

Combinatorial prospects of nanoparticle mediated immunotherapy of cancer Silva, C.G. da

Citation

Silva, C. G. da. (2021, June 24). *Combinatorial prospects of nanoparticle mediated immunotherapy of cancer*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191984

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191984

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191984</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Silva, C.G. da Title: Combinatorial prospects of nanoparticle mediated immunotherapy of cancer Issue Date: 2021-06-24



THE POTENTIAL OF MULTI-COMPOUND NANOPARTICLES TO BYPASS DRUG RESISTANCE IN CANCER

Da Silva, C.G., Godefridus J. Peters, Ferry Ossendorp, Luis J. Cruz Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2017 Nov;80(5):881-894. **CHAPTER 7**

Abstract

Purpose

The therapeutic efficacy of conventional chemotherapy against several solid tumors is generally limited and this is often due to the development of resistance or poor delivery of the drugs to the tumor. Mechanisms of resistance may vary between cancer types. However, with current development of genetic analyses, imaging and novel delivery systems we may be able to characterize and bypass resistance e.g. by inhibition of the right target at the tumor site. Therefore, combined drug treatments, where one drug will revert or obstruct the development of resistance and the other will concurrently kill the cancer cell, are rational solutions. However, drug exposure of one drug will defer greatly from the other due to their physicochemical properties. In this sense, multi-compound nanoparticles are an excellent modality to equalize drug exposure, i.e. one common physicochemical profile. In this review, we will discuss novel approaches that employ nanoparticle technology that addresses specific mechanisms of resistance in cancer. Methods

PubMed literature was consulted and reviewed.

Results

Nanoparticle technology is emerging as a dexterous solution that may address several forms of resistance in cancer. For instance, we discuss advances that address mechanisms of resistance with multi-compound nanoparticles which codeliver chemotherapeutics with an anti-resistance agent. Promising anti-resistance agents are 1) targeted in-vivo gene silencing methods aimed to disrupt key resistance gene expression or 2) protein kinase inhibitors to disrupt key resistance pathways or 3) efflux pumps inhibitors to limit drug cellular efflux.

INTRODUCTION

Nanoparticles are emerging as ideal candidates for targeted delivery of drugs. A novel development is nanoparticles capable to encapsulate or bind multiple compounds at once and release the drugs at the target site either simultaneously or in a predetermined sequence. Nanoparticles are commonly composed of organic or inorganic materials with sizes ranging from 10 to 1000 nanometers (nm) and are generally 500 nm or smaller. Organic nanoparticles are usually composed of biodegradable polymers [1–5] or lipids [6] whereas inorganic nanoparticles are usually composed of gold, silver, titanium dioxide, iron, carbon or silicon [7–9].

Nanoparticles as drug delivery agents have several advantages compared to 'free' drugs, including reduced bio-distribution, sustained and slow release, and protect drugs against degradation thereby prolonging drug half-life. A tissue wide biodistribution of a drug is often unwanted, as the drug will not only go to the site of interest but also go to many other tissues, inducing dose limiting side-effects. The consequence is that the critical dose is therefore not attainable and the efficacy of the drug is reduced. In contrast to 'free' drugs, nanoparticles can increase drug blood circulation time considerably by protecting the drug from rapid catabolism by detoxification enzymes and body clearance. In addition, nanoparticles can widen the drug repertoire to the clinic to include abandoned potent putative drugs. These include drugs with 1) a low therapeutic index, or 2) that are very hydrophobic and due to poor solubility were regarded as unsafe for in-vivo application, or 3) in their 'free' form that would be degraded too rapidly, or 4) that become instable, or 5) that accumulate in organs of disinterest thereby inducing severe toxicity. Nanoparticles are also increasingly modified with targeting moieties to mitigate side-effects to increase their efficacy even further. The targeting moieties are designed to increase cell type specificity by targeting molecules such as peptides, ligands or antibodies to cell specific receptors thereby enhancing specific uptake by receptor-mediated endocytosis or increasing local retention time.

Nanoparticles have clear advantages and their adoption for medical usage is emerging, as more than 40 therapeutic nanoparticles have been approved for the application in the clinic worldwide and at least 200 more are in clinical trials [10-12]. Although nanoparticles for drug delivery have several advantages over 'free' drugs, there are also some disadvantages, which may differ greatly from typeto-type of nanoparticle [13, 14]. For instance, while nanoparticles may help to reduce tissue wide bio-distribution, it is also this feature that is limiting its access to tissues that are located beyond blood vessels and filter organs, which limits the application of nanoparticles for some pathologies. For the specific treatment of solid tumors however, a phenomenon entitled 'Enhanced Permeability and Retention' (EPR) effect, occasionally observed in human cancers, may be exploited to circumvent this obstacle [15]. The EPR effect is characterized by leaky blood vessels at the tumor site, originating from unregulated secretion of angiogenic factors, and decreased lymphatic drainage. Although the EPR effect is not always present, or found very pronounced in humans, it may be induced or augmented in some specific cases, allowing nanoparticles to extravasate and still gain access to cancer cells [16-18]. An important disadvantage of some nanoparticle types is possibly organ specific toxicity, due to their propensity to accumulate in filter organs, such as liver and kidney, or spleen and lungs, although the degree of accumulation may vary considerably from type-to-type [19]. Nanoparticle surface modifications, such as amalgamation of polyethylene glycol (PEG) polymer chains (PEGylation; PEG) or adjusting the physicochemical properties, can attenuate this accumulation and therefore reduce toxicity in these organs. However, the demand for innovation maintains the pressure to continuously design novel and dexterous formulations to overcome these disadvantages and further exalt the therapeutic potential of nanoparticles to the clinic [20, 21]. For the treatment of cancer, several genotoxic and cytotoxic drugs are being encapsulated into or bound to nanoparticles to increase their efficacy and reduce side-effects. For example, Doxil®, Abraxane® and more recently Onivyde® were approved and are clinically available nanoparticle formulations of doxorubicin, paclitaxel and irinotecan, respectively. These modalities may be superior to their 'free' counterparts, either because of their specific delivery preventing e.g. cardiotoxicity (Doxil), or activation at their target site. However, they do not specifically deal with existing or evolving mechanisms of resistance. As treatment resistance commonly arises in cancer, there is a dire need of a more 'sophisticated' class of drugs that are able to address these treatment impediments. Here onwards, this review will focus on recent

developments of (multi-compound) nanoparticle modalities that, in addition to kill cancer cells, may be employed to prevent or circumvent evolving mechanisms of resistance in cancer.

MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE IN CANCER

A few cancer types, such as chorionic carcinoma, seminoma and some (sub) types of lymphoma, actually respond well to cytostatic chemotherapy, commonly leading to clinical remission and cancer cures. Unfortunately, the vast majority of solid tumors will not respond as favorably. This is generally mediated by intrinsic resistance to cytotoxic drugs after an initial reduction of the tumor mass [22]. As tumors are quite heterogeneous of composition, it is not completely clear whether drug resistance is attained exclusively by clonal selection, i.e. selection of mutants resistant to the drug, a certain degree of adaptation, or both [23]. Common mechanisms of resistance include pathway rewiring to accommodate enhanced proliferation, anti-apoptosis and pro-survival signals, enhanced drug efflux and reduced influx, acquired (additional) DNA mutations, enhanced DNA repair, epithelial to mesenchymal phenotype transition, epigenetic modifications, drug inactivation and drug target alteration, amongst others [24].

For example, a common aberrantly activated and pharmaceutically targeted pathway in cancer is the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway. This pathway provides strong survival and proliferative signals, effectively antagonizing the induction of apoptosis triggered by many oncological drugs [25]. Several signaling pathways, including the MAPK pathway, converge in the activation of the c-Myc gene, that is frequently found overexpressed and mutated in a vast range of cancer types [26–29]. The Myc protein is a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor controlling efficient proliferation of somatic and germ cells. Unfortunately, Myc has been defined to be lacking targetable active sites for drugs and therefore considered "undruggable" for conventional pharmaceuticals. Another protein involved in survival and conferring drug resistance in cancer is the Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), often found aberrantly (over)expressed in carcinomas.

A distinct and predominant mechanism of drug resistance found in cancer cells is the overexpression of specific efflux pumps. These efflux pumps are part of the ABC superfamily of transporters and can translocate substrates (drugs) from the inside to the outside of the cell, thereby reducing intracellular drug accumulation. Currently, there are 49 human ABC transporter proteins described. From these 49 transporters, 15 are commonly associated with cancer and conferring resistance to chemotherapeutic agents. P-glycoprotein, BCRP and MRP1 are amongst the most described efflux pumps to play a key role in multidrug resistance (MDR)-mediated resistance in cancer [30].

