



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Platelet EVs contain an active proteasome involved in protein processing for antigen presentation via MHC-I molecules

Marcoux, G.; Laroche, A.; Hasse, S.; Bellio, M.; Mbarik, M.; Tamagne, M.; ... ; Boilard, E.

Citation

Marcoux, G., Laroche, A., Hasse, S., Bellio, M., Mbarik, M., Tamagne, M., ... Boilard, E. (2021). Platelet EVs contain an active proteasome involved in protein processing for antigen presentation via MHC-I molecules. *Blood*. doi:10.1182/blood.2020009957

Version: Accepted Manuscript

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3214390>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



American Society of Hematology
2021 L Street NW, Suite 900,
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545
editorial@hematology.org

Platelet EVs contain an active proteasome involved in protein processing for antigen presentation via MHC-I molecules

Tracking no: BLD-2020-009957R1

Genevieve Marcoux (Lund University, Sweden) Aurée Laroche (CHU de Québec, Canada) Stephan Hasse (CHU de Québec, Canada) Marie Bellio (CHU de Québec, Canada) Maroua Mbarik (CHU de Québec, Canada) Marie Tamagne (EFS Ile de France - Inserm U955, France) Isabelle Allaeys (CHU de Québec, Canada) Anne Zufferey (CHU de Québec - Laval University, Canada) Tania Lévesque (Faculte de Medecine de l'Université Laval, Departement de microbiologie et immunologie, Canada) Johan Rebetz (Laboratory Medicine, Sweden) Annie Karakeussian-Rimbaud (Research Centre, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CRCHUM), Canada) Julie Turgeon (Centre de recherche de l'Université de Montréal, Canada) Sylvain Bourgoin (Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec, Canada) Hind HAMZEH-COGNASSE (Université Jean Monnet-Université de Lyon, France) Fabrice COGNASSE (University of Lyon; French Blood Bank (EFS) Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, France) Rick Kapur (Sanquin Research, Netherlands) John Semple (Lund University, Sweden) Marie-Josée Hebert (Université de Montréal, Canada) France Pirenne (University Paris Est Créteil, INSERM U955, Etablissement Français du Sang, France) Herman Overkleeft (Leiden University, Netherlands) Bogdan Florea (Leiden University, Netherlands) Mélanie Dieude (University of Montreal, Canada) benoit vingert (Etablissement Français du Sang,) Eric Boilard (CHU de Québec, Canada)

Abstract:

In addition to their hemostatic role, platelets play a significant role in immunity. Once activated, platelets release extracellular vesicles (EVs) formed by budding of their cytoplasmic membranes. Because of their heterogeneity, platelet EVs (PEVs) are thought to perform diverse functions. It is unknown, however, whether the proteasome is transferred from platelets to PEVs or whether its function is retained. We hypothesized that functional protein processing and antigen presentation machinery is transferred to PEVs by activated platelets. Using molecular and functional assays, we show that the active 20S proteasome is enriched in PEVs along with MHC-I and lymphocyte costimulatory molecules (CD40L and OX40L). Proteasome-containing PEVs were identified in healthy donor blood, but did not increase in platelet concentrates that caused adverse transfusion reactions. They were, however, augmented after immune complex injections in mice. The complete biodistribution of murine PEVs following injection into mice revealed that they could principally reach lymphoid organs such as spleen and lymph nodes, in addition to the bone marrow, and to a lesser extent liver and lungs. The PEV proteasome processed exogenous ovalbumin (OVA) and loaded its antigenic peptide onto MHC-I molecules which promoted OVA-specific CD8⁺ T lymphocyte proliferation. These results suggest that PEVs contribute to adaptive immunity through cross-presentation of antigens and have privileged access to immune cells through the lymphatic system, a tissue location that is inaccessible to platelets.

Conflict of interest: No COI declared

COI notes: The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: Disclosures The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose. Authorship Contributions EB, BV, GM, AZ, conceived and designed the study and wrote the manuscript; GM, AL, SH, MB, MM, IA, MT and BV performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and participated in manuscript preparation. TL, JR, AKR, JT, HHC, FC, RK, JWS, MJH, SGB, FP, HSO, BIF and MD performed experiments, contributed critical biospecimens and analyzed data. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: emails to the corresponding author

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

Platelet EVs contain an active proteasome involved in protein processing for antigen presentation via MHC-I molecules

Genevieve Marcoux^{1,2}, Aurée Laroche^{1,2}, Stephan Hasse^{1,2}, Marie Bellio^{1,2}, Maroua Mbarik^{1,2}, Marie Tamagne^{3,4,5}, Isabelle Allaëys^{1,2}, Anne Zufferey^{1,2}, Tania Lévesque^{1,2}, Johan Rebetz⁶, Annie Karakeussian-Rimbaud^{7,8}, Julie Turgeon^{7,8}, Sylvain G. Bourgoïn^{1,2}, Hind Hamzeh-Cognasse⁹, Fabrice Cognasse^{9,10}, Rick Kapur¹¹, John W. Semple^{6,12}, Marie-Josée Hébert^{7,8}, France Pirenne^{3,4,5}, Herman S. Overkleeft¹³, Bogdan I. Florea¹³, Mélanie Dieude^{7,8,14}, Benoit Vingert^{3,4,5}, Eric Boilard^{1,2,8}.

¹ Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.

² Centre de Recherche Arthrite, Faculté de Médecine de l'Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.

³ Univ Paris Est Créteil, INSERM, IMRB, F-94010 Créteil, France

⁴ Etablissement Français du Sang, Ivry sur Seine, F-94200, France

⁵ Laboratory of Excellence GR-Ex, Paris, France

⁶ Division of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁷ Research Centre, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CRCHUM), Montréal, Québec, Canada.

⁸ Canadian Donation and Transplantation Research Program, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

⁹ Université de Lyon, Université Jean Monnet, INSERM U1059, Saint-Etienne, France

¹⁰ Etablissement Français du Sang Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, Saint-Etienne, France.

¹¹ Sanquin Research, Department of Experimental Immunohematology, Amsterdam and Landsteiner Laboratory, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

¹² Departments of Pharmacology and Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

¹³ Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden Institute of Chemistry and Netherlands Proteomics Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands.

¹⁴ Département Microbiologie, Infectiologie et Immunologie, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

Correspondence should be sent to:

Eric Boilard, PhD

Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec

Faculté de Médecine de l'Université Laval

2705 Laurier Blvd, room T1-49, Québec, QC, Canada G1V 4G2

Eric.Boilard@crchudequebec.ulaval.ca

Phone: +1 418-525-4444, extension 46175, Fax: +1 418-654-2765

Or

Benoît Vingert, PhD

Établissement Français du Sang

Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale-INSERM U955,

5 rue Gustave Eiffel, 94017 Créteil, France

benoit.vingert@efs.sante.fr

Phone: +33 1 56 72 17 40, Fax: +33 1 56 72 17 47

Word count: 3998

Keywords: platelet, extracellular vesicles, proteasome, MHC-I, antigen presentation, immunity

Short title: Active proteasome in extracellular vesicles

Key points:

Platelet-derived extracellular vesicles contain an active proteasome

Platelet-derived extracellular vesicles can process and present antigen

Abstract

In addition to their hemostatic role, platelets play a significant role in immunity. Once activated, platelets release extracellular vesicles (EVs) formed by budding of their cytoplasmic membranes. Because of their heterogeneity, platelet EVs (PEVs) are thought to perform diverse functions. It is unknown, however, whether the proteasome is transferred from platelets to PEVs or whether its function is retained. We hypothesized that functional protein processing and antigen presentation machinery is transferred to PEVs by activated platelets. Using molecular and functional assays, we show that the active 20S proteasome is enriched in PEVs along with MHC-I and lymphocyte costimulatory molecules (CD40L and OX40L). Proteasome-containing PEVs were identified in healthy donor blood, but did not increase in platelet concentrates that caused adverse transfusion reactions. They were, however, augmented after immune complex injections in mice. The complete biodistribution of murine PEVs following injection into mice revealed that they could principally reach lymphoid organs such as spleen and lymph nodes, in addition to the bone marrow, and to a lesser extent liver and lungs. The PEV proteasome processed exogenous ovalbumin (OVA) and loaded its antigenic peptide onto MHC-I molecules which promoted OVA-specific CD8⁺ T lymphocyte proliferation. These results suggest that PEVs contribute to adaptive immunity through cross-presentation of antigens and have privileged access to immune cells through the lymphatic system, a tissue location that is inaccessible to platelets.

