

Teachers' teaching and learning motivation in China Zhang, X.

Citation

Zhang, X. (2021, July 6). *Teachers' teaching and learning motivation in China*. *ICLON PhD Dissertation Series*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3195071

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	<u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3195071</u>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3195071</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Zhang, X. Title: Teachers' teaching and learning motivation in China Issue Date: 2021-07-06

REFERENCES



References

References

- Adams, J. H., & Sargent, T. C. (2012). Curriculum transformation in China: Trends in student perceptions of classroom practice and engagement(Working Paper).
- Aditomo, A., & Koehler, C. (2020). Do student ratings provide reliable and valid information about teaching quality at the school level? Evaluating measures of science teaching in PISA 2015. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 32(3), 275-310. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-020-09328-6
- Bandura, A., & Adams, N. E. (1977). Analysis of self-efficacy theory of behavioral change. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 1(12), 287-310. doi:https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01663995
- Bao, X.-h., & Lam, S.-f. (2008). Who Makes the Choice? Rethinking the Role of Autonomy and Relatedness in Chinese Children's Motivation. Child Development, 79(2), 269-283. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01125. x
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51(6), 1173-1182. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173
- Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. New York: NY: Free Press.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Beerens, D. R. (2000). Evaluating Teachers for Professional Growth: Creating a Culture of Motivation and Learning. Thousand Oaks: CA: Corwin.
- Benight, C. C., & Bandura, A. (2004). Social cognitive theory of posttraumatic recovery: the role of perceived self-efficacy. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 10(42), 1129-1148. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2003.08.008
- Blais, M., Lachance, L., Vallerand, R., Briere, N., & Riddle, A. (1993). The work motivation inventory. Revue Quebecoise de Psychologie, 14, 185-215.
- Blume, B. D., Ford, J. K., Baldwin, T. T., & Huang, J. L. (2010). Transfer of Training: A Meta-Analytic Review. Journal of Management, 36(4), 1065-1105. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309352880

- Bochner, S. (1994). Cross-cultural differences in the self concept: A test of Hofstede's individualism/collectivism distinction. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 25(2), 273-283. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022194252007
- Bolhuis, S., & Voeten, M. J. (2004). Teachers' conceptions of student learning and own learning. Teachers and Teaching, 10(1), 77-98. doi:https://doi.org/10.1 080/13540600320000170936
- Bu, Y., & Han, X. (2019). Promoting the development of backbone teachers through university-school collaborative research: The case of new basic education (NBE) reform in China. Teachers and Teaching, 25(2), 200-219. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2019.1568977
- Cheng, M. M., & So, W. W. (2012). Analysing teacher professional development through professional dialogue: an investigation into a university–school partnership project on enquiry learning. Journal of Education for Teaching, 38(3), 323-341. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2012.668331
- Cravens, X. C., Liu, Y., & Grogan, M. (2012). Understanding the Chinese superintendency in the context of quality-oriented education. Comparative Education Review, 56(2), 270-299. doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/661771
- Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: A theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. Journal of Applied Psychology, 95(5), 834. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1037/a0019364
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality. Journal of Research in Personality, 19(2), 109-134.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The" what" and" why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227-268.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2002). Overview of self-determination theory: An organismic dialectical perspective: University of Rochester Press.
- Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Gagné, M., Leone, D. R., Usunov, J., & Kornazheva, B. P. (2001). Need satisfaction, motivation, and well-being in the work organizations of a former eastern bloc country: A cross-cultural study of self-determination. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27(8), 930-942. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167201278002

Dello-Iacovo, B. (2009). Curriculum reform and 'quality education'in China: An

overview. International Journal of Educational Development, 29(3), 241-249. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2008.02.008

- Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving Impact Studies of Teachers' Professional Development: Toward Better Conceptualizations and Measures. Educational Researcher, 181-199. doi:https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X08331140
- Ding, M., Li, Y., Li, X., & Kulm, G. (2010). Chinese teachers' attributions and coping strategies for student classroom misbehaviour. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 30(3), 321-337. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2010.495 832
- Dobbelaer, M. J. (2019a). The quality and qualities of classroom observation systems. Enschede: Ipskamp Printing. https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789036547161.
- Dobbelaer, M. J. (2019b). The quality and qualities of classroom observation systems. (Doctor of Philosophy), University of Twente, Enschede.
- Dockterman, D. M. (2017a). Discrepancies Between Students' and Teachers' Ratings of Instructional Practice: A Way to Measure Classroom Intuneness and Evaluate Teaching Quality. (Doctor of Philosophy), University of California Los Angeles,
- Dockterman, D. M. (2017b). Discrepancies between Students' and Teachers' Ratings of Instructional Practice: A Way to Measure Classroom Intuneness and Evaluate Teaching Quality. UCLA,
- Donche, V., & Van Petegem, P. (2011). Teacher educators' conceptions of learning to teach and related teaching strategies. Research Papers in Education, 26(2), 207-222.
- Dou, D., Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2017). The relationships between school autonomy gap, principal leadership, teachers' job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 45(6), 959-977.
- Evers, A. T., Kreijns, K., & Van der Heijden, B. I. (2016). The design and validation of an instrument to measure teachers' professional development at work. Studies in Continuing Education, 38(2), 162-178. doi:https://doi.org/10.108 0/0158037X.2015.1055465
- Eyal, O., & Roth, G. (2011). Principals' leadership and teachers' motivation: Self-determination theory analysis. Journal of Educational Administration, 49(3), 256-275. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/09578231111129055

- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Rieser, S., Klieme, E., & Büttner, G. (2014). Student ratings of teaching quality in primary school: Dimensions and prediction of student outcomes. Learning and Instruction, 29(2014), 1-9. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.07.001
- Ferguson, R. F. (2012). Can student surveys measure teaching quality? Phi Delta Kappan, 94(3), 24-28. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/003172171209400306
- Fernandez, J., & Mateo, M. A. (1997). Student and Faculty Gender in Ratings of University Teaching Quality. Sex Roles: A Journal of Research, 37, 997-1003. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02936351
- Fernet, C., Senécal, C., Guay, F., Marsh, H., & Dowson, M. (2008). The work tasks motivation scale for teachers (WTMST). Journal of Career Assessment, 16(2), 256-279. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072707305764
- Finnigan, K. S. (2010). Principal leadership and teacher motivation under highstakes accountability policies. Leadership and Policy in Schools, 9(2), 161-189. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/15700760903216174
- Fullan, M., & Hargreaves, A. (1996). What's Worth Fighting for in Your School? Revised Edition. In. New York: Teacher College Press.
- Gaertner, H., & Brunner, M. (2018). Once good teaching, always good teaching? The differential stability of student perceptions of teaching quality. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 30(2), 159-182. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-018-9277-5
- Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 26(4), 331-362. doi:https://doi. org/10.1002/job.322
- Gagné, M., Forest, J., Gilbert, M.-H., Aubé, C., Morin, E., & Malorni, A. (2010). The Motivation at Work Scale: Validation evidence in two languages. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 70(4), 628-646. doi:https://doi. org/10.1177/0013164409355698
- Gan, Z., Nang, H., & Mu, K. (2018). Trainee teachers' experiences of classroom feedback practices and their motivation to learn. Journal of Education for Teaching, 44(4), 1-6. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2018.1450956
- Geijsel, F. P., Sleegers, P. J., Stoel, R. D., & Krüger, M. L. (2009). The effect of teacher psychological and school organizational and leadership factors on teachers' professional learning in Dutch schools. The Elementary School Journal, 109(4), 406-427. doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/593940

- George, J. M. (1990). Personality, affect, and behavior in groups. Journal of Applied Psychology, 75, 107-116. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.2.107
- Gorozidis, G. (2009). Physical education teachers' motivation and self-efficacy in the implementation of the new Curriculum. Unpublished Master thesis).: Aristotle University of Tessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece.
- Gorozidis, G., & Papaioannou, A. G. (2014). Teachers' motivation to participate in training and to implement innovations. Teaching and Teacher Education, 39, 1-11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2013.12.001
- Guo, Y., Justice, L. M., Sawyer, B., & Tompkins, V. (2011). Exploring factors related to preschool teachers' self-efficacy. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(5), 961-968.
- Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2006). Teacher quality. In F. W. E. Hanushek (Ed.), Handbook of the Economics of Education (Vol. 2, pp. 1051-1078). Amsterdam: North Holland: Elsevier.
- Harmsen, R., Helms-Lorenz, M., Maulana, R., & van Veen, K. (2018). The relationship between beginning teachers' stress causes, stress responses, teaching behaviour and attrition. Teachers and Teaching, 24(6), 626-643. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2018.1465404
- Hassan, S., & Wium, W. (2014). Quality lies in the eyes of the beholder: A mismatch between student evaluation and peer observation of teaching. Africa Education Review, 11(4), 491-511. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2014.93 5000
- Herman, K. C., Prewett, S. L., Eddy, C. L., Savala, A., & Reinke, W. M. (2020). Profiles of middle school teacher stress and coping: Concurrent and prospective correlates. Journal of School Psychology, 78(2), 54-68. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2019.11.003
- Hildebrandt, S. A., & Eom, M. (2011). Teacher professionalization: Motivational factors and the influence of age. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(2), 416-423. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.09.011
- Hill, H. C., Charalambous, C. Y., Blazar, D., McGinn, D., Kraft, M. A., Beisiegel, M.,
 ... Lynch, K. (2012). Validating arguments for observational instruments: Attending to multiple sources of variation. Educational Assessment, 17(2-3), 88-106. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10627197.2012.715019
- Ho, D. Y.-F., & Chiu, C.-Y. (1994). Component ideas of individualism, collectivism, and social organization: An application in the study of Chinese culture (Vol.

18): Sage Publications, Inc.

- Hu, L. t., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 6(1), 1-55. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- Huberman, M. (1989). The professional life cycle of teachers. Teachers College Record, 91(1), 31-57.
- Ishler, A. L., Johnson, R. T., & Johnson, D. W. (1998). Long-term effectiveness of a statewide staff development program on cooperative learning. Teaching and Teacher Education, 14(3), 273-281. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(97)00039-5

Jackson, J. E. (2005). Oblimin Rotation. NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons.

- Jansen in de Wal, J., van den Beemt, A., Martens, R. L., & den Brok, P. J. (2020). The relationship between job demands, job resources and teachers' professional learning: is it explained by self-determination theory? Studies in Continuing Education, 42(1), 17-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/015803 7X.2018.1520697
- Jansen in de Wal, J., Van den Beemt, A., Martens, R. L., & Den Brok, P. J. (2016). Secondary School Teachers' Motivation for Professional Learning: Open Universiteit.
- Kaldi, S. (2009). Student teachers' perceptions of self-competence in and emotions/ stress about teaching in initial teacher education. Educational Studies, 35(3), 349-360. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/03055690802648259
- Kasser, T., & Ryan, R. M. (1993). A Dark Side of the American Dream: Correlates of Financial Success as a Central Life Aspiration. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 65(2), 410-422. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.65.2.410
- Kennedy, P. (2002). Learning cultures and learning styles: Myth-understandings about adult (Hong Kong) Chinese learners. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 21(5), 430-445. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/02601370210156745
- Kerrins, J. A., & Cushing, K. S. (2000). Taking a second look: Expert and novice differences when observing the same classroom teaching segment a second time. Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education, 14(1), 5-24. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1008152928725

- Keselman, H. J., Huberty, C. J., Lix, L. M., Olejnik, S., Cribbie, R. A., Donahue, B., . . . Levin, J. R. (1998). Statistical Practices of Educational Researchers: An Analysis of their ANOVA, MANOVA, and ANCOVA Analyses. Review of Educational Research, 68(3), 350-386. doi:https://doi. org/10.3102/00346543068003350
- Klieme, E., Pauli, C., & Reusser, K. (2009). The Pythagoras study: Investigating effects of teaching and learning in Swiss and German mathematics classrooms. Germany: Waxmann Publishing Co.
- Künsting, J., Neuber, V., & Lipowsky, F. (2016). Teacher self-efficacy as a long-term predictor of instructional quality in the classroom. European Journal of Psychology of Education, 31(3), 299-322. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-015-0272-7
- Kunter, M., & Baumert, J. (2006). Who is the expert? Construct and criteria validity of student and teacher ratings of instruction. Learning Environments Research, 9(10), 231-251. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-006-9015-7
- Kunter, M., Baumert, J., & Köller, O. (2007). Effective classroom management and the development of subject-related interest. Learning and Instruction, 17(5), 494-509. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.09.002
- Kwakman, K. (2003). Factors affecting teachers' participation in professional learning activities. Teaching and Teacher Education, 19(2), 149-170. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00101-4
- Lam, S.-f., Cheng, R. W.-y., & Choy, H. C. (2010). School support and teacher motivation to implement project-based learning. Learning and Instruction, 20(6), 487-497. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.07.003
- Lawrenz, F., Huffman, D., & Robey, J. (2003). Relationships among student, teacher and observer perceptions of science classrooms and student achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 25(3), 409-420. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/09500690210145800
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2005). A review of transformational school leadership research 1996–2005. Leadership and Policy in Schools, 4(3), 177-199. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/15700760500244769
- Lewis, R. (1999). Teachers coping with the stress of classroom discipline. Social psychology of Education, 3(3), 155-171. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1009627827937
- Lewis, R., Romi, S., Qui, X., & Katz, Y. J. (2005). Teachers' classroom discipline

and student misbehavior in Australia, China and Israel. Teaching and Teacher Education, 21(6), 729-741. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2005.05.008

