



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Unpacking interest groups: on the intermediary role of interest groups and its effects for their political relevance**

Albareda Sanz, A.

### **Citation**

Albareda Sanz, A. (2021, September 21). *Unpacking interest groups: on the intermediary role of interest groups and its effects for their political relevance*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3213547>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3213547>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# VIII

---

## References

---



- Ahrne, G., & Brunsson, N. (2008). *Meta-organizations*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Ainsworth, S., & Sened, I. (1993). The Role of Lobbyists : Entrepreneurs with Two Audiences. *American Journal of Political Science*, 37(3), 834–866.
- Aizenberg, E., & Müller, M. (2020). Signaling expertise through the media? Measuring the appearance of corporations in political news through a complexity lens. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2020.1797144>
- Albareda, A. (2018). Connecting Society and Policymakers? Conceptualizing and Measuring the Capacity of Civil Society Organizations to Act as Transmission Belts. *Voluntas*, 29(6), 1216–1232.
- Albareda, A. (2020). Prioritizing professionals? How the democratic and professionalized nature of interest groups shapes their degree of access to EU officials. *European Political Science Review*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773920000247>
- Albareda, A. (2021). Interest group membership. In P. Harris, A. Bitonti, C. S. Fleisher, & A. Binderkrantz (Eds.), *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Interest Groups, Lobbying and Public Affairs*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Albareda, A., & Braun, C. (2019). Organizing transmission belts: The effect of organizational design on interest group access to eu policymaking. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 57(3), 468–485.
- Albers, S., Wohlgezogen, F., & Zajac, E. J. (2013). Strategic Alliance Structures : An Organization Design Perspective. *Journal of Management*, 42(3), 582–614.
- Alchian, A. A. (1950). Uncertainty, Evolution, and Economic Theory. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 58(3), 211–221.
- Alter, C. (1998). Bureaucracy and Democracy in Organizations: Revisiting Feminist Organization. In W. W. Powell & E. S. Clemens (Eds.), *Private Action and the Public Good*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Armington, K., & Ceka, B. (2014). The loss of trust in the European Union during the great recession since 2007: The role of heuristics from the national political system. *European Union Politics*, 15(1), 82–107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116513495595>
- Bach, T. (2014). The autonomy of government agencies in Germany and Norway: explaining variation in management autonomy across countries and agencies. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 80(2), 341–361.
- Baggetta, M., & Madsen, K. D. (2018). The Trouble With Types: A Partial Test of the Validity of Membership Association Content as a Proxy for Structure. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*.
- Barakso, M., & Schaffner, B. F. (2008). Group Governance. *American Politics Research*, 36(2), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X07306545>
- Barber, B. (1950). Participation and Mass Apathy in Associations. In *Studies in Leadership. Leadership and Democratic Action* (pp. 477–504). New York: Harper and Brothers.
- Barnett, M. L. (2013). One Voice, But Whose Voice? Exploring What Drives Trade Association Activity. *Business & Society*, 52(2), 213–244. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650309350211>
- Baroni, L., Carroll, B. J., Chalmers, A. W., Marquez, L. M. M., & Rasmussen, A. (2014). Defining and classifying interest groups. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 3(2), 141–159.
- Baumgartner, F. R., Berry, J. M., Hojnacki, M., Kimball, D. C., & Leech, B. L. (2009). *Lobbying and policy change : who wins, who loses, and why*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (1993). *Agendas and Instability in American Politics* (Second Edi). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (2015). *The Politics of Information: Problem definition and the course of public policy in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Baumgartner, F. R., & Leech, B. L. (2016). *Interest Niches and Policy Bandwagons : Patterns of Interest Group Involvement in National Politics* Author (s) : Frank R . Baumgartner and Beth L . Leech Published by : The University of Chicago Press on behalf of the Southern Political Science Associati, 63(4), 1191–1213.
- Beger, R. (2002). Challenges and Opportunities for Business Associations: Autonomy and Trust. In J. Greenwood (Ed.), *The Effectiveness of EU Business Associations*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Berkhout, J. (2013). Why interest organizations do what they do: Assessing the explanatory potential of 'exchange' approaches. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 2(2), 227–250.
- Berkhout, J., Beyers, J., Braun, C., Hanegraaff, M., & Lowery, D. (2018). Making Inference across Mobilisation and Influence Research: Comparing Top-Down and Bottom-Up Mapping of Interest Systems. *Political Studies*, 66(1), 43–62.
- Berkhout, J., Hanegraaff, M., & Braun, C. (2017). Is the EU different? Comparing the diversity of national and EU-level systems of interest organisations. *West European Politics*, 40(5), 1109–1131.
- Bernhagen, P., Beyers, J., Braun, C., Fink-Hafner, D., Heylen, F., Maloney, W. A., ... Pakull, D. (2016). *INTEREURO Survey: Activities and Strategies of European Interest Groups: A Snapshot from the INTEREURO Survey*. Stuttgart.
- Bernhagen, P., Dür, A., & Marshall, D. (2015). Information or context: what accounts for positional proximity between the European Commission and lobbyists? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(4), 570–587. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1008556>
- Berry, J. M. (1984). *The Interest Group Society*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Berry, J. M., Portney, K. E., & Thomson, K. (1993). *The rebirth of urban democracy*. Washington DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Beyers, J. (2002). Gaining and seeking access: The European adaptation of domestic interest associations. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41(5), 585–612.
- Beyers, J. (2008). Policy Issues, Organisational Format and the Political Strategies of Interest Organisations. *West European Politics*, 31(6), 1188–1211.
- Beyers, J., Bernhagen, P., Braun, C., Fink-Hafner, D., Heylen, F., Maloney, W. A., ... Pakull, D. (2016). *INTEREURO Interest Group Survey. Data Set*.
- Beyers, J., & Braun, C. (2014). Ties that count: explaining interest group access to policymakers. *Journal of Public Policy*, 34(1), 93–121.
- Beyers, J., Dür, A., & Wonka, A. (2018). The political salience of EU policies. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(11), 1726–1737. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1337213>
- Beyers, J., Eising, R., & Maloney, W. A. (2008). Researching Interest Group Politics in Europe and Elsewhere : Much We Study , Little We Know ? *West European Politics*, 31(6), 1103–1128.
- Binderkrantz, A. S. (2005). Interest group strategies: Navigating between privileged access and strategies of pressure. *Political Studies*, 53(4), 694–715. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00552.x>
- Binderkrantz, A. S. (2009). Membership Recruitment and Internal Democracy in Interest Groups: Do Group–Membership Relations Vary Between Group Types? *West European Politics*, 32(3), 657–678.
- Binderkrantz, A. S., & Christiansen, P. M. (2015). From classic to modern corporatism. Interest group representation in Danish public committees in 1975 and 2010. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(7), 1022–1039.
- Binderkrantz, A. S., Christiansen, P. M., & Pedersen, H. H. (2014). A Privileged Position? The Influence of Business Interests in Government Consultations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(4), 879–896.
- Binderkrantz, A. S., Christiansen, P. M., & Pedersen, H. H. (2015). Interest Group Access to the Bureaucracy, Parliament, and the Media. *Governance*, 28(1), 95–112.

