# A comparative study of the ribosomal RNA operons of *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) and sequence analysis of *rrnA*

# G.P.van Wezel, E.Vijgenboom<sup>+</sup> and L.Bosch<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Biochemistry, University of Leiden, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Received May 31, 1991; Revised and Accepted July 19, 1991

EMBL accession no. X60514

## ABSTRACT

S.coelicolor A3(2) contains six ribosomal RNA operons. Here we describe the cloning of rmA, rmC and rmE, thereby completing the cloning of all operons. Southern hybridisation of genomic DNA with a heterologous probe from the E.coli rrnB 16S rRNA gene showed differences in hybridisation among the six rRNA operon-containing bands. The nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene and the upstream region of rmA was determined and compared with the corresponding sequence of rrnD, showing that the 16S rRNA genes are 99% identical. Substantial differences were found, however, in the upstream regions corresponding to the P1 and P2 promoters of rrnD. Southern analysis showed that some of the other rRNA operons of S.coelicolor A3(2) also differed in this part of the upstream region.

## INTRODUCTION

At present there is much interest in the genus *Streptomyces*, a group of filamentous, gram positive eubacteria (1). This interest stems largely from the complex life-cycle of the bacteria, which involves three stages of differentiation (2) and from their ability to produce a wide variety of secondary metabolites, including antibiotics (3), which confers considerable biotechnological importance. To fully understand these phenomena, knowledge about growth control in streptomycetes may prove essential.

In *E. coli* the expression of rRNA and tRNA plays a dominant role in the regulation of growth (4,5). Not much is known about these regulation processes in *Streptomyces spp.* To shed more light on these questions, a structural analysis of the rRNA gene sets and their regulatory sequences is a prerequisite.

The organisation of rRNA operons has recently been investigated in several *Streptomyces species*. *S. coelicolor* (6), *S. lividans* (7), *S. griseus* (8) and *S. rimosus* (9) contain six ribosomal RNA operons, whereas *S. ambofaciens* (10) contains only four. In all streptomycetes studied thus far the gene order is 16S-23S-5S rRNA. In *E. coli*—like most microorganismsthe spacer region between the 16S and the 23S rRNA genes contains a tRNA gene (11), but in *Streptomyces spp* this is not the case (6,7,10). *Mycobacterium bovis*, an organism closely related to *Streptomyces spp*, also lacks a tRNA gene in the spacer region (12) suggesting that this is typical for filamentous bacteria.

The entire sequence of the *S.ambofaciens rmD* operon has been elucidated (10), whereas only partial sequences of other investigated streptomycete rRNA operons have been published. In the case of the *S.coelicolor* A3(2) *rmD* operon, the sequence of the 16S rRNA gene and flanking regions was published (13,14).

Interestingly, a preliminary survey suggested sequence differences among the rRNA operons of *S. coelicolor*. It provided the impetus for the present study, which is a basis for our future research on transcription regulation of the *S. coelicolor* rRNA operons. Here we analyse possible differences among the operons of this organism. We describe the cloning of three of the operons (*rmA*, *rmC* and *rmE*) and analyse the sequence of the 16S rRNA gene and upstream region of *rmA*.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Bacterial strains, plasmids and bacteriophages

S. coelicolor A3(2) M145 (15) was obtained from the John Innes Institute in Norwich (England) and was cultured in YEME (15). Plasmid pBR329 (16) and bacteriophage M13 derivatives (17) were propagated in *E. coli* strains JM101 (18) and JM109 (19) and  $\lambda$  phages in *E. coli* LE392 (20). All *E. coli* strains were cultured in LB medium (8g Difco Bactotryptone, 5g NaCl and 5g Difco Yeast extract per liter), which in the case of LE392 was supplemented with 0.2% maltose and 10mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>.  $\lambda$  phages were propagated using routine methods (21); the recombinant  $\lambda$  phages described in this paper were all isolated from an EMBL4 library, three of them by Baylis and Bibb (6) and the other three as described in this paper.

pBSCR8 contains a 7.5 kb BamHI insert harbouring the *rmA* operon, pBSCR8-U a 5.5 kb EcoRI insert containing sequences upstream of *rmA*.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed

<sup>+</sup> Present address: John Innes Institute, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK

#### DNA isolation and handling

Genomic DNA was isolated as described by Hopwood at al. (15). Small and large scale plasmid isolations were carried out according to an adaptation of the method of Birnboim and Doly (22).  $\lambda$  DNA was prepared according to Silhavy et al. (23). Cloning and subcloning were performed by standard procedures (21).