- Li, Z. (2020). Collaborative research approaches between universities and schools: the case of New Basic Education (NBE) in China. Educational Studies, 46(4), 385-403.
- Liu, S., Hallinger, P., & Feng, D. (2016). Supporting the professional learning of teachers in China: Does principal leadership make a difference? Teaching and Teacher Education, 59, 79-91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.tate.2016.05.023
- Liu, W., Yuan, R., & Zhang, H. (2018). An exploratory study of school counselling teachers' motivation changes. Journal of Education for Teaching, 44(2), 237-240. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2017.1370480
- Loukas, A., & Robinson, S. (2004). Examining the Moderating Role of Perceived School Climate in Early Adolescent Adjustment. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 14(2), 209-233. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2004.01402004.x
- Louws, M. L., Meirink, J. A., van Veen, K., & van Driel, J. H. (2018). Understanding teachers' professional learning goals from their current professional concerns. Teachers and Teaching, 24(1), 63-80. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/135 40602.2017.1383237
- Lunenberg, M., Korthagen, F., & Swennen, A. (2007). The teacher educator as a role model. Teaching and Teacher Education, 23(5), 586-601. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.11.001
- Martin, M. O., Foy, P., Mullis, I. V., & O'dwyer, L. M. (2011). Effective schools in reading, mathematics, and science at the fourth grade. In M. O. M. a. I. V. S. Mullis (Ed.), Timss and pirls 2011: Relationships Among Reading, Mathematics, and Science Achievement at the Fourth Grade—Implications for Early Learning (pp. 109-178). United States: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Maskit, D. (2011). Teachers' attitudes toward pedagogical changes during various stages of professional development. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(5), 851-860. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2011.01.009
- Maulana, R., & Helms-Lorenz, M. (2016). Observations and student perceptions of the quality of preservice teachers' teaching behaviour: construct representation and predictive quality. Learning Environments Research, 19(3), 335-357. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-016-9215-8

- McMillan, D. J., McConnell, B., & O'Sullivan, H. (2016). Continuing professional development–why bother? Perceptions and motivations of teachers in Ireland. Professional Development in Education, 42(1), 150-167. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2014.952044
- Mintzes, J. J., Marcum, B., Messerschmidt-Yates, C., & Mark, A. (2013). Enhancing self-efficacy in elementary science teaching with professional learning communities. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(11), 1201-1218. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9320-1
- Morine-Dershimer, G., & Kent, T. (1999). The complex nature and sources of teachers' pedagogical knowledge. In Examining pedagogical content knowledge (pp. 21-50): Springer.
- Muthen, L. K., & Muthen, B. O. (1998). Mplus. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Ng, S.-w., & Pun, S.-h. (2013). How school principals position themselves in times of education reform in China. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 89(2013), 54-58. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.08.808
- Nhung, P. T. H. (2014). The impact of third party presence on the motivational concerns underlying linguistic politeness behavior in English-speaking intercultural contexts. Journal for the Study of English Linguistics, 2(1), 19-33.
- OECD. (2005). Teachers matter: Attracting, developing and retaining effective teachers. France Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Pelletier, L. G., Séguin-Lévesque, C., & Legault, L. (2002). Pressure from above and pressure from below as determinants of teachers' motivation and teaching behaviors. Journal of Educational Psychology, 94(1), 186. doi:https://doi. org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.1.186
- Ping, C., Schellings, G., Beijaard, D., & Ye, J. (2020). Teacher educators' professional learning: perceptions of Dutch and Chinese teacher educators. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 1-20. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13598 66X.2020.1725808
- Pisapia, J. R., & Ying, L. (2011). Values and actions: An exploratory study of school principals in the mainland of China. Frontiers of Education in China, 6(3), 361-387. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11516-011-0137-z
- Pye, L. W. (1997). Radicalism and education reform in 20th-century China: The search for an ideal development model. The Journal of Interdisciplinary History, 28(2), 337-340.

- Qian, H., & Walker, A. (2013). How principals promote and understand teacher development under curriculum reform in China. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 41(3), 304-315. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/135986 6X.2013.809050
- R. Richards, K. A., Hemphill, M. A., & Templin, T. J. (2018). Personal and contextual factors related to teachers' experience with stress and burnout. Teachers and Teaching, 24(7), 768-787. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2018.1 476337
- Richardson, V. (1996). The role of attitudes and beliefs in learning to teach. Handbook of Research on Teacher Education, 2, 102-119.
- Richter, D. (2013). Professional development across the teaching career. In J. B. M. Kunter, W. Blum, U. Klusmann, S. Krauss and M. Neubrand (Ed.), Cognitive Activation in the Mathematics Classroom and Professional Competence of Teachers (pp. 333-342). New York: Springer.
- Roehrig, G. H., & Kruse, R. A. (2005). The role of teachers' beliefs and knowledge in the adoption of a Reform-Based curriculum. School Science and Mathematics, 105(8), 412-422. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2005. tb18061.x
- Rosenholtz, S. J. (1989). Teachers' workplace: The social organization of schools. In. New York: Addison-Wesley Longman Ltd
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–12 (BETA). Journal of Statistical Software, 48(2), 1-36.
- Roth, G., Assor, A., Kanat-Maymon, Y., & Kaplan, H. (2007). Autonomous motivation for teaching: how self-determined teaching may lead to self-determined learning. Journal of Educational Psychology, 99(4), 761.
- Ryan, R. M., Stiller, J. D., & Lynch, J. H. (1994). Representations of relationships to teachers, parents, and friends as predictors of academic motivation and self-esteem. The Journal of Early Adolescence, 14(2), 226-249. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1177/027243169401400207
- Ryan, R. M., & Weinstein, N. (2009). Undermining quality teaching and learning: A self-determination theory perspective on high-stakes testing. Theory and Research in Education, 7(2), 224-233. doi:https://doi. org/10.1177/1477878509104327
- Scherer, R., Nilsen, T., & Jansen, M. (2016). Evaluating individual students' perceptions of instructional quality: An investigation of their factor structure,

measurement invariance, and relations to educational outcomes. Frontiers in Psychology, 7(10), 110. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00110