Some of these mechanisms of resistance may be addressed by employing specifically adapted nanoparticles. The number of scientific publications of nanoparticles for single drug delivery is immense and their therapeutic potential is evident however, novel strategies are required to improve cancer therapy efficacy to deal with evolving mechanisms of resistance. To achieve this goal, the delivery of several drugs, with a diverse mode of action, may be combined in nanoparticles (see Figure 1 for an illustration). Additionally, the required level of control over the drug release time and release sequence is for this demanding task considerably higher. Notably, as the complexity of chemical assembly of such nanoparticles raises, so may the costs for GMP mass production and the costs of QA/QC [31]. In addition, the FDA/CDER approval of multi-compound nanoparticles may, in some specific cases, be more complex and slower to attain due to their polyvalent nature [31, 32].



Figure 1. Schematic representation of distinct types of nanoparticle approaches. A, mono-chemotherapy nanoparticle approach containing one type of drug without targeting moieties; B, depiction of a multi-drug nanoparticle approach with active targeting moieties.

TARGETING MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE WITH PROTEIN KINASE INHIBITORS NANOPARTICLE FORMULATIONS

Several drugs are currently in the clinic or are being developed that can inhibit or repress specific mechanisms of resistance. These drugs are so-called small molecule inhibitors, anti-signaling drugs or biologicals, e.g. protein kinase inhibitors (PKIs) and monoclonal antibodies. However, the cure rate of solid tumors by these modalities alone is low and acquired resistance occurs as well [33].

In addition, chronic administration is often required leading to toxicity over time. It appears that, similar to conventional chemotherapy, PKIs that are encapsulated in nanoparticles also induce less side-effects, compared to 'free' PKI drug administration. For instance, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid; PLGA) nanoparticles encapsulating erlotinib induced significantly less sub-acute toxicity in Wistar rats compared to 'free' administration [34]. In a similar study, Marslin et al. [35] have shown that cardiotoxicity, often a complication of prolonged administration of imatinib mesylate, could be avoided by encapsulating this drug in PLGA nanoparticles whilst increasing the efficacy compared to the 'free' drug.

Instead of monotherapy, a rational combination of PKIs with other drugs may harbor great synergetic potential. Some combinations may enhance cancer treatment efficacy by predisposing tumors to conventional chemotherapy. For example, Basu and colleagues [36] assembled nanoparticles carrying PD98059, a selective MAPK inhibitor, to predispose cancer cells dependent on this oncogenic pathway to chemotherapy. The authors combined the nanoparticles containing PD98059 with cisplatin and observed an impressive tumor growth disparity compared to either compound alone. A clear synergistic effect was observed when these compounds where combined for simultaneous delivery to melanoma cells in vivo. Although the concurrent administration of these two modalities was beneficial in this setting, it may differ with PKI, cancer and chemotherapy type. Lee et al. [37] have recently shown that sequential administration, but not simultaneous, may be crucial for some PKIs and conventional chemotherapy combinations. The authors showed that pre-treatment of breast cancer cells with erlotinib, a targeted EGFR inhibitor, was required to sensitize cancer cells to doxorubicin and that co-administration of both (i.e. erlotinib and doxorubicin simultaneously) was not nearly as effective. By inhibiting EGFR, the cancer cell re-acquired a working apoptosis pathway responsive to DNA damage. Furthermore, Morton et al. [38] described how liposomes could be employed to achieve such time controlled release of drugs. By loading doxorubicin into the hydrophilic core and entrapping erlotinib in the hydrophobic compartment of the membrane, erlotinib is released before doxorubicin. The sequential release effectively forces an internal rewiring of signaling pathways effected by erlotinib before DNA damage is induced by doxorubicin. This incites the cancer cell proneness towards apoptosis considerably. Figure 2 illustrates a putative modality to circumvent multiple mechanisms of resistance in cancer.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of a putative multi-compound nanoparticle that releases multiple compounds simultaneously, or in sequence.

1, A targeted nanoparticle triggers the receptor mediated endocytosis uptake of the nanoparticle by the target cell; 2, After intracellular processing of the nanoparticle (not depicted), selective small molecule compounds are released that inhibit mechanisms of resistance either simultaneously or in sequence, depending on the nanoparticle design. In this illustration, two distinct drugs "Drug against resistance mechanism" 1 and 2 are depicted, each suppressing a different mechanism of resistance. One of these drugs could inhibit efflux pumps, to ascertain that chemotherapy is not excreted from the cell, while the second drug could suppress an (active) anti-apoptotic pathway hindering cell death related to DNA damage. 3, Cell cycle is disrupted by conventional chemotherapy by inducing DNA damage and trigger apoptosis that can now be executed unobstructed due to the inhibited anti-apoptotic pathway and the cancer cell dies.

This elegant approach achieved a much higher rate of cancer cell killing by hampering the cancer cells resistance mechanisms against apoptosis before releasing the cell killing agent. Au et al. [39] recently showed that sequential release of drugs for cancer therapy is also possible with polymer nanoparticles, by incorporating the hydrophobic drugs wortmannin and docetaxel into an adapted formulation of PLGA-PEG nanoparticles. Wortmannin inhibits, non-exclusively, the phosphoinositide 3 kinases (PI3Ks), in essence sensitizing cancer cells to apoptosis, allowing docetaxel to successfully disrupt cell division. The PI3K and the earlier mentioned MAPK pathway are actually survival pathways preventing chemotherapeutic drugs to induce cell death; therefore inhibition of these survival pathways will activate the chemotherapeutic drug and cell death [40]. As the molecular weight of wortmannin is lower compared to docetaxel, it was released prior to docetaxel, allowing a controlled sequential release of these drugs. Also in this setting, the pathway rewiring process before interfering with cell division, was essential. Several other combined nanoparticle and protein kinase inhibitor strategies are emerging and are summarized in Table 1.

Nanoparticle type	Active targeting	РКІ	Primary kinase targeted	Other compounds	Model	Refs.
Accurin polymer based	-	AZD2811	Aurora B kinase	-	Human colon cancer	[83]
Glutaraldehyde -crosslinked albumin	Anti-EGFR nanobody *	17864 (platinum- bound sunitinib analogue)	PDGF-R/ VEGFR	-	Human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (in-vitro)	[84]
Gold	-	Erlotinib	EGFR	-	Human adenocarcinoma and non-small-cell lung cancer (in- vitro)	[85]
Gold	Anti-EGFR antibody *	Gefitinib	EGFR		Lung cancer (in-vitro)	[86]
Hexadentate- PLGA	-	PD98059	MEK	Cisplatin (not in nanoparticle)	Melanoma and lung carcinoma	[36]

Table 1. Nanoparticle protein	kinase	inhibitor	delivery	targeting	pathways
involved in therapy resistance.	ı				

Iron oxide/ magnetite	-	AM-005 + AT-9283	Aurora kinase	-	Liver cancer	[87]
Liposomal	-	WHI-131	JAK3/ EGFR	-	Human B-lineage ALL/breast cancer	[88, 89]
Liposomal	-/Anti-CD19 antibody *	SYK-P-site inhibitor C61	SYK	-	B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia	[90- 92]
Liposomal	Anti-EGFR nanobody *	AG538	IGF-1R		Human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and breast adenocarcinoma	[42]
Liposomal	Folate	Erlotinib	EGFR	Doxorubicin	Human breast and lung cancer	[38]
Liposomal (layer-by-layer)	CD44 *	Selumetinib + PX-866	MEK1/2 + PI3K	-	Human breast cancer	[93]
Oleic acid based	-	AZD6244	МАРК	Cisplatin	Human cervical/ breast/liver cancer (in-vitro)	[94]
PLGA-PEG di- block copolymer	-	Wortmannin	РІЗК	Docetaxel	Human lung and prostate cancer	[39]
PLGA	-	LY294002	РІЗК	-	Murine melanoma and human breast cancer	[95]
Reverse micelles	-	Erlotinib	EGFR	-	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (in-vitro)	[96]

EGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; IGF-1R: Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; JAK3: Janus kinase 3; MEK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; PDGF-R: Platelet-derived growth factor receptor; PI3K: Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PLGA: Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); SYK: Spleen tyrosine kinase; VEGFR: Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor;

* Activate targeting with dual role: 1) NP targeting moiety and 2) direct perturbing mechanism of resistance by receptor agonism/antagonism or may trigger antibody mediated cytotoxicity.

Cancer cells rapidly develop resistance against PKIs commonly by the activation of compensatory pathways or target site mutations [41]. For instance, it has been described that inhibition of the EGFR pathway with PKIs may eventually induce the activation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1R) pathway, as an acquired method of resistance. To address this adaptation, Van der Meel et at. [42] developed liposomes carrying an anti-IGF-1R kinase inhibitor and coated the liposomes with antagonistic anti-EGFR nanobodies. This approach led to considerable less prosurvival and proliferation signaling in cancer cells. The majority of the studies summarized in Table 1 describe nanoparticle approaches to target oncogenic pathways, often implicated in cancer mechanisms of resistance. Most of these studies did not combine nanoparticles with 'conventional' chemotherapy. However, combining PKIs with chemotherapy may hold a considerable therapeutic benefit, as indicated by the combinatorial studies described above.