Introduction

Platelets are the second most abundant lineage in the blood and are best known for their role in hemostasis.¹ Platelets are small fragments produced by the large multinucleated megakaryocyte in the bone marrow. They bear receptors that permit recruitment of immune cells and carry an extensive set of immune and inflammatory molecules (e.g. cytokines/chemokines, lipid mediators, hormones) stored in their granules, cytoplasm, or synthesized by mRNA translation following platelet activation. Thus, while platelets may mount an innate immune response against injury, which is critical to combat pathogen invasion, organ and tissue damage may also favor platelet activation and inflammation in chronic inflammatory diseases.²⁻⁸

Albeit anucleate, the platelet cytoplasm includes numerous molecules comprising the proteasome, which are transferred from megakaryocytes to their progeny. The proteasome is a high molecular weight cylindrical protein complex through which unwanted or damaged proteins are degraded.^{9,10} The central complex part, called the 20S proteasome, is made up of twenty-eight distinct subunits,¹¹ comprising the three catalytic subunits necessary for the degradation of proteins into peptides of three to fifteen amino acids in length.^{11,12} Proteasome activity in megakaryocytes is required for platelet production^{13,14} and in platelets, the proteasome regulates platelet lifespan,¹⁵ activation¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and the release of PEVs.^{19,20} The platelet proteasome can hydrolyze proteins into smaller peptides,^{11,21,22} thereby enabling peptide loading onto the platelet major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules (MHC-I).²³⁻²⁵ Components of the peptide loading complex are also expressed in platelets and are found in close proximity with MHC-I during platelet activation.^{26,27} As platelets can efficiently form an immunological synapse with T-lymphocytes to activate lymphocyte proliferation,^{26,28,29} they are known to fulfill roles in cross-presentation of antigens in adaptive immunity. In a similar manner, megakaryocytes cross-present antigens to CD8 T-lymphocytes, thereby suggesting that they may also play a dual role in innate and adaptive immunity.^{25,30-32}

Extracellular vesicles, produced in abundance by platelets, are small (up to 1µm in

diameter) membrane-bound vesicles released from the plasma membrane or endosomal compartments of activated cells. Platelet EVs are heterogeneous in terms of surface molecules and content (e.g. nucleic acids, lipids, transcription factors, enzymes, mitochondria) and as such, may play diverse functions beyond hemostasis.³³⁻³⁵ For instance, PEVs convey mitochondrial components that are associated with inflammation and adverse transfusion reactions (ATRs).³⁶⁻³⁸ Despite the fact that platelets are restricted to the blood circulation, PEVs can cross tissue barriers and enter synovial fluid,^{39,40} lymph^{41,42} and bone marrow⁴³ where they can deliver platelet-derived molecules and modulate target cells.³⁴ For instance, PEVs promote the formation of germinal centers and the production of IgG by B-cells.^{44,45} They also interact with and modulate regulatory T cell differentiation and activity.^{46,47} Thus, PEVs may be able to transport platelet-derived molecules relevant to adaptive immunity into lymphoid organs. However, it is unknown whether the proteasome and the molecules necessary for antigen presentation are also transferred during the budding of PEVs. In this study, we evaluated whether functional protein processing and antigen presentation machinery is transferred to PEVs by activated platelets.

Material and methods

More details are presented in supplemental methods.

Labelling of murine platelets, DCs and PEVs Platelets were isolated from C57BL/6J mice by retro-orbital or cardiac puncture in 200 μ L ACD, 350 μ L Tyrode's buffer pH 6.5. Whole blood was centrifuged at 600 \times g for 3min and then at 400 \times g for 2min to remove red blood cells. Supernatant was spun at 1,300 \times g for 5 min and the platelet-containing pellet was gently resuspended in 600 μ L Tyrode's buffer pH 7.4. Platelets were either left nonactivated or activated with thrombin (0.1U/mL) after addition of 5 mM of calcium for 90 min at RT (time based on kinetics of CD41⁺ Proteasome⁺ PEV release shown in **Supplementary figure 3C**). Platelet EVs were obtained by two rounds of centrifugation of stimulated platelets at 1,300 \times g for 5min at RT. Either activated platelets, EVs or DCs were pulsed with 100 μ g/mL OVA protein (Sigma-Aldrich), 200 μ g/mL of OVA peptide (SIINFEKL [Invivogen]) or left unpulsed for 4h at RT. These conditions were either left unlabelled for lymphoproliferation and intracellular staining experiments or labelled for Hs-FCM experiments.

Five μ L of PEVs or platelet suspensions were labelled with 250nM LWA300 proteasome probe in a total volume of 100 μ L for 90min at 30°C. Samples were then incubated with the following antibodies for 30min at RT prior to dilution in Annexin V binding buffer and analysis by Hs-FCM: BUV395 anti-CD41, BV650 anti-CD62p, BUV395 anti-CD41, BV650 anti-CD62p, BV711 Annexin V, BV421 anti-OX40L, BUV737 anti-CD154 (all BD Biosciences), PeCy7 anti-CD40, PeCy7 anti-MHC-I (AF6-88.5) and PE anti MHC-I bound to OVA peptide (25D1.16) (all from Biolegend).

Results

PEVs contain functional proteasome

Following platelet activation by thrombin, remnant platelets were eliminated by centrifugation and larger EVs were isolated by a second high-speed centrifugation (18Kxg fraction). The supernatant obtained was further centrifuged at 100,000g and smaller EVs (likely exosomes) were obtained from this pellet. We found that $98.1 \pm 0.5\%$ of proteins were retrieved in the larger EV 18Kxg fraction. Immunoblotting confirmed that human PEVs from this fraction were enriched in proteasome 20S α subunit, in addition to mitochondria (indicated by TOM20 expression) and CD41, but lacked TSG101 (putative marker of exosomes) (**Figure 1A-E**). Using platelets as a positive control, we assessed proteasome function in these PEVs. Proteasome-associated trypsin-, caspase- and chymotrypsin-like activities were detectable in platelets and significantly increased in the PEV fraction, but were undetectable after treatment with epoxomicin, a proteasome inhibitor (**Figure 1F**). Visualization of immunogold-labelled proteasome 20S α subunit by transmission electron microscopy confirmed the presence of proteasomes in PEVs (**Figure 1G**). These data suggest the catalytically active proteasome was transferred to PEVs upon their release from platelets.

LWA300 is a conjugate between epoxomicin and BODIPY FL fluorophore that generates an activity-based, plasma membrane-permeable inhibitor that can identify the proteasome in cells.^{48,49} Using LWA300, we detected and quantified active proteasome-containing PEVs directly in the platelet secretome.^{48,49} High-sensitivity flow cytometry (Hs-FCM) confirmed PEV heterogeneity following platelet activation by thrombin (**Figure 1H**). Approximately $16.6 \pm 6.5\%$ of the larger (*i.e.* ~500–900nm) PEVs⁵⁰ contained proteasome whereas smaller vesicles (*i.e.* less than 500nm) had no detectable proteasome (**Figure 1H and Supplementary figure 1**). The detection specificity of proteasome-containing PEVs by hs-FCM was confirmed using a combination of controls. We confirmed efficient competition of the LWA300 probe by unlabelled epoxomicin, and we determined the particulate nature and membrane moiety

of proteasome-containing PEVs, as they were respectively pelleted by ultracentrifugation and sensitive to detergent treatment (**Figure 1I-J**). Confocal microscopic visualization of platelets as positive controls, and PEVs from thrombin-activated platelets labelled with LWA300 revealed that both platelets and a subpopulation of PEVs contained active proteasome (**Figure 1K**).