#### **DNA** sequencing

Subclones were made in M13mp18 and M13mp19 and sequenced using the T7 polymerase kit obtained from Pharmacia; the deaza sequencing kit (from the same supplier) was used for some GC rich parts. Both strands were sequenced at least once to minimise sequencing errors.

#### **Computer analysis**

Computer analysis of the sequence was performed using the UWGCG software (24). The programs 'Gap' and 'Codonpreference' were used for sequence alignments and for the prediction of open reading frames respectively. We used the most recent table available for codon usage in streptomyces (25).

#### Southern hybridisation

DNA samples were run in 0.7% agarose in TAE and blotted to Hybond N (Amersham), using  $20 \times SSC$  as blotting buffer. Hybridisation conditions were: 16 hr at 65°C in 6×SSC, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium pyrophosphate, 1mM EDTA, 2.5% Denhardt solution and 100  $\mu$ g/ml calf thymus DNA.

Filters were washed at the same temperature in 0.1% SDS and decreasing SSC concentrations until background signals were sufficiently low. DNA probes (10-50 ng) were labeled by random priming with hexanucleotides (26,27).

## Bacteriophage $\lambda$ plaque screening

Recombinant  $\lambda$  phages were plated at a density of approximately 6 plaques/cm<sup>2</sup> and duplicate replica filters were made by the method of Silhavy et al. (23). Hybridisation of the filters was performed as described above.

## RESULTS

#### Cloning of the operons rrnA, rrnC and rrnE

The ribosomal RNA operons of *S. coelicolor* are organised in six gene sets, as was demonstrated recently by Baylis and Bibb (6). Further studies by these authors (14) led to the cloning of the *rmB*, *rmD* and *rmF* operons. As a prelude to the cloning of the remaining three operons, we submitted chromosomal DNA of *S. coelicolor* A3(2) to a Southern analysis. After digestion of the DNA with the enzymes BamHI, BgIII, EcoRI, HindIII, PstI or XhoI, fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a 0.7% agarose gel, blotted to nitrocellulose and hybridised with a 570 bp HindIII fragment of *E. coli rmB* encompassing the nucleotides +80 to +650 of the 16S rRNA gene (11) (Figure 1<sup>A</sup>). Since neither BamHI nor BgIII nor XhoI recognise sites within the structural parts of the 16S rRNA genes, only one signal per gene is observed on the Southern blot upon use of these enzymes. The length of each fragment is known (6).

As is obvious from Figure 1<sup>A</sup>, not all genes hybridise to the same extent with the HindIII fragment. On the basis of the intensities of the hybridisation signals at least two different operon groups can be discerned. This is in contrast to the outcome of a similar experiment by Baylis and Bibb (6) who observed six



Fig. 1. Southern hybridisation of *S. coelicolor* chromosomal DNA with probes from *E. coli rmB* and *S. coelicolor rmA*. Digestions: 1. BamHI, 2. BgIII, 3. EcoRI, 4. HindIII, 5. PstI, 6. XhoI. 1<sup>A</sup>:Hybridisation with the 570 bp HindIII fragment containing the +80/+650 segment of the *E. coli rmB* 16S rRNA gene. 1<sup>B</sup>:Hybridisation with the 650 bp AccI-EcoRI fragment containing the -5/+645segment of the *S. coelicolor rmA* 16S rRNA gene. DNA fragment sizes are estimated on the basis of size markers derived from a HindIII digest of  $\lambda$  DNA, indicated on the left side of the figure.



Fig. 2. Southern hybridisation of DNA of the recombinant  $\lambda$  clones containing the six operons of *S.coelicolor*. The DNA was digested with Sall prior to hybridisation. DNA size markers (in kb) and operon classifications are presented in the middle and on the left side of the picture, respectively Lanes: 1. $\lambda$ SCR8, 2. $\lambda$ SCR18, 3. $\lambda$ SCR10, 4. $\lambda$ RSC28, 5. $\lambda$ RSC31, 6. $\lambda$ RSC33. 2<sup>A</sup>:Hybridisation with the 650 bp AccI-EcoRI probe containing the -5/+645 segment from the *S.coelicolor rmA* 16S rRNA gene. 2<sup>B</sup>:Hybridisation with the 320 bp BgIII probe containing the -600/-225 segment of the upstream region of *S.coelicolor rmA*.

bands of approximately equal intensities, using labeled 5S, 16S and 23S rRNA from *S.coelicolor* as probes for hybridisation respectively. The possibility may thus be envisioned that *S.coelicolor* produces two classes of rRNA.