- Binderkrantz, A. S., & Pedersen, H. H. (2017). What is access? A discussion of the definition and measurement of interest group access. *European Political Science*, 16, 306–321.
- Binderkrantz, A. S., & Rasmussen, A. (2015). Comparing the domestic and the EU lobbying context: perceived agenda-setting influence in the multi-level system of the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(4), 552–569.
- Boeije, H. R. (2009). *Analysis in Qualitative Research*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Bouwen, P. (2002). Corporate lobbying in the European Union: The logic of access. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 9(3), 365–390. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760210138796>
- Bouwen, P. (2004). Exchanging access goods for access: A comparative study of business lobbying in the European Union institutions. *European Journal of Political Research*, 43, 337–369.
- Bouwen, P. (2009). The European Commission. In D. Coen & J. Richardson (Eds.), *Lobbying the European Union: Institutions, actors and policy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Braun, C. (2012). The Captive or the Broker? Explaining Public Agency-Interest Group Interactions. *Governance*, 25(2), 291–314.
- Braun, C. (2013). The Driving Forces of Stability: Exploring the Nature of Long-Term Bureaucracy-Interest Group Interactions. *Administration & Society*, 45(7), 809–836.
- Braun, C. (2015). Lobbying as a Leveraged Act: On Resource Dependencies and Lobby Presence. In D. Lowery, D. R. Halpin, & V. Gray (Eds.), *The organizational ecology of interest communities: Assessment and Agenda* (pp. 137–156). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Braun, C., Albareda, A., Fraussen, B., & Müller, M. (2020). Bandwagons and quiet corners in regulatory governance. On regulation-specific and institutional drivers of stakeholder engagement. *International Review of Public Policy, OnlineFirst*.
- Braun, C., & Busuioc, E. M. (2020). Stakeholder engagement as a conduit for regulatory legitimacy? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(11), 1599–1611.
- Bryan, T. K. (2019). Toward a Contingency Model for the Relationship Between Capacity and Effectiveness in Nonprofit Organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 48(4), 885–897.
- Bunea, A. (2013). Issues, preferences and ties: determinants of interest groups' preference attainment in the EU environmental policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(4), 552–570. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2012.726467>
- Bunea, A. (2014). Explaining interest groups' articulation of policy preferences in the European Commission's open consultations: An analysis of the environmental policy area. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 52(6), 1224–1241.
- Bunea, A. (2017). Designing stakeholder consultations: Reinforcing or alleviating bias in the European Union system of governance? *European Journal of Political Research*, 56(1), 46–69.
- Bushway, S., Johnson, B. D., & Slocum, L. A. (2007). Is the magic still there? The use of the Heckman two-step correction for selection bias in criminology. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 23(2), 151–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10940-007-9024-4>
- Buth, V. (2012). *Speak to Me Only with Thine Eyes? The representativeness of professional EU advocacy groups*. University of East Anglia.
- Caimo, A., & Lomi, A. (2015). Knowledge Sharing in Organizations: A Bayesian Analysis of the Role of Reciprocity and Formal Structure. *Journal of Management*, 41(2), 665–691.
- Campbell, J. C. (1989). Bureaucratic primacy: Japanese policy communities in an American perspective. *Governance*, 2, 5–24.
- Carroll, J. B. (1964). *Language and thought*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Chandler, A. D. (1962). *Strategy and structure: chapters in the history of the industrial enterprise*. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press.