In summary, several PKIs have less favorable physicochemical properties that decrease their therapeutic potential [43] and encapsulation of PKIs into nanoparticles appears to be a viable strategy to circumvent some of these limitations. In addition, PKI associated toxicity may be reduced [34]. Nonetheless, it appears that combining nanoparticle formulated PKIs with conventional chemotherapy could be an effective strategy to hinder therapy induced resistance. It also appears evident that the order of administration is paramount for the efficacy of the treatment modality for some cancer types; sequential rather than simultaneous and PKI exposure before cytotoxic agent.

TARGETING MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE WITH SILENCING RNA NANOPARTICLE FORMULATIONS

Several mechanisms of resistance in cancer have been previously targeted by suppression of specific gene expression, most commonly by small interfering RNA (siRNA) or to a lesser extent small hairpin RNA (shRNA) delivery to cancer cells. An siRNA molecule is a double-stranded RNA molecule of 20-25 base-pairs whereby its sequence is complementary to a part of its target gene mRNA transcript. It is often employed to disrupt the translation of a specific gene transcript into protein by exploiting the RNA interference pathway [44].

Traditionally, gene therapy treatment with siRNA is performed by the injection of 'naked' siRNA directly into the bloodstream or packed in modified viruses [45, 46]. Specifically the targeting of 'naked' siRNA to the cells of interest without the use of a delivery agent is generally found inefficacious as it is rapidly cleared from the bloodstream due to degradation by serum nucleases and renal clearance. In contrast, adapted viruses are, in comparison, guite efficacious delivery agents for siRNA however, immune responses against the viral proteins abstains the efficacy of this modality [47]. As an alternative, nanoparticles can encapsulate, protect and deliver siRNA intracellularly. Conversely, nanoparticles have limitations as well, as described in the first section of this paper, and are applicable to siRNA delivery as well, i.e. mainly the dependence of EPR effect to gain access to cancer cells in solid tumors. From an immunological perspective, immune responses against nanoparticles have been sparsely studied and may vary greatly from type and composition. For instance, nanoparticles containing 1,2-Dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DOTAP), mainly an ingredient for cationic liposomes, has been reported to induce potent type I and type II interferon responses [48]. However, PEGylation of nanoparticles reduces immunogenicity without the formation of any additional toxic metabolites and appears essential for successful prolonged blood circulation [20, 49]. A multifold of -mono-therapeuticnanoparticle formulations that aim to modulate driver oncogenes have also been reported but are beyond the scope of this review. Multi-compound nanoparticles targeting specifically mechanisms of resistance by targeted siRNA gene silencing in cancer are summarized in Table 2.

Nanoparticle type	Active targeting	siRNA*	Compounds*	Model	Refs.
Glycol chitosan	-	Bcl-2	Doxorubicin	Human prostate cancer	[50]
LCP	-	с-Мус	Gemcitabine	Human lung	[51]
			monophosphate	cancer	
LCP	Anisamide	VEGF	Gemcitabine	Human lung cancer	[52]
	to sigma		monophosphat		
	receptors				
Liposomal	-	MRP1/	Doxorubicin	Human ovarian,	[97]
		BCL2		breast, lung and	
				colon cancer. (in-	
				vitro)	

 Table 2. Nanoparticle small interfering RNA delivery targeting pathways

 involved in therapy resistance.

Liposomal	Asparagine-glycine- arginine peptide to CD13	с-Мус	Doxorubicin	Human fibrosarcoma	[98]
Liposomal	Anisamide to sigma receptors	VEGF/c-Myc	Doxorubicin	Human ovarian cancer	[99]
Liposomal	GC4 scFv antibody	c-Myc/MDM2/ VEGF	miR-34a	Murine melanoma	[100]
Liposomal	-	Mcl1	SAHA (Vorinostat)	Human cervical cancer	[101]
Liposomal	-	MRP1/BCL2	Doxorubicin	Human lung cancer	[102]
Liposomal	-	BCL2	d-(KLAKLAK)2 peptide	Murine melanoma	[103]
Liposomal	Hyaluronic acid	MRP1	Doxorubicin	Human breast cancer	[104]
DSPE-PEG lipid	Folate	Survivin	Docetaxel	Human liver cancer	[105]
Micellar	-	HIF-1α	Doxorubicin (combined treatment; i.e. not in delivery vehicle)	Human prostate cancer	[106]
Micellar	-	Plk1	Paclitaxel	Human breast cancer	[107]
Minicell	EGFR antibody	MDR1	Doxorubicin	Uterine cancer	[108]
PDHA	-	Snail/Twist	Paclitaxel	Murine breast cancer	[109]
PEI-GO	-	Bcl-2	Doxorubicin	Human cervical cancer. In-vitro study only	[110]
PEO-PbAE/ PCL	-	P-glycoprotein	Paclitaxel	Human ovarian cancer. (in-vitro)	[111]
PLGA-PEI	Biotin to biotin receptors	P-glycoprotein	Paclitaxel	Murine breast cancer	[112]

PLGA	-	DCAMKL-1	DAPT (combined treatment; i.e. not in delivery vehicle)	Human colorectal cancer	[113]
PLGA	-	REV1/REV3L	Cisplatin prodrug	Human prostate cancer	[114]
Mesoporous silica	-	Bcl-2	Doxorubicin	Human ovarian cancer. (in-vitro)	[115]
Mesoporous silica	-	P-glycoprotein	Doxorubicin	Human cervical cancer	[116]
Mesoporous silica	Hyaluronic acid + PEGA-pVEC peptide	CTGF	Doxorubicin	Human breast cancer	[117]

BCL2: B-cell lymphoma 2; CTGF: Connective tissue growth factor; DAPT: N-[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl)-l-alanyl]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester; DCAMKL-1: Doublecortin-like and CAM kinase-like 1; DSPE: Distearoylphosphatidylethanolamine; HIF-1α: Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α; LCP: Lipid/ calcium/phosphate; MDR1: Multidrug resistance 1; MRP1: multidrug resistanceassociated protein 1; PEI-GO: polyethylenimine-functionalized graphene oxide; PEG: Ethylene glycol; PEO-PbAE: Poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(beta-amino ester); PDHA: Poly[(1,4-butanediol)-diacrylate-β-5-hydroxyamylaminel; PEO-PCL: Poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(epsilon-caprolactone); PLGA: Poly(lactic-coglycolic acid); PLGA-PEI: PLGA polyethyleneimine; Plk1: polo-like kinase 1; SAHA: suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; scFv: single chain fragment variable; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor;

* compounds are encapsulate in delivery vehicles unless stated otherwise.

Similar to previously discussed PKIs nanoparticle modalities, combining specific siRNA treatment with 'conventional' chemotherapy appears to yield superior results than any of the modalities alone. For instance, Yoon et al. [50] designed two glycol chitosan based nanoparticles, one containing doxorubicin and another containing siRNA targeted to the Bcl-2 gene transcript. As Bcl-2 is involved in conferring resistance against apoptosis triggered by doxorubicin, durable invivo tumor growth repression was observed after repeated injections of the nanoparticles containing doxorubicin followed by the nanoparticles containing the Bcl-2 siRNA. Moreover, combinatorial targeted nanoparticle delivery approaches of chemotherapy and siRNA targeted against (driver) oncogenes are highly anticipated. One of such oncogenes is the c-Myc gene. For instance, Zhang et al. [51, 52] recently combined gemcitabine monophosphate and c-Myc siRNA in one nanoparticle to efficiently suppress both subcutaneous and orthotopic human lung cancer growth in vivo with minimum toxicity in nude mice. As the involvement of c-Myc is guite prevalent in cancer, a prudent combinatorial nanoparticle approach of chemotherapy and c-Myc siRNA may therefore hold great potential to become one single potential treatment for a wide range of cancers of diverse aetiology. The authors also combined gemcitabine monophosphate with VEGF siRNA and found increased efficacy as well. This system had an additional advantage since gemcitabine monophosphate delivery would bypass resistance due to decreased activation [53-55].