Cloning of the operons rmA, rmC and rmE was performed starting with BamHI fragments, which are better resolved electrophoretically than the SalI fragments on which the operon nomenclature was based originally (6). rmB corresponds to the 9 kb BamHI fragment, rmD to the 12.8 kb BamHI fragment and rmF to the 6.5 kb BamHI fragment. The nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene of rmD and its flanking region is known (13,14). Considering the differences in hybridisation we chose to clone the 7.5 kb fragment (cf. Figure 1<sup>A</sup>), which gives a weaker signal than the fragment corresponding to rmD, the operon best characterised so far. BamHI fragments of 7-9 kb were cloned in pBR329 and plasmid DNA was isolated from 600 colonies in pools of 24. The DNA was cut, submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis and blotted to nitrocellulose. Pools with the correct insert were identified on the basis of hybridisation signals observed with the 570 bp HindIII probe. After repeated colony purification and screening, two DNA minipreparations yielding an unambiguous positive signal were obtained. BamHI digestion proved both to contain a 7.5 kb insert. The clones, further shown to be identical by means of restriction mapping, were designated pBSCR8. They were shown to contain the *rmA* operon, as is demonstrated below.

To clone the *rrnC* and *rrnE* operons we screened an EMBL 4 library containing S. coelicolor A3(2) M145 DNA (prepared by Dr. J.S. Feitelson, John Innes Institute, Norwich, U.K.). Positive recombinant  $\lambda$  phages were identified by hybridising with a 650 bp AccI-EcoRI fragment containing the 5' half of the 16S rRNA gene of rmA (cf. Figure 3). Screening of approximately 800 plaques yielded 13 positive signals. The corresponding plaques were purified, recombinant  $\lambda$  DNA was isolated and hybridised with the same probe. In this way seven  $\lambda$  clones, yielding an unambiguous positive signal, were obtained. For further characterisation, recombinant  $\lambda$  DNA was digested with BamHI. After Southern hybridisation with the AccI-EcoRI probe, four clones appeared to contain a 7.5 kb BamHI fragment corresponding to pBSCR8. These were designated  $\lambda$ SCR8. Two clones were shown to correspond to the 10.5 kb fragment and were designated  $\lambda$ SCR10, while one clone, corresponding to a 18 kb BamHI fragment was designated  $\lambda$ SCR18.

Identification of the *rm* operons carried by the recombinant  $\lambda$  phages was performed by Southern analysis of the phage DNA after digestion with SalI (this article and (6)) and probing with the AccI-EcoRI fragment from pBSCR8. The results confirmed that  $\lambda$ SCR8 and  $\lambda$ SCR10 contained *rmA* and *rmC*, respectively, (Figure 2<sup>A</sup>).  $\lambda$ SCR18 yields a hybridisation signal corresponding to a SalI fragment of more than 18 kb, which must have arisen from the loss of a SalI site either upstream or downstream of the operon, since previous experiments had shown that the *rm* operons were contained in SalI fragments no larger than 9 kb (this article and (6)). The restriction map of  $\lambda$ SCR18 shows however, that the operon carried by this phage differs from the five other *rm* operons and therefore should be *rmE* (data not shown). The results are summarised in Table 1.

#### Sequence differences upstream of the rrn promoters

As mentioned above, a Southern restriction analysis of S. coelicolor M145 DNA using the 570 bp HindIII fragment of E. coli rrnB that is internal to the native 16S rRNA as a probe shows pronounced differences in hybridisation signals for the six operons. For instance, the BamHI fragments of 6.5 kb, 7.5 and 9 kb in lane 1 of Figure 1<sup>A</sup> corresponding to rrnF, rrnA and rrnB respectively, hybridise much weaker than the 10.5 kb. 12.8 kb and 18 kb fragments corresponding to rrnC, rrnD and rmE respectively. That these signal differences are not due to experimental artifacts can be concluded from the experiment shown in Figure 1<sup>B</sup>, in which the same blot, after removal of the HindIII probe, was hybridised with the 650 AccI-EcoRI fragment containing the 5' half of the 16S rRNA gene of rmA. Now the BamHI fragments derived from rmA, rmB and rmF yield strong signals, comparable to those of rmC, rmD and rmE. Why probing with two different probes, one derived from E.coli rrnB the other from S. coelicolor rrnA but both encompassing

<u>Table 1</u> Classification of the six rRNA operons of *S.coelicolor* on the basis of the length of the restriction fragments (in kb) containing the rRNA operons, as shown by hybridisation (this article, Figure 1 and reference 6).