- Chapman, R., & Lowndes, V. (2014). Searching for Authenticity? Understanding representation in network governance: The case of faith engagement. *Public Administration*, 92(2), 274–290.
- Child, J., Loveridge, R., & Warner, M. (1973). Towards an organizational study of trade unions. *Sociology*, 7, 71–91.
- Christensen, T., Læg Reid, P., & Rykkja, L. H. (2016). Organizing for Crisis Management: Building Governance Capacity and Legitimacy. *Public Administration Review*, 76(6), 887–897.
- Coen, D., & Katsaitis, A. (2013). Chameleon pluralism in the EU: an empirical study of the European Commission interest group density and diversity across policy domains. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(8), 1104–1119.
- Cyert, R. M., & March, J. G. (1963). *A Behavioral Theory of the Firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Dahl, R. A. (1961). *Who governs: Democracy and power in an American City*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Dahl, R. A. (1998). *On Democracy*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Damanpour, F. (1991). Organizational innovation: A meta-analysis of effects of determinants and moderators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 555–590.
- Daugbjerg, C., Fraussen, B., & Halpin, D. R. (2018). Interest Groups and Policy Capacity: Modes of Engagement, Policy Goods and Networks. In X. Wu, M. Howlett, & M. Ramesh (Eds.), *Policy Capacity and Governance* (pp. 243–261). Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- De Bruycker, I. (2016). Pressure and Expertise: Explaining the Information Supply of Interest Groups in EU Legislative Lobbying. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 54(3), 599–616.
- De Bruycker, I., Berkhout, J., & Hanegraaff, M. (2019). The paradox of collective action: Linking interest aggregation and interest articulation in EU legislative lobbying. *Governance*, 32(2), 295–312. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12373>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited : Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Dunleavy, P. (1991). *Democracy, bureaucracy and public choice*. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Dür, A., Bernhagen, P., & Marshall, D. (2015). Interest Group Success in the European Union. *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(8), 951–983.
- Dür, A., & Mateo, G. (2013). Gaining access or going public ? Interest group strategies in five European countries. *European Journal of Political Research*, 52(5), 660–686.
- Dür, A., & Mateo, G. (2014). The Europeanization of interest groups: Group type, resources and policy area. *European Union Politics*, 15(4), 572–594.
- Easton, D. (1971). *The Political System: An Inquiry into the State of Political Science*. New York: Knopf.
- Eising, R. (2007a). Institutional Context, Organizational Resources and Strategic Choices: Explaining Interest Group Access in the European Union. *European Union Politics*, 8(3), 329–362.
- Eising, R. (2007b). The access of business interests to EU institutions: Towards élite pluralism? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 14(3), 384–403.
- Eisinger, P. (2002). Organizational Capacity and Organizational Effectiveness Among Street-Level Food Assistance Programs. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 31(1), 115–130.
- European Commission. (2001). *European Governance: A White Paper*. Brussels: European Commission.
- European Commission. (2002). *Communication from the Commission: Towards a Reinforced Culture of Consultation and Dialogue – General Principles and Minimum Standards for Consultation of Interested Parties by the Commission*. Brussels.
- European Commission. (2003). *Report from the Commission on European Governance*. Luxembourg.
- European Commission. (2014). *Communication from the President to the Commission: The working methods of the European Commission 2014–2019*. Brussels.

- European Commission. (2017). "Stakeholder consultation" in *Better regulation "Toolbox."* Brussels.
- European Economic and Social Committee. (2006). *Opinion of European Economic and Social Committee on the representativeness of European civil society organisations in civic dialogue.* Brussels.
- European Union. (2012). *Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.* Brussels: Official Journal C 326 , 26/10/2012 P. 0001 - 0390.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (Third). London: Sage Publications.
- Fioretti, G., & Bauke, V. (2004). A Cognitive Approach to Organizational Complexity. *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper, 04-033/1*, 1–32.
- Fisker, H. M. (2015). Dead or Alive? Explaining the Long-Term Survival Chances of Interest Groups. *West European Politics*, 38(3), 709–729. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2014.962246>
- Flöthe, L. (2019a). Technocratic or democratic interest representation? How different types of information affect lobbying success. *Interest Groups and Advocacy*, 8(2), 165–183.
- Flöthe, L. (2019b). The costs of interest representation – a resource perspective on informational lobbying. *European Political Science Review*, 11(2), 161–178.
- Flöthe, L. (2020). Representation through information? When and why interest groups inform policymakers about public preferences. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(4), 528–546. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1599042>
- Flöthe, L., & Rasmussen, A. (2018). Public voices in the heavenly chorus? Group type bias and opinion representation. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 1–19.
- Fraussen, B. (2014). The visible hand of the state: On the organizational development of interest groups. *Public Administration*, 92(2), 406–421.
- Fraussen, B. (2020). Organization of Interest Groups. In P. Harris, A. Bitoni, C. S. Fleisher, & A. S. Binderkrantz (Eds.), *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Interest Groups, Lobbying and Public Affairs* 2. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Fraussen, B., Albareda, A., & Braun, C. (2020). Conceptualizing consultation approaches: identifying combinations of consultation tools and analyzing their implications for stakeholder diversity. *Policy Sciences*, (forthcoming). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-020-09382-3>
- Fraussen, B., Albareda, A., Braun, C., & Maloney, W. A. (2021). A Matter of Information, Discussion and Consequences? Exploring Accountability Practices of Interest Groups in the EU. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, onlinefirst.
- Fraussen, B., & Beyers, J. (2016). Who's in and who's out?: Explaining access to policymakers in Belgium. *Acta Politica*, 51(2), 214–236.
- Fraussen, B., Beyers, J., & Donas, T. (2015). The Expanding Core and Varying Degrees of Insideriness: Institutionalised Interest Group Access to Advisory Councils. *Political Studies*, 63(3), 569–588.
- Fraussen, B., Graham, T., & Halpin, D. R. (2018). Assessing the prominence of interest groups in parliament: a supervised machine learning approach. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 24(4), 450–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2018.1540117>
- Fraussen, B., & Halpin, D. R. (2017). Think tanks and strategic policy-making: the contribution of think tanks to policy advisory systems. *Policy Sciences*, 50(1), 105–124.
- Fraussen, B., & Halpin, D. R. (2018). How do interest groups legitimate their policy advocacy? Reconsidering linkage and internal democracy in times of digital disruption. *Public Administration*, 96(1), 23–35.
- Fraussen, B., & Halpin, D. R. (2020). Interest Groups, the Bureaucracy, and Issue Prioritization. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia on Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fraussen, B., Halpin, D. R., & Nownes, A. J. (2020). Why do interest groups prioritise some policy issues over others? Explaining variation in the drivers of policy agendas. *Journal of Public Policy*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X2000015X>