- Seidel, T., & Shavelson, R. J. (2007). Teaching effectiveness research in the past decade: The role of theory and research design in disentangling meta-analysis results. Review of Educational Research, 77(4), 454-499. doi:https://doi. org/10.3102/0034654307310317
- Shi, L. (2006). The successors to Confucianism or a new generation? A questionnaire study on Chinese students' culture of learning English. Language, Culture and Curriculum, 19(1), 122-147. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/07908310608668758
- Shulman, L. S., & Shulman, J. H. (2009). How and what teachers learn: A shifting perspective. Journal of Education, 189(1-2), 1-8. doi:https://doi. org/10.1177/0022057409189001-202
- Silins, H. C. (1994). The relationship between transformational and transactional leadership and school improvement outcomes. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 5(3), 272-298. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/0924345940050305
- Stigler, J. W., Gonzales, P., Kawanaka, T., Knoll, S., & Serrano, A. (1999). The TIMSS videotape classroom study: Methods and findings from an exploratory research project on eighth-grade mathematics instruction in Germany, Japan, and the United States. Education Statistics Quarterly, 1(2), 109-112.
- Suchodoletz, A., Jamil, F. M., Larsen, R. A., & Hamre, B. K. (2018). Personal and contextual factors associated with growth in preschool teachers' self-efficacy beliefs during a longitudinal professional development study. Teaching and Teacher Education, 75(10), 278-289. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.tate.2018.07.009
- Supovitz, J., Sirinides, P., & May, H. (2010). How principals and peers influence teaching and learning. Educational Administration Quarterly, 46(1), 31-56. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670509353043
- Tang, S. Y., Cheng, M. M., & Cheng, A. Y. (2014). Shifts in teaching motivation and sense of self-as-teacher in initial teacher education. Educational Review, 66(4), 465-481. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2013.812061
- Team, R. C. (2017). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. . 2014. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.r-project.org

- Thoonen, E. E., Sleegers, P. J., Oort, F. J., Peetsma, T. T., & Geijsel, F. P. (2011). How to improve teaching practices: The role of teacher motivation, organizational factors, and leadership practices. Educational Administration Quarterly, 47(3), 496-536. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X11400185
- Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. Teaching and Teacher Education, 17(7), 783-805. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(01)00036-1
- Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure. Review of Educational Research, 68(2), 202-248. doi:https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543068002202
- Tschannen-Moran, M., & McMaster, P. (2009). Sources of self-efficacy: Four professional development formats and their relationship to self-efficacy and implementation of a new teaching strategy. The Elementary School Journal, 110(2), 228-245. doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/605771
- Veldhoven, M. v., & Meijman, T. (1994). Het meten van psychosociale arbeidsbelasting met een vragenlijst: de vragenlijst beleving en beoordeling van de arbeid (VBBA). Amsterdam: Nederlands Instituut voor Arbeidsomstandigheden (NIA).
- Vrijnsen-de Corte, M., den Brok, P., Kamp, M., & Bergen, T. (2013). Teacher Research in Dutch Professional Development Schools: Perceptions of the Actual and Preferred Situation in Terms of the Context, Process and Outcomes of Research. European Journal of Teacher Education, 36(1), 3-23.
- Wagner, W., Göllner, R., Helmke, A., Trautwein, U., & Lüdtke, O. (2013). Construct validity of student perceptions of instructional quality is high, but not perfect: Dimensionality and generalizability of domain-independent assessments. Learning and Instruction, 28, 1-11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.learninstruc.2013.03.003
- Walker, A., & Qian, H. (2015). Review of research on school principal leadership in mainland China, 1998-2013. Journal of Educational Administration. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-05-2014-0063
- Wang, C. K. J., & Liu, W. C. (2008). Teachers' motivation to teach national education in Singapore: a self-determination theory approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 28(4), 395-410. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/02188790802469052
- Wang, Q., & Zhang, H. (2014). Promoting teacher autonomy through university– school collaborative action research. Language Teaching Research, 18(2),

222-241. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168813505942

- Wei, R. C., Darling-Hammond, L., Andree, A., Richardson, N., & Orphanos, S. (2009). Professional Learning in the Learning Profession: A Status Report on Teacher Development in the US and Abroad. Technical Report. National Staff Development Council.
- Wong, K.-C. (2001). Chinese culture and leadership. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 4(4), 309-319. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/13603120110077990
- Xin, X., & Fred, D. (2014). Dancing in Fetters? Chinese principals' perceptions of the effects of Finnish training programs. Frontiers of Education in China, 9(2), 211-237. doi:https://doi.org/10.3868/s110-003-014-0017-x
- Yan, C. (2015). 'We can't change much unless the exams change': Teachers' dilemmas in the curriculum reform in China. Improving Schools, 18(1), 5-19. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480214553744
- Yang, X., Ke, Z., Zhan, Y., & Ren, Y. (2014). The effect of choosing key versus ordinary schools on student's mathematical achievement in China. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 23(3), 523-536. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40299-013-0126-5
- Yang, Y. (2014). Principals' transformational leadership in school improvement. International Journal of Educational Management, 28(3), 279-288. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-04-2013-0063
- Ye, L., & Cheng, L. (2018). Fill the classroom with life: deepening the reform of Chinese primary and secondary classroom teaching. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 50(3), 352-363.
- Yu, S., Chen, B., Levesque-Bristol, C., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2016). Chinese education examined via the lens of self-determination. Educational Psychology Review, 1-38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-016-9395-x
- Yuhua, B., & Jiacheng, L. (2013). The new basic education and whole school reform: A Chinese experience. Frontiers of Education in China, 8(4), 576-595. doi:https://doi.org/10.3868/s110-002-013-0038-5
- Zhang, J.-W., Lo, L. N.-K., & Chiu, C.-S. (2014). Teacher leadership in university-school collaboration for school improvement (USCSI) on the Chinese Mainland. Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 13(3), 199-220. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10671-013-9158-0

- Zhang, X., & Wong, J. L. (2018). How do teachers learn together? A study of schoolbased teacher learning in China from the perspective of organisational learning. Teachers and Teaching, 24(2), 119-134. doi:https://doi.org/10.108 0/13540602.2017.1388227
- Zhao, N., Valcke, M., Desoete, A., Sang, G., & Zhu, C. (2014). Does teacher-centered teaching contribute to students' performance in primary school? A video analysis in Mainland China. International Journal of Research Studies in Education, 3(3), 21-34. doi:https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrse.2014.729

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Student questionnaire of teaching quality

For each of the questions below, circle the response that best characterizes how you feel about the statement, A four-point scale is used: 1= Strongly disagree , 2= disagree, 3= agree, and 4= Strongly agree.