TARGETING EFFLUX PUMP AND OTHER MECHANISMS OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE WITH NANOPARTICLE FORMULATIONS

Dose escalation is a common pharmacological strategy to overcome mechanisms of resistance mediated by drug efflux pumps. While an effective approach, it is commonly accompanied by deleterious adverse effects. For instance, doxorubicin effective dose is limited by severe cardiotoxicity [56]. The upregulation of efflux pumps is a common and yet distinct method of resistance against cancer therapy. The upregulation of efflux pumps, such as the P-glycoprotein but more importantly MRPs and BCRP (ABCG2) [57], reduces the intracellular accumulation of specific drugs and is known to confer resistance against many chemotherapeutic agents including anthracyclines, paclitaxel and vincristine but also several protein kinase inhibitors [58, 59]. By employing nanoparticle technology to serve as delivery agents, drug efflux is inherently reduced, as nanoparticles enter the cells mainly by endocytosis and facilitate endosomal/lysosomal escape of distinct payloads to the cytosol before their cargo is released [60–62]. Therefore, most of nanoparticle delivered drugs are less affected by drug efflux pumps due to their location inside the cell, usually outside the reach of membrane efflux pumps [13, 63–65]. Albeit, while the drug efflux pumps are partially bypassed by encapsulating drugs in nanoparticles, the effect is not absolute, as once the drugs are released inside the cells, a portion of the drug may still become in reach of efflux pumps. In that sense, it may be prudent to actively co-inhibit efflux pumps while delivering drugs to the targets cells. For this purpose, Xu et al. [66] reported that drug efflux mediated resistance in lung cancer cells could be effectively overcome by coating nanoparticles containing doxorubicin with cyclosporin A, which is a multimodal efflux pump inhibitor of both P-glycoprotein and MRP1 (ABCC1).

Alternatively, the co-delivery of a chemosensitizer such as curcumin (diferuloylmethane), may considerably decrease drug efflux. Curcumin is a relatively non-toxic plant derived polyphenol that has been described to have anticarcinogenic effects, mainly mediated by pathway rewiring and interfering with the cell cycle [67–70]. It is also described as a potent inhibitor of the Nuclear Factor Kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) pathway, as well as a strong suppressor of ABC transporters, including P-glycoprotein, MRP1 and BCRP [69, 71, 72]. However, curcumin by itself has a poor uptake by the intestinal tract and a notable low bioavailability, which makes this compound an ideal candidate to be integrated in nanoparticles approaches for targeted delivery [73]. Distinct multi-compound nanoparticle approaches that addresses cancer mechanisms of resistance, including curcumin co-encapsulation, are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Nanoparticle (multiple) compound delivery targeting pathways involved in therapy resistance.

Nanoparticle type	Active targetin	Compounds*	Primary method of resistance targeted **	Model	Refs.
Amphiphilic polymer	-	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps/NF-кВ	Human multiple myeloma, acute leukemia, prostate and ovarian cancers	[74]
Cationic amphiphilic copolymer	-	IL12 plasmid + Paclitaxel	Immune suppression	Murine breast cancer	[118]

Chitosan based	-	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps/NF-кВ	Human breast cancer (in-vitro)	[75]
Dendrimer	Transferrin receptor- specific peptide	TRAIL + doxorubicin	FADD	Human liver cancer	[119]
Flaxseed oil emulsion	-	Curcumin + paclitaxel	ABC pumps/NF-кВ	Human ovarian adenocarcinoma (in-vitro)	[120]
Gel-Liposome	Hyaluronic acid	TRAIL + doxorubicin	FADD	Human breast cancer	[121]
Graphene	-	TRAIL + doxorubicin	FADD	Human lung cancer	[122]
Lipid	-	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps/NF-κB	Human liver cancer	[123]
Liposomal	RGDK- lipopeptide	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps/anti- angiogenic	Murine melanoma	[124]
Liposomal	DQA	Lonidamine + epirubicin (in a separate liposomal formulation)	Mitochondrial hexokinase 2	Human lung cancer	[125]
Liposomal	-	TRAIL + doxorubicin (in separate nanoparticles)	FADD	Human lung cancer	[126]
Liposomal (plus [D]-H6L9)	-	MiR-10b + paclitaxel	RhoC	Murine breast cancer	[127]
Liposomal (plus MG)	Her-2 antibody	Verapamil + doxorubicin	P-glycoprotein	Human breast cancer	[128]
Micellar based	-	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps/NF-ĸB	Murine lung cancer	[129]
Micellar based	-	Disulfiram + Doxorubicin	P-glycoprotein	Human breast cancer	[130]
PCDA based	Biotin	Curcumin + doxorubicin	P-glycoprotein	Human breast cancer	[131]

PLGA based	EGFR- peptide	Paclitaxel + Ionidamine	Mitochondrial hexokinase 2	Human breast and ovarian cancer	[132, 133]
PLGA	-	Cyclosporin A + doxorubicin	P-glycoprotein	Human lung cancer	[66]
PLGA	Anti-EGFR antibody *	Rapamycin	mTOR	Human breast cancer (in-vitro)	[134]
PLGA	Folate	Nutlin-3a + curcumin	ABC pumps/NF-кВ	Human retinoblastoma (in-vitro)	[135]
PLGA	-	HPI-1 + Gemcitabine (Gemcitabine not in nanoparticle)	Hedgehog/Smo	Murine medulloblastoma, human pancreatic and liver cancer	[136, 137]
PLGA	-	Curcumin + doxorubicin	ABC pumps /NF-кВ	Human chronic myelogenous leukemia (in- vitro)	[138]
PLGA	Biotin	Tariquidar + paclitaxel	P-glycoprotein	Murine mammary tumor	[139]
PLGA	iRGD	Camptothecin + TRAIL plasmid	FADD	Human colon cancer	[140]
PLGA	Anisamide	Resveratrol + doxorubicin	ABC pumps /NF-кВ	Human breast cancer	[141]

DQA: Dequalinium; FADD: Fas-associated protein with death domain; MG: Malachite green carbinol base; FADD: Fas-Associated protein with Death Domain; PCDA: Poly(curcumin-dithiodipropionic acid); PLGA: Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); RhoC: Ras homolog gene family, member C; TRAIL: Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand;

* Activate targeting with dual role: 1) NP targeting moiety and 2) direct perturbing mechanism of resistance by receptor agonism/antagonism or trigger antibody induced cytotoxicity.

** The described inhibitor mode of action is pleiotropic and may have several targets other than described.

There are several studies of nanoparticle encapsulated drug combinations with curcumin available in the literature that shown efficient circumvention of multidrug resistance in a variety of models. For instance, Pramanik and colleagues [74] have shown that doxorubicin-curcumin amphiphilic polymer based nanoparticles successfully overcome drug efflux mediated resistance, reduced cardiotoxicity and bone marrow suppression compared to 'free' DOX and Doxil® in several cancer models. Successful reversal of chemo sensitivity has also been described by several other groups. For example, Duan et al. [75] have reported the successful reversal of drug efflux mediated resistance in an adriamycin resistant cell line by the simultaneous delivery of doxorubicin and curcumin in poly(butyl cyanoacrylate) nanoparticles. The inclusion of curcumin and cytotoxic drugs in nanoparticle formulations appears to be a logical strategy to circumvent, in a non-exclusive manner, efflux pump mediated cancer therapy resistance and possibly other mechanisms of resistance, accompanied with low toxicity to non-cancerous tissue. It should, however, be mentioned that these experiments were all performed in preclinical models with relatively high induced P-glycoprotein expression, a condition that has not been found in patients with solid tumors, but only in some hematological malignancies.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Nanoparticles are evolving from general, non-targeted, mono-drug delivery devices to become sophisticated multi-drug, targeted, sequence and time controlled drug release delivery devices. Moreover, nanoparticles can be designed to deliver drugs to cancer cells in a highly efficient manner while at the same time be able to address existing mechanisms of resistance. It is even possible to disrupt complex resistance mechanisms that require a sequence specific inhibition of pathways to bypass drug resistance. This will pave the way for the design of highly efficient, multi-functional, personalized theranostic nanomedicine [76]. This can be of immense benefit, for example, when cancer whole-genome sequencing becomes of age. This will allow specifically tailored nanoparticles to be made that can target individual cancer characteristics while therapy progression is tracked in real time by following the included imaging or reporter molecules [77]. Besides rewiring of pathways in cancer cells that overcome mechanisms of resistance to cytolytic drugs, the same design principle may be applied to modulate the tumor microenvironment. For example, modulation of specific pathways that stimulate immune suppressive cells, may be

interesting candidates for targeted pathway rewiring as described by Kawakami et al. [78]. The authors provide a considerable repertoire of possible targets that are involved in maintaining an immunosuppressed environment, including STAT3, IL10 and TGF**β**, or even immune modulatory antibodies [79].

As described above, several ABC efflux pumps, such as MRPs and BCRP, are upregulated in many cancer types and often found to be involved in conferring resistance against numerous oncological drugs. Several nanoparticle based strategies have been published addressing these mechanisms of resistance (Table 2 and 3). Indeed, it appears that combining cytostatic drugs with efflux pump inhibitors increases the therapy efficacy considerably.

On the other hand, there are still obstacles that need to be overcome before nanoparticles may become successful and widely available clinical modalities [14]. Out of several, two important obstacles are: 1) the dependence of the EPR effect to gain access to target cells in solid tumors; 2) designing nanoparticles that can be assembled according to GMP regulations without becoming excessively complex and expensive to produce. These issues can be solved by emerging technologies. For instance, the dependence of the EPR effect may be effectively reduced by the design of nanoparticles that stimulate specific transcytosis [80] or combined with photodynamic therapy to enhance nanoparticle accumulation specifically in tumors [81, 82].