- Fredericksen, P., & London, R. (2000). Disconnect in the Hollow State: The Pivotal Role of Organizational Capacity in Community-Based Development Organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 60(3), 230–239.
- Fung, A. (2006). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public Administration Review*, 66(1), 66–75.
- Gilens, M., & Page, B. I. (2014). Testing theories of American politics: Elites, interest groups, and average citizens. *Perspectives on Politics*, 12(3), 564–581. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592714001595>
- Gordon, A. D. (1998). Cluster Validation. In C. Hayashi, K. Yajima, H. H. . Bock, N. Ohsumi, Y. Tanaka, & Y. Baba (Eds.), *Data Science, Classification, and Related Methods*. Tokyo: Springer.
- Grande, E. (2000). Post-National Democracy in Europe. In M. T. Greven & W. Pauly, Louis (Eds.), *Democracy Beyond the State? The European Dilemma and the Emerging Global Order* (pp. 115–138). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Gray, V., & Lowery, D. (1995). The Demography of Interest Organization Communities. *American Politics Quarterly*, 23(1), 3–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X9502300101>
- Gray, V., & Lowery, D. (1996). *The Population Ecology of Interest Representation: Lobbying Communities in the American States*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Gray, V., Lowery, D., Fellowes, M., & Mcatee, A. (2004). Public Opinion, Public Policy, and Organized Interests in the American States. *Political Research Quarterly*, 57(3), 411–420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290405700306>
- Greco, S., Ishizaka, A., Tasiou, M., & Torrisi, G. (2019, January 15). On the Methodological Framework of Composite Indices: A Review of the Issues of Weighting, Aggregation, and Robustness. *Social Indicators Research*. Springer Netherlands.
- Greenwood, J. (2007). Organized Civil Society and Democratic Legitimacy in the European Union. *British Journal of Political Science*, 37(02), 333.
- Greenwood, J. (2011). *Interest Representation in the European Union*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Greenwood, J., & Webster, R. (2000). The Governability of EU business associations. *Journal of European Integration*, 23(1), 63–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036330008429096>
- Greenwood, & Miller, D. (2010). Tackling Design Anew: Getting Back to the Heart of Organizational Theory Executive Overview. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 24, 78–88.
- Grömping, M., & Halpin, D. R. (2019). Does group engagement with members constitute a “beneficial inefficiency”? *Governance*, 32(3), 511–529.
- Grossmann, M. (2012). *The not-so-special interests: Interest groups, public representation and American governance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Gulati, R., Puranam, P., & Tushman, M. (2012). Meta-Organization Design: Rethinking Design in Inter-organizational and Community Contexts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33, 571–586. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj>
- Habermas, J. (1996). *Between Facts and Norms: Contributions to a Discourse Theory of Law and Democracy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hage, J., & Aiken, M. (1967). Relationship of centralization to other structural properties. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 72–92.
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2008). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hall, R. L., & Deardorff, A. V. (2006). Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review*, 100(1), 69–84.
- Hallgren, K. A. (2012). Computing Inter-Rater Reliability for Observational Data: An Overview and Tutorial. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*, 8(1), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.08.1.p023>

