

Peer feedback in teacher professional development Jin, X.

Citation

Jin, X. (2021, September 21). *Peer feedback in teacher professional development. ICLON PhD Dissertation Series.* Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3212967

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral

License: thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of

Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3212967

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Appendix A: Questionnaire (Chapter 3)

Hello, dear teachers. In order to study on teachers' perception of this development program. This research is conducted by ICLON Leiden University and CDIBB Tongji University. We sincerely please you to answer this questionnaire. This questionnaire is anonymous and only for research purpose. Thank you very much for your support and cooperation!

1. Are you female or male	e? ()	
•	B. Male	
2. How long have you bee	en teaching? ()	
A. Less than 1 year	B. 1-3 years C.3-5 years	ars D. More than 5 years
3. Which kind of subjects	do you teach? ()	
A. General course	B. Vocational specialized	d course
4. Educational backgroun	d? ()	
A. Diploma of second	ary vocational school	B. Senior college degree
C. Bachelor	D. Master	E. Doctorate

The first section

The following questionnaire measures your motivation for studying and your reason of participate this teacher development program. Please indicate how much you agree with the following items:

- 1. Completely agree; 2. Agree; 3. Undecided; 4. Disagree;
- 5. Completely disagree

Why do you participate this program? I participated because...

1. Because I'm supposed to do so.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Because I enjoy doing it.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Because it's fun.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. Because I would feel guilty if I didn't participate.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. Because this represents a meaningful choice to me.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. Because I want others to think I'm wise.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. I'm satisfied with the novice-expert interaction sessions in this program.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. Because I would feel ashamed if I didn't participate.	1	2	3	4	5	
9. Because I want others to think I'm a good teacher.	1	2	3	4	5	

10. Because I want to learn new things.		2	3	4	5
11. Because others (school leaders, colleagues, etc.) oblige	1	2	3	4	5
me to do so.					
12. I'm satisfied with the lecture part in this program.		2	3	4	5
13. Because this is an important work goal to me.	1	2	3	4	5
14. Because I am highly interested in doing this.		2	3	4	5
15. Because it is personally important to me.		2	3	4	5
16. Because it's an exciting thing to do.		2	3	4	5
17. I'm satisfied with this teacher development program in	1	2	3	4	5
general.					
18. Because that's what others (e.g., school leaders,	1	2	3	4	5
colleagues) expect me to do.					
19. Because that's something others (school leaders,	1	2	3	4	5
colleagues, etc.) force me to do.					

The second section

The following questionnaire measures your motivation and feeling with regards to teaching in vocation schools. Please indicate to what extent you feel you can do your work for the following items:

 Not at all; Very little; Little; Somewhat; Quite a bit; Very much; Always 							
1. To what extent can you use a variety of assessment strategies?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. To what extent can you help your students value learning?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3. To what extent can you respond to defiant students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4. To what extent can you craft good questions for your students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5. To what extent can you help your students think independently?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6. To what extent can you establish routines to keep activities running smoothly?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7. To what extent can you get through to the most difficult students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8. To what extent can you make your expectation clear about student behavior?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

9. To what extent can you respond to difficult questions from your students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10. To what extent can you calm a student who is disruptive or noisy?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. To what extent can you implement alternative strategies in your classroom?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. To what extent can you get children to follow classroom rules?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13. To what extent can you foster student creativity?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
14. To what extent can you control disruptive behavior in the classroom?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15. To what extent can you provide appropriate challenges for very capable students?		2					
16. To what extent can you improve the understanding of a student who is failing?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17. To what extent can you provide an alternative explanation or example when students are confused?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18. To what extent can you motivate students who show low interest in schoolwork?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19. To what extent can you establish a classroom management system with each group of students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
20. To what extent can you keep a few problem students from ruining an entire lesson?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
21. To what extent can you get students to believe they can do well in schoolwork?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
22. To what extent can you adjust your lessons to the proper level for individual students?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23. To what extent can you gauge student comprehension of what you have taught?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
24. How much effort will you put into your teaching?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
25. How sure are you that you will stay in the teaching profession?							
26. How much effort do you plan to exert as a teacher?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
27. How hard will you strive to be an effective teacher?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
28. How certain are you that you will remain in teaching?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
29. How sure are you that you will persist in a teaching career?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
30. How much will you work at being a good teacher?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
31. How confident are you that you will stick with teaching?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Appendix B: Interview outline (Chapter 4)