To conclude, when curative cancer surgery fails or is not feasible, there is currently no effective curative alternative treatment for chemotherapy resistant solid tumors. Despite the obstacles that needs resolving, dexterous and specifically formulated multi-compound and multi-functional nanoparticles may become a viable modality for the treatment of non-resectable and chemotherapy resistant cancer in the foreseeable future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Conception of idea: LJ Cruz and CG Da Silva; CG Da Silva collected initial literature, generated the graphical illustrations and drafted the first version; Godefridus J Peters, Ferry Ossendorp and Luis J. Cruz revised the paper and made final additions.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

Funding: This study was funded by the research programme 723.012.110 (Vidi), which is financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) and by the FP7 European Union Marie Curie IAPP program, BRAINPATH, under grant number 612360 (EvB, MS, AC, CL) and funding from the H2020-Marie Skłodowska-Curie Action Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE) Grant 644373-PRISAR.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval:

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent:

Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- Da Silva CG, Rueda F, Löwik CW, et al (2016) Combinatorial prospects of nano-targeted chemoimmunotherapy. Biomaterials 83:308–320. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.01.006
- Srinivas M, Tel J, Schreibelt G, et al (2015) PLGA-encapsulated perfluorocarbon nanoparticles for simultaneous visualization of distinct cell populations by 19F MRI. Nanomedicine (Lond) 10:2339–48. doi: 10.2217/NNM.15.76
- Srinivas M, Cruz LJ, Bonetto F, et al (2010) Customizable, multi-functional fluorocarbon nanoparticles for quantitative in vivo imaging using 19F MRI and optical imaging. Biomaterials 31:7070–7077. doi: 10.1016/j. biomaterials.2010.05.069
- Cruz LJ, Stammes MA, Que I, et al (2016) Effect of PLGA NP size on efficiency to target traumatic brain injury. J Control Release 223:31–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.12.029
- Cruz LJ, Que I, Aswendt M, et al (2016) Targeted nanoparticles for the non-invasive detection of traumatic brain injury by optical imaging and fluorine magnetic resonance imaging. Nano Res 9:1276–1289. doi: 10.1007/s12274-016-1023-z
- Naseri N, Valizadeh H, Zakeri-Milani P (2015) Solid Lipid Nanoparticles and Nanostructured Lipid Carriers: Structure, Preparation and Application. Adv Pharm Bull 5:305–13. doi: 10.15171/apb.2015.043
- Hosta-Rigau L, Olmedo I, Arbiol J, et al (2010) Multifunctionalized Gold Nanoparticles with Peptides Targeted to Gastrin-Releasing Peptide Receptor of a Tumor Cell Line. Bioconjug Chem 21:1070–1078. doi: 10.1021/bc1000164
- Hosta L, Pla-Roca M, Arbiol J, et al (2009) Conjugation of Kahalalide F with Gold Nanoparticles to Enhance in Vitro Antitumoral Activity. Bioconjug Chem 20:138–146. doi: 10.1021/bc800362j
- Kogan MJ, Olmedo I, Hosta L, et al (2007) Peptides and metallic nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Nanomedicine 2:287–306. doi: 10.2217/17435889.2.3.287
- Schütz CA, Juillerat-Jeanneret L, Mueller H, et al (2013) Therapeutic nanoparticles in clinics and under clinical evaluation.
 Nanomedicine (Lond) 8:449–67. doi: 10.2217/nnm.13.8
- van der Meel R, Vehmeijer LJC, Kok RJ, et al (2013) Ligand-targeted particulate nanomedicines undergoing clinical evaluation: current status. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 65:1284–98. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2013.08.012

12.	Bobo D, Robinson KJ, Islam J, et al (2016) Nanoparticle-Based Medicines:
	A Review of FDA-Approved Materials and Clinical Trials to Date. Pharm
	Res 33:2373-2387. doi: 10.1007/s11095-016-1958-5
13.	Davis ME, Chen ZG, Shin DM (2008) Nanoparticle therapeutics: an
	emerging treatment modality for cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov
	7:771-82. doi: 10.1038/nrd2614
14.	Park K (2013) Facing the truth about nanotechnology in drug delivery.
	ACS Nano 7:7442-7. doi: 10.1021/nn404501g
15.	Acharya S, Sahoo SK (2011) PLGA nanoparticles containing various
	anticancer agents and tumour delivery by EPR effect. Adv
	Drug Deliv Rev 63:170-83. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2010.10.008
16.	Fang J, Nakamura H, Maeda H (2011) The EPR effect: Unique features
	of tumor blood vessels for drug delivery, factors involved, and
	limitations and augmentation of the effect. Adv Drug Deliv
	Rev 63:136-51. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2010.04.009
17.	Kobayashi H, Watanabe R, Choyke PL (2013) Improving conventional
	enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effects; what is the
	appropriate target? Theranostics 4:81–9. doi: 10.7150/thno.7193
18.	Pantziarka P, Bouche G, Meheus L, et al (2014) The Repurposing
	Drugs in Oncology (ReDO) Project. Ecancermedicalscience
	8:442. doi: 10.3332/ecancer.2014.442
19.	Semete B, Booysen L, Lemmer Y, et al (2010) In vivo evaluation of the
	biodistribution and safety of PLGA nanoparticles as drug delivery systems.
	Nanomedicine 6:662-71. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2010.02.002
20.	Milla P, Dosio F, Cattel L (2012) PEGylation of proteins and liposomes:
	a powerful and flexible strategy to improve the drug delivery. Curr Drug
	Metab 13:105–19.
21.	Palombo M, Deshmukh M, Myers D, et al (2014) Pharmaceutical and
	toxicological properties of engineered nanomaterials for drug
	delivery. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 54:581–98.
	doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010611-134615
22.	Fodale V, Pierobon M, Liotta L, Petricoin E Mechanism of cell adaptation:
	when and how do cancer cells develop chemoresistance? Cancer J 17:89–95. doi: 10.1097/ $$
	PPO.0b013e318212dd3d
23.	Ahmed M, Li L-C (2013) Adaptation and clonal selection models of
	castration-resistant prostate cancer: current perspective. Int J
	Urol 20:362–71. doi: 10.1111/iju.12005
24.	Housman G, Byler S, Heerboth S, et al (2014) Drug resistance in cancer: an
	overview. Cancers (Basel) 6:1769-92. doi: 10.3390/cancers6031769
25.	Dhillon AS, Hagan S, Rath O, Kolch W (2007) MAP kinase signalling
	pathways in cancer. Oncogene 26:3279–3290. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210421

26.	Felsher DW, Bishop JM (1999) Transient excess of MYC activity can elicit genomic instability and tumorigenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 96:3940–3944. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.7.3940
27.	Arvanitis C, Felsher DW (2005) Conditionally MYC: insights from novel transgenic models. Cancer Lett 226:95–9. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2004.10.043
28.	Jain M, Arvanitis C, Chu K, et al (2002) Sustained loss of a neoplastic phenotype by brief inactivation of MYC. Science 297:102–4. doi: 10.1126/ science.1071489
29.	Dang C V (2012) MYC on the path to cancer. Cell 149:22-35. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2012.03.003
30.	Gottesman MM, Fojo T, Bates SE (2002) MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE IN CANCER: ROLE OF ATP-DEPENDENT TRANSPORTERS. Nat Rev Cancer 2:48–58. doi: 10.1038/nrc706
31.	Goldberg MS, Hook SS, Wang AZ, et al (2013) Biotargeted nanomedicines for cancer: six tenets before you begin. Nanomedicine (Lond) 8:299–308. doi: 10.2217/nnm.13.3
32.	Tyner K, Sadrieh N (2011) Considerations when submitting nanotherapeutics to FDA/CDER for regulatory review. Methods Mol Biol 697:17–31. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-198-1 3
33.	Chen Y, Fu L (2011) Mechanisms of acquired resistance to tyrosine kinase
34.	Marslin G, Sheeba CJ, Kalaichelvan VK, et al (2009) Poly(D,L-lactic-co- glycolic acid) nanoencapsulation reduces Erlotinib-induced subacute toxicity in rat. J Biomed Nanotechnol 5:464–71.
35.	Marslin G, Revina AM, Khandelwal VKM, et al (2015) Delivery as nanoparticles reduces imatinib mesylate-induced cardiotoxicity and improves anticancer activity. Int J Nanomedicine 10:3163–70. doi: 10.2147/UN.S75962
36.	Basu S, Harfouche R, Soni S, et al (2009) Nanoparticle-mediated targeting of MAPK signaling predisposes tumor to chemotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:7957–61. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902857106
37.	Lee MJ, Ye AS, Gardino AK, et al (2012) Sequential application of anticancer drugs enhances cell death by rewiring apoptotic signaling networks. Cell 149:780–94. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.031
38.	Morton SW, Lee MJ, Deng ZJ, et al (2014) A nanoparticle-based combination chemotherapy delivery system for enhanced tumor killing by dynamic rewiring of signaling pathways. Sci Signal 7:ra44. doi: 10.1126/
39.	scisignal.2005261 Au KM, Min Y, Tian X, et al (2015) Improving Cancer Chemoradiotherapy Treatment by Dual Controlled Release of Wortmannin and Docetaxel in Polymeric Nanoparticles. ACS Nano. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.5b02913

