 DFT Results and Discussion

To better understand the experimental observations during formic acid oxidation and electrochemical CO\textsubscript{2} reduction on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) compared to Pt(111), we used DFT to calculate the free energies of formation of the adsorbed species involved in the reactions, *H, *OCHO, *COOH, and *CO. We will focus on explaining the absence of *CO poisoning on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) during formic acid oxidation at low potentials, in comparison to the rapid poisoning on Pt(111) (see Figure 6.2), based on the adsorption characteristics of formate *OCHO. In the case of the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction reaction, we will provide a thermodynamic explanation of the rapid CO poisoning of Pt(111) vs Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) based on the adsorption energetics of *COOH, the precursor of *CO, on both surfaces.

6.3.1 Formic acid oxidation

**Figure 6.4**
Formation energies of adsorbed *OCHO, *H, *COOH, and *CO at 1/9 ML coverage at 0 V vs. RHE on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111), Pt(111) and Pd(111) from formic acid in solution. *OCOH in bright orange is non solvated and in dark orange/red it is solvated, *OCHO-sol. *COOH in light green is non solvated and in dark green it is solvated, *COOH-sol.

Figure 6.4 shows the free energies of formation of *H, *CO, *OCHO, *COOH at 1/9 ML coverage at 0 V vs RHE. The free energy of *COOH in the absence of solvation is in light green and with solvation *COOH-sol, in dark green, and for *OCHO, bright orange is without solvation and dark orange/red is with solvation. The energies shown in Figure 6.4 are calculated from formic acid in the solution phase. For solvated *COOH, we
used *COOH with two water molecules, one of which is hydrogen bonded to the OH in *COOH, and for solvated formate we used 1 H₂O molecule; for more details about solvation, see the Appendix E. The most favorable adsorption sites on all electrode surfaces for *H and *CO were the highly coordinated fcc hollow sites. Note that for Pt(111) we used *CO atop configuration instead, as experimentally this is known to be the preferred site. The difference in energy between atop and fcc for *CO on Pt(111) at 1/3 ML coverage is ~ 0.1 eV. We found that the most favorable configuration of formate, *OCHO, is bound to the surface of the electrode through the oxygen atoms with each oxygen adsorbed atop a surface atom. The most favorable adsorption site on all electrode surfaces for *H and *CO were the highly coordinated fcc hollow sites. Note that for Pt(111) we used *CO atop configuration instead, as experimentally this is known to be the preferred site. The difference in energy between atop and fcc for *CO on Pt(111) at 1/3 ML coverage is ~ 0.1 eV. We found that the most favorable configuration of formate, *OCHO, is bound to the surface of the electrode through the oxygen atoms with each oxygen adsorbed atop a surface atom. The adsorption trend on Pd₈Pt(111) and Pd(111) is *OCHO-sol < *COOH-sol < *H < *CO, and on Pt(111) surface, hydrogen has a weaker adsorption than *COOH-sol, and the trend is *OCHO-sol < *H < *COOH-sol < *CO. However, at higher coverages of 1/4 ML, the adsorption energy of *H is more favorable than that of *COOH-sol. Also, formate adsorption is significantly more favorable on Pd₈Pt(111) than on Pt(111) and Pd(111), while *COOH-sol adsorption is more favorable on Pt(111) than on Pd₈Pt(111) and Pd(111), and this trend holds for both coverages, 0.11 ML and 0.25 ML. Bader charge analysis shows more negative charge retained on the adsorbates adsorbed on Pd₈Pt(111) and Pd(111) than on Pt(111). (See Table S4 in the Appendix E). We can conceptually explain this formate adsorption and charge trend in terms of the different work functions of the catalysts, where the surface with the lower work function is expected to have a higher affinity for anion adsorption (and hence also a corresponding lower potential of zero charge). The trend in work function follows Pd₈Pt(111) (5.14 eV) < Pd(111) (5.29 eV) < Pt(111) (5.74 eV).