BamHI	Sall	Operon	Clone	Reference
18	6.5	rmE	λSCR18	this paper
12.8	6.5	rrnD	ARSC33	6
10.5	7.0	mtC	ARSC10	this paper
9.0	7.5	rmB	ARSC28	6
7.5	8.7	rr <b>a</b> A	<b>LSCR8</b>	this paper
6.5	57	rmF	λRSC31	6



Fig.3. Restriction map of *S. coelicolor rmA* and the upstream region. Probes derived from this operon used in hybridisation studies are shown below the map. Abbreviations of restriction enzymes: B = BamHI, Bg = BgIII, E = EcoRI, K = KpnI, P = PstI, S = SaII, X = XhoI. Probes: I = 650 bp AccI – EcoRI; 2 = 320 bp BgIII.

sequences from the 5' half of the 16S rRNA gene, leads to such a different result remains to be explained (see also the Discussion). It cannot be ascribed to a certain amount of partial digestion observable in Figure 1: the smaller BamHI fragments of 6.5 kb and 7.5 kb of Figure  $1^{B}$  clearly show a very strong hybridisation in contrast to those of Figure  $1^{A}$ .

High variation in hybridisation intensities is seen when Sall digested DNA of the six recombinant  $\lambda$  clones is submitted to Southern restriction analysis, using a 320 bp BgIII fragment containing part of the upstream region of *rmA* (cf. Figure 3) for probing. As can be seen in Figure 2<sup>B</sup> the *rmA* and *rmF* bands display approximately equal hybridisation, whereas the *rmB* and *rmC* bands are hardly visible. These data are best explained by assuming that the 16S rRNA genes of the operons are very homologous, but that *rmA* and *rmF* differ from the *rmB* and *rmC* in their upstream regions between positions -600 and -250 (in respect to the start of the 16S rRNA gene).

## Nucleotide sequence of rrnA

The apparent inconsistency in hybridisation with two different probes prompted nucleotide sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene of *rrnA* and its upstream region. Figure 3 shows the restriction map of the 8.7 kb SalI fragment from  $\lambda$ SCR8 containing *rrnA*. Figure 4<sup>A</sup> shows the nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene. In Figure 4<sup>B</sup> the nucleotide sequence of the TCACGGAGAG TITGATCCTG GCTCAGGACG AACGCTGGCG GCGTGCTTAA CACATGCAAG TCGAACGATG AACCACTTCG GTGGGGATTA GTGGCGAACG

OGTGAGTARE ACCTOGGEAA TETOCCUTTE ACTEGGEAE AACCCUTORA AACOOGTET AATACCOGAT ACTGACCUTE GENEGATET GENEGATET

ASSOCTORED CONTRACTS TO DOTTOR OF TATES OF TRATES CONSTRAINED CARTANOCS ACCOUNTS ACCOUNTS ACCOUNTS

GECCACHCTG GEACTGAGAC ACGGCCCAGA CTCCTACOGG AGGCAGCAGT ODGGAATATT OCACAATOGG CGAAAOCCTG ATGCAGCGAC GCCGCGTGAG Geatgacggc cttoggattg taaacctctt tcagcaggga agaagggaaa gtaacggtac ctgcagaaga aggcgcgggt aactacgtgg cagcagcga