	Strongly disagree	disagree	agree	Strongly agree
1. In our class none of the students disturb the lesson	1	2	3	4
2. In our class we are working on tasks that I have to think about very thoroughly	1	2	3	4
3. Our teacher is nice to me even when I make a mistake	1	2	3	4
4. In our class students are quiet when the teacher speaks	1	2	3	4
5. Our teacher cares about me	1	2	3	4
6. Our teacher asks me what I have understood and what I haven't	1	2	3	4
7. Our teacher encourages me when I find a task difficult	1	2	3	4
8. In our class everybody listens and students are quiet	1	2	3	4
9. Our teacher tells me how to do better when I make a mistake	1	2	3	4
10. Our teacher asks questions that I have to hink about very thoroughly	1	2	3	4
11. Our teacher likes me	1	2	3	4
12. In our class nobody interrupts with talking	1	2	3	4
13. In our class gives us tasks that seem to be difficult at a first glance	1	2	3	4

14. Our teacher tells me what I'm already good at and what I still have to learn	1	2	3	4
15. In our class everybody follows the teacher	1	2	3	4
16. Our teacher asks what we know about a new topic	1	2	3	4
17. Our teacher is friendly to me	1	2	3	4
18. Our teacher gives us tasks I like to think about	1	2	3	4
19. Our teacher compliments me when I did something good	1	2	3	4
20. Our teacher wants me to be able to explain my answers	1	2	3	4
21. Our teacher believes that I can solve difficult tasks	1	2	3	4

Appendix 2 Classroom observation Form

Scale	Items		Examples, Reasons, Comments
1.Classroom m	anagement		
	Ensures efficient classroom management	1,2,3,4,5	
	Ensures the orderly progression of the lesson	1,2,3,4,5	
2.Classroom te	aching		
2.1 Safe and stimulating	Promotes the mutual respect and interest of students	1,2,3,4,5	
climate	Supports the self-confidence of students	1,2,3,4,5	
	Shows respect for students in behaviour and language use	1,2,3,4,5	
	Ensures a relaxed atmosphere	1,2,3,4,5	
	Encourage students to do their utmost	1,2,3,4,5	
2.2 Clear instruction	Checks whether students understand the lesson content	1,2,3,4,5	
	Gives clear explanations of the learning materials and assignments	1,2,3,4,5	
	Clarifies the lesson objectives at the start of the lesson	1,2,3,4,5	

	Evaluates whether the objectives have been achieved at the end of the lesson	1,2,3,4,5
	Gives clear instructions and explanations	1,2,3,4,5
	Gives a well-structured lesson	1,2,3,4,5
2.3 Activating	Involves all students in the lesson	1,2,3,4,5
teaching	Uses teaching methods that activate the students	1,2,3,4,5
	Poses questions that encourage thinking	1,2,3,4,5
	Gives feedback on students' answers	1,2,3,4,5
	Uses learning time efficiently	1,2,3,4,5
2.4 Teaching	Fosters critical thinking in students	1,2,3,4,5
learning strategies	Stimulates students to think about solutions	1,2,3,4,5
	Teaches students how to break down complicated problems	1,2,3,4,5
	Let students speak aloud while thinking	1,2,3,4,5
	Teaches students how to check solutions	1,2,3,4,5
	Provides interactive instruction and activities	1,2,3,4,5
2.5 Adaptation of	Adapts the assignments and processing to the relevant differences between students	1,2,3,4,5
teaching	Adapts the instruction to the relevant differences between students	1,2,3,4,5
	Offers struggling learners extra learning or instruction time	1,2,3,4,5
	Supports the self-confidence of struggling learners	1,2,3,4,5

1: Please circle (voluntary) the correct answer: 0=no, I didn't observe this; 1=yes, I have observed this.

Classroom	Students >	Supervisors	Students =	Supervisors	Students <	Supervisors
management						
Teacher	High (STU)–Medi	ium (OBS): 16, 217,	High (STU)-	High (OBS): 23	Medium (STU)–Hi	gh (OBS): 228, 428
Number	366	5, 353	Medium (S	TU)-Medium	Low (STU)-Media	um (OBS): 315, 42,
	Medium (STU)–Lo	ow (OBS): 431, 108,	(OBS):114	4,63,333,283	65,	208
	220	6, 96	Low(STU)-	Low(OBS): 46		
	Strong point	Weak point	Strong point	Weak point	Strong point	Weak point
Ensures	1: '[] students	1: 'This teacher	1: 'This teacher	1 "[] in order	1: '[] the	1: 'She arranged
efficient	had the	was too	tried her best to	to manage the	teacher's	many
classroom	opportunity to	controlling, she	use different	classroom, she	organisation and	assignments in
management	express their own	retained full	management	showed too much	management were	the lesson and did
	ideas' (Teacher	control of the	strategies in a	controlling	relatively	not give students
	217).	classroom and	limited time. In	behaviour. She	efficient'	enough time to
		activities. She led	conclusion, this	did not allow	(Teacher 42).	express their own
		students'	teacher showed	students to	(Teacher 42).	ideas' (Teacher
	2: `[] she took	discussion,	excellent	express their		42).
	a lot of effort to	students are just	classroom	opinions freely'		
	manage the class,	followers'	management	(Teacher 46).		
	it is obvious that	(Teacher 226).	skills'			
	she wanted to		(Teacher 23).			
	manage the		(Teacher 25).			
	classroom	2: '[] the way				
	efficiently'	she managed the				
	(Teacher 96).	classroom is not				
		very interesting,				
		can be boring for				
		students, their				
		minds may				
		wander, and they				
		may miss some				
		important				
		points'(Teacher				
		217).				

Appendix 3. Overview of the quotes from supervisors' comments in terms of classroom management

3: '[...] however, she still dominated this lesson and guided students' reflection. Therefore. students were lacking in some time and space for free discussion' (Teacher 96). 1: 'This teacher 1: 'This teacher

Ensures the 1: '[...] she orderly showed an orderly

lesson'

(Teacher 366).

progression of the lesson progression paid much attention to the progression, ignoring the flexibility, it can be boring for students, their minds may wander, and they may miss some important points' (Teacher 217).

wanted to keep the progression is orderly. However, I think she took too much time on the exercise. Consequently, students did not have enough time on the reflection at the end of the lesson' (Teacher 333).