- Halpin, D. R. (2006). The participatory and democratic potential and practice of interest groups: Between solidarity and representation. *Public Administration*, 84(4), 919–940.
- Halpin, D. R. (2010). *Groups, Democracy and Representation: Between Promise and Practice*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Halpin, D. R. (2014). *The Organization of Political Interest Groups: Designing advocacy*. New York: Routledge.
- Halpin, D. R., & Fraussen, B. (2017a). Conceptualising the policy engagement of interest groups: Involvement, access and prominence. *European Journal of Political Research*, 56(3), 723–732. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12194>
- Halpin, D. R., & Fraussen, B. (2017b). Laying the Groundwork: Linking Internal Agenda-Setting Processes Of Interest Groups to Their Role in Policy Making. *Administration & Society*.
- Halpin, D. R., Fraussen, B., & Nownes, A. J. (2018). The balancing act of establishing a policy agenda: Conceptualizing and measuring drivers of issue prioritization within interest groups. *Governance*, 31(2), 215–237.
- Halpin, D. R., & Thomas, H. F. (2012a). Evaluating the breadth of policy engagement by organized interests. *Public Administration*, 90(3), 582–599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.02005.x>
- Halpin, D. R., & Thomas, H. F. (2012b). Interest group survival: Explaining sources of mortality anxiety. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 1(2), 215–238. <https://doi.org/10.1057/iga.2012.11>
- Han, H., Andrews, K. T., Ganz, M., Baggetta, M., & Lim, C. (2011). The relationship of leadership quality to the political presence of civic associations. *Perspectives on Politics*, 9(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592710004081>
- Hanegraaff, M., & Berkhout, J. (2018). More business as usual? Explaining business bias across issues and institutions in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 0(0), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1492006>
- Hanegraaff, M., van der Ploeg, J., & Berkhout, J. (2020). Standing in a Crowded Room: Exploring the Relation between Interest Group System Density and Access to Policymakers. *Political Research Quarterly*, 73(1), 51–64.
- Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1977). The population ecology of organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82(5), 929–964.
- Hayes, M. (1986). The new group universe. In C. Press (Ed.), *Interest Group Politics* (pp. 133–145). Washington DC.
- Heaney, M. T. (2004). Outside the issue niche: The multidimensionality of interest group identity. *American Politics Research*, 32(6), 611–651.
- Heylen, F., Willems, E., & Beyers, J. (2020). Do Professionals Take Over? Professionalisation and Membership Influence in Civil Society Organisations. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 1–13.
- Hojnacki, M. (1997). Interest Groups' Decisions to Join Alliances or Work Alone. *American Journal of Political Science*, 41(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111709>
- Hollman, M. (2018). *Interest group organisation in the European Union: how internal organisational structures shape interest group agency*. London: Routledge.
- Holyoke, T. T. (2013). A dynamic model of member participation in interest groups. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 2(3), 278–301. <https://doi.org/10.1057/iga.2013.8>
- Hopkins, V., Klüver, H., & Pickup, M. (2019). The Influence of Cause and Sectional Group Lobbying on Government Responsiveness. *Political Research Quarterly*, 72(3), 623–636. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912918796326>

- Ibenskas, R., & Bunea, A. (2020). Legislators, organizations and ties: understanding interest group recognition in the European Parliament. *European Journal of Political Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12412>
- Johansson, H., & Lee, J. (2014). Bridging the Gap: How do EU-Based Civil Society Organisations Acquire Their Internal Representation? *Voluntas*, 25(2), 405–424.
- Jones, B. D. (2003). Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2, 13(4), 395–412. Retrieved from [https://www.jstor.org/stable/3525655?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3525655?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Jones, B. D., & Baumgartner, F. R. (2005). *The Politics of Attention: How Government Prioritizes Problems*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Jones, B. D., & Baumgartner, F. R. (2012). From there to here: Punctuated equilibrium to the general punctuation thesis to a theory of government information processing. *Policy Studies Journal*, 40(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2011.00431.x>
- Jordan, G., & Greenan, J. (2012). The changing contours of British representation: Pluralism in practice. In D. R. Halpin & G. Jordan (Eds.), *The scale of interest organization in democratic politics: Data and research methods*. Houndmills, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jordan, G., Halpin, D. R., & Maloney, W. A. (2004). Defining Interests: Disambiguation and the Need for New Distinctions? *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 6, 195–212.
- Jordan, G., & Maloney, W. A. (1997a). Accounting for subgovernments: Explaining the persistence of policy communities. *Administration & Society*, 29(5), 557–583.
- Jordan, G., & Maloney, W. A. (1997b). *The Protest Business? Mobilizing Campaign Groups*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Jordan, G., & Maloney, W. A. (1998). Manipulating Membership : Supply-Side Influences on Group Size. *British Journal of Political Science*, 28(2), 389–409.
- Jordan, G., & Maloney, W. A. (2007). *Democracy and Interest Groups: Enhancing Participation?* London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Junk, W. M. (2019a). Representation beyond people: Lobbying access of umbrella associations to legislatures and the media. *Governance*, 32(2), 313–330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12375>
- Junk, W. M. (2019b). When Diversity Works: The Effects of Coalition Composition on the Success of Lobbying Coalitions. *American Journal of Political Science*, 63(3), 660–674. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12437>
- King, B. G., Felin, T., & Whetten, D. A. (2010). Finding the organization in organizational theory: A Meta-Theory of the Organization as a Social Actor. *Organization Science*, 21(1), 290–305.
- Kingdon, J. W. (1984). *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Klüver, H. (2011a). Lobbying in coalitions: Interest group influence on European Union policy-making. In *Nuffield's Working Papers Series in Politics* (pp. 1–38).
- Klüver, H. (2011b). The Contextual Nature of Lobbying: Explaining Lobbying Success in the European Union. *European Union Politics*, 12(4), 483–506. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116511413163>
- Klüver, H. (2012a). Informational Lobbying in the European Union: The Effect of Organisational Characteristics. *West European Politics*, 35(3), 491–510.
- Klüver, H. (2012b). Lobbying as a collective enterprise: winners and losers of policy formulation in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 1763(September 2015), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2012.699661>
- Klüver, H. (2013). *Lobbying in the European Union: Interest Groups, Lobbying Coalitions, and Policy Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Klüver, H., Braun, C., & Beyers, J. (2015). Legislative lobbying in context: towards a conceptual framework of interest group lobbying in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(4), 447–461.