Introduction

Thank you for participating in this interview today. I'm Xinglin Jin, the principal investor of this study and also a staff in Tongji University. Through this interview, we want to know how the novice-expert feedback activities may influence your daily teaching. This interview will last around 30 minutes. The information you provided in our interview will be treated confidential and results will always be made anonymous when reported. Our talk in this interview will not affect the assessment in the program. So, please feel free to share your true opinion and experiences.

Now, I'm going to ask your permission to record our conversation. This recording will only be used for research, and if you want to stop recording during our talk for any reason, we can pause it at any time.

Warm up questions

- How are you recently?
- How do you like the novice-expert feedback sessions in the training programme?
- Since the programme have been ended for a while, did you still remember some interesting things happened during the programme?

The main question

Thanks for your sharing. Now we are going to talk about what you have learned and how you have learned during the novice-expert feedback sessions. Please recall the most impressive lesson you learned in the project and describe how you learned it. You may imagine a typical scenario where you are presenting your teaching video, and the expert teachers provide you some feedback. Try to detail the scenario by telling: 1) what kind of feedback you got from the expert teachers; 2) how did you thought about the feedback at that moment; 3) whether you decided to change your teaching according to the feedback; and 4) whether these changes were kept in your daily teaching.

Follow-up questions

- So you just talked about the feedback you get from expert teachers, but then how do you response to the feedback?
- You said you like/dislike the feedback, but why? And did you accept that feedback in the end?

- Since you mentioned that you accepted the feedback, how did you adapt it to your daily teaching? Is there any difficulties when you apply it?
- You said that the feedback didn't work out, then did you try it for more times?
- You mentioned that the feedback work out very well, then do you keep doing that in your daily teaching all the time?
- Is there any other impressive lesson you learned in the conversation with experts beside what we just talked about?

Concluding remarks

Now, that's all my questions. Before we conclude this interview, is there something about the program you want to share, which wasn't mentioned in the questionnaire?

Thank you very much for your sharing, I believe your share will contribute a lot to our study. Later I will transcribe this interview recording, and I will send the text to your e-mail. I would please you to have a look of the transcribed text to ensure there are no misunderstanding in the transcription. Thank you again!

Appendix C: Interview outline (Chapter 5)

Introduction

Thank you for participating in this interview today. I'm Xinglin Jin, the principal investor of this study and also a staff in Tongji University. Through this interview, we want to know your perception about feedback given in the novice-expert meeting in our teacher development program. This interview will only last 20-30 minutes. The information you provided in our interview will be treated confidential and results will always be made anonymous when reported. Our talk in this interview will not affect the assessment in the program. So, please feel free to share your true opinion and experiences.

Now, I'm going to ask your permission to record our conversation. This recording will only be used for research, and if you want to stop recording during our talk for any reason, we can pause it at any time.

Warm up questions

- How do you feel about the novice-expert interaction meeting in our program in general?
- Could you share something about your experiences with the novice-expert meetings that have stayed with you the most?

The main question

Thanks for your sharing. Now let's turn to the main question about your. First, please have a look of the following 10 types of feedback. These are the most frequently provided feedback types in the training programme, and we provided two example for each of the feedback types.

• Could you please indicate that out of the 10 types of feedback, which one do you think is the most important and relevant for you? And why?

Ten types of feedback and examples

- 1. Provide proper explanation and summary for your students.
- "Give a more precise explanation for students when introducing a new concept."
- "Provide summary at the end of the lesson to emphasis the main point."
- 2. Assess and evaluate your students.
- "Observe students' reaction in the class and provide feedback."