Hs-FCM was further used to characterize proteasome-containing PEVs in terms of surface markers and mitochondrial content. Approximately half of the proteasome-containing PEVs exposed phosphatidylserine while the vast majority expressed surface P-selectin (**Supplementary figure 2A**). Furthermore, $68.3 \pm 7.8\%$ of the proteasome-containing PEVs also contained mitochondria (**Supplementary figure 2A**). Investigation of the mechanisms underlying release of active proteasome-positive PEVs revealed that the total number of PEVs (with and without proteasomes) were significantly reduced in the presence of actin inhibitors (cytochalasins B, D, E and latrunculin A) but not by the tubulin polymerization inhibitor nocodazole (**Supplementary figure 2B**). Proteasome release in PEVs was not unique to thrombin stimulation as ADP, cross-linked collagen related peptide (CRP-XL) and heat-aggregated IgG (HA-IgG) also triggered release of proteasome-containing PEVs (**Supplementary figure 2C**).

Identification of proteasome-containing PEVs under physiological and pathological conditions

The presence of proteasome-containing PEVs was assessed under conditions conducive to platelet activation and PEV release. A mean of 1.82×10^6 (range: 1.13×10^5 to 8.11×10^6 , n=6) proteasome-containing PEVs/mL were detected by hs-FCM in the blood of healthy individuals, which corresponded to $2.6 \pm 1.8\%$ of the total PEVs in blood. PEVs were quantified in platelet concentrates (PCs) known to have caused ATRs and compared with control PCs that did not induce ATRs. Given the reported increase in mitochondria-containing PEVs in ATRs,^{36,37} we also determined their levels. High levels of proteasome-containing PEVs were found in all tested PCs (**Figure 2A**) but the concentrations of proteasome-containing PEVs (with or without mitochondria) were not

significantly elevated in PCs that induced ATR (**Figure 2A**). In contrast, compared with controls, the concentrations of mitochondria-containing PEVs were increased in ATR-associated PCs, consistent with prior findings.^{36,37}

Transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) is a potentially lethal adverse reaction that can result from transfusion of PCs.⁵¹ Thus, we quantified proteasome-containing PEVs in murine bronchoalveolar lavages in an inducible TRALI model.^{52,53} Proteasome-containing PEVs were detected in bronchoalveolar lavages from both TRALI and control mice (**Figure 2B**), however, no significant difference was observed between the two groups (**Figure 2B**). This suggests that proteasome-containing PEVs are not increased during lung inflammation in this model and therefore may not participate to acute inflammation that characterizes the pathogenesis.

Our *in vitro* investigations pointed to the high potency of immune complexes (HA-IgG) in generating proteasome-containing PEVs (**Supplementary figure 2C**). Although mice lack Fc γ R1IA, this is the only Fc γ receptor expressed by human platelets that is capable of responding to immune complexes.⁵⁴ Recent findings indicate that circulating immune complexes stimulate the release of mitochondria-containing PEVs in mice expressing the Fc γ R1IA transgene.^{55,56} Compared with diluent injected control mice, there were significantly elevated levels of proteasome-containing PEVs in plasma of mice with immune-complexes challenge (**Figure 2C**). These findings confirmed that proteasome-containing PEVs are present under various physiological and pathological conditions.

Protein processing by proteasome-containing PEVs

In order to study proteasome function in PEVs, we investigated its ability to process proteins into smaller peptides by assessing their successful loading into the antigen-binding groove of MHC-I molecules. We confirmed the expression of MHC-I on resting and thrombin-activated murine platelets and verified whether MHC-I is maintained on PEVs present in the platelet secretome. We found that washed resting platelets did not express MHC-I on their surface (**Figure 3A-B**), however, thrombin activation led to a

significant increase in surface MHC-I expression (**Figure 3A-B**), consistent with the reported presence of this molecule in α -granules and its release upon activation.^{26,27,57,58} A small proportion ($0.93\pm 0.13\%$) of the spontaneously released PEVs expressed MHC-I, but this proportion significantly increased upon platelet activation with thrombin (means of $4.64\pm 0.98\%$).

To determine whether PEV MHC-I can indeed load small peptides, we pulsed PEVs present in the platelet secretome with the ovalbumin (OVA) peptide SIINFEKL and monitored its association with MHC-I molecules using the 25D1.16 monoclonal antibody, which specifically recognizes MHC-I/SIINFEKL complexes.⁵⁹ Similarly with platelets, PEVs loaded the SIINFEKL peptide onto their MHC-I molecules (**Figure 3C-D**). Native OVA was also efficiently processed by platelets and the SIINFEKL peptide was loaded in MHC-I (**Figure 3C-E**), consistent with prior work.²⁶ We found that an average of $2.53\pm 0.74\%$ of CD41⁺ PEVs pulsed with the peptide and $1.83\pm 0.24\%$ of CD41⁺ PEVs pulsed with OVA (n=18) were positive for 25D.1.16. Of interest, incubation of native OVA with PEVs resulted in proteolysis of the former and retrieval of the SIINFEKL peptide from MHC-I molecules expressed by the PEVs. Taken together, the data show that PEVs can process native proteins into smaller peptides thereby enabling antigen presentation through MHC-I.

Proteasome-containing PEVs can reach lymphoid organs and circulate through the lymphatic system

Intravenously injected PEVs have a limited circulation time in human blood, ranging from 10 min to hours depending on studies.^{60,61} It is unclear, however, whether they can reach lymphoid organs. Fluorescently labelled PEVs generated from activated mouse platelets were intravenously injected into mice and their presence in blood and different organs was monitored. We could identify free PEVs (unbound to cells) for up to 2 minutes in blood (**Figure 4A and Supplementary figure 4A-C**). PEVs in blood were also mainly found bound to platelets and to leukocytes, mainly Ly6G⁺ neutrophils, and to a lesser extent lymphocytes, but were mostly undetectable by 60min (**Figure 4A**).

Screening of individual PEVs in whole tissue sections in different organs identified spleen and lymph nodes (popliteal and inguinal) as primary targets, followed by liver, bone marrow, lungs, and kidneys, while none were found in brain (**Figure 4B-C and Supplementary figure 4D-E**). Moreover, aggregates of PEVs (i.e. larger than $1\mu\text{m}^2$ and up to $541\mu\text{m}^2$) were mainly observed in spleen (mean size $2.84\pm 0.16\mu\text{m}^2$), popliteal ($4.16\pm 0.40\mu\text{m}^2$) and inguinal ($4.08\pm 0.30\mu\text{m}^2$) lymph nodes, followed by bone marrow ($2.75\pm 0.15\mu\text{m}^2$), lung ($33.51\pm 7.15\mu\text{m}^2$) and liver ($3.40\pm 0.21\mu\text{m}^2$). This may reflect their accumulation into smaller vessels or the internalization of numerous PEVs within single cellular recipients in these organs (**Figure 4B-C and Supplementary figure 4D-E**).

Platelet EVs can circulate through the lymphatic system and the levels of PEVs in lymph are increased in mouse models of atherosclerosis and autoimmune inflammatory arthritis.^{34,41,42} Using the lymph from mice, we evaluated whether PEVs were associated with proteasome and MHC-I molecules. We found that a fraction of the PEVs in lymph expressed MHC-I ($11.2\pm 2.2\%$) and contained an active proteasome ($12.0\pm 3.9\%$). Remarkably, a detectable proportion ($1.6\pm 0.7\%$) of the lymph PEVs contained both proteasome and MHC-I molecules (**Figure 4D-E**) and this was significant given the substantial number of PEVs in lymph (mean of $2.5\times 10^7/\text{mL}$ in mice⁴¹).