1: '[...] she showed some progression of the lesson' (Teacher

42).

want to put much knowledge into students' mind in an only 35 minutes course, students seems cannot follow her progression'

1: 'She seemed to (Teacher 42).

2: '[...] it seems that she did not 2: '[...] the highlight the progression is important points intensive, it is during the obvious that she progression of the just focused on her own teaching lesson. And the objectives, and transition of various structures try to put as many is not very fluent. assignments as She failed to possible into her

show the	lesson. It seems
connection and	that students can
logicality	not follow her
between different	ideas' (Teacher
parts' (Teacher	46).
96).	

1: Quotes have been translated from Chinese and edited for length and legibility where applicable.

Classroom	Students >	Supervisors	Students = Supervisors		Students < Supervisors	
teaching						
Teacher	High (STU)–Me	dium(OBS): 217,	High (STU)–H	High (OBS): 16	Medium (STU)–H	Tigh (OBS): 23, 65,
Number	333, 3	66, 353	Medium (STU)–M	/Iedium (OBS): 46,	2	28
	Medium (STU)–L	ow (OBS): 226, 96	428, 63,	283, 114	Low (STU)–Medi	um (OBS): 42, 208,
			Low(STU)–Low	r(OBS): 108, 431	3	15
	Strong point	Weak point	Strong point	Weak point	Strong point	Weak point
Safe and	1∶ 'The	1: '[] I am a	1: 'This teacher	1: 'This teacher	1: '[] however,	1: 'It is hard to
stimulating	atmosphere is	bit doubtful of	created a relaxed	did not show any	she still showed	say the
climate	relaxing, the	the effectiveness	atmosphere. She	effort to create a	her respect for	atmosphere is
	teacher focused	of the questions	respected	safe climate, she	the students in	relaxing, the
	on the interaction	she asked'	students'	even didn't allow	behaviour and	course seemed
	with students and	(Teacher 333).	behaviour and	students to	language use'	little
	used a humorous		language use,	express	(Teacher 23).	intensive.'(Teach
	way to talk with		complimented	themselves. It is	(Teacher 23).	er 23).
	students, his		students timely'	obvious that this		
	attitude is		(Teacher 16).	teacher		
	friendly, the			dominated the		2: '[] it is
	question he			lesson' (Teacher		difficult to say
	posed is also		2: "[] the	431).		this teacher
	interesting'		atmosphere is			created a good
	(Teacher 333).		comfortable, the			climate'
			teacher showed	2: '[] although		(Teacher 208).
			respect for	this teacher		
	2: 'This teacher-		students in	respected		
	designed some		behaviour and	students, she		3: '[] the
	good interactions		language use'	failed to		climate is not
	and allowed		(Teacher 46)	encourage		good. This
	students to speak			students to		teacher failed to
	what they			develop their		design
	wanted to say to			confidence in		interactive
	activate students'			such a relaxed		activities to
	interest. The					

Appendix 4 Overview of the quotes from supervisors' comments in terms of classroom teaching

	question she asked is not bad, sometimes even interesting and funny' (Teacher 217).			atmosphere ' (Teacher 46)		motivate students (Teacher 42).
Clear instruction	1: '[] this teacher gave a clear explanation for the learning materials' (Teacher 217).	 '[] it seems that she failed to give clear explanations of the assignments for interactive activities. In addition, she did not show appropriate and clear instruction when students made mistakes and felt confused in the lesson' (Teacher 217). 2: 'This teacher did not check whether students understand knowledge. Sometimes, she did not realise that students might not understand what she said, she just focused on her own teaching 	1: '[] compared with other teachers, this teacher used more rigorous instruction in the lesson and showed a clear explanation for the learning assignment. Students can clearly and accurately understand the teachings issued by the teacher' (Teacher 16). 2: '[] her instruction is clear, Most of the time, students can understand the lesson content' (Teacher 46).	 '[] the language she used is not very clear, sort of vague.' (Teacher 431). 2. '[] sometimes, she forgot to clarify the lesson objectives at the start of the lesson and to evaluate whether the objectives have been achieved at the lesson' (Teacher 428). 	 1: '[] her instruction is relatively clear' (Teacher 208). 2: '[] her instruction is clear, students clearly understand their assignments and are doing well' (Teacher 23). 	

objective'

tips on students'

(Teacher 217).

questions'

good, it cannot

help students to

reflect on their

own answers.

Sometimes, the

support students'

self-confidence

and motivate

and

students' interest

lesson are kind

of useless, it

failed to foster

students' ability

of critical

(Teacher 353).

Activating	1: 'The teacher	1: '[] it seems	1:" [] she	1: '[] she	1: 'She provided	1: '[] almost
teaching	constantly posed	that the question	always captures	failed to involve	well-designed	one-third of
	the questions.	she asked is	students' ideas	all students in	assignments and	students did not
	She timely	useless, kind of	and gave	the lesson, it is	interactive	actively or
	praised students	superficial.	appropriate	obvious that she	instructions in	passively involve
	who answered	Moreover, she	feedback,	focused more on	the lesson, and	in the
	questions	did not teach	provided well-	good learners	used various	lesson'(Teacher
	correctly and	students how to	designed	and ignored	ways to motivate	42).
	used a very soft	break down	assignments and	struggling	students'	
	and gentle tone	complicated	interactive	learners'	(Teacher 23).	
	to speak with	problems via her	instructions to	(Teacher 63).	(Teacher 23).	
	students. It is	questions and	involve all	(Teacher 05).		
	very good'	activities. The	students in the			
	(Teacher 217).	reason why she	lesson'(Teacher			
		used different	16).			
		strategies seems				
	2: 'The teacher	to be that she has				
	gave students	to rather than she	2: '[] she			
	sufficient time to	wants to'	posed some			
	reflect on	(Teacher 217).	interesting			
	questions and		questions that			
	praised them in		encourage			
	time' (Teacher		students'			
	366).		thinking'			
			(Teacher 63).			
Teaching-						
learning	'Basically, she	1: '[] the	1: '[] she also	1: '[] the	1: '[] Her	1: '[] she did
strategies	can give some	quality of her	used different	strategies she	strategies are	not use an
	comments and	feedback is not	strategies to	used in the	appropriate, she	effective

TICES

teaching strategy

in her lesson'

(Teacher 42).