- Klüver, H., & Pickup, M. (2019). Are they listening? Public opinion, interest groups and government responsiveness. *West European Politics*, 42(1), 91–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2018.1483662>
- Klüver, H., & Saurugger, S. (2013). Opening the black box: The professionalization of interest groups in the European Union. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 2(2), 185–205.
- Kohler-Koch, B. (2009). The three worlds of European civil society—What role for civil society for what kind of Europe? *Policy and Society*, 28(1), 47–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2009.02.005>
- Kohler-Koch, B. (2010). Civil society and EU democracy: ‘astroturf’ representation? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 17(1), 100–116.
- Kohler-Koch, B. (2012). Post-Maastricht Civil Society and Participatory Democracy. *Journal of European Integration*, 34(7), 809–824.
- Kohler-Koch, B. (2013). Civil society and democracy in the EU: High expectations under empirical scrutiny. In B. Kohler-Koch & C. Quittkat (Eds.), *De-mystification of participatory democracy: EU-governance and civil society* (pp. 1–17). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kohler-Koch, B., & Buth, V. (2013). The Balancing Act of European Civil Society - Between Professionalism and Grassroots. In *The Demystification of participatory governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kohler-Koch, B., Kotzian, P., & Quittkat, C. (2017). The multilevel interest representation of national business associations. *West European Politics*, 40(5), 1046–1065.
- Kohler-Koch, B., & Quittkat, C. (2013). *De-Mystification of Participatory Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kratochvíl, P., & Sychra, Z. (2019). The end of democracy in the EU? The Eurozone crisis and the EU’s democratic deficit. *Journal of European Integration*, 41(2), 169–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2019.1569001>
- Kröger, S. (2014). The involvement of the constituencies of European umbrella organizations in EU affairs. In S. Kröger (Ed.), *Political Representation in the European Union* (pp. 143–160). London: Routledge.
- Kröger, S. (2016). *Europeanised or European? Representation by Civil Society Organisations in EU Policy Making*. Colchester: ECPR Press.
- Kröger, S. (2018). How limited representativeness weakens throughput legitimacy in the EU: The example of interest groups. *Public Administration*, 1–14.
- Lax, J. R., & Phillips, J. H. (2012). The Democratic Deficit in the States. *American Journal of Political Science*, 56(1), 148–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00537.x>
- Leech, B. L., Baumgartner, F. R., Pira, T. M. La, & Semanko, N. A. (2005). Drawing Lobbyists to Washington: Government Activity and the Demand for Advocacy. *Political Research Quarterly*, 58(1), 19–30.
- Levesque, M. (2012). Mapping a way forward: Interest group selection and roles performed in engagement processes. *Canadian Public Administration*, 55(4), 531–552. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-7121.2012.00224.x>
- Long, S. J., & Freese, J. (2014). *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. Stata Press.
- Lowery, D. (2007). Why Do Organized Interests Lobby? A Multi-Goal, Multi-Context Theory of Lobbying. *Polity*, 39(1), 29–54.
- Lowery, D., & Gray, V. (2004). A Neopluralist Perspective on Research on Organized Interests. *Political Research Quarterly*, 57(1), 164–175. <https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290405700114>
- Lowery, D., Gray, V., Kirkland, J., & Harden, J. J. (2012). Generalist Interest Organizations and Interest System Density: A Test of the Competitive Exclusion Hypothesis. *Social Science Quarterly*, 93(1), 21–41.
- Lowery, D., & Marchetti, K. (2012). You don’t know Jack: Principals, agents and lobbying. *Interest Groups & Advocacy*, 1(2), 139–170. <https://doi.org/10.1057/iga.2012.15>
- Mahoney, C. (2008). *Brussels Versus the Beltway: Advocacy in the United States and the European Union*. Washington DC: Georgetown University Press.

- Maier, F., & Meyer, M. (2011). Managerialism and Beyond: Discourses of Civil Society Organization and Their Governance Implications. *Voluntas*, 22(4), 731–756.
- Majone, G. (1999a). The regulatory state and its legitimacy problems. *West European Politics*, 22(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402389908425284>
- Majone, G. (1999b). The regulatory state and its legitimacy problems. *West European Politics*, 22(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402389908425284>
- Maloney, W. A. (2015). Organizational Populations: Professionalization, Maintenance and Democracy Delivery. In D. Lowery, D. R. Halpin, & V. Gray (Eds.), *The organizational ecology of interest communities: Assessment and Agenda* (pp. 99–116). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Maloney, W. A., Jordan, G., & McLaughlin, A. M. (1994). Interest Groups and Public Policy: The Insider/Outsider Model Revisited. *Journal of Public Policy*, 14(1), 17–38.
- Maloney, W. A., & Saurugger, S. (2014). *The Professionalization of Interest Group Politics in the EU: Patronage and the Logics of Influence and Members*.
- March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. (1958). *Organizations*. New York: Wiley-Blackwell.
- McFarland, A. (2010). Interest Group Theory. In L. S. Maisel, J. M. Berry, & G. C. Edwards (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of American Political Parties and Interest Groups* (pp. 37–56). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McLaughlin, A. m., Jordan, G., & Maloney, W. A. (1993). Corporate Lobbying in the European Community. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 31(2), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5965.1993.tb00457.x>
- Michalowitz, I. (2004). *EU lobbying-principals, agents and targets: Strategic interest intermediation in EU policy-making*. Münster: Lit Verlag.
- Miller, D. (1996). Configurations revisited. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17, 505–512.
- Minkoff, D., Aisenbrey, S., & Agnone, J. (2008). Organizational Diversity in the U.S. Advocacy Sector. *Social Problems*, 55(4), 525–548.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The Structuring of Organizations: A Synthesis of the Research*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Misener, K., & Doherty, A. (2009). A Case Study of Organizational Capacity in Nonprofit Community Sport. *Journal of Sport Management*, 23, 457–482.
- Moe, T. M. (1991). Politics and the Theory of Organization. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, 7, 106–129.
- Moffitt, R. (1999). *Econometric methods for labor market analysis*. North-Holland.
- Mooi, E., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). *A Concise Guide to Market Research: The Process, Data, and Methods Using SPSS Statistics*. Springer.
- Muñoz Marquez, L. M. (2016). The Relevance of Organizational Structure to NGOs' Approaches to the Policy Process. *Voluntas*, 27(1), 465–486.
- Murdoch, Z., & Trondal, J. (2013). Contracted Government: Unveiling the European Commission's Contracted Staff. *West European Politics*, 36(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2013.742734>
- Naoi, M., & Krauss, E. (2009). Who Lobbies Whom? under Alternative Special Interest Politics Electoral Systems San Diego. *American Political Science Political Science*, 53(4), 874–892.
- Nowell, B., & Albercht, K. (2018). A Reviewer's Guide to Qualitative Rigor. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 29(2), 348–363.
- Nownes, A. J. (2004). The Population Ecology of Interest Group Formation : Mobilizing for Gay and Lesbian Rights in the United States, 1950–98. *British Journal of Political Science*, 34(1), 49–67. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123403000346>
- Offe, C., & Wiesenthal, H. (1980). Two logics of collective action: theoretical notes on social class and organizational form. *Political Power and Social Theory*, 1(1), 67–115.