Proteasome-containing PEVs express lymphocyte co-stimulatory molecules

Efficient stimulation of adaptive immunity requires both recognition of the antigen-MHC-I complexes by the T-cell receptor (TCR) and the activity of co-stimulatory molecules. We evaluated whether platelets or proteasome-containing PEVs loaded with SIINFEKL expressed co-stimulatory molecules in addition to other known PEV markers displayed by CD41^+ Proteasome⁺ EVs. Compared with PEVs that had undetectable SIINFEKL loading, both platelets and PEVs present in the platelet secretome loaded with SIINFEKL (25D1.16-positive) expressed higher levels of proteasome (**Figure 5**). Moreover, in contrast to thrombin-activated platelets, where phosphatidylserine expression is increased when loaded with SIINFEKL, both PEVs bearing SIINFEKL and

those negative for SIINFEKL expressed similar levels of phosphatidylserine (**Figure 5**). Furthermore, both platelets and SIINFEKL-bearing PEVs expressed higher levels of P-selectin, and the co-stimulatory molecules CD40L, CD40 and OX40L (**Figure 5**). Thus, among the different subtypes of PEVs, those with a higher density of antigen–MHC-I complexes show more abundant expression of lymphocytes co-stimulatory molecules and bear a higher content of active proteasome.

Proteasome-containing PEVs can support antigen-specific T cell activation

T cells isolated from OT-1 mice¹⁰⁰ were co-incubated for 18h with PEVs present in the platelet secretome that were either pulsed or not with the SIINFEKL peptide or native OVA. Dendritic cells and platelets were treated similarly as positive controls and for comparison (**Figure 6A**). The T cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺) were then washed and the expression of CD40, OX40, IL-2 and IFN- γ was evaluated to assess T-cell activation.

Compared with DCs and platelets, PEVs could induce a significant release of IFN- γ when pulsed with the OVA peptide, whereas native OVA led to an increase in IFN- γ but did not reach statistical significance (**Figure 6B**). Moreover, DCs and, to a lesser extent, platelets and PEVs were only capable of inducing significant CD40 expression by T lymphocytes previously pulsed with the OVA peptide (**Figure 6C**). In contrast, OX40 and IL-2 expression were not induced by DCs, platelets or PEVs under these experimental conditions (**Figure 6D-6E**).

Whether PEVs could stimulate T cell proliferation, a hallmark response by the lymphocyte antigen-MHC-I complex was evaluated. T cells from OT-1 mice were labelled with CFSE to monitor cellular division and co-incubated for 5 days with either DCs, activated platelets or PEVs, which were either pulsed or not with either SIINFEKL or native OVA (**Figure 7A**). Lymphoproliferation would be represented by a decrease in the mean fluorescence intensity histogram, *i.e.* a dilution of CFSE fluorescence. (**Figure 7B**).

As expected, we found that the proportion of lymphoproliferative cells was significantly higher when OT-1 T lymphocytes were incubated with peptide- or native OVA-pulsed DCs or activated platelets (**Figure 7B-C**). Of particular note is that PEVs also supported T cell proliferation when pulsed with either the SIINFEKL or native OVA (**Figure 7B-C**). In addition, when PEVs present in the platelet secretome were removed from pulsed conditions by ultracentrifugation, no proliferation was observed, confirming that the pulsed proteins alone, or the platelet secretome devoid of PEVs, cannot support proliferation (**Figure 7D**). Furthermore, inhibition of PEV proteasome by epoxomicin before pulsing with native OVA inhibited the ability of PEV to induce T cell proliferation (**Figure 7E left panel**). The effect was directed toward PEV proteasome, as addition of epoxomicin prior to peptide pulsing at the same concentration used on DCs did not inhibit proliferation (**Figure 7E right panel**). Thus, PEVs are capable of proteasome-dependent processing of native proteins, thereby enabling peptide loading onto MHC-I. Platelet EVs express co-stimulatory molecules, and their interaction with T lymphocytes promotes lymphocyte cytokine production and proliferation.

Discussion

Megakaryocytes and platelets are emerging as active players in innate and adaptive immunity.^{7,30,31} The platelet's role in immunity is mainly confined to the blood circulation, while megakaryocytes are localized in bone marrow and lungs. The latter location potentially provides the megakaryocyte with more direct access to airborne pathogens and allergens.^{32,62,63} In contrast, PEVs can additionally disseminate into organs and tissues and this may be possibly due to their small dimensions and the presence of unique surface molecules. In this study, we found that the proteasome and the necessary machinery to process and present antigens to CD8⁺ T cells are packaged into PEVs by platelets. Thus, PEVs may extend the immune functions played by platelets and megakaryocytes outside the confines of the blood.

Platelet EVs are heterogeneous in terms of surface molecules and their platelet-derived content. The presence of mitochondria within PEVs is well documented,^{36,37,64} but it was unknown whether other organelles were also transferred from the platelet. The proteasome is much more abundant than mitochondria, at around 800,000 copies per cell⁶⁵ in contrast to approximately 3–7 mitochondria per platelet.³⁷ Further investigation will be necessary to determine if the presence of multiple organelles within a single vesicle is the result of a specific sorting mechanism, or because those vesicles are larger and may have more storage capacity. Nonetheless, we observed that the release of proteasome-containing PEVs requires cytoskeleton remodeling via intact actin microfilament dynamics and that a broad array of platelet agonists induce the release of proteasome-containing PEVs.³⁷

The presence of an extracellular proteasome has already been documented in normal human blood, and elevated levels have been found in patients suffering from autoimmune diseases, sepsis or trauma.⁶⁶ Moreover, the 20S proteasome core is present and active within EVs derived from apoptotic endothelial cells and regulates tertiary lymphoid structure formation, autoantibody production and graft rejection following transplantation.¹² While some evidence supports that a circulating extracellular

proteasome may be transported by EVs, we show that EVs of platelet origin, among the most abundant EVs in blood, do contain the proteasome. We further suggest, based on our characterization of these EVs, that platelet microvesicles, not exosomes, contain the proteasome. Consistent with this, mass spectrometry analysis of the human PEV proteome identified numerous proteasomal subunits.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ These include subunits of the 20S catalytic core and immunoproteasome subunit (PSMB8), subunits of the 11S and 19S regulator and the 26S proteasome.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Moreover, with calnexin, calreticulin, ERP57 and ERP29, other members of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway were identified in PEVs such as members of the E1 and E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme family.^{67,69} Considering the presence of these proteins and the fact that intact ovalbumin needs to be ubiquitinated for degradation by the proteasome,⁷⁰ it points to the occurrence of functional ubiquitination in PEVs. To our knowledge, there is no evidence of protein TAP-1 and TAP-2 (related to TAP transporter) presence in PEVs. Further investigations are required to see if the TAP transporter is present in PEVs and/or if the processing pathway of the antigen differs in extracellular vesicles since there is no reported endoplasmic reticulum in PEV. Thus, while proteomic data points to ubiquitin-proteasome system proteins in PEVs, the present work unequivocally demonstrates its presence and documents that the extracellular proteasome in PEVs is functional and can contribute to antigen processing.

We used complementary approaches and developed a Hs-FCM-based assay to detect active proteasome at the single EV level, thereby permitting quantification and assessment of other molecules expressed by the EVs. In particular, the proteasome-containing PEVs also expressed MHC-I and co-stimulatory molecules, which enabled lymphocyte activation/proliferation and cytokine generation. These findings demonstrate a novel and potentially important role for PEVs in adaptive immunity. While our work suggests that PEVs may be involved in adaptive immunity through antigen presentation, it does not necessarily exclude that other cells may release proteasome-containing EVs capable of playing this role. Indeed, EVs derived from DCs, B and T lymphocytes, macrophages and NK cells can perform cross-presentation, suggesting that they also contain the necessary antigen processing machinery.⁷¹⁻⁷⁶ Further studies will be

necessary to determine the impact and the importance of PEVs as antigen presenting elements.