40.	Avan A, Narayan R, Giovannetti E, Peters GJ (2016) Role of Akt signaling
	in resistance to DNA-targeted therapy. World J Clin Oncol 7:352. doi:
	10.5306/wjco.v7.i5.352
41.	Wheeler DL, Dunn EF, Harari PM (2010) Understanding resistance to EGFR
	inhibitors-impact on future treatment strategies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol
	7:493-507. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2010.97
42.	van der Meel R, Oliveira S, Altintas I, et al (2013) Inhibition of tumor growth
	by targeted anti-EGFR/IGF-1R nanobullets depends on efficient blocking of
	cell survival pathways. Mol Pharm 10:3717–27. doi: 10.1021/mp400212v
43.	Da Silva CG, Honeywell RJ, Dekker H, Peters GJ (2015) Physicochemical
	properties of novel protein kinase inhibitors in relation to their substrate
	specificity for drug transporters. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 11:703–17.
	doi: 10.1517/17425255.2015.1006626
44.	Wilson RC, Doudna JA (2013) Molecular mechanisms of RNA interference.
	Annu Rev Biophys 42:217-39. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-083012-130404
45.	Yin H, Kanasty RL, Eltoukhy AA, et al (2014) Non-viral vectors for
	gene-based therapy. Nat Rev Genet 15:541–555. doi: 10.1038/nrg3763
46.	Kay MA (2011) State-of-the-art gene-based therapies: the road ahead.
	Nat Rev Genet 12:316–28. doi: 10.1038/nrg2971
47.	Kiang A, Hartman ZC, Everett RS, et al (2006) Multiple innate inflammatory
	responses induced after systemic adenovirus vector delivery depend on a
	functional complement system. Mol Ther 14:588–98.
	doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2006.03.024
48.	Ma Z, Li J, He F, et al (2005) Cationic lipids enhance siRNA-mediated
	interferon response in mice. Biochem Biophys Res
	Commun 330:755–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.03.041
49.	Zalipsky S (1995) Chemistry of polyethylene glycol conjugates
	with biologically active molecules. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 16:157–182. doi:
	10.1016/0169-409X(95)00023-Z
50.	Yoon HY, Son S, Lee SJ, et al (2014) Glycol chitosan nanoparticles as
	specialized cancer therapeutic vehicles: sequential delivery of doxorubicin
	and Bcl-2 siRNA. Sci Rep 4:6878. doi: 10.1038/srep06878
51.	Zhang Y, Peng L, Mumper RJ, Huang L (2013) Combinational delivery of
	c-myc siRNA and nucleoside analogs in a single, synthetic
	nanocarrier for targeted cancer therapy. Biomaterials 34:8459–68.
	doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.07.050
52.	Zhang Y, Schwerbrock NM, Rogers AB, et al (2013) Codelivery of VEGF
	siRNA and gemcitabine monophosphate in a single nanoparticle
	formulation for effective treatment of NSCLC. Mol Ther 21:1559-69
	doi: 10.1038/mt.2013.120

53.	Adema AD, Bijnsdorp I V, Sandvold ML, et al (2009) Innovations and
	opportunities to improve conventional (deoxy)nucleoside and
	fluoropyrimidine analogs in cancer. Curr Med Chem 16:4632-43.
54.	Peters GJ (2014) Novel Developments in the Use of Antimetabolites.
	Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids 33:358-374. doi:
	10.1080/15257770.2014.894197
55.	Hooijberg JH, de Vries NA, Kaspers GJL, et al (2006) Multidrug resistance
	proteins and folate supplementation: therapeutic implications for antifolates
	and other classes of drugs in cancer treatment. Cancer Chemother
	Pharmacol 58:1-12. doi: 10.1007/s00280-005-0141-1
56.	Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS (2003) Congestive heart failure in patients
	treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials. Cancer
	97:2869–79. doi: 10.1002/cncr.11407
57.	Gottesman MM, Pastan IH (2015) The Role of Multidrug Resistance Efflux
	Pumps in Cancer: Revisiting a JNCI Publication Exploring Expression of the
	MDR1 (P-glycoprotein) Gene. J Natl Cancer Inst 107:djv222.
	doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv222
58.	Nobili S, Landini I, Mazzei T, Mini E (2012) Overcoming tumor multidrug
	resistance using drugs able to evade P-glycoprotein or to exploit its
	expression. Med Res Rev 32:1220-62. doi: 10.1002/med.20239
59.	Lemos C, Jansen G, Peters GJ (2008) Drug transporters: recent advances
	concerning BCRP and tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Br J Cancer 98:857-862.
	doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604213
60.	Cruz LJ, Tacken PJ, Bonetto F, et al (2011) Multimodal Imaging of
	Nanovaccine Carriers Targeted to Human Dendritic Cells. Mol Pharm
	8:520-531. doi: 10.1021/mp100356k
61.	Cruz LJ, Tacken PJ, Zeelenberg IS, et al (2014) Tracking Targeted Bimodal
	Nanovaccines: Immune Responses and Routing in Cells, Tissue, and Whole
	Organism. Mol Pharm 11:4299–4313. doi: 10.1021/mp400717r
62.	Cruz LJ, Tacken PJ, Eich C, et al (2017) Controlled release of antigen and
	Toll-like receptor ligands from PLGA nanoparticles enhances
	immunogenicity. Nanomedicine 12:491–510. doi: 10.2217/nnm-2016-0295
63.	Dong X, Mattingly CA, Tseng MT, et al (2009) Doxorubicin and paclitaxel-
	loaded lipid-based nanoparticles overcome multidrug resistance
	by inhibiting P-glycoprotein and depleting ATP. Cancer Res 69:3918–26.
	doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2747
64.	Liang X-J, Meng H, Wang Y, et al (2010) Metallofullerene nanoparticles
	circumvent tumor resistance to cisplatin by reactivating endocytosis.
	Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:7449-54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909707107

- Zeng X, Morgenstern R, Nyström AM (2014) Nanoparticle-directed subcellular localization of doxorubicin and the sensitization breast cancer cells by circumventing GST-mediated drug resistance. Biomaterials 35:1227–39. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.10.042
- Xu L, Li H, Wang Y, et al (2014) Enhanced activity of doxorubicin in drug resistant A549 tumor cells by encapsulation of P-glycoprotein inhibitor in PLGA-based nanovectors. Oncol Lett 7:387–392. doi: 10.3892/ol.2013.1711
- 67. Sa G, Das T (2008) Anti cancer effects of curcumin: cycle of life and death. Cell Div 3:14. doi: 10.1186/1747-1028-3-14
- Choi BH, Kim CG, Lim Y, et al (2008) Curcumin down-regulates the multidrug-resistance mdr1b gene by inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/NF kappa B pathway. Cancer Lett 259:111–8. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2007.10.003
- Chearwae W, Wu C-P, Chu H-Y, et al (2006) Curcuminoids purified from turmeric powder modulate the function of human multidrug resistance protein 1 (ABCC1). Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 57:376–88. doi: 10.1007/ s00280-005-0052-1
- Chearwae W, Anuchapreeda S, Nandigama K, et al (2004) Biochemical mechanism of modulation of human P-glycoprotein (ABCB1) by curcumin I, II, and III purified from Turmeric powder. Biochem Pharmacol 68:2043–52. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2004.07.009
- Aggarwal BB, Shishodia S, Takada Y, et al (2005) Curcumin suppresses the paclitaxel-induced nuclear factor-kappaB pathway in breast cancer cells and inhibits lung metastasis of human breast cancer in nude mice. Clin Cancer Res 11:7490–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-1192
- 72. Limtrakul P, Chearwae W, Shukla S, et al (2007) Modulation of function of three ABC drug transporters, P-glycoprotein (ABCB1), mitoxantrone resistance protein (ABCG2) and multidrug resistance protein 1 (ABCC1) by tetrahydrocurcumin, a major metabolite of curcumin. Mol Cell Biochem 296:85–95. doi: 10.1007/s11010-006-9302-8
- Sharma RA, McLelland HR, Hill KA, et al (2001) Pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic study of oral Curcuma extract in patients with colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 7:1894–900.
- Pramanik D, Campbell NR, Das S, et al (2012) A composite polymer nanoparticle overcomes multidrug resistance and ameliorates doxorubicin-associated cardiomyopathy. Oncotarget 3:640–50.
- Duan J, Mansour HM, Zhang Y, et al (2012) Reversion of multidrug resistance by co-encapsulation of doxorubicin and curcumin in chitosan/ poly(butyl cyanoacrylate) nanoparticles. Int J Pharm 426:193–201. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.01.020
- Pene F, Courtine E, Cariou A, Mira J-P (2009) Toward theragnostics. Crit Care Med 37:S50-8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181921349