**Figure 6.5**
Formation energies (left y axis) and corresponding adsorption potentials (right y axis) for adsorbed formate from formic acid in solution as a function of coverage, on Pd₈Pt(111) (green), Pd(111) (blue), and Pt(111) (red).
We further investigated formate adsorption on each surface, by calculating the formate adsorption energy as a function of coverage, see Figure 6.5. We find that formate adsorbs significantly more strongly on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) than Pt(111) and Pd(111) at all investigated coverages, in agreement with the experimental results in Figure 6.2. At high coverages, beyond 0.33ML, formate anions can no longer adsorb in a bidentate configuration, and adsorption is significantly less favorable on all three surfaces than adsorption at low coverages. In the potential region where formic acid oxidation occurs, above 0.2 and 0.4 V for Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111), resp. (see Figure 6.1), formate can favorably adsorb up to relatively high coverages of 0.33 ML, where 2/3 of the surface atoms are blocked. Therefore, we have investigated the effect of this adsorbed formate, from 0.11ML to 0.33ML, on the formation of the adsorbed reaction intermediates, *H, *CO, *COOH-sol from formic acid. The results are shown in Figure 6.6.

**Figure 6.6**
Free energies of formation of adsorbed *H, *CO, and *COOH at 1/9 ML at 0 V vs RHE, for Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111), as a function of different coverages of co-adsorbed formate from 0.11ML to 0.33ML also including 0.25 ML for Pt(111) as specified with the arrow, because this is the experimentally observed maximum coverage on Pt(111). Only the low and higher coverages for *COOH are shown (0.11ML and 0.33ML), where *COOH-sol (blue) is with solvation and *COOH (light blue) is without. Connecting lines are only intended as a guide for the eye.

Figure 6.6 shows the formation free energies of adsorbed *H, *CO, and *COOH-sol co-adsorbed with formate at coverages from 0.11ML to 0.33ML on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) (left) and Pt(111) (right). The free energy of the solvated *COOH-sol with 0.33ML of *OCHO, contains an upper bound estimate of the solvation effect on *COOH, as the solvation energy calculated for the non-co-adsorbed system is added to the free energy of the co-adsorbed one.
This is less computationally intensive than modelling explicit solvation for the high-coverage co-adsorbed system (see Appendix E for more details).

For Pd_{ML}Pt(111) the adsorption strength of *H is not significantly altered when co-adsorbed with 0.11ML to 0.22ML of formate, and its adsorption energy is more positive (less favorable) than that of *CO at the same conditions. However, because the adsorption strength of *CO is more strongly affected by the presence of co-adsorbed formate, at formate coverages of 0.33 ML, the trend is reversed and *CO is clearly less favorably adsorbed than *H by ~ 0.20 eV. Thus, at 0.33 ML formate coverage, it is more favorable for *H to be co-adsorbed with formate than *CO. Furthermore, adsorbed formate at 0.33ML weakens *COOH-sol adsorption, as seen in Figure 6.6, and consequently hinders its further dissociation to *CO, providing a further reason why CO poisoning is not observed during formic acid oxidation in the experiments on Pd_{ML}Pt(111).

For Pt(111), the presence of formate also weakens *H and *CO adsorption but not as significantly as on Pd_{ML}Pt(111), meaning that the effect of formate on their adsorption strength is smaller on Pt(111). The effect of co-adsorbed formate on the difference in the formation energy of *CO and *H is also smaller on this surface than on Pd_{ML}Pt(111). At formate coverages of 0.33 ML, the difference in *H, and *CO adsorption strength is only 0.05 eV. Furthermore, as formate adsorption is weaker on Pt(111) than on Pd_{ML}Pt(111), its adsorption potential is more positive (see Figure 6.5), and in general a lower coverage of formate will be adsorbed on Pt(111) than on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) at the onset of formic acid oxidation. At a formate coverage of 0.25 ML, the Pt(111) surface is only 50% covered, leaving active sites available for *CO formation from its precursor *COOH-sol, given its favorable formation energy even in the presence of this co-adsorbed formate. This results in *CO poisoning of Pt(111) during formic acid oxidation. Thus, formate adsorption is important for formic acid oxidation in both Pd_{ML}Pt(111) and Pt(111), not as an active intermediate, but more as a self-protector against CO poisoning, and this is more significant on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) than on Pt(111).