We identified proteasome-containing PEVs in the blood of healthy donors. As most PEVs in blood under healthy conditions are suggested to originate from megakaryocytes,^{77,78} the latter may also constitutively release proteasome-containing EVs. Moreover, we found that numerous stimuli of human platelets, as well as *in vivo* stimulation of mouse platelets could induce release of proteasomes in PEVs, suggesting that proteasome release is at least conserved in both humans and mice and takes place via platelet activation. Furthermore, platelets can actively induce immunity against the *Plasmodium berghei* parasite²⁶ and megakaryocytes can be infected by Dengue virus⁷⁹ and can also phagocytose *E. coli*.³² Given their small size, intact microorganisms may not necessarily be present inside PEVs, but PEVs might process cytosolic microbial proteins derived from intact platelets/megakaryocytes that lack the ability to enter the lymphatic system. Thus, PEVs may be implicated in immune surveillance and might contribute to presentation of microbial antigens within lymph tissues. Future studies are however needed to determine whether exposure to PEVs suffices to establish immunity *in vivo*, such as to less immunodominant antigens than OVA, or whether co-stimulation by inflammation or infection are needed to establish sustained immune response.

Self-antigens may also be presented by PEVs. Mitochondria were identified in a proportion of the proteasome-containing PEVs, and although prior studies showed that mitochondria-containing PEVs are rare in lymph ($0.41 \pm 0.25\%$ ($n=4$) of the PEVs in mouse lymph contain mitochondria)⁴¹ in comparisons to proteasome-containing PEVs $13.61 \pm 4.27\%$ ($n=6$), these proportions might be augmented in certain diseases. It would be interesting to determine whether PEVs contribute to the formation of mitochondrial autoantibodies that are described in autoimmune diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus.⁸⁰ Furthermore, the presence of proteasome-containing PEVs in platelet concentrates was not associated with increased risks of ATR or TRALI in a mouse model. It remains to be verified whether the presentation of platelet antigens (e.g. CD41 or CD61) by PEVs from PCs might contribute to generation of anti-platelet immunity in

transfused recipients, although this has been shown with megakaryocytes.⁵⁶ It is also not excluded that PEV might also participate in other immune responses such as autoantibody production or in tissue remodeling, or if they could be used a platform for cell-based vaccines.⁸¹⁻⁸³ The cross-presentation of PEVs presented here may allow for new therapeutic possibilities such as in anti-tumor or anti-viral immunity or to induce cytotoxic immunity by vaccination.⁸⁴ For example, PEVs have already been proposed as antigen carriers for vaccination,^{85,86} and our results suggest that these types of PEVs are also endowed with cross-priming properties that offer new prophylactic or therapeutic vaccination.

Human platelets injected into WT mice circulate less than 2h, in contrast to mouse platelets transfused into mice that can circulate for several days.^{87,88} We thus used mouse PEVs in our transfusion experiments, and yet most were undetectable from the blood circulation after 15min, pointing to their rapid uptake in surrounding tissues. In blood, the main absolute cellular target was the platelets, mostly because platelets outnumber leukocytes, which might suggest that PEVs might recycle molecules back to platelets. PEVs were also found in bone marrow, consistent with recent findings that pointed to their role in the stimulation of megakaryocyte biogenesis.⁴³ The main organs that were targeted were the lymphoid organs. Our findings in mouse lymph revealed that proteasome-containing PEVs can circulate in the lymphatic system, potentially explaining their accumulation in lymphoid organs following intravenous injection. This access to the lymphatic system by proteasome-containing PEVs may reveal a new immune route for PEVs to reach lymphoid organs or infected tissues. Our study highlights the diversity of PEVs and supports the concept that different subtypes of PEVs may play different roles depending on their cargo and tissue distribution.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the blood donors and patients who participated in this study. We acknowledge the generous technical help provided by Nicolas Tessandier and Carolanne Gélinas. We are thankful to Julie-Christine Lévesque from the Cytometry and Microscopy platform (CHU de Quebec) and Richard Janvier from the Microscopy platform (Université Laval).

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (awarded to EB); EB is recipient of senior award from the Fonds de Recherche du Québec - Santé (FRQS). This work was also supported by the Établissement Français du Sang (EFS), INSERM and Université Paris-Est (to BV) and by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet, #2017-01779) (to J.W.S). GM has an award from the Canadian Blood Services and from MITACS. AZ was recipient from a postdoctoral fellowship from Swiss National Science Foundation. AL has an award from the fonds Pierre Borgeat and from The Arthritis Society (TAS). MB is recipient of a postdoctoral fellowship from TAS.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Authorship Contributions

EB, BV, GM, AZ, conceived and designed the study and wrote the manuscript; GM, AL, SH, MB, MM, IA, MT and BV performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and participated in manuscript preparation. TL, JR, AKR, JT, HHC, FC, RK, JWS, MJH, SGB, FP, HSO, BIF and MD performed experiments, contributed critical biospecimens and analyzed data. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

The current affiliation for GM. is Division of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden under a postdoctoral fellowship from the FRQS.

References :

1. Davi G, Patrono C. Platelet activation and atherothrombosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357(24):2482-2494.
2. Ribeiro LS, Migliari Branco L, Franklin BS. Regulation of Innate Immune Responses by Platelets. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:1320.
3. Rayes J, Bourne JH, Brill A, Watson SP. The dual role of platelet-innate immune cell interactions in thrombo-inflammation. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost*. 2020;4(1):23-35.
4. Semple JW, Italiano JE, Jr., Freedman J. Platelets and the immune continuum. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2011;11(4):264-274.
5. Morrell CN, Aggrey AA, Chapman LM, Modjeski KL. Emerging roles for platelets as immune and inflammatory cells. *Blood*. 2014;123(18):2759-2767.
6. Kapur R, Zufferey A, Boilard E, Semple JW. Nouvelle cuisine: platelets served with inflammation. *J Immunol*. 2015;194(12):5579-5587.
7. Cunin P, Nigrovic PA. Megakaryocytes as immune cells. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2019;105(6):1111-1121.
8. Garraud O, Cognasse F. Are Platelets Cells? And if Yes, are They Immune Cells? *Front Immunol*. 2015;6:70.
9. Opperman CM, Sishi BJ. Tumor necrosis factor alpha stimulates p62 accumulation and enhances proteasome activity independently of ROS. *Cell Biol Toxicol*. 2015;31(2):83-94.
10. Tanaka K. The proteasome: overview of structure and functions. *Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci*. 2009;85(1):12-36.
11. Klockenbusch C, Walsh GM, Brown LM, et al. Global proteome analysis identifies active immunoproteasome subunits in human platelets. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2014;13(12):3308-3319.
12. Dieude M, Bell C, Turgeon J, et al. The 20S proteasome core, active within apoptotic exosome-like vesicles, induces autoantibody production and accelerates rejection. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015;7(318):318ra200.
13. Shi DS, Smith MC, Campbell RA, et al. Proteasome function is required for platelet production. *J Clin Invest*. 2014;124(9):3757-3766.
14. Murai K, Kowata S, Shimoyama T, et al. Bortezomib induces thrombocytopenia by the inhibition of proplatelet formation of megakaryocytes. *Eur J Haematol*. 2014;93(4):290-296.
15. Nayak MK, Kulkarni PP, Dash D. Regulatory role of proteasome in determination of platelet life span. *J Biol Chem*. 2013;288(10):6826-6834.
16. Grundler K, Rotter R, Tilley S, et al. The proteasome regulates collagen-induced platelet aggregation via nuclear-factor-kappa-B (NFkB) activation. *Thromb Res*. 2016;148:15-22.
17. Nayak MK, Kumar K, Dash D. Regulation of proteasome activity in activated human platelets. *Cell Calcium*. 2011;49(4):226-232.
18. Grundler Groterhorst K, Mannell H, Pircher J, Kraemer BF. Platelet Proteasome Activity and Metabolism Is Upregulated during Bacterial Sepsis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(23).
19. Gupta N, Li W, Willard B, Silverstein RL, McIntyre TM. Proteasome proteolysis supports stimulated platelet function and thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2014;34(1):160-168.
20. Colberg L, Cammann C, Greinacher A, Seifert U. Structure and function of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in platelets. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020.
21. Yukawa M, Sakon M, Kambayashi J, et al. Purification and characterization of endogenous protein activator of human platelet proteasome. *J Biochem*. 1993;114(3):317-323.
22. Yukawa M, Sakon M, Kambayashi J, et al. Proteasome and its novel endogeneous activator in human platelets. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1991;178(1):256-262.