77.	Bao G, Mitragotri S, Tong S (2013) Multifunctional nanoparticles for drug
	delivery and molecular imaging. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 15:253–82. doi:
	10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071812-152409
78.	Kawakami Y, Yaguchi T, Sumimoto H, et al (2013) Cancer-induced
	immunosuppressive cascades and their reversal by molecular-targeted
	therapy. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1284:80–6. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12094
79.	Rahimian S, Fransen MF, Kleinovink JW, et al (2015) Polymeric
	microparticles for sustained and local delivery of antiCD40 and
	antiCTLA-4 in immunotherapy of cancer. Biomaterials 61:33–40. doi:
	10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.04.043
80.	Lu W, Xiong C, Zhang R, et al (2012) Receptor-mediated transcytosis: a
	mechanism for active extravascular transport of nanoparticles in solid
	tumors. J Control Release 161:959-66. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.05.014
81.	Gao W, Wang Z, Lv L, et al (2016) Photodynamic Therapy Induced
	Enhancement of Tumor Vasculature Permeability Using an Upconversion
	Nanoconstruct for Improved Intratumoral Nanoparticle Delivery in Deep
	Tissues. Theranostics 6:1131-44. doi: 10.7150/thno.15262
82.	Zhen Z, Tang W, Chuang Y-J, et al (2014) Tumor Vasculature Targeted
	Photodynamic Therapy for Enhanced Delivery of Nanoparticles.
	ACS Nano 8:6004-6013. doi: 10.1021/nn501134q
83.	Ashton S, Song YH, Nolan J, et al (2016) Aurora kinase inhibitor
	nanoparticles target tumors with favorable therapeutic index in
	vivo. Sci. Transl. Med. 8:
84.	Altintas I, Heukers R, van der Meel R, et al (2013) Nanobody-albumin
	nanoparticles (NANAPs) for the delivery of a multikinase inhibitor 17864
	to EGFR overexpressing tumor cells. J Control Release
	165:110-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.11.007
85.	Lam ATN, Yoon J, Ganbold E-O, et al (2014) Adsorption and desorption
	of tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib on gold nanoparticles. J Colloid
	Interface Sci 425:96-101. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2014.03.032
86.	Lam ATN, Yoon J, Ganbold E-O, et al (2014) Colloidal gold nanoparticle
	conjugates of gefitinib. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 123:61-7. doi: 10.1016/j.
	colsurfb.2014.08.021
87.	Zhang X, Xie L, Zheng M, et al (2015) Aurora kinase inhibitors attached to
	iron oxide nanoparticles enhances inhibition of the growth of liver cancer
	cells. J Nanoparticle Res 17:247. doi: 10.1007/s11051-014-2708-4
88.	Uckun FM, Dibirdik I, Qazi S, Yiv S (2010) Therapeutic nanoparticle
	constructs of a JAK3 tyrosine kinase inhibitor against human B-lineage ALL
	cells. Arzneimittelforschung 60:210-7. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1296275

89.	Dibirdik I, Yiv S, Qazi S, M. Uckun F (2010) In vivo Anti-Cancer Activity of a Liposomal Nanoparticle Construct of Multifunctional Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor 4-(4'-Hydroxyphenyl)-Amino-6,7-Dimethoxyquinazoline. J
90.	Uckun FM, Myers DE, Cheng J, Qazi S (2015) Liposomal Nanoparticles of a Spleen Tyrosine Kinase P-Site Inhibitor Amplify the Potency of Low Dose Total Body Irradiation Against Aggressive B-Precursor Leukemia and Yield Superior Survival Outcomes in Mice. EBioMedicine 2:554–562. doi:
	10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.04.005
91.	Myers DE, Yiv S, Qazi S, et al (2014) CD19-antigen specific nanoscale liposomal formulation of a SYK P-site inhibitor causes apoptotic destruction of human B-precursor leukemia cells. Integr Biol (Camb) 6:766–80. doi: 10.1039/c4ib00095a
92.	Uckun FM, Qazi S, Cely I, et al (2013) Nanoscale liposomal formulation of a SYK P-site inhibitor against B-precursor leukemia. Blood 121:4348–54. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-11-470633
93.	Dreaden EC, Kong YW, Morton SW, et al (2015) Tumor-Targeted Synergistic Blockade of MAPK and PI3K from a Layer-by-Layer Nanoparticle. Clin Cancer Res 1078-0432.CCR-15-0013-, doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0013
94.	Palvai S, More P, Mapara N, et al (2016) Self-Assembled Oleic Acid Nanoparticle Mediated Inhibition of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling in Combination with DNA Damage in Cancer Cells.
95.	Harfouche R, Basu S, Soni S, et al (2009) Nanoparticle-mediated targeting of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase signaling inhibits angiogenesis. Angiogenesis 12:325–338. doi: 10.1007/s10456-009-9154-4
96.	Vrignaud S, Hureaux J, Wack S, et al (2012) Design, optimization and in vitro evaluation of reverse micelle-loaded lipid nanocarriers containing erlotinib hydrochloride. Int J Pharm 436:194–200. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.06.026
97.	Saad M, Garbuzenko OB, Minko T (2008) Co-delivery of siRNA and an anticancer drug for treatment of multidrug-resistant cancer. Nanomedicine (Lond) 3:761–76. doi: 10.2217/17435889.3.6.761
98.	Chen Y, Wu JJ, Huang L (2010) Nanoparticles targeted with NGR motif deliver c-myc siRNA and doxorubicin for anticancer therapy. Mol Ther
99.	Chen Y, Bathula SR, Li J, Huang L (2010) Multifunctional nanoparticles delivering small interfering RNA and doxorubicin overcome drug resistance in cancer. J Biol Chem 285:22639–50. doi: 10.1074/ibc.M110.125906
100.	Chen Y, Zhu X, Zhang X, et al (2010) Nanoparticles modified with tumor- targeting scFv deliver siRNA and miRNA for cancer therapy. Mol Ther 18:1650–6. doi: 10.1038/mt.2010.136

101.	Shim G, Han S-E, Yu Y-H, et al (2011) Trilysinoyl oleylamide-based cationic
	liposomes for systemic co-delivery of siRNA and an anticancer drug. J
	Control Release 155:60-66. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2010.10.017
102.	Garbuzenko OB, Saad M, Pozharov VP, et al (2010) Inhibition of lung
	tumor growth by complex pulmonary delivery of drugs with
	oligonucleotides as suppressors of cellular resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
	S A 107:10737-42. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004604107
103.	Ko YT, Falcao C, Torchilin VP (2009) Cationic Liposomes Loaded with
	Proapoptotic Peptide d -(KLAKLAK) 2 and Bcl-2 Antisense
	Oligodeoxynucleotide G3139 for Enhanced Anticancer Therapy. Mol Pharm
	6:971-977. doi: 10.1021/mp900006h
104.	Deng ZJ, Morton SW, Ben-Akiva E, et al (2013) Layer-by-Layer
	Nanoparticles for Systemic Codelivery of an Anticancer Drug and siRNA
	for Potential Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Treatment. ACS Nano 7:9571-
105	Soot, doi: 10.1021/111404/S25
105.	a multifunctional papeassembly system for the co-delivery of depotavel and
	iSur pDNA in a mouse hepatocollular carcinama model. Biomatorials
	21:016 22 doi: 10.1016/i biomotorials 2000.00.102
106	Liu X O, Xiong M H, Shu X T, et al (2012) Therapoutic delivery of siPNA
100.	silencing HIE-1 alpha with micellar nanonarticles inhibits hypoxic tumor
	arowth Mol Pharm 9/2863-74 doi: 10.1021/mp300193f
107	Sun T-M Du I-Z Vao V-D et al (2011) Simultaneous delivery of siBNA and
1071	naclitaxel via a "two-in-one" micellenlex promotes synergistic tumor
	suppression ACS Nano 5:1483–94 doi: 10.1021/pn103349h
108	MacDiarmid IA Amaro-Mugridge NB Madrid-Weiss L et al (2009)
1001	Sequential treatment of drug-resistant tumors with targeted minicells
	containing siBNA or a cytotoxic drug. Nat Biotechnol 27:643-651 doi:
	10.1038/nbt.1547
109.	Tang S. Yin O. Su J. et al (2015) Inhibition of metastasis and growth of
	breast cancer by pH-sensitive poly (B -amino ester) nanoparticles
	co-delivering two siBNA and paclitaxel. Biomaterials 48:1-15.
	doi: 10.1016/i.biomaterials.2015.01.049
110.	Zhang L, Lu Z, Zhao O, et al (2011) Enhanced chemotherapy efficacy by
	sequential delivery of siBNA and anticancer drugs using PEI-grafted
	graphene oxide, Small 7:460–4, doi: 10.1002/smll.201001522
111.	Yaday S. van Vlerken LE. Little SR. Amiji MM (2009) Evaluations of
	combination MDR-1 gene silencing and paclitaxel administration in
	biodegradable polymeric nanoparticle formulations to overcome multidrug
	resistance in cancer cells. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 63:711–22.
	doi: 10.1007/s00280-008-0790-y
	-

