



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Patchwork compliance: political dialogues about contested human rights

Benneker, V.L.

Citation

Benneker, V. L. (2021, July 1). *Patchwork compliance: political dialogues about contested human rights*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3192803>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3192803>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3192803> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Benneker, V.L.

Title: Patchwork compliance: political dialogues about contested human rights

Issue Date: 2021-07-01

Patchwork compliance

Political dialogues about contested human rights

Violet L. Benneker

Artwork:

Lucia Gosselink

Design:

Ilse Modder | www.ilsemodder.nl

Print:

Gildeprint | www.gildeprint.nl

This book is printed on recycled paper.

Naleving als lappendeken:
Politieke dialogen over omstreden mensenrechtenverdragen

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 1 juli 2021
klokke 11:15 uur

door

Violet Luuk Benneker
geboren te Nijmegen
in 1988

Doctoral committee: Asst.prof.dr. J.A. Fraser, Universiteit van Utrecht
Prof.dr. A. Jetschke, Universität Göttingen
Prof.mr.drs. M.L.P. Loenen
Prof.dr. J.A. Scholte
Promotor: Prof.dr. D.C. Thomas
Co-promoters: Asst.prof.dr. G.K. Hirschmann
Asst.prof.dr. B. Van Coppenolle, University of Essex

Institute of Political Science, Leiden University

Acknowledgements

أودُّ أن أقدمُ جزيل الشكر لكل من جابوب على أسئلتي، حيثُ أنني من دون مساعدتكم لم أكن لأقدر على كتابة هذه الأطروحة. شكراً على تعاونكم لكي أفهم عالماً سياسياً كان، في البداية، غريباً للغاية بالنسبة لي. إن كرم الضيافة واللطافة المشتھر بها الأردن رائعةٌ وفريدةٌ من نوعها في العالم. وأودُّ أن أذكر بشكلٍ خاص رينيه حتر وأمال صباغ ورنا صباغ وأحمد أبو حمد ومرّوان المعشر، لأنكم شاركتكم معي أكثر بكثير من مجرد قصصكم السياسية. لقد ساعدتموني أن أرى وأن أفهم سياسة الأردن كمجموعة قصص شخصية: وهي قصصكم/انتم. إن الوقت الذي قضيته في الحديث وفي الحوار عن السياسة الأردنية مع كل منكم سوف يبقى ذكرى لطيفة في خاطري.

I could not have written this manuscript without the help and insights of my respondents; thank you for helping me understand a political landscape that was initially completely foreign to me. The famous Jordanian hospitality and kindness truly is extraordinary and unique in the world. Renee Hattar, Amal Sabbagh, Rana Sabbagh, Ahmad Abu Hamad, and Marwan Muasher, I want to separately mention you here, as you shared much more than just your political stories with me. Through you, I was able to see and understand Jordanian politics as a collection of personal stories – *your* stories. The time I spent with each of you talking and discussing Jordanian politics has become a very dear memory.

This manuscript would not have existed without the support of the Institute of Political Science at Leiden University, and especially not without my wonderful team of supervisors. Daniel Thomas; thank you for the trust you placed in me, your belief in my plans, and your continuous commitment to the project over all these years. This manuscript is so much better because of it. Gisela Hirschmann and Brenda van Coppenolle; thank you for your wisdom, support, and willingness to let me find middle ways in methods and theory; your collaboration for this manuscript shows how we can make mixed method projects work. Members of the doctoral committee; thank you for your thorough review and positive feedback. To my paranymphs; having you two by my side during my defense is a fitting symbol of your endless support during this PhD process. Thank you, for always having my back and for letting me share everything with you. To my (former) colleagues at the Institute of Political Science; thank you for always having your doors open and for the coffee in the moments it mattered most. To all the students in Leiden and The Hague, thank you for years of incredibly fun teaching. Your enthusiasm and eagerness to learn about human rights and international politics kept me going.

To all my NIMD colleagues and partners in Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Colombia, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Guatemala, Honduras, Iraq, Jordan, Kenya, Mali, Mozambique, Myanmar, Niger, Senegal, The Netherlands, Tunisia, Uganda, Zimbabwe, and many other places; thank you. Your dedication to political dialogue and democratization gave me the inspiration to complete this manuscript. I am grateful and proud to work with you every day.