- "Encourage your students by providing more compliments when assessing their homework."
- 3. Relate your teaching to students' experience.
- "Use cases that students have experience with."
- "Relate the current lesson to what students' prior knowledge."
- 4. Arrange the lesson according to the main point of teaching content.
- "Remove irrelevant cases."
- "Relate task and homework to the main point of the lesson."
- 5. Work on your long-term professional development.
- "Ask support from colleagues when you have a problem in teaching."
- "Improve your knowledge and experience on the subject content."
- 6. Improve your lesson design.
- "Re-arrange the sequence of your presentation."
- "Prepare intriguing questions in the introduction part of the lesson."
- 7. Provide proper tasks and homework.
- "Adapt the tasks/homework to your students' level."
- "Provide more clarity about what students have to do for their tasks and homework."
- 8. Improve the quality of teaching materials.
- "Include the latest concept and information in your teaching materials."
- "Use different forms of teaching material."
- 9. Engage with your students.
- "Encourage students to present and share work with each other."
- "Include more interactive activities in your teaching to motivate students."
- 10. Relate your teaching to students' future work situation.
- "Use the authentic task that happens in students' future workplace."
- "Make examples with real cases in the workplace."

Follow-up questions

- So you mean item _____ (feedback type number) is the most important/relevant feedback type for you, but could you please specify the reason why you think it is useful?
- You just explained the reason why you value item _____ (feedback type number) the most, is this also the reason for some other feedback types?

- Is there another impressive feedback type you got from expert teachers during the programme beside what we just talked about?
- Thank you for sharing about how you feel about this issue. I propose to move to the next item first, is that okay? (When they give too many examples or long explanation)
- So we just talked about the most important ones, then how about item _____ (feedback type number)? (Check all the left items)

Concluding remarks

That is all my questions. I am grateful for interviewing with you today. I think your evaluation of these feedback types proved valuable information for our study. Is there anything about the novice-expert feedback activity that you want to talk about? Thank you very much for your sharing, later after we have transcribed the recording, I will send the text to your e-mail. This interview will only be used for the research, and will not affect your assessment in the program and also will not be shared with the expert teachers, or others. If there is some misunderstanding in the transcription or additional information you want to share, you can contact us any time by sending e-mail to this address: x.jin@iclon.leidenuniv.nl.

Appendix D: Frequencies of appraisal categories in relation to feedback types (Chapter 5)

Curriculum Vitae

Xinglin Jin was born on 1st August 1990, in Chonqing (China). After graduating from secondary education at Qiujing Secondary School in 2009, he studied Applied Psychology at Chongqing Normal University and obtained his bachelors' degree in 2013. Subsequently, he completed a Master degree of Education in 2016 at Tongji University (Shanghai, China). After obtaining his master degree, he started his work as a research assistant in Tongji University, the Institute of Vocational and Technical Education. In 2017 October, Xinglin started his PhD research at ICLON—Leiden University Graduate School of Teaching, the Netherlands. In his PhD research, Xinglin focused on teacher learning through peer feedback in the Chinese vocational education context.

During his PhD programme, Xinglin attended courses in his research topic provided by the Dutch Interuniversity Centre for Educational Research (ICO). He had published the fourth chapter of the dissertation, i.e., learning from novice–expert interaction in teachers' continuing professional development, in the journal *Professional Development in Education*. All the other four chapters have also been submitted. He has presented his research during the following conferences: ICO National Spring School 2019, ICO International Spring School 2021 and the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association (AERA) 2021.

Publications

Scientific Publications

Jin, X., Li, T., Meirink, J., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (2019). Learning from novice–expert interaction in teachers' continuing professional development. *Professional Development in Education*, 1-18.

Manuscripts Submitted for Publication

- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (submitted). Implementation models of teacher peer feedback: A systematic review.
- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (submitted). Effects of a teacher professional development programme in Chinese vocational education on efficacy and professional engagement of novice teachers.
- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (submitted). Novice teachers' appraisal of expert feedback in a teacher professional development programme in Chinese vocational education.
- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (submitted). Novice-expert interaction in continuous professional learning in China: An analysis of expert feedback

Presentations

- Jin, X., Li, T., Meirink, J., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (2019, March). Learning from novice-expert interaction in teachers' continuing professional development. Paper presented at the ICO National Spring School, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (2021, March). Novice-expert interaction in continuous professional learning in China: An analysis of expert feedback. Paper presented at the online ICO International Spring School, the Netherlands.
- Jin, X., Tigelaar, D., van der Want, A., & Admiraal, W. (2021, March). Novice Teachers' Appraisal of Expert Feedback in an Induction Program. Paper presented at the online annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association (AERA), the USA.