6.3.2 Adsorbed CO formation during CO₂ reduction

Figure 6.7 shows the formation free energies of adsorbed *OCHO, *COOH, *CO and *H, on Pd_{ML}Pt(111), Pt(111) and Pd(111) calculated relative to CO₂ (g), electrons and protons. The adsorption energy trend at 1/9 ML coverage on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) and Pd(111) follows *OCHO-sol < *COOH-sol < *H < *CO whereas on Pt it is *OCHO-sol < *H < *COOH-sol < *CO. The main difference in the trends is that on Pt(111) the adsorption strength of *COOH-sol is stronger than that of *H at this coverage. *CO and *OCHO-sol are much more strongly adsorbed on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) and Pd(111), but *COOH is much more strongly adsorbed on Pt(111). In the case of hydrogen, its adsorption free energy is similar on all the surfaces.
Figure 6.7
Formation energies of *OCHO, *H, *COOH, and *CO at 1/9 ML coverage at 0 V vs. RHE on Pd$_{0.3}$Pt(111), Pt(111) and Pd(111) calculated relative to CO$_2$ (g), protons and electrons and for *H, calculated relative to (H$^+$ + e$^-$). *OCOH in bright orange is non solvated and in dark orange (red) is solvated, *OCHO-sol. *COOH in light green is non solvated and in dark green is solvated, *COOH-sol.

The first observation we make from Figure 6.7 is that under *H is always more stable than *OCHO. This is basically in agreement with our observation from Figure 6.1 that *H inhibits formate adsorption. Therefore, we consider that *OCHO is not the intermediate in the CO$_2$ reduction to formic acid/formate. There is growing agreement in the literature that for catalysts that to reduce CO$_2$ close to the thermodynamic potential, including palladium, the key intermediate is *H, i.e. hydride.$^{23,44}$ Formate is then formed by nucleophilic attack of the *H to the carbon of CO$_2$.\textsuperscript{23,44}

It is therefore likely that during the reduction reaction, the surfaces are covered with hydrogen. With this in mind, we investigated the effect of 1/3 and 1 ML hydrogen coverage on the adsorption energetics of *COOH (see Figure E6), and the chemical nature of the adsorbed hydrogen by calculating partial Bader charges (see Table S4) and the corresponding work function (Table S5) for 1/9, 1/3, and 1 ML coverages, which provides a qualitative analysis of our results. From Figure E6 we observe that the *COOH free energy of adsorption becomes less favorable as hydrogen coverage increases due to repulsion interactions, but *COOH remains more favorably adsorbed on Pt(111) than on Pd$_{0.3}$Pt(111) and Pd(111). We note that from experiment (Figure 6.3) *CO formation starts 0.25 V earlier on Pt(111), and in Figure E6, the adsorption energy of *COOH in the presence of 1 ML of H$^*$ looks to be roughly 0.25 eV more favorable on Pt(111) than on Pd$_{0.3}$Pt(111).
It is interesting to note that the partial charge of the hydrogen adsorbed on the Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) surface is much more negative than that on the Pt(111) surface at all coverages. This creates a different trend in dipole moment/work function on the surfaces: an increase on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) and a decrease on Pt(111) up to 1ML, see Table S4 and Table S5 in the Appendix E. Our DFT results match the trend seen in experimentally measured changes in work function of Pt(111) and Pd(111) in UHV during the adsorption of hydrogen. Although we do not have direct evidence for the exact mechanism to form formic acid, we hypothesize that the significantly different chemical states of the hydrogen on Pd vs. Pt play an important role. Since hydrogen has a more negative partial charge on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) than on Pt(111), it is expected to act as a reactive hydride species (facilitating a nucleophilic attack to the carbon) to form formic acid. On Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111), the partially negatively charged hydrogen can either be transferred to a non-adsorbed CO$_2$ molecule that is very close to the surface, or to the carbon of adsorbed *COOH. This nucleophilic attack is less likely to occur on Pt(111) because the surface hydrogen has much less negative partial charge. Also, on Pt(111) the *COOH adsorbs more strongly, leading to the surface becoming covered/poisoned with CO* at a less negative potential, so that the nucleophilic attack by H* on CO$_2$ cannot occur.