23. Boegel S, Lower M, Bukur T, Sorn P, Castle JC, Sahin U. HLA and proteasome expression body map. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2018;11(1):36.
24. Semple JW, Speck ER, Milev YP, Blanchette V, Freedman J. Indirect allorecognition of platelets by T helper cells during platelet transfusions correlates with anti-major histocompatibility complex antibody and cytotoxic T lymphocyte formation. *Blood*. 1995;86(2):805-812.
25. Zufferey A, Speck ER, Machlus KR, et al. Mature murine megakaryocytes present antigen-MHC class I molecules to T cells and transfer them to platelets. *Blood Adv*. 2017;1(20):1773-1785.
26. Chapman LM, Aggrey AA, Field DJ, et al. Platelets present antigen in the context of MHC class I. *J Immunol*. 2012;189(2):916-923.
27. Zufferey A, Schvartz D, Nolli S, Reny JL, Sanchez JC, Fontana P. Characterization of the platelet granule proteome: evidence of the presence of MHC1 in alpha-granules. *J Proteomics*. 2014;101:130-140.
28. Iannacone M, Sitia G, Isogawa M, et al. Platelets mediate cytotoxic T lymphocyte-induced liver damage. *Nat Med*. 2005;11(11):1167-1169.
29. Verschoor A, Neuenhahn M, Navarini AA, et al. A platelet-mediated system for shuttling blood-borne bacteria to CD8alpha+ dendritic cells depends on glycoprotein GPIb and complement C3. *Nat Immunol*. 2011;12(12):1194-1201.
30. Maouia A, Rebetz J, Kapur R, Semple JW. The Immune Nature of Platelets Revisited. *Transfus Med Rev*. 2020.
31. Marcoux G, Laroche A, Espinoza Romero J, Boilard E. Role of platelets and megakaryocytes in adaptive immunity. *Platelets*. 2020:1-12.
32. Pariser DN, Hilt ZT, Ture SK, et al. Lung megakaryocytes are immune modulatory cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2020.
33. Melki I, Tessandier N, Zufferey A, Boilard E. Platelet microvesicles in health and disease. *Platelets*. 2017;28(3):214-221.
34. Puhm F, Boilard E, Machlus KR. Platelet Extracellular Vesicles: Beyond the Blood. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2020:ATVBAHA120314644.
35. Siljander PR. Platelet-derived microparticles - an updated perspective. *Thromb Res*. 2011;127 Suppl 2:S30-33.
36. Marcoux G, Magron A, Sut C, et al. Platelet-derived extracellular vesicles convey mitochondrial DAMPs in platelet concentrates and their levels are associated with adverse reactions. *Transfusion*. 2019;59(7):2403-2414.
37. Boudreau LH, Duchez AC, Cloutier N, et al. Platelets release mitochondria serving as substrate for bactericidal group IIA-secreted phospholipase A2 to promote inflammation. *Blood*. 2014;124(14):2173-2183.
38. Burnouf T, Chou ML, Goubran H, Cognasse F, Garraud O, Seghatchian J. An overview of the role of microparticles/microvesicles in blood components: Are they clinically beneficial or harmful? *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2015;53(2):137-145.
39. Boilard E, Nigrovic PA, Larabee K, et al. Platelets amplify inflammation in arthritis via collagen-dependent microparticle production. *Science*. 2010;327(5965):580-583.
40. Gyorgy B, Szabo TG, Turiak L, et al. Improved flow cytometric assessment reveals distinct microvesicle (cell-derived microparticle) signatures in joint diseases. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(11):e49726.
41. Tessandier N, Melki I, Cloutier N, et al. Platelets Disseminate Extracellular Vesicles in Lymph in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2020:ATVBAHA119313698.

42. Milasan A, Tessandier N, Tan S, Brisson A, Boilard E, Martel C. Extracellular vesicles are present in mouse lymph and their level differs in atherosclerosis. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2016;5:31427.
43. French SL, Butov KR, Allaey I, et al. Platelet-derived extracellular vesicles infiltrate and modify the bone marrow during inflammation. *Blood Adv*. 2020;4(13):3011-3023.
44. Sprague DL, Elzey BD, Crist SA, Waldschmidt TJ, Jensen RJ, Ratliff TL. Platelet-mediated modulation of adaptive immunity: unique delivery of CD154 signal by platelet-derived membrane vesicles. *Blood*. 2008;111(10):5028-5036.
45. Yari F, Motefaker M, Nikougoftar M, Khayati Z. Interaction of Platelet-Derived Microparticles with a Human B-Lymphoblast Cell Line: A Clue for the Immunologic Function of the Microparticles. *Transfus Med Hemother*. 2018;45(1):55-61.
46. Sadallah S, Amicarella F, Eken C, Iezzi G, Schifferli JA. Ectosomes released by platelets induce differentiation of CD4+T cells into T regulatory cells. *Thromb Haemost*. 2014;112(6):1219-1229.
47. Dinkla S, van Cranenbroek B, van der Heijden WA, et al. Platelet microparticles inhibit IL-17 production by regulatory T cells through P-selectin. *Blood*. 2016;127(16):1976-1986.
48. Verdoes M, Florea BI, Menendez-Benito V, et al. A fluorescent broad-spectrum proteasome inhibitor for labeling proteasomes in vitro and in vivo. *Chem Biol*. 2006;13(11):1217-1226.
49. Raz V, Raz Y, Paniagua-Soriano G, et al. Proteasomal activity-based probes mark protein homeostasis in muscles. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017;8(5):798-807.
50. Heijnen HF, Schiel AE, Fijnheer R, Geuze HJ, Sixma JJ. Activated platelets release two types of membrane vesicles: microvesicles by surface shedding and exosomes derived from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies and alpha-granules. *Blood*. 1999;94(11):3791-3799.
51. Semple JW, Rebetz J, Kapur R. Transfusion-associated circulatory overload and transfusion-related acute lung injury. *Blood*. 2019;133(17):1840-1853.
52. Kapur R, Kim M, Rebetz J, et al. Gastrointestinal microbiota contributes to the development of murine transfusion-related acute lung injury. *Blood Adv*. 2018;2(13):1651-1663.
53. Kapur R, Kim M, Aslam R, et al. T regulatory cells and dendritic cells protect against transfusion-related acute lung injury via IL-10. *Blood*. 2017;129(18):2557-2569.
54. McKenzie SE, Taylor SM, Malladi P, et al. The role of the human Fc receptor Fc gamma RIIA in the immune clearance of platelets: a transgenic mouse model. *J Immunol*. 1999;162(7):4311-4318.
55. Cloutier N, Allaey I, Marcoux G, et al. Platelets release pathogenic serotonin and return to circulation after immune complex-mediated sequestration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2018;115(7):E1550-E1559.
56. Melki I, Allaey I, Tessandier N, et al. Platelets release mitochondrial antigens in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci Transl Med*. 2021;13(581).
57. Angenieux C, Dupuis A, Gachet C, de la Salle H, Maitre B. Cell surface expression of HLA I molecules as a marker of young platelets. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2019;17(9):1511-1521.
58. Nunez-Avellaneda D, Mosso-Pani MA, Sanchez-Torres LE, Castro-Mussot ME, Coronado de la Pena NA, Salazar MI. Dengue Virus Induces the Release of sCD40L and Changes in Levels of Membrane CD42b and CD40L Molecules in Human Platelets. *Viruses*. 2018;10(7).
59. Porgador A, Yewdell JW, Deng Y, Bennink JR, Germain RN. Localization, quantitation, and in situ detection of specific peptide-MHC class I complexes using a monoclonal antibody. *Immunity*. 1997;6(6):715-726.