To Romee; living in Jordan with a friend I know since I was three was simply exceptional. Thank you for making and being my home away from home. Hussein; thank you for the music, for the driving, for your family's food and garden, for being my friend. Anne, Devi, Ingrid, Lys, Marjolijn, Romee, Rosita, Sufia, and Suzanne; thank you for always being there. Our bad jokes kept me sane. Maria; thank you for our honest talks about academic life. Michelle, Adam, Karolina, Bart (thank you for lending me your creative eye), and Timo; thank you for being my Rotterdam family. Loes, Marius, Quirine, Jeroen, Jona, Maas and Michel; doing a PhD is nothing new in this family. Thank you for your down-to-earth mindset and love all these years. Mom (thanks for the beautiful artwork!), Dad, Zus, Joost, Hannah and Tobias; the process of writing this manuscript coincided with major events in our family. I am unspeakably grateful that all of you are here with me at its conclusion. Thank you for your unconditional support and love wherever I am in the world. I am proud to be your daughter, sister and aunt. Arno; Nothing written here can describe what it means to have your love, dedication and trust in my life for so long. Thank you for supporting me to do this PhD; from flying to Hungary to get me home with my broken leg and exploring the deserts of Jordan together, to quietly letting me write during our vacation in Istanbul. You were there for me, always.

Table of Contents

1. Mismatching norms and patchwork compliance	15
1.1 Introduction	16
1.2 Political dialogues in between mismatching norms and compliance	18
1.3 The influence of international norms on compliance	19
1.4 The influence of domestic norms on compliance	21
1.5 Other explanations of compliance	23
1.6 Probing the plausibility of the political dialogue model in practice	24
1.7 Plan and findings of the study	30
2. Unraveling the patchwork: political dialogue as a theoretical model	35
2.1 Introduction	36
2.2 The logic of the political dialogue model	36
2.3 Key actors	38
2.4 Starting a dialogue	40
2.5 Space to create consensus	42
2.6 Consensus-creating strategies	44
2.7 Patchwork compliance	49
2.8 Conclusion	51
3. The relation between mismatching norms and patchwork compliance	55
3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 Operationalization of main concepts	56
3.3 Mismatching norms and compliance with CEDAW Article 7	61
3.4 Mismatching norms and compliance with ICCPR Article 18	64
3.5 Case study selection	67
3.6 Conclusion	69
4. The conditions for political dialogue in Jordan	73
4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 Operationalization of main concepts	74
4.3 International vulnerability	76
4.4 Human rights monitoring	79
4.5 Space for creating consensus: the Arab-Islamic community	82
4.6 Space for creating consensus: domestic communities	85
4.7 Two time periods of changing conditions for dialogue	91
4.8 Conclusion and specification propositions	99

5. Political dialogues about CEDAW compliance in Jordan	103
5.1 Introduction	104
5.2 Dialogues to increase compliance 2001-2010	104
5.3 Refusing further compliance, 2011-2017	125
5.4 Conclusion	132
6. Political dialogues about ICCPR compliance in Jordan	137
6.1 Introduction	138
6.2 A dialogue to protect freedom to worship: 2001-2010	138
6.3 Maintaining the status quo of religious freedom: 2011-2017	148
6.4 Conclusion	152
7. Discussion and implications	157
7.1 Introduction	158
7.2 Updating the political dialogue model	158
7.3 Future research on the political dialogue model	163
7.4 Political dialogue as an approach for practitioners	164
Bibliography	169
Appendix A: Scope conditions coding	180
Appendix B: Comparing sample means with global means	181
Appendix C: Additional CEDAW models	182
Appendix D: Additional ICCPR models	183
Appendix E: Qualitative data sources	185
Nederlandse samenvatting	212
English summary	218
Arabic summary	224
Curriculum vitae	226

Tables

Table 1: Space to create consensus with available strategies	48
Table 2: Pathway of the political dialogue	52
Table 3: Countries in the WVS sample	57
Table 4: CEDAW: Mismatching norms, international vulnerability, and percentage of women in national parliament as compliance with CEDAW Article 7	63
Table 5: ICCPR: Mismatching norms, international vulnerability and government protection or violation of freedom of religion as compliance with ICCPR Art. 18	65
Table 6: Typical case values in Jordan	68
Table 7: Space to create consensus with available strategies - expanded	161
Table 8: Pathway of the political dialogue, including backlash effects	162

Figures

Figure 1: Range and degree of compliance	49
Figure 2: CEDAW: Presence of communities with norms that mismatch with CEDAW Art. 7 and percentage of women in national parliament as compliance with CEDAW Art. 7	62
Figure 3: CEDAW: Interaction effect between mismatching norms and US/EU aid received	64
Figure 4: ICCPR: Presence of communities with norms mismatching ICCPR Art. 18 and government protection and repression of religion as compliance with ICCPR Art. 18	65
Figure 5: Relation between the presence of communities with norms mismatching ICCPR Art. 18 and the mean predicted probability of states' compliance with ICCPR Art. 18	67