On the basis of Figure 6.7, *COOH-sol adsorption is more favorable on Pt(111) than on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111). The limiting potentials for the formation of *COOH-sol on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) and Pt(111) are 0.23 and 0.49 V$_{\text{RHE}}$. Therefore, formation of *CO from its precursor *COOH-sol is likely to occur on Pt(111) at less negative potentials compared to Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111), in good agreement with the experimental observations (see Figure 6.3). Also note that *COOH-sol needs to replace *H, which is favorable only on Pt(111) (see Figure 6.7). Therefore, *CO formation is more likely to occur on Pt(111) than on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) as the adsorption of its precursor *COOH occurs at earlier potentials than on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111). Once the surface is covered with *CO, *H can no longer form and the pathway to forming formic acid/formate is blocked.

6.4 General Discussion

6.4.1 Formic acid oxidation

The experimental results and the DFT calculations indicate that adsorbed formate plays a key role in preventing CO poisoning on the Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) electrode during formic acid oxidation. The DFT calculations predict that the effect of co-adsorbed formate towards weakening CO* is larger on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111) than on Pt(111), such that at the maximum coverage of formate on Pd$_{\text{ML}}$Pt(111), i.e. 0.33 ML, the formation energy of CO is unfavorable compared to the formation energy of adsorbed H at this conditions, whereas at the maximum coverage of formate on Pt(111), i.e. 0.25 ML, the
formation energy of CO is still more favorable compared to H. Therefore, CO formation from *COOH is suppressed on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111), but still happens on Pt(111). There is, however, an additional geometric argument why CO poisoning on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) would be suppressed. It is well known that the formation of CO from formic acid requires an ensemble site of two (or more) neighboring free sites.\textsuperscript{7,47} The Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) shows strong formate adsorption with a high saturation coverage of 0.33 ML. This 1/3 ML formate coverage means that at full coverage, 2/3 of the Pd surface atoms are blocked and the ensemble site of two neighboring Pd sites is not available. Therefore, CO poisoning is inhibited geometrically. On the other hand, the 1/4 ML saturation coverage of formate on Pt(111) is not high enough to block the ensemble site, and hence the Pt(111) surface becomes easily poisoned by CO.

Interestingly, while the formation of *CO is blocked on the 0.33 ML formate-covered Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) electrode, formic acid oxidation still takes place with high rate. This can be explained by recent models for formic acid oxidation, which consider adsorbed formate as a “spectator”, and which identify the active formate intermediate as a formate species interacting to the surface through the C-H bond. This configuration with the C-H pointing to the 1/3 ML of “free” Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) surface sites can react to CO\textsubscript{2} by fast C-H cleavage due to the affinity of the Pd surface to hydrogen. Note that in this picture, adsorbed formate is more than a spectator, as it specifically blocks the surface from CO formation, and thereby protects the surface from poisoning. On Pt(111), the adsorbed formate does not bind strong enough to play the same role. In more chemically intuitive terms, we attribute this ability of palladium to “self-protect” from CO poisoning to the higher affinity of Pd and Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) to anions. We relate the higher anion affinity of Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111) and Pd compared to Pt(111) to their lower work function, and hence a lower potential of zero charge.

Our model for formic acid oxidation and the mechanism of CO poisoning is illustrated in Figure 6.8a. On Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(111), the surface is covered with such a high coverage of formate, that the only remaining interaction of formate with the surface is through the C-H bond. This interaction cleaves the C-H bond and releases CO\textsubscript{2}. The pathway to *CO formation is blocked because the ensemble site for CO formation is unavailable and because the binding of CO at such a high formate coverage is highly unfavorable. On Pt(111), the formate coverage is lower. Formate is presumably still activated through cleaving the C-H bond, making the adsorbed formate an inactive spectator species. However, the ensemble site for CO formation is available and the binding of CO at this formate coverage is still reasonably favorable. As a result, the surface will accumulate *CO and becomes poisoned.