always captured

students' ideas

and gave

appropriate

Industion endersion endersion endersion endersion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion Industion			feedback is kind	curiosity'(Teach	thinking'	feedback'	
Result 2.1			of valueless'	er 16).	(Teacher 431).	(Teacher 23).	
Result 2.1			(Teacher 217)				
Reference Some and the set of the set			(Teacher 217).				
2: fjake strategies and strategies a				2: '[] she used	2: '[]	2: "[]	
should inchde interactive used is find of appropriate instruments into activities to useless and feedback on instruments into intorute valueless' students' ach as using audents' (Teacher 63). (Teacher 268). ICT technology ICT (Technology) (Teacher 63). (Teacher 268). ofteaching and anymers' isignered isignered assignments isignered actog signered isignered instructions, and isignered anymers and only seems only to not only adspring instructions, and isignered invited good processing toh indents in inportance of processing toh invited good processing toh indents usedists indents, indents, indents, indents students' Questions adstructions, and interactive indents, which indents, which instructions, and interactive interactive indents, which istudents isdo ist too instructions, and interactive interactive isdo ist too isdo ist too interactive </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>2: '[] she</td> <td>some teaching</td> <td>sometimes, the</td> <td>sometimes, she</td> <td></td>			2: '[] she	some teaching	sometimes, the	sometimes, she	
more teaching instruments into instruments into their teaching, such as using increated ing activities to usaless and instruments into instruments into instruments into instruments increated ing instruments into instruments into instruments instructions, and instructions, and instructionstructions, and instructions, and instructions, and instruction			should include	strategies and	strategies she	can give	
instruments in finite fractancia (and as using) monitade (anders) anders) anders) idea susing fuenders) reacher 30 ansers' idea susing fuenders) reacher 30 reacher 30 idea susing fuenders) reacher 30 reacher 30 idea susing fuenders) fuender 30 reacher 30 idea susing fuenders) fuender 30 reacher 30 idea subing fuender 30 fuender 30 reacher 30 idea de fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 instructionad generation adgeneration fuender 30 fuender 30 instructionad inderson generation fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 instructionad inderson fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 instructionad inderson fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 instructionad inderson fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 fuender 30 idearson			more teaching	interactive	used is kind of	appropriate	
Initial Internation internatinternation internation internation internation internati			instruments into	activities to	useless and		
such as using ICT technology/ learning' (Teacher 63). Adaptation 1: 'This teacher (Teacher 366). (Teacher 63). Adaptation 1: 'This teacher 1: 'L] 1: 'L] she 1: 'L] she vas 1: 'L] she of teaching can adapt some ignored moreover, she seems only too not only adapting ignored the assignments, stuggling adapted the focus on good the assignments importance of processing to the invited good processing to the than all the differences asonetimes, the between questions. televant differences asonetimes, the question she students' Obviously, she and showed great 'L			their teaching,	motivate	valueless'	students'	
ICT technology (Teacher 36). (Teacher 36). Adaptation 1: 'This teacher 1: 'I.'.] 1: '[] she 1: '[] she was 1: '[] she adaptation 1: 'This teacher 1: 'I.'.] 1: '[] she not only adapting ignored assignments, ignored moreover, she seems only to not only adapting ignored the assignments, struggling adapted the focus on good the assignments importance of instructions, and learners and only assignments and students rather to the relevant adaptation of processing tothe invited good processing toth invited good processing toth than all the differences asometimes, the differences answer her differences and showed great students' great concern for sometimes is too students' Otviously, she and showed great 'I'[] her iffer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). (Teacher 333) fid not involve concern for waks 2: '[] her is extremely offer struggling ceas strue aso showed asory (Teacher 24).<			such as using		(Teacher 63).	answers'	
Adaptation 1: "This teacher 1: "[] 1: "[] she 1: "[] she vas 1: "[] she of teaching can adapt some ignored moreover, she seems only to not only adapting ignored he assignments, struggling adapted the focus on good the assignments importance of instructions, and learners and only assignments and students rather to the relevant adaptation of relevant learners to relevant guestions. processing to the innoreover, she students, question she between questions. between students students, question she inficult, question she students' Obviously, she and showed great 2: "[] however, great concern for sometimes is too all the students students, students, students, students, 42). 42). [reacher 333). Idi not involve confidence' in portant for learning caso, 'I cacher 42). [reacher 34]. It entity self. iner and develop iner and develop iner and develop ine			ICT technology'	0		(Teacher 208).	
of teaching can adapt some ignored ignored moreover, she seems only to not only adapting ignored the assignments, it guggling adapted the focus on good the assignments adaptation of instructions, and learners and only assignments and students rather to the relevant adaptation of tracker and only invited good processing to the invited good processing to the than all the differences answer her differences answer her differences answer her questions. Detween students 'under the students' Obviously, she and showed great under the students' Obviously, she and showed great under the students' Obviously, she and showed great under the students' offerences also showed difficult, all the students' students, which in her is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). (Teacher 333) is extremely all the students' Large in portant for learning confidence' their confidence' t			(Teacher 366).	(Teacher 63).			
assignments, struggling adapted the focus on good the assignments importance of instructions, and learners and only assignments and students rather to the relevant adaptation of processing to the invited good processing to the than all the differences teaching. I mean, relevant learners to relevant pupils '(Teacher between sometimes, the differences answer her differences 431). students, question she between questions. between students ' Obviously, she and showed great also showed difficult, did not involve concern for weak 2: '[] however, great concern for sometimes is too all the students ' all the students students students ' is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). (Teacher 333). [esson'(Teacher in her is elf. learning confidence' their confidence' truggling struggling struggling their struggling to the maximum struggling their confidence is struggling to the maximum struggling struggling struggling their confidence' their confidence' their confidence' their confidence' their confidence' truggling struggling str	Adaptation	1: 'This teacher	1: 'This teacher	1: "[]	1:"[] she	1: '[] she was	1: '[] she
instructions, and learners and only assignments and students rather to the relevant adaptation of processing to the invited good processing to the than all the differences teaching. I mean, relevant learners to relevant pupils'(Teacher between sometimes, the differences answer her differences to between students upusits' Obviously, she and showed great students' Obviously, she and showed great all the students students which all the students students which all the students students which all the students is extremely offer struggling lesson'(Teacher in her is extremely confidence' teacher 23.). (Teacher 23.). all the students for their self. confidence' teacher 23.) are struggling is may focus in the relevant the struggling is may focus is the may focus is truggling is truggling is truggling truggling is trugglin	of teaching	can adapt some	ignored	moreover, she	seems only to	not only adapting	ignored the
processing to the invited good processing to the than all the differences teaching. I mean, relevant learners to relevant pupils '(Teacher differences answer her differences 431). students, question she between questions. between students involve also showed difficult, (Teacher 333). (Teacher 34). (Teacher 16). (Teacher 16). (Teacher 283). (Teacher 283).		assignments,	struggling	adapted the	focus on good	the assignments	importance of
relevant learners to relevant pupils'(Teacher between sometimes, the differences answer her differences 431). students, question she between questions. between students tudents' Obviously, she and showed great also showed difficult, (Teacher 333). did not involve concern for weak 2: '[] however, great concern for sometimes is too all the students students, which is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). Ieason'(Teacher in her is extremely offer struggling confidence' teacher 217). their self- learning confidence' teacher is he may focus may focus may focus their confidence' teacher is he may focus more on struggling truggling tr		instructions, and	learners and only	assignments and	students rather	to the relevant	adaptation of
differences answer her differences 431). students, question she between questions. between students moreover, she posed is too students' Obviously, she and showed great also showed difficult, (Teacher 333). did not involve concern for weak 2: '[] however, great concern for sometimes is too all the students students, which she failed to weak students' easy' (Teacher in her is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). lesson'(Teacher 317). lesson'(Teacher 317). their self- 2: 'Sometimes, she may focus more on she may focus more on struggling truggling (Teacher 283).		processing to the	invited good	processing to the	than all the	differences	teaching. I mean,
between questions. between students in orrevery is also showed difficult, students' Obviously, she and showed great also showed difficult, (Teacher 333). (Teacher 23). (Teacher 23).		relevant	learners to	relevant	pupils'(Teacher	between	sometimes, the
students' Obviously, she and showed great also showed difficult, (Teacher 333). (Teacher 314). (Teacher 333). (Teacher 314). (Teacher 314		differences	answer her	differences	431).	students,	question she
(Teacher 333). did not involve all the students concern for weak students, which in her 2: '[] however, she failed to great concern for weak students' sometimes is too in her is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). lesson'(Teacher important for learners extra 42). 217). their self- confidence' learning 2: 'Sometimes, she may focus (Teacher 16). time and develop struggling 2: '[] she (Teacher 283).		between	questions.	between students		moreover, she	posed is too
(Teacher 333). all the students students, which she failed to weak students' easy' (Teacher in her is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). lesson'(Teacher important for learners extra 217). their self- learning confidence' 2: 'Sometimes, (Teacher 16). she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283).		students'	Obviously, she	and showed great		also showed	difficult,
all the students students, which she failed to weak students' easy' (Teacher in her is extremely offer struggling (Teacher 23). 42). lesson'(Teacher important for learners extra 217). their self- learning confidence'		(Teacher 333).	did not involve	concern for weak	2: '[] however,	great concern for	sometimes is too
lesson'(Teacher important for learners extra 217). their self-learning confidence' 2: 'Sometimes, (Teacher 16). time and develop she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283).			all the students	students, which	she failed to	weak students'	easy' (Teacher
217). their self- confidence' 2: 'Sometimes, (Teacher 16). time and develop she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283). struggling			in her	is extremely	offer struggling	(Teacher 23).	42).
2: 'Sometimes, (Teacher 16). time and develop she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283).			lesson'(Teacher	important for	learners extra		
2: 'Sometimes, (Teacher 16). time and develop she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283). struggling (Teacher 283).			217).	their self-	learning		
2: 'Sometimes, time and develop she may focus their confidence' more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283). struggling				confidence'			
more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283).			2: 'Sometimes,	(Teacher 16).	time and develop		
more on 2: '[] she (Teacher 283). struggling			she may focus		their confidence'		
2: '[] she (1eacher 283). struggling			-		(Tee shee 202)		
adapted the					(Teacher 283).		
learners, and the			learners, and the	-			
assignments and assignment is too			assignment is too				
instruction to the easy. Sometimes,			easy. Sometimes,				
relevant it seems that the			it seems that the	relevant			