GOTANTACOT ADDOCOCAAG COTTOTCCOD ANTTATTOGO COTAADAGC TCOTAGOCOG CTTOTCACOT COGTTOTCAA ADCOCOGOCC TTALCCCCOD

STOTGENETE GATACOGGEN GECTAGAGTT COGTAGOGGA CATCOCAATT COTGETEINS COGTGANATE COCAGATATE AGENGAACA COGTGECOM

AGOCGGATET CTGGGCCGAT ACTGACGCTG AGGAGCGAAA GGCTGGGGAG CGAACAGGAT TAGATACCCT GGTAGTCCAC GCCGTAAACG GTGGGCACTA

GETGTORICA ACATTECHEG TEGTECESTER CORAGETAAC GRATTAARTE COORDETEG GAGTACOREE GRAADGETAA AACTEAAAOO AATTEACORD

OCCCCGENCA AGEGEGEACE ATGTOGETTA ATTEGACOCA ACOCGANGAS COTTACANG GETTGACATA CACEGOAAAG CATCAGAGAT GETGCCCCCC

TIGTOGTEGG TOTACAGGTO GTOCATGOCT GTEGTCAGET COTGTEGEGT AAGTECEGGE TAAGTECEGGE AACGAGGGEA ACCETTGTCC COTGTEGEC

GECANGEGET TEGGGEGETET GOGGACTERE GEGRAGECEE ERGEGEGERA CTEGERGERA GETGGGRACH ARGERAUTE ATCHTOCCCE TTATUTETEG GEGEGERCAE GEGETRERAT GEGEGETRER ATCHOCTEGE ATRECGERAG GEGERGERA TETERARDE CEGETETERE TEGERTEGER

TEGACCECAT GAAGTEGGAT GEOCTAGTAA TEGEAGATEA GEATTGETGE GETGAATAEG TECEOGGEE TEGTACACAE GEOCGEEAC STEACGAAAG

1401 TEGETANCAE CEGAAGEEGE TOOCCEAACE CETTETEGEA GEGAGETETE GAAGETEGEA ETGEGEATE GEACGAAGEE GEACAAGET AGECETACEG

	s
-	,

-751	GACGAI D D	R T	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	L G	TGA N	v	<del>стс</del> L	ACC T	R	L	ĩ	TGC R	A	V
-701	E B	ACCCA A	CAGO E P	D R		67700 G	R R	L	5 1 1	D	1C	CTC L	сл 77 D	m
-651	GATCT BGIII	IGACA	GCGA	COCTTO	ACA	~~~	TCT	æ	CC.	rca HI	20	тла	667 <b>7</b>	crr
- 601	CGGGT	IGCCA	<i>cccc</i>	ACCGTA	ACG	GTTC	TIC	660	AGO		T	œ	~~~	CAG
-551	CARCO	MTCA	*****	ACCAGE	ACG	ACCC	777 <b>A</b>	AGI	666	:AA 7	G	ACT	rcas	
-501	<u>0</u> 27730 54	MTTC :0RI	AATT	ссоста	GCT.	ACTO	on	667		ATC	70	ACC	CCGA	TTG
-451	GGAAT	*****	AGAO	96 <i>7000</i>	ста	AGGT	776	AGA	cci	****	A	ACO	****	AAC
-401	AGCCGG	жлсс	ACAA	<del></del>	CTG	ACGG	GGA	ATC	AGG	6000 1	rc r	cm	AGGA T	тст
-351	GATAGJ	GTCG	GAAC	. #3 360066	***	COGA	AAC	cco	GAA		20	GAA	сста	CVY
-301	AGCACO	GAGG	AAAT	GGATC	GGN	Bg1	TCT TT	GAT	AGA	GTC	<b>.</b> G	GAA	ACCC	ANG
-251	ACCEAN	NGGGA	AGCG	CCCOGA	GAN G	AGCC AG	С <b>л</b> с	с <b>м</b> с	NAG1	CG1	ю.	TCC GAG	tcoc A	TGA
-201	GTACAJ	AGGA	Yèca	ICCGIT	сст	TGAG	***	TC.	AC.	000	;t	occ.	****	GTC
-151	AACGCO	CAGAT	ATGT	TGATAC	cco	GACC	TGA	TCC	GAI	сто	c	GTT	~~~~	TTG
-101	AGGTTO	<del></del>	GAAG	TAACAC	AAC.	AGCG	AGG	ACG	сто	ΠŒ	u,	CGG	TCCC	ATT
-51	ATTCC	RCCGA	CTGT	TCCGCT	CTC	GTGG	TGT	CAC	:000	ATI	FA	c.c	Acc	ACA T
-1	TTCAC	<u>, mai</u>	<del>0111</del>	ATCCT	99C	TCM	enc	ອມ	1000		x	09C	0700	
+51	ACACAS	ICAA		168 r	KILA -	gene	•							