We have attempted to test this model for the Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(100) electrode, which shows a mass-transport-limited formic acid oxidation at normal scan rate.\textsuperscript{20} Figure E3 shows fast voltammetry results for the formic acid oxidation on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(100) electrode. Unfortunately, the oxidation of formic acid on Pd\textsubscript{ML}Pt(100) electrode is still very fast, even in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} + 50 mM HCOOH at 50 V s\textsuperscript{-1}, so that this electrode is too active
to determine the saturation coverage of adsorbed formate, and hence we cannot confirm that on Pd_{ML}Pt(100), adsorbed formate protects the surface from CO poisoning.

**Figure 6.8**
a) Illustration of the model mechanism for CO poisoning pathway during formic acid oxidation on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) (upper drawing) and Pt(111) (lower drawing) with top views of the surfaces on the left and side views on the right. During formic acid oxidation the coverage on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) is 1/3 ML, and on Pt(111) is 1/4 ML. On Pt(111) the necessary two neighboring surface atoms for *CO formation from *COOH are available, while on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) they are not available. b) Illustration of the model mechanism for CO poisoning pathway during CO\textsubscript{2} reduction reaction, on Pd_{ML}Pt(111) (upper sketch) and Pt(111) (lower sketch). Note that *COOH is adsorbed on Pt(111) at a less negative potential than on Pd_{ML}Pt(111). Oxygen is in red, carbon in grey, hydrogen in white.
6.4.2 The CO₂ reduction

Pd surfaces produce formate at low potential close to the thermodynamic potential of formic acid formation from CO₂ reduction. At more negative potentials, the surface passivates due to the accumulation of CO₂. The electrochemically generated surface adsorbed hydrogen has been hypothesized to play a key role during the electrohydrogenation of CO₂ to formate on the Pd surface. In this case, high-coverage formate adsorption cannot explain the absence of CO poisoning at low overpotential, as formate adsorbs only at potentials positive of hydrogen adsorption (see Figure 6.2). Therefore, we also consider it unlikely that adsorbed formate is the intermediate in the CO₂ reduction on palladium. Supporting the hypothesis of the electrochemically generated adsorbed hydrogen being the reactive species, DFT shows that the nature of this adsorbed hydrogen differs significantly from Pd₅ML/Pt(111) to Pt(111). On Pd₅ML/Pt(111) it is more negatively charged than on Pt(111), and this negatively charged hydrogen (“hydride”) can be transferred to a positively charged carbon (on a CO₂ molecule close to the surface (or to an adsorbed *COOH)) through a nucleophilic attack, to form formate. Another attractive feature of this model for formate formation is that it is, on the molecular level, the exact reverse of how formate is oxidized, namely through the formation/cleavage of a C-H bond with the H interacting with the catalyst surface. It is likely that this molecular reversibility is related to the observed kinetic reversibility of this reaction, when carried out on a suitable catalyst.

The DFT calculations suggest that the CO poisoning during CO₂ reduction is related to the stability of the *COOH intermediate. Since *COOH is considerably more stable on Pt(111) compared to Pd₅ML/Pt(111), CO poisoning happens much faster on Pt(111) and formic acid/formate is not produced (see Figure 6.3). Our model for CO poisoning during CO₂ reduction reaction is illustrated in Figure 6.8b. In summary, on Pt(111) *COOH adsorbs at less negative potentials than on Pd₅ML/Pt(111). However, at sufficiently negative potential, *COOH formation becomes favorable on Pd₅ML/Pt(111) as well and therefore we start seeing *CO poisoning also on that surface.