Acknowledgement

It is such a fantastic journey to do my PhD study in the Netherlands, which makes me especially reluctant to realise that it is coming to an end. Looking back on the road I travelled in the past four years, I have received a great deal of cordial and selfless support.

I would like to first thank my best tour guides on the journey of my research. They are my supervisors, Wilfried Admiraal, Dineke Tigelaar, and Anna van der Want. Wilfried, thank you for your enlightening questions, constructive suggestions, and inspiring ideas, which help me to decide which way to go and prevent me from getting lost on the journey. Dineke, thank you for always being careful and patient about my questions, no matter how small and silly these questions are. Your gentle and graceful personality also set an example for me. Anna, you are so good at explaining and clarifying confusing issues that I have in my studies, which benefit me very much. You also inspire me with your passion for life.

Many thanks to all the ICLONers. You are like the travel buddies on my PhD journey. My Dutch colleagues provided me with not only academic but also cultural and emotional support. It is all of you that make my life in the Netherlands rich and colourful. Jacobiene Meirink, thank you for participating in parts of my dissertation and for helping me settle into the PhD study in my very first year in the Netherlands. Special thanks to my pals in our small office B4.10. Loes de Jong, Jingxian Wang, and Kevin Zweeris, we have shared many precious memories, such as discussing each other's studies and decorating the room together for holidays.

Outside the ICLON, I would like to thank my colleagues in the Institute of Vocational and Technical Education, Tongji University. You are my solid backing on my road to a PhD. Tongji Li, it is my luck to have you as my first guide in the research field of teacher professional development. You provide me with a lot of support and good ideas when writing this dissertation. I also owe my thanks to the leaders and professors in the Institute of Vocational and Technical Education, especially Jiping Wang, Xiao Feng, Xianjie Peng, Yijun Wang, and Jianrong Zhang. You provide me with position, funding, and data resources when conducting this dissertation.

To my family, you are always the destination of my journey. My dear mother and father, you know no matter how far I travel, I will finally return with honour. I appreciate your selfless caring and support. It is your love that encourages me to

face every challenge in my PhD study. Na Zhou, my beloved girlfriend, thank you for your long companionship. It is my fortune to have a girlfriend who studies the same field as me. We know each other more than anyone else and confront the difficulties together during our PhD processes. You are not only my love but also my family member and my best friend forever.

Xinglin Jin Leiden, 2021



Leiden University Graduate School of Teaching ICLON PhD Dissertation Series

- Hoeflaak, A. (1994). Decoderen en interpreteren: een onderzoek naar het gebruik van strategieën bij het beluisteren van Franse nieuwsteksten.
- Verhoeven, P. (1997). Tekstbegrip in het onderwijs klassieke talen.
- Meijer, P.C. (1999). Teachers' practical knowledge: Teaching reading comprehension in secondary education.
- Zanting, A. (2001). Mining the mentor's mind: The elicitation of mentor teachers' practical knowledge by prospective teachers.
- Uhlenbeck, A.M. (2002). The development of an assessment procedure for beginning teachers of English as a foreign language.
- Oolbekkink-Marchand, H.W. (2006). Teachers' perspectives on self-regulated learning: An exploratory study in secondary and university education.
- Henze-Rietveld, F.A. (2006). Science teachers' knowledge development in the context of educational innovation.
- Mansvelder-Longayroux, D.D. (2006). The learning portfolio as a tool for stimulating reflection by student teachers.
- Meirink, J.A. (2007). *Individual teacher learning in a context of collaboration in teams*.
- Nijveldt, M.J. (2008). Validity in teacher assessment: An exploration of the judgement processes of assessors.
- Bakker, M.E.J. (2008). Design and evaluation of video portfolios: Reliability, generalizability, and validity of an authentic performance assessment for teachers.
- Oonk, W. (2009). Theory-enriched practical knowledge in mathematics teacher education.
- Visser-Wijnveen, G.J. (2009). The research-teaching nexus in the humanities: Variations among academics.
- Van der Rijst, R.M. (2009). The research-teaching nexus in the sciences: Scientific research dispositions and teaching practice.
- Platteel, T.L. (2010). Knowledge development of secondary school L1 teachers on