112.	Patil YB, Swaminathan SK, Sadhukha T, et al (2010) The use of
	nanoparticle-mediated targeted gene silencing and drug delivery
	to overcome tumor drug resistance. Biomaterials 31:358-65.
	doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.09.048
113.	Sureban SM, May R, Mondalek FG, et al (2011) Nanoparticle-based
	delivery of siDCAMKL-1 increases microRNA-144 and inhibits colorectal
	cancer tumor growth via a Notch-1 dependent mechanism.
	J Nanobiotechnology 9:40. doi: 10.1186/1477-3155-9-40
114.	Xu X, Xie K, Zhang X-Q, et al (2013) Enhancing tumor cell response to
	chemotherapy through nanoparticle-mediated codelivery of siRNA
	and cisplatin prodrug. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:18638-43. doi: 10.1073/
	pnas.1303958110
115.	Chen AM, Zhang M, Wei D, et al (2009) Co-delivery of doxorubicin and Bcl-
	2 siRNA by mesoporous silica nanoparticles enhances the efficacy of
	chemotherapy in multidrug-resistant cancer cells. Small 5:2673–7. doi:
	10.1002/smll.200900621
116.	Meng H, Liong M, Xia T, et al (2010) Engineered design of mesoporous
	silica nanoparticles to deliver doxorubicin and P-glycoprotein siRNA to
	overcome drug resistance in a cancer cell line. ACS Nano 4:4539-50.
	doi: 10.1021/nn100690m
117.	Ding J, Liang T, Zhou Y, et al (2017) Hyaluronidase-triggered anticancer
	drug and siRNA delivery from cascaded targeting nanoparticles for
	drug-resistant breast cancer therapy. Nano Res 10:690–703.
	doi: 10.1007/s12274-016-1328-y
118.	Wang Y, Gao S, Ye W-H, et al (2006) Co-delivery of drugs and DNA from
	cationic core-shell nanoparticles self-assembled from a biodegradable
	copolymer. Nat Mater Publ online 24 Sept 2006; doi101038/nmat1737
	5:791. doi: 10.1038/nmat1737
119.	Han L, Huang R, Li J, et al (2011) Plasmid pORF-hTRAIL and doxorubicin
	co-delivery targeting to tumor using peptide-conjugated polyamidoamine
	dendrimer. Biomaterials 32:1242–1252. doi: 10.1016/jbiomaterials.2010.09.070
120.	Ganta S, Amiji M (2009) Coadministration of Paclitaxel and curcumin in
	nanoemulsion formulations to overcome multidrug resistance in tumor
	cells. Mol Pharm 6:928–39. doi: 10.1021/mp800240j
121.	Jiang T, Mo R, Bellotti A, et al (2014) Gel-Liposome-Mediated Co-Delivery of
	Anticancer Membrane-Associated Proteins and Small-Molecule Drugs
	for Enhanced Therapeutic Efficacy. Adv Funct Mater 24:2295–2304. doi:
	10.1002/adfm.201303222
122.	Jiang T, Sun W, Zhu Q, et al (2015) Furin-Mediated Sequential Delivery of
	Anticancer Cytokine and Small-Molecule Drug Shuttled by Graphene. Adv
	Mater 27:1021–1028. doi: 10.1002/adma.201404498

123.	Zhao X, Chen Q, Liu W, et al (2015) Codelivery of doxorubicin and curcumin
	with lipid nanoparticles results in improved efficacy of chemotherapy in
	liver cancer. Int J Nanomedicine 10:257–70. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S73322
124.	Barui S, Saha S, Mondal G, et al (2014) Simultaneous delivery of
	doxorubicin and curcumin encapsulated in liposomes of pegylated
	RGDK-lipopeptide to tumor vasculature. Biomaterials 35:1643–56. doi:
	10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.10.074
125.	Li N, Zhang C-X, Wang X-X, et al (2013) Development of targeting
	lonidamine liposomes that circumvent drug-resistant cancer by acting
	on mitochondrial signaling pathways. Biomaterials 34:3366-80. doi:
	10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.01.055
126.	Guo L, Fan L, Ren J, et al (2011) A novel combination of TRAIL and
	doxorubicin enhances antitumor effect based on passive tumor-targeting of
	liposomes. Nanotechnology 22:265105. doi: 10.1088/0957-
	4484/22/26/265105
127.	Zhang Q, Ran R, Zhang L, et al (2015) Simultaneous delivery of therapeutic
	antagomirs with paclitaxel for the management of metastatic tumors by a
	pH-responsive anti-microbial peptide-mediated liposomal delivery system.
	J Control Release 197:208–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.11.010
128.	Liu Y, Li L-L, Qi G-B, et al (2014) Dynamic disordering of liposomal cocktails
	and the spatio-temporal favorable release of cargoes to circumvent drug
	resistance. Biomaterials 35:3406–15. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.12.089
129.	Wang B-L, Shen Y, Zhang Q, et al (2013) Codelivery of curcumin and
	doxorubicin by MPEG-PCL results in improved efficacy of systemically
	administered chemotherapy in mice with lung cancer. Int J Nanomedicine
	8:3521-31. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S45250
130.	Duan X, Xiao J, Yin Q, et al (2013) Smart pH-Sensitive and Temporal-
	Controlled Polymeric Micelles for Effective Combination Therapy of
	Doxorubicin and Disulfiram. ACS Nano 7:5858-5869.
	doi: 10.1021/nn4010796
131.	Guo S, Lv L, Shen Y, et al (2016) A nanoparticulate pre-chemosensitizer for
	efficacious chemotherapy of multidrug resistant breast cancer. Sci Rep
	6:21459. doi: 10.1038/srep21459
132.	Milane L, Duan Z, Amiji M (2011) Development of EGFR-targeted polymer
	blend nanocarriers for combination paclitaxel/lonidamine delivery to treat
	multi-drug resistance in human breast and ovarian tumor cells. Mol Pharm
	8:185–203. doi: 10.1021/mp1002653
133.	Milane L, Duan Z, Amiji M (2011) Therapeutic efficacy and safety of
	paclitaxel/lonidamine loaded EGFR-targeted nanoparticles for the
	treatment of multi-drug resistant cancer. PLoS One 6:e24075. doi:
	10.1371/journal.pone.0024075

134.	Acharya S, Dilnawaz F, Sahoo SK (2009) Targeted epidermal growth
	factor receptor nanoparticle bioconjugates for breast cancer therapy.
	Biomaterials 30:5737-50. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.07.008
135.	Das M, Sahoo SK (2012) Folate decorated dual drug loaded nanoparticle:
	role of curcumin in enhancing therapeutic potential of nutlin-3a
	by reversing multidrug resistance. PLoS One 7:e32920. doi: 10.1371/journal.
	pone.0032920
136.	Chenna V, Hu C, Pramanik D, et al (2012) A polymeric nanoparticle
	encapsulated small-molecule inhibitor of Hedgehog signaling (NanoHHI)
	bypasses secondary mutational resistance to Smoothened antagonists.
	Mol Cancer Ther 11:165-73. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0341
137.	Xu Y, Chenna V, Hu C, et al (2012) Polymeric nanoparticle-encapsulated
	hedgehog pathway inhibitor HPI-1 (NanoHHI) inhibits systemic metastases
	in an orthotopic model of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer
	Res 18:1291-302. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0950
138.	Misra R, Sahoo SK (2011) Coformulation of Doxorubicin and Curcumin
	in Poly(d,I-lactide-co-glycolide) Nanoparticles Suppresses the
	Development of Multidrug Resistance in K562 Cells.
139.	Patil Y, Sadhukha T, Ma L, Panyam J (2009) Nanoparticle-mediated
	simultaneous and targeted delivery of paclitaxel and tariquidar overcomes
	tumor drug resistance. J Control Release 136:21-29. doi: 10.1016/j.
	jconrel.2009.01.021
140.	Ediriwickrema A, Zhou J, Deng Y, Saltzman WM (2014) Multi-layered
	nanoparticles for combination gene and drug delivery to tumors.
	Biomaterials 35:9343-54. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.07.043
141.	Zhao Y, Huan M, Liu M, et al (2016) Doxorubicin and resveratrol co-delivery
	nanoparticle to overcome doxorubicin resistance. Sci Rep 6:35267. doi: 10.1038/srep35267

264