In this Chapter, we have used a well-defined epitaxially grown Pd monolayer on Pt(111) in comparison to a Pt(111) single crystal electrode to unveil the detailed relationship between surface structure, adsorbed intermediates, and reactivity for electrocatalytic formic acid oxidation and CO₂ reduction, with the specific aim to understand the ability of Pd catalysts to withstand CO poisoning. The Pd₅ML/Pt(111) surface shows a higher activity for formic acid oxidation than Pt(111). Our fast-scan voltammetry results show a higher coverage of 1/3 ML formate anion adsorption on the Pd₅ML/Pt(111) electrode compared to a saturation coverage of 1/4 ML on Pt(111). Supported by DFT results, we argue that the high binding energy of formate and the resulting higher coverage of formate anions blocks the ensemble site necessary for CO formation, explaining why palladium does not poison by CO during formic acid oxidation. During CO₂ reduction, the electrochemically generated adsorbed surface
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Hydrogen is significantly more negatively charged on Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) than on Pt(111), and as a result, formation of formate via a nucleophilic attack of the negatively charged hydrogen to a positively charged carbon is more likely. The Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) surface produces formate at a low potential of -0.29 V$_{RHE}$ but starts producing adsorbed CO at potentials more negative than -0.475V$_{RHE}$ whereas Pt(111) is poisoned at less negative potential and never produces formate. Combined experimental and DFT results suggest that the faster poisoning on Pt(111) compared to Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) is due to stronger adsorption of *COOH, the precursor of *CO, at less negative potentials on Pt(111).

6.5 Experimental Section

6.5.1 Electrochemical Measurements

Electrolytes were prepared from ultrapure water (Merck Millipore, 18.2 MΩ cm, TOC<3 ppb) and high-purity reagents (Merck Suprapur, Sigma-Aldrich Trace Select). Before each experiment, the electrolytes were first purged with argon (Air Products, 5.7) for 30 min to remove air from the solution. In the case of CO$_2$ reduction experiments, the electrolyte was subsequently purged with CO$_2$ (Linde, 4.5) for at least 30 min to saturate the solution. For CO stripping experiments, the single-crystal electrode was in contact with a CO (Linde 6.0) saturated solution in hanging meniscus configuration at fixed potential of 0.1 V$_{RHE}$ for 30 s, which is sufficient to form a complete monolayer of CO on the electrode. Afterwards, argon was bubbled for 15 min to remove CO from the solution, followed by the CO oxidative stripping experiment.

Cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out in standard electrochemical cells using a three-electrode assembly at room temperature. All glassware was cleaned in an acidic solution of potassium permanganate overnight, followed by rinsing with an acidic solution of hydrogen peroxide and repetitive rinsing and boiling with ultrapure water. Pt(111) and Pt(100) bead-type electrodes were used as working electrodes (diameter of 2.27 mm and 3.46 mm, resp.) for cyclic voltammetry, and 10 mm disk-type electrodes were used for online high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) experiments, resp. Prior to each experiment, the working electrodes were prepared according to the Clavilier method.$^{48}$ A platinum wire was used as counter electrode and a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), in a separate compartment filled with the same electrolyte, at the same pH as the electrolyte in the electrochemical cell, was employed as the reference electrode. The electrochemical measurements were performed with the single-crystal electrode in the hanging meniscus configuration. The potential was controlled with an Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostat. The fast-scan cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed using a Bio-Logic SP-300 potentiostat. The current density
shown here represents the measured current normalized to the geometric area of the working electrode.

6.5.2 Preparation of Pd monolayers on Pt(111) and Pt(100) single crystals

The Pd monolayers were prepared using the method similar to the one reported before. The freshly prepared Pt(111) and Pt(100) electrodes were immersed into a Pd$^{2+}$ containing solution at 0.85 V$_{RHE}$, where no Pd deposition occurred, and the potential was continuously cycled between 0.07 and 0.85 V$_{RHE}$ at 50 mV s$^{-1}$. The amount of palladium on the Pt(111) surface was monitored by following the evolution of the voltammetric peak at 0.23 V$_{RHE}$ (as shown in Figure E1 a), characteristic of the presence of Pd adatoms, whose charge (and current density) depend on the palladium coverage. Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) experiments have revealed the existence of small monoatomic high Pd islands which nucleate on the Pt(111) surface with no noticeable preference of nucleation sites and a full Pd monolayer without detectable holes can be formed after deposition. The STM images show the presence of an ordered sulphate adlayer with a ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R19.1$^\circ$ structure on the Pd monolayer. After preparation, the Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) electrode was taken from the cell and thoroughly rinsed with ultrapure water before electrochemical measurements. For the Pd$_{ML}$Pt(100) electrode, the single crystal was taken from the cell and then immersed in a nitrite saturated solution at open circuit to generate a layer of nitric oxide (NO) on the surface. Next, the crystal was thoroughly rinsed with ultrapure water to avoid any contamination from the acidic nitrite solution and subsequently was transferred to the electrochemical cell at 0.85 V$_{RHE}$ and the adsorbed NO was reductively stripped. The NO procedure is a kind of electrochemical annealing which leads to a Pt(100) electrode fully covered by a single palladium monolayer. The palladium monolayer was monitored by following the evolution of the voltammetric peaks at 0.17, 0.27 and 0.39 V$_{RHE}$ (as shown in Figure E1 b).