- Olson, M. (1965). *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Harvard: Harvard University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (1998). A Behavioral Approach to the Rational Choice Theory of Collective Action: Presidential Address, American Political Science Association, 1997. *American Political Science Review*, 92(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2585925>
- Pérez-Solórzano Borragán, N. (2014). The European Commission and political representation: a new inter-institutional perspective. In S. Kröger (Ed.), *Political Representation in the European Union* (pp. 125–142). London: Routledge.
- Persson, M., Esaiasson, P., & Gilljam, M. (2013). The effects of direct voting and deliberation on legitimacy beliefs: an experimental study of small group decision-making. *European Political Science Review*, 5(3), 381–399.
- Persson, T., & Edholm, K. (2018). Assessing the Effects of European Union Funding of Civil Society Organizations: Money for Nothing? *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 56(3), 559–575.
- Pierson, P. (2000). The Limits of Design: Explain Institutional Origins and Change. *Governance*, 13(4), 475–499.
- Poguntke, T. (2002). Party organizational linkage: Parties without firm social roots? In K. R. Luther & F. Müller-Rommel (Eds.), *Political Parties in the New Europe* (pp. 43–62). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pollitt, C., Talbot, C., Caulfield, J., & Smullen, A. (2004). *Agencies*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pugh, D. S., Hickson, D. J., Hinings, C. R., Macdonald, K. M., Turner, C., & Lupton, T. (1963). A Conceptual Scheme for Organizational Analysis. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 8(3), 289–315.
- Pugh, D. S., Hickson, D. J., Hinings, C. R., & Turner, C. (1968). Dimensions of Organization Structure. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 13, 65–105.
- Puhani, P. A. (2000). The Heckman correction for sample selection and its critique. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 14(1), 53–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6419.00104>
- Putnam, R. (1993). What makes democracy work? *National Civic Review*, 82(2), 101–107.
- Rajwani, T., Lawton, T. C., & Phillips, N. (2015). The “voice of industry”: Why management researchers should pay more attention to trade associations. *Strategic Organization*, 13, 224–232.
- Rasmussen, A., Carroll, B. J., & Lowery, D. (2014). Representatives of the public? Public opinion and interest group activity. *European Journal of Political Research*, 53(2), 250–268.
- Rasmussen, A., & Gross, V. (2015). Biased access? Exploring selection to advisory committees. *European Political Science Review*, 7(3), 343–372.
- Rasmussen, A., & Toshkov, D. (2013). The effect of stakeholder involvement on legislative duration: Consultation of external actors and legislative duration in the European Union. *European Union Politics*, 14, 366–387.
- Rasmussen, M. K. (2015). The Battle for Influence: The Politics of Business Lobbying in the European Parliament. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 53(2), 365–382. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12156>
- Rehfeld, A. (2011). The concepts of representation. *American Political Science Review*, 105(3), 631–641. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000190>
- Rittberger, B. (2005). *Building Europe's parliament: Democratic representation beyond the nation-state*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rodekamp, M. (2014). *Their Members' Voice: Civil Society Organizations in the European Union*. (S. VS, Ed.). Cologne.
- Rootes, C. (2009). Environmentalism. Environmental NGOs and the Environmental Movement in England. In N. Crowson, M. Hilton, & J. McKay (Eds.), *NGOs in Contemporary Britain: Non-State Actors in Society and Politics since 1945* (pp. 201–221). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rose, R., & Phillip, D. (1994). *Inheritance in Public Policy: Change without Choice in Britain*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.