assignment is too	differences
difficult.	between
(Teacher 333).	students'

(Teacher 283).

1: Quotes have been translated from Chinese and edited for length and legibility where applicable

Appendix 5 Overview of scaled variables

Components	Example item	items	Cronbach's
			Alpha
Teaching quality:			
Classroom teaching	Our teacher is nice to me even when I make	14	.91
	a mistake		
Classroom management	In our class, none of the students disturb the	5	.89
	lesson		
Self-efficacy in teaching			
Efficacy in teaching	How much can you do to help your students	7	.88
	value learning		
Efficacy in classroom	How much can you do to calm a student	4	.88
management	who is disruptive or noisy		
Beliefs about learning			
Conception of student	It is important that students know definitions	4	.64
knowledge (Reproductive	by heart, they should be able to say them in		
versus Constructive	their sleep "versus "Students should		
Knowledge)	understand the reasoning behind definitions;		
	in that way they will always be able to		
	derive the definition		
Conception of student	A student's low achievement is often caused	5	.67
learning ability (Fixed	by the student's limited ability" versus "A		
versus Dynamic Ability)	student's low achievement often has a cause		
	that can be helped		

Conception of student	When students discuss the subject matter	4	.59
teamwork (Individual	together, they will not be any wiser in the		
versus Social Learning)	long run" versus "When students discuss		
	together, they learn to handle different		
	points of view and acquire deeper insight		
Conception of teacher	Good teachers are good from the start; weak	4	.67
learning ability (Fixed	teachers will always flounder" versus		
versus Dynamic Ability)	"Teachers are stimulated by their work		
	towards further development		
Teacher motivation			
Autonomous motivation	I participated because I am interested in	10	04

Autonomous motivation	I participated because I am interested in	10	.94
	knowing more about its instructional skills		
External regulation	I participated because it was the current	3	.83
	policy in my school		
Introjected regulation	I participated because I would feel	3	.62
	uncomfortable if I refused to get involved		
School organizational			
conditions:			
Emotional pressure	Are you in your work confronted with	4	.81
	stressful moving situations		
Task autonomy	Can you decide for yourself how you carry	4	.62
	out your work		
Colleague support	my colleague do care how I am functioning	4	.68
Work pressure	Do you need to work extra hard to get your	3	.73
	work done		

Transformational

leadership

Teacher educator leadership	I trust the teacher educator at his or her word	5	.90
Principal leadership	The principal at this school encourages	6	.91
	teachers to seek and discuss new		
	information and ideas		