60. Rand ML, Wang H, Bang KW, Packham MA, Freedman J. Rapid clearance of procoagulant platelet-derived microparticles from the circulation of rabbits. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2006;4(7):1621-1623.
61. Rank A, Nieuwland R, Crispin A, et al. Clearance of platelet microparticles in vivo. *Platelets.* 2011;22(2):111-116.
62. Lefrancais E, Ortiz-Munoz G, Caudrillier A, et al. The lung is a site of platelet biogenesis and a reservoir for haematopoietic progenitors. *Nature.* 2017;544(7648):105-109.
63. Campbell RA, Schwertz H, Hottz ED, et al. Human megakaryocytes possess intrinsic antiviral immunity through regulated induction of IFITM3. *Blood.* 2019;133(19):2013-2026.
64. Marcoux G, Ducheze AC, Rousseau M, et al. Microparticle and mitochondrial release during extended storage of different types of platelet concentrates. *Platelets.* 2017;28(3):272-280.
65. Princiotta MF, Finzi D, Qian SB, et al. Quantitating protein synthesis, degradation, and endogenous antigen processing. *Immunity.* 2003;18(3):343-354.
66. Sixt SU, Dahlmann B. Extracellular, circulating proteasomes and ubiquitin - incidence and relevance. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2008;1782(12):817-823.
67. Garcia BA, Smalley DM, Cho H, Shabanowitz J, Ley K, Hunt DF. The platelet microparticle proteome. *J Proteome Res.* 2005;4(5):1516-1521.
68. Dean WL, Lee MJ, Cummins TD, Schultz DJ, Powell DW. Proteomic and functional characterisation of platelet microparticle size classes. *Thromb Haemost.* 2009;102(4):711-718.
69. Capriotti AL, Caruso G, Cavaliere C, Piovesana S, Samperi R, Laganà A. Proteomic characterization of human platelet-derived microparticles. *Anal Chim Acta.* 2013;776:57-63.
70. Benaroudj N, Tarsca E, Cascio P, Goldberg AL. The unfolding of substrates and ubiquitin-independent protein degradation by proteasomes. *Biochimie.* 2001;83(3-4):311-318.
71. Chen Z, Larregina AT, Morelli AE. Impact of extracellular vesicles on innate immunity. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant.* 2019;24(6):670-678.
72. Lindenbergh MFS, Stoorvogel W. Antigen Presentation by Extracellular Vesicles from Professional Antigen-Presenting Cells. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2018;36:435-459.
73. Lindenbergh MFS, Wubbolts R, Borg EGF, van 't Veld EM, Boes M, Stoorvogel W. Dendritic cells release exosomes together with phagocytosed pathogen; potential implications for the role of exosomes in antigen presentation. *J Extracell Vesicles.* 2020;9(1):1798606.
74. Federici C, Shahaj E, Cecchetti S, et al. Natural-Killer-Derived Extracellular Vesicles: Immune Sensors and Interactors. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:262.
75. Zeng F, Morelli AE. Extracellular vesicle-mediated MHC cross-dressing in immune homeostasis, transplantation, infectious diseases, and cancer. *Semin Immunopathol.* 2018;40(5):477-490.
76. Noulisri E. Effects of Cell-Derived Microparticles on Immune Cells and Potential Implications in Clinical Medicine. *Lab Med.* 2020.
77. Flaumenhaft R, Dilks JR, Richardson J, et al. Megakaryocyte-derived microparticles: direct visualization and distinction from platelet-derived microparticles. *Blood.* 2009;113(5):1112-1121.
78. Gitz E, Pollitt AY, Gitz-Francois JJ, et al. CLEC-2 expression is maintained on activated platelets and on platelet microparticles. *Blood.* 2014;124(14):2262-2270.
79. Vogt MB, Lahon A, Arya RP, Spencer Clinton JL, Rico-Hesse R. Dengue viruses infect human megakaryocytes, with probable clinical consequences. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2019;13(11):e0007837.
80. Becker Y, Marcoux G, Allaey I, et al. Autoantibodies in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Target Mitochondrial RNA. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:1026.

81. Słomka A, Urban SK, Lukacs-Kornek V, Żekanowska E, Kornek M. Large Extracellular Vesicles: Have We Found the Holy Grail of Inflammation? *Front Immunol.* 2018;9:2723.
82. de Jong OG, Kooijmans SAA, Murphy DE, et al. Drug Delivery with Extracellular Vesicles: From Imagination to Innovation. *Acc Chem Res.* 2019;52(7):1761-1770.
83. Urbanelli L, Buratta S, Tancini B, et al. The Role of Extracellular Vesicles in Viral Infection and Transmission. *Vaccines (Basel).* 2019;7(3).
84. Jhunjunwala S, Hammer C, Delamarre L. Antigen presentation in cancer: insights into tumour immunogenicity and immune evasion. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2021;21(5):298-312.
85. Sabanovic B, Piva F, Cecati M, Giulietti M. Promising Extracellular Vesicle-Based Vaccines against Viruses, Including SARS-CoV-2. *Biology (Basel).* 2021;10(2).
86. Bliss CM, Parsons AJ, Nachbagauer R, et al. Targeting Antigen to the Surface of EVs Improves the. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev.* 2020;16:108-125.
87. Baker GR, Sullam PM, Levin J. A simple, fluorescent method to internally label platelets suitable for physiological measurements. *Am J Hematol.* 1997;56(1):17-25.
88. Blessinger SA, Tran JQ, Jackman RP, et al. Immunodeficient mice are better for modeling the transfusion of human blood components than wild-type mice. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(7):e0237106.

Figures Legends

Figure 1. Platelets and PEVs contain proteasome

(A) Proteasome 20S α subunit, CD41, TOM20, TSG101 and actin in human platelet extracellular vesicles (PEVs) (18Kxg fraction) and platelet (PLTs) preparations (20 μ g protein per lane) were assessed by immunoblotting. Results are representative of five distinct preparations. (B-E) Protein quantifications were assessed by densitometry using image lab software (Biorad), results were normalized to actine and expressed as arbitrary units (AU). Mean \pm SEM, n=5, paired t-test * $P < 0.05$. (F) Proteasome function was assessed by measuring trypsin-like, caspase-like or chymotrypsin-like activity of PEVs and platelets treated or not with epoxomicin using the Proteasome-Glo™ chymotrypsin-like, trypsin-like and caspase-like cell-based assays. Twenty and 10 μ g of proteins were used for platelets and PEVs, respectively. Mean \pm SEM, n = 6, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney. (G) TEM visualization of immunogold labelling of proteasome 20S α subunit in PEVs released from thrombin (0.5U/mL)-activated platelets. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (H) High-sensitivity flow cytometry (hs-FCM) analysis of resting platelets and thrombin (0.5U/mL)-activated platelets. Two distinct populations of PEVs, *i.e.* larger PEVs (approximately 17% of these PEVs contain active proteasome) and smaller PEVs, not containing active proteasome. (n = 20 data are presented as mean \pm SEM, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ and **** $P < 0.0001$, Kruskal–Wallis). (I-J) Controls were performed to assess the specificity of PEV detection using hs-FCM. Sensitivity of CD41⁺Proteasome⁺ PEVs to competition by epoxomicin, ultracentrifugation (Ultracentri) or 0.05% Triton X-100 and unlabelled samples are presented as % of untreated (Control). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM of 5 independent experiments, paired t-test **** $P < 0.0001$ compared with the control. (K) Confocal microscopy visualization of proteasome content associated with platelets (left panel) and PEVs (right panel). Visualization of CD41, wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) to determine plasma membrane surface, proteasome (LWA300) and merge is displayed in the region of interest (ROI). Populations originating from dashed lines squares and represented in ROI are triple positives (white arrowheads) or CD41- and WGA-positive but proteasome-negative (white arrows).