- Rothenberg, L. S. (1988). Organizational Maintenance and the Retention Decision in Groups. *The American Political Science Review*, 82(4), 1129–1152.
- Salisbury, R. H. (1969). An Exchange Theory of Interest Groups. *Midwest Journal of Political Science*, 13(1), 1–32.
- Saurugger, S. (2008). Interest Groups and Democracy in the European Union. *West European Politics*, 31(6), 1274–1291.
- Schattschneider, E. E. (1935). *Politics, pressure, and the tariff*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Schlozman, K. L., Jones, P. E., You, H. Y., Burch, T., Verba, S., & Brady, H. E. (2015). Organizations and the Democratic Representation of Interests: What Does It Mean When Those Organizations Have No Members? *Perspectives on Politics*, 13(04), 1017–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592715002285>
- Schlozman, K. L., Verba, S., & Brady, H. E. (2012). *The unheavenly chorus: unequal political voice and the broken promise of American democracy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Schmitter, P. C., & Streeck, W. (1999). The Organization of Business Interests. Studying the Associative Action of Business in Advanced Industrial Societies, 1–95.
- Schnyder, M. (2016). The representation of migrant and refugee interests by European umbrella organisations: evidence of strain? *Journal of European Integration*, 38(7), 743–756. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2016.1227326>
- Scott, W. R. (2001). *Institutions and Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Short, J. C., Payne, G. T., & Ketchen, D. J. (2008). Research on Organizational Configurations : Past Accomplishments and Future Challenges. *Journal of Management*, 34(6), 1053–1079.
- Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative Behavior: A study of decision-making processes in administrative organizations* (Fourth). New York: The Free Press.
- Skocpol, T. (2003). *Diminished democracy. From Membership to Management in American Civic Life*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Smith, M. A. (2000). *American Business and Political Power: Public opinion, elections, and democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Strolovitch, D. Z. (2006). Do interest groups represent the disadvantaged? Advocacy at the intersections of race, class, and gender. *Journal of Politics*, 68(4), 894–910. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2006.00478.x>
- Strolovitch, D. Z. (2007). *Affirmative Advocacy: Race, Class, and Gender in Interest Group Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sudbery, I. (2003). Bridging the Legitimacy Gap in the EU: Can Civil Society Help to Bring the Union Closer to Its Citizens? *Collegium*, 26, 75–95.
- Szekely, G. J., & Rizzo, M. L. (2005). Hierarchical Clustering via Joint Between-Within Distances: Extending Ward's Minimum Variance Method. *Journal of Classification*, 22(2), 151–183.
- Tallberg, J., Dellmuth, L. M., Agné, H., & Duit, A. (2018). NGO Influence in International Organizations: Information, Access and Exchange. *British Journal of Political Science*, 48(1), 213–238.
- Trenz, H.-J. (2009). European civil society: Between participation, representation and discourse. *Policy and Society*, 28(1), 35–46.
- Truman, D. B. (1951). *The Governmental Process*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Urbinati, N., & Warren, M. E. (2008). The Concept of Representation in Contemporary Democratic Theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1), 387–412. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053006.190533>
- Van Ballaert, B. (2017). The European Commission's use of consultation during policy formulation: The effects of policy characteristics. *European Union Politics*, 18(3), 406–423.
- van der Pijl, K., & Sminia, H. (2004). Strategic management of public interest organizations. *Voluntas*, 15(2), 137–155.

- Van Deth, J. W., & Maloney, W. A. (2012). *New participatory dimensions in civil society: Professionalization and individualized collective action*. London: Routledge.
- van Schendelen, R. (2005). *Machiavelli in Brussels. The Art of Lobbying the EU*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Verhoest, K., Peters, B. G., Bouckaert, G., & Verschuere, B. (2004). The study of organisational autonomy: A conceptual review. *Public Administration and Development*, 24(2), 101–118.
- Walker, E. T., McCarthy, J. D., & Baumgartner, F. (2011). Replacing members with managers? Mutualism among membership and nonmembership advocacy organizations in the United States. *American Journal of Sociology*, 116(4), 1284–1337.
- Walker, J. L. (1983). The origins and maintenance of interest groups in America. *The American Political Science Review*, 77(2), 390–406.
- Wallace, W., & Smith, J. (1995). Democracy or technocracy? European integration and the problem of popular consent. *West European Politics*, 18(3), 137–157.
- Warleigh, A. (2001). “Europeanizing” Civil Society: NGOs as Agents of Political Socialization. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 39(4), 619–639.
- Warleigh, A. (2003). *Democracy and the European Union*. London: Sage Publications.
- Weiler, F., Eichenberger, S., Mach, A., & Varone, F. (2019). More equal than others: Assessing economic and citizen groups’ access across policymaking venues. *Governance*, 32(2), 277–293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12372>
- Weiler, J. (1999). *The Constitution of Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Willems, E. (2020). Politicised policy access. The effect of politicisation on interest group access to advisory councils. *Public Administration*, online first.
- Williamson, O. E. (1981). The Economics of Organization: The Transaction Cost Approach. *American Journal of Sociology*, 87(3), 548–577.
- Williamson, O. E. (1991). *Comparative Economic Organization: The Analysis of Discrete Structural Alternatives*. *Administrative Science Quarterly* (Vol. 36). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393356>
- Wilson, J. Q. (1995). *Political Organizations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Wright, J. R. (1996). *Interest groups and Congress: Lobbying, contributions, and influence*. London: Pearson Education.
- Wu, X., Ramesh, M., & Howlett, M. (2015). Policy capacity: A conceptual framework for understanding policy competences and capabilities. *Policy and Society*, 34(3–4), 165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2015.09.001>
- Yackee, J. W., & Yackee, S. W. (2006). A Bias Towards Buisness? Assessing Intrest Group Influence on the U.S. Bureaucracy. *The Journal of Politics*, 68(1), 128–139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1468-2508.2006.00375.X>
- Young, D. R. (1992). Organising principles for international advocacy associations. *Voluntas*, 3(1), 1–28.



