



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Empire of virtue? normative language and the legitimation of power in Roman North Africa

Penders, S.M.H.J.

Citation

Penders, S. M. H. J. (2021, October 7). *Empire of virtue?: normative language and the legitimation of power in Roman North Africa*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3217024>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3217024>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

EMPIRE OF VIRTUE?

Normative language and the legitimation of power
in Roman North Africa

S.M.H.J. Penders

Cover images:

Detail of IRT 322, dedication to Augustus by Annobal Rufus, Lepcis Magna. Picture credits: joepyrek (Joe Pyrek), Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 2.0 Generic license.

Reverse of an aureus depicting a personified Pietas (RIC IV Septimius Severus 572). Picture credits: American Numismatic Society, public domain.

Printing: Ridderprint | www.ridderprint.nl

© S.M.H.J. Penders 2021

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of the author.

EMPIRE OF VIRTUE?

Normative language and the legitimation of
power in Roman North Africa

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties

te verdedigen op 7 oktober 2021

klokke 15:00 uur

door

Stefan Maria Hendricus Jozef Penders

geboren te Geleen

in 1988

Promotor: Prof. dr. L. de Ligt
Copromotor: Dr. F.G. Naerebout

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. O.J. Hekster (Radboud Universiteit)
Prof. dr. J.K. Zangenberg
Dr. L.M.G.F.E. Claes
Dr. M. Icks (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“A PhD thesis is a marathon, not a sprint”, a friend told me at the start of my doctorate. At the time it sounded like a platitude, but I have come to appreciate the wisdom of her words in the years since. After seven years of doubts and new-found confidence, frustration and breakthroughs, dead-ends and insights, the project nears completion. That achievement is as much owed to the help and support of others as it is owed to any academic work on my part.

Firstly I would like to thank my two supervisors, Prof. Luuk de Ligt and Dr. Frits Naerebout. From my first stumbling steps into the world of Latin epigraphy, Luuk has consistently offered me constructive advice and meaningful suggestions. During our talks, ideas were parsed, implicit assumptions challenged, logical errors pointed out. His influence was not only felt in the words on papers, but in my own intellectual development during my doctorate. I am also particularly grateful to Luuk for his continued support during my forays outside of academia.

The guidance of Frits was of a different, though wholly complementary nature. In Frits’ office, under old sword-and-sandal film posters, the big questions were asked, the *maybes* aired and the *what-ifs* given flight. Our conversations were roaming, but always with the clear goal of critical reflection in mind. I am particularly grateful to Frits for his years of tutelage. As a bachelor student, surprised to receive an invitation to an Honours Class. As a research master’s student, struggling to finish a thesis. And as a starting PhD candidate, unsure where to go next. Our goal as ancient historians, in Frits’ words, is to get a finger behind the door and peer in through the crack at the ancient world. I can only hope that this thesis has gone some small way towards meeting that goal.

Beyond Luuk and Frits, I want to thank my former colleagues at the Ancient History group in Leiden. In particular, I am grateful for my social media collaborations with Dr. Kim Beerden and later Dr. Liesbeth Claes, which offered a welcome diversion from epigraphic texts. Over seven years, many PhD colleagues came and went: Shanshan Wen, Pieter Houten, Rinse Willet, Paul Kloeg, Damjan Donev, Frida Pellegrino, Karolien Pazmany, Matthew Hobson and Bart Noordervliet, many of them part of the ERC project ‘An Empire of 2000 Cities’. They generously provided intellectual stimulation and social support, in equal measure. And to Larissa Schulte Nordholt, Wietse Stam, Bente de Leede and Marlisa den Hartog a hearty thanks for filling an empty office with both laughter and debate.

During my PhD I took steps to develop my career outside of academia. During this time, my fellow-volunteers at RomeinenNU deeply impressed me with their dedication and effort in making Roman heritage accessible to a wide audience. Thanks are also due to my colleagues at the Dutch Research Council, who indulged me with questions on my research and who graciously ensured that I had the time to finally finish my PhD. You are a joy to work with.

The names of friends and family members to thank are too numerous to all list here. To my parents, my sister, my friends and family members: each and every one of you deserve special thanks for your support, your patience and your advice over these seven years. Lastly and most importantly,

my gratitude to James, *vir dulcissimus*, without whose love and encouragement this project would never have been finished.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
CONTENTS.....	vii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
<i>0.1 – Towards a new definition of legitimacy</i>	5
<i>0.2 – Imperial ideology and propaganda</i>	6
<i>0.3 – Contextualising imperial power</i>	10
<i>0.4 – Legitimacy and honour</i>	13
<i>0.5 – Praise in practice</i>	18
CHAPTER I - MEDIA AND IDEOLOGY.....	23
<i>1.1. – A prince of purity and virtue: the literary tradition</i>	24
<i>1.2. – Paperwork: administrative documents and normative language</i>	35
<i>1.2.1. – Imperial correspondence</i>	37
<i>1.2.2. – Imperial titulature</i>	40
<i>1.3. – What belongs to Caesar: imperial coinage</i>	42
<i>1.4. – The imperial gaze: the image of the emperor</i>	48
CHAPTER II - PRAISING EMPERORS.....	53
<i>2.1. – Early responses – the second century</i>	54
<i>2.1.1. – Worshipping imperial virtues</i>	58
<i>2.1.2. – The forum of Cuicul</i>	60
<i>2.1.3. – Safeguarding the emperor</i>	62
<i>2.2. – Central message, local response</i>	67
<i>2.2.1. – Noble and indulgent princes</i>	77
<i>2.2.2. – Virtues in the flesh: the Severan quadrifrons of Lepcis Magna</i>	82
<i>2.2.3. – Caracalla and the later Severans</i>	85
<i>2.3. – A permanent shift? Normative language in the third century</i>	90
<i>2.4. – In praise of late antique monarchs</i>	94

CHAPTER III - GOVERNORS AND OFFICIALS.....	103
3.1. – <i>Blameless men: early gubernatorial virtues</i>	103
3.1.1. – <i>Clemency and justice</i>	107
3.2. – <i>A man of all virtues: governors in Late Antiquity</i>	112
 CHAPTER IV - BENEFACTORS AND MAGISTRATES.....	 127
4.1. – <i>Conflict and the city</i>	128
4.2. – <i>In praise of generosity</i>	133
4.3. – <i>Integrity in office</i>	141
4.4. – <i>Straddling the divide?</i>	147
4.5. – <i>In service of the patria</i>	150
4.5.1. – <i>Loving the fatherland</i>	155
4.6. – <i>Pious sons, caring fathers: elite (self-)representation</i>	159
4.6.1. – <i>Self-promotion in Thamugadi</i>	166
 CHAPTER V - SOLDIERS AND OFFICERS.....	 171
5.1. – <i>Defining the bond between emperor and legion</i>	174
5.1.1. – <i>Imperial health and well-being</i>	178
5.1.2. – <i>Expressing loyalty to the Severans</i>	180
5.1.3. – <i>The bravest emperors?</i>	183
5.1.4. – <i>An impoverished third century</i>	191
5.1.5. – <i>Virtues resurgent</i>	195
5.2. – <i>Networks of patronage</i>	196
5.3. – <i>Commemorating the self</i>	204
 CONCLUSIONS	 211
6.1. – <i>Authoritative examples</i>	212
6.2. – <i>Responses and wishes</i>	213
6.3. – <i>Following the court?</i>	215
6.4. – <i>Virtues and self-representation</i>	217
6.5. – <i>Vibrant rhetoric</i>	218
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	 221
 SAMENVATTING.....	 239

CURRICULUM VITAE..... 249