Figure 2. Identification of proteasome-containing PEVs under physiological and pathological conditions

(A) Proteasome-containing PEVs detected by hs-FCM are found in PFP from platelet concentrates that have caused adverse transfusion reaction (ATR) in recipients and in control concentrates that did not induce ATR. The total number of proteasome-containing PEVs (containing or not mitochondria (mito)), proteasome⁺mito⁻PEVs or proteasome⁺mito⁺PEVs does not significantly differ between control and ATR, while proteasome⁻mito⁺ PEVs are increased in ATR (no adverse reaction group [n = 33] vs. adverse reaction group [n = 34] matched in terms of storage duration; data are presented as mean ± SEM, NS non-significant, **** $P < 0.0001$, Student's t-test). (B) Proteasome-containing PEVs detected by hs-FCM are found in bronchoalveolar lavages from mice after induction of transfusion related acute lung injury (TRALI) with 34-1-2s and AF6-88.5.5.3 antibody and in control mice (n = 5, data are presented as mean ± SEM, NS non-significant, Student's t-test). (C) Proteasome-containing PEVs are detected at significantly higher levels in mice 1-hour post *i.v.* injection of HA-IgG vs. control (diluent) mice. (n = 3, ** $P < 0.01$, data are presented as mean ± SEM, Student's t-test)

Figure 3. Platelets and PEVs load and process OVA onto MHC-I

(A-B) Thrombin (0.1 U/mL)-activated murine platelets and their PEVs express MHC-I (detected by hs-FCM). (n = 19, data are presented as mean ± SEM, **** $P < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney). Activated platelets and their PEVs are able to load the SIINFEKL peptide (C-D) or to process and load ovalbumin (OVA) (C-E) onto MHC-I. (n = 19, **** $P < 0.0001$, data are presented as mean ± SEM, Kruskal-Wallis test comparisons between pulsed (+) to unpulsed (-))

Figure 4. PEVs in blood circulation can reach lymphoid organs and circulate in lymph

(A-C) Fluorescently labelled PEVs generated from activated mouse platelets were intravenously injected into mice and their presence in blood (A) and different organs (B-

C) was monitored after 2, 15 and 60 minutes. Free PEVs (unbound to cells) were identified by flow cytometry for up to 2 minutes in blood as well as PEVs bound to platelets and to leukocytes (mainly Ly6G⁺ neutrophils, few lymphocytes), but were mostly undetectable by 60 minutes. Dashed lines represent mean of vehicle (n=9-13), n = 11 (2 min), n = 5 (15 min) and n = 6 (60 min), data are presented as mean \pm SEM, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, Kruskal-Wallis). **(B)** Representative images of CMFDA-labelled (Green) individual PEVs (White arrowhead) and PEV aggregates (* white asterisk) in whole tissue sections (Spleen, popliteal LN (PLN), inguinal LN (ILN), bone marrow, lungs and liver) at 15 and 60 min by confocal microscopy, nuclei (Hoeschst 3342) are in blue. Results are representative of observations made in 5-6 mice per group. **(C)** PEVs and aggregates were quantified using 5 different sections for lymph nodes (PLN and ILN) (representing a total surface of at least 1.5mm²), 8 zones of 500,000 μm^2 each, randomly assigned on 2 different sections for femurs (total surface of 4 mm²) and 10 zones of 500,000 μm^2 each, randomly assigned on 2 different sections for lungs, spleen, kidneys and brain and 1 section for liver (total surface of 5 mm²) using Zen 3.3 software. (n=6 (PBS 60min), 5 (15 min) and 6 (60 min), data are presented as mean \pm SEM, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, Kruskal-Wallis). **(D-E)** PEVs in lymph were detected by hs-FCM. **(D)** Gating strategy to analyze expression of MHC-I and proteasome (LWA300) on CD41+ EVs in lymph and representative dot plot of labelled and unlabelled (CD41 only) lymph. **(E)** Expression of MHC-I and proteasome (LWA300) on CD41+ EVs in lymph was determined. +/+ double positive and -/- double negative for MHC-I and proteasome. (n = 6, data are presented as mean \pm SEM).

Figure 5. Platelets and PEVs with loaded OVA peptide express activation and co-stimulatory molecules

(A-B) Activated platelets and **(C-D)** PEVs loaded with OVA peptide (25D1.16⁺) express higher levels of proteasome (LWA300), and activation (Annexin V, P-selectin) and co-stimulatory molecules (CD40, CD40L, OX40L). **(A,C)** Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the different markers assessed by hs-FCM (n = 7, data are mean \pm SEM, NS non-significant, * $P < 0.05$, Student t test). **(B,D)** Representative MFI histogram of the

25D1.16 negative and positive populations for each marker shown on CD41+ Proteasome+ events.

Figure 6. PEVs can induce antigen-specific T cell activation and cytokine production through antigen presentation

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental plan. Cells and PEVs used for the stimulation of lymphocytes assessed by intracellular cytokines staining (ICS). DC: dendritic cells, NS: unpulsed, OVA: ovalbumin, O/N: overnight. (B-E) Expression of receptors or cytokines by CD3⁺ CD8⁺ T cells co-incubated with either DCs, activated platelets (PLTs) or PEVs, left unpulsed or pulsed with SIINFEKL (PP) or ovalbumin (OVA). (B) Interferon gamma (IFN- γ) production, (C) CD40 expression, (D) OX40 expression and (E) IL-2 production (n = 6, 7 or 9; data are presented as mean \pm SEM. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ Wilcoxon vs. unpulsed). Dashed lines are unstimulated conditions.

Figure 7. PEVs loaded with native OVA process and present OVA peptide to induce antigen-specific T cell lymphoproliferation

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental plan. DC: dendritic cells, NS: unpulsed, OVA: ovalbumin, CFSE: Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester. (B) Histogram showing CFSE fluorescence shift of CD3⁺ CD8⁺ T cells populations when co-incubated with either dendritic cells (DCs), activated platelets (PLTs) or PEVs left unpulsed or pulsed with SIINFEKL peptide (PP) or ovalbumin (OVA) for 7 days. (C) Percentage of CD3⁺ CD8⁺ lymphoproliferative cells after co-incubation with either DCs, PLTs or PEVs unpulsed or pulsed with PP or OVA for 7 days. (n = 14; data are presented as mean \pm SEM. NS non-significant, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$, Friedman test followed by Dunn's post-test for multiple comparisons to unpulsed). (D) Percentage of CD3⁺ CD8⁺ lymphoproliferative cells after 7 days co-incubation with either PP pulsed DCs or supernatant (surn) depleted of PEVs by ultracentrifugation, left unpulsed or pulsed with PP or OVA. (n=5; data are presented as mean \pm SEM, ** $P < 0.01$, Mann-Whitney vs. unpulsed). (E) Proportion of CD3⁺ CD8⁺ lymphoproliferative cells after 7 days co-incubation with OVA-pulsed PEVs treated or not with epoxomicin (epoxo) for 2 hours and PP-pulsed DCs (DC + PP) treated or not with epoxomicin (epoxo). (n = 9 for

PEVs and $n = 3$ for DC; data are mean \pm SEM, NS non-significant, ** $P < 0.01$, Wilcoxon). Dashed lines are unstimulated conditions.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6








Figure 7