- concept-context rich education in an action-research setting.
- Kessels, C.C. (2010). The influence of induction programs on beginning teachers' well-being and professional development.
- Min-Leliveld, M.J. (2011). Supporting medical teachers' learning: Redesigning a program using characteristics of effective instructional development.
- Dobber, M. (2011). *Collaboration in groups during teacher education*.
- Wongsopawiro, D. (2012). Examining science teachers pedagogical content knowledge in the context of a professional development program.
- Belo, N.A.H. (2013). Engaging students in the study of physics: An investigation of physics teachers' belief systems about teaching and learning physics.
- De Jong, R.J. (2013). Student teachers' practical knowledge, discipline strategies, and the teacher-class relationship.
- Verberg, C.P.M. (2013). The characteristics of a negotiated assessment procedure to promote teacher learning.
- Van Kan, C.A. (2013). Teachers' interpretations of their classroom interactions in terms of their pupils' best interest: A perspective from continental European pedagogy.
- Dam, M. (2014). Making educational reforms practical for teachers: Using a modular, success-oriented approach to make a context-based educational reform practical for implementation in Dutch biology education.
- Hu, Y. (2014). The role of research in university teaching: A comparison of Chinese and Dutch teachers.
- Vink, C.C. (2014). Mapping for meaning: Using concept maps to integrate clinical and basic sciences in medical education.
- De Hei, M.S.A. (2016). Collaborative learning in higher education: design, implementation and evaluation of group learning activities.
- Louws, M.L. (2016). *Professional learning: what teachers want to learn.*
- Moses, I. (2017). Student-teachers' commitment to teaching.
- Veldman, I. M.J. (2017). Stay or leave? Veteran teachers' relationships with students and job satisfaction.
- Chen, D. (2017). *Intercultural identities of English language teachers: An exploration in China and the Netherlands.*
- Vereijken, M.W.C. (2018). Student engagement in research in medical education.
- Stollman, S.H.M. (2018). Differentiated instruction in practice: A teacher perspective.

- Day, I.N.Z. (2018). *Intermediate assessment in higher education*.
- Huisman, B.A. (2018). Peer feedback on academic writing.
- Tran, T.T.Q. (2018). Cultural differences in Vietnam: Differences in work-related values between Western and Vietnamese culture and cultural awareness at higher education.
- Van Ginkel, G.V.M. (2018). Making mentoring match: Mentor teachers' practical knowledge of adaptive mentoring.
- Wieringa, N. (2019). Teacher knowledge and lesson design: Understanding and supporting biology teachers' decision-making while designing context-based lessons.
- Vossen, T.E. (2019). Research and design in STEM education: What do students and teachers think about the connection?
- Van Kampen, E. (2019). What's CLIL about bilingual education? A window on Content and Language Integrated Learning pedagogies.
- Den Ouden, J.A.J. (2020). Zachtjes schudden aan de boom: Een onderzoek naar rationales en kernpraktijken van eerstegraads docenten Godsdienst/Levensbeschouwing gericht op de levensbeschouwelijke identiteitsontwikkeling van hun leerlingen.
- Vogelzang, J. (2020). Scrum in secondary chemistry education: A methodology to support teachers and to scaffold students.
- Kop. P.M.G.M. (2020). Graphing formulas by hand to promote symbol sense: Becoming friends with algebraic formulas.
- Lamers-Reeuwijk, A.M. (2020). Teaching and professional development in transnational education in Oman.
- De Vrind, E. (2020). The SpeakTeach method: Towards self-regulated learning of speaking skills in foreign languages in secondary schools: an adaptive and practical approach.
- De Jong, L.A.H. (2021). Teacher professional learning and collaboration in secondary schools.
- Zhang, X. (2021). Teachers' teaching and learning motivation in China.
- Wang, J. (2021). Technology integration in education: Policy plans, teacher practices, and student outcomes.
- Guo, P. (2021). Online project-based higher education: Student collaboration and outcomes.
- Jin, X. (2021). Peer feedback in teacher professional development.