Fig.4. Nucleotide sequence of the *S. coelicolor rmA* 16S rRNA gene and upstream region.  $4^{A}$ :Nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene.  $4^{B}$ :Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the upstream region of *rmA* with the corresponding region of *rmD*. Dots indicate a gap in the sequence, sequences in italics differ so strongly from *rmD* that no reasonable comparison could be made. Some important restriction sites are presented by underluing and name. Putative transcription start sites (by sequence homology with the P3 and P4 promoter of *S. coelicolor rmD*) are indicated with a dot above the sequence. Amino acid translation of the putative ORF is shown below the sequence.

upstream region and the start of the 16S rRNA gene are compared to the corresponding region of *rrnD*. The identity of the 16S rRNA genes of *rrnA* and *rrnD* is 99%, the only relatively variable region being located around +600. A putative open reading frame was detected by computer analysis, ending at -653. The implications of the sequence analysis are discussed below.

#### DISCUSSION

Δ

۱

101

201

401

501

601

701

801

901 1001

1101

1201

1301

1501 GAAGGTGCGG CTGGATCACC TCCTTTCT

With the cloning of the rRNA operons rrnA, rrnC and rrnE of S. coelicolor reported in the present paper, the cloning of all six rm operons of this microorganism is now complete (see also (6)). Southern hybridisation analysis performed with a probe derived from E. coli suggested a structural difference between the 16S rRNA genes of at least some of these operons. Since the homology between rRNA genes of all microorganisms studied so far, for example B. subtilis (28), E. coli (5) and Rhodobacter sphaeroides (29), is more than 95%, this was not expected. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene of rrnA revealed, however, a 99% homology with the corresponding rmD gene. On the other hand differences are found in the upstream regions. They are particularly pronounced between positions -750 and -364 relative to the starts of the rmA and rmD 16S rRNA genes (positions 1 to 387 of Figure 4<sup>B</sup>, respectively). Previously, the *rmD* promoters P1 and P2 have been localised in this region (14). The lack of any relevant sequence similarity makes the presence of promoters in this region unlikely.

However, there is no true consensus for *Streptomyces* promoters (30), which renders promoter identification based on sequence comparison perilous. Moreover, P1 and P2 contribute only weakly to the transcription of rrnD in vegetative mycelium (Bibb and Clayton, personal communication).

A putative open reading frame is found, ending at -650 relative to the start of the 16S rRNA gene of *rmA*. The corresponding amino acid sequence is shown below the DNA sequence. This ORF differs from the ORF located upstream of *rmD* in sequence and position relative to the 16S rRNA gene start. What the function of these ORFs is remains to be seen.

Putative transcription start sites of *rmA* are indicated in Figure  $4^{B}$  and are based on sequence similarities displayed by the P3 and P4 promoters of *rmD*. Further studies are needed to establish that transcription initiation does occur at these sites (as has been done in the case of the *rmD* operon).

The differences revealed by the sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene upstream region, particularly that upstream of P3 and P4, are intriguing. If regulatory sequences are found there, the possibility exists that transcription of *rrnA* and *rrnD* is differentially controlled. The question also arises to which extent the other four *rrn* operons differ in this respect. The Southern hybridisation of SalI-digested recombinant  $\lambda$  DNA derived from the six operons is highly intriguing. Restriction fragments of *rrnB* and *rrnC* do not hybridise (or at a very low level) with a probe encompassing the upstream -650 to -225 region of *rrnA*. Fragments of *rrnD* hybridise much weaker than fragments of *rrnA* 

and *rmF*. These results suggest that the upstream regions of some of the *rm* operons vary significantly, urging further structural and functional analyses which are in progress in this laboratory.

As mentioned above the 16S rRNA genes of rmA and rmD display a very strong homology (99%). However, small differences are found downstream of the start of the 16S rRNA gene around position +600. The homology between this region of *rmA* is stronger with the corresponding region of *S.ambofaciens rmD* than with that of *S.coelicolor rmD*. If sequencing errors can be excluded, this is surprising from a phylogenetic point of view.