6.5.3 Online High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

For online detection of products dissolved in the electrolyte during CO$_2$ reduction as a function of applied potential, online HPLC was used. While the potential was changed from 0.0 V to the required potential, samples were collected with an open tip positioned close (~10 μm) to the electrode. Sampling was done at a rate of 60 μL min$^{-1}$, and each sample had a volume of 60 μL. Since the potential was changed at 1 mV s$^{-1}$, each sample contained the products averaged over a potential change of 60 mV. After voltammetry, these samples were analyzed by HPLC (Prominence HPLC, Shimadzu; Aminex HPX 87-H column, Biorad).
6.6 Computational Details

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were used to compute the adsorption/formation energies of *H, *CO, *OCHO and *COOH adsorbates involved in the formic acid oxidation and CO$_2$ reduction reactions on Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) (Pt(111) covered by one monolayer of Pd), Pt(111) and Pd(111). All calculations were performed using the PAW$^{51}$ method in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package$^{52}$ using the PBE$^{53}$ exchange correlation functional. We use 3x3 unit cell slabs to simulate adsorbate coverages of 0.11 ML to 0.33 ML and used 2x2 unit cell slabs to investigate adsorbate coverages of 0.25 ML. The Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111), Pt(111) and Pd(111) were simulated with five, six and four atomic layers, respectively. This choice was made based on convergence tests of adsorption energies with different number of layers. The k-point samplings for the 3x3 unit cell slabs were 6x6x1 for Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) and Pt(111) and 4x4x1 for the Pd(111); for the 2x2 unit cell slabs, 6x6x1 for Pd(111) and 4x4x1 for Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) and Pt(111). The two bottommost layers were fixed at the calculated lattice constant of the bulk metal, 3.98 Å for Pt(111), also used for the Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111) slab, and 3.93 Å for Pd(111), and the remaining atomic layers were relaxed. The method of Methfessel-Paxton$^{54}$ to the second order was used to set the partial occupancies on each orbital and the smearing width was set to 0.2 eV for surfaces and adsorbed species. For the individual molecules H$_2$(g), H$_2$O(g), CO$_2$(g), CO (g) a Gaussian smearing of 0.001 eV was used instead, and they were calculated in an asymmetric box of (15.0 x 15.1 x 15.3) Å at a k-point sampling of 1x1x1. The maximal forces on the atoms were converged to 0.02 eV Å$^{-1}$ for all simulations and the plane wave cutoff was set to 450 eV. A vacuum spacing of ~15.0 Å between metal slabs was set to simulate the surfaces of Pd$_{ML}$Pt(111), Pt(111), and Pd(111), and dipole corrections were also applied in the surface normal direction. The free energy of H$_2$O (g) was corrected to the free energy of H$_2$O (l) by adding -0.0887 eV to the TS term.$^{55}$ The formation free energies of adsorbed *H, *CO, *OCHO and *COOH were calculated from formic acid in solution, (HCOOH (aq)) for the formic acid oxidation reaction, and from carbon dioxide in gas-phase, (CO$_2$(g)), electrons and protons in solution for the CO$_2$ reduction reaction. Gas-phase energy corrections for CO$_2$(g) and CO (g) are included,$^{56}$ and the CHE model$^{55}$ is used for the coupled proton and electron transfer. The exact details for calculating the free energies the different species from the DFT energies are outlined in the Appendix E.
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