Finally, the small difference in 16S rRNA sequence of *rmA* and *rmD* does not explain the difference in hybridisation observed with 16S rRNA probes of different origin used in the Southern analyses shown in Figures 1A and 1<sup>B</sup>. Apparently, in the case of a heterologous probe hybridisation strength is influenced by sequences upstream of the 16S rRNA gene start, although the region that actually hybridises is located downstream of this start. This result calls for caution in interpreting hybridisation data of this type and emphasises the necessity of direct sequence determination.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Mervyn Bibb for carefully reading the manuscript and fruitful discussions, Dr. Tim Clayton for providing us with the recombinant  $\lambda$  clones  $\lambda$ SCR28,  $\lambda$ SCR31 and  $\lambda$ SCR33 and Dr. Keith Chater for sending the EMBL 4 library of *S.coelicolor* A3(2) chromosomal DNA.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Enquist, L.W. and Bradley, S.G. (1971) Devel. Ind. Microbiol. 12, 225-236.
- Chater, K. (1984) in Losick, R. and Shapiro, L. (eds.), Microbial development, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, pp. 89-116.
- 3. Bérdy, J. (1980) Proc. Biochem. Oct/Nov, 28-35.
- Nomura, M., Gourse, R. and Baughman, G. (1984) Ann. Rev. Biochem. 158, 165-170.
- 5. Lindahl, L. and Zengel, J.M. (1986) Ann. Rev. Genet. 20, 7-326.
- 6. Baylis, H.A. and Bibb, M.J. (1988) Mol. Gen. Genet. 211, 191-196.
- Suzuki, Y., Ono, Y., Nagata, A. and Yamada, T. (1988) J. Bacteriol. 170, 1631-1636.
- Kim, E., Kim, H., Kang, K.H., Kho, Y.H. and Park, Y-H. (1991) Nucl. Acids Res. 19, 1149.
- 9. Plohl, M. and Gamulin, V. (1991) FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 77, 139-144.
- Pernodet J.-L., Boccard, F., M.T. Alegre, Gagnat, J. and Guérineau, M. (1989) Gene 79, 33-46.
- Brosius, J., Dull, T.J., Sleeter, D.D. and Noller, H.F. (1981) J. Mol. Biol. 148, 107-127.
- Suzuki, Y., Nagata, A., Ono, Y and Yamada, T. (1988) J. Bacteriol. 170, 2886-2889.
- 13. Baylis, H.A. and Bibb, M.J. (1987) Nucl. Acids Res. 15, 7176.
- 14. Baylis, H.A. and Bibb, M.J. (1988) Mol. Microbiol. 2, 569-579.
- Hopwood, D.A., Bibb, M.J., Chater, K.F., Kieser, T., Bruton, C.J., Kieser, H.M., Lydiate, D.J., Smith, C.P., Ward, J.M. and Schrempf, H. (1985) Genetic Manipulation of *Streptomyces*. A Laboratory Manual. John Innes Foundation, Norwich, U.K.
- 16. Covarrubias, L. and Bolivar, F. (1982) Gene 17, 79-89.
- 17. Norrander, J., Kempe, T. and Messing, J. (1983) Gene 26, 101-106.
- 18. Messing, J., Crea, R. and Seeburg, P.H. (1981) Nucl. Acids Res. 9, 309-321.
- 19. Yanish-Perron, C., Vieira, J. and Messing, J. (1985) Gene 33, 103-119.
- 20. Murray, N.E., Brammer, W.J. and Murray, K. (1977) Mol. Gen. Genet. 150, 53-61.
- Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. (1989) Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, Second Edition.
- 22. Birnboim, H.C. and Doly, J. (1979), Nucl. Acids Res. 7, 1513-1523.
- Silhavy, T.J., Berman, M.L. and Enquist, L.W. (1984) Experiments with Gene Fusions, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

- Devereux, J., Haeberli, P. and Smithies, O. (1984) Nucl. Acids Res. 12, 387-395.
- Hopwood, D.A. and Kieser, T. (1990) in Drlica, K. and Riley, M. (eds) The bacterial Chromosome, American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC, pp. 147-162.
- 26. Feinberg, A.P. and Vogelstein, B. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 6-13.
- Feinberg, A.P. and Vogelstein, B. (1984) Anal. Biochem. 137, 266-267.
  Loughney, K., Lund, E. and Dahlberg, J.E. (1982) Nucl. Acids Res. 10, 1607-1624.
- 29. Dryden, S.C. and Kaplan, S. (1990) Nucl. Acids Res. 18, 7267-7277.
- Hopwood, D.A., Bibb, M.J., Chater, K.F., Janssen, G.R., Malpartida, F. and Smith, C. (1986) in Booth, I. and Higgins, C. (eds.), symposium of the Society for General Micriobiology. Regulation of gene expression. Cambridge university press. pp. 251-276.