



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The scholarly self under threat: language of vice in British scholarship (1870-1910)

Saarloos, J.J.L.

Citation

Saarloos, J. J. L. (2021, June 24). *The scholarly self under threat: language of vice in British scholarship (1870-1910)*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191982>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191982>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3191982> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Saarloos, J.J.L.

Title: The scholarly self under threat: language of vice in British scholarship (1870-1910)

Issue Date: 2021-06-24

The scholarly self under threat:
Language of vice in British scholarship (1870 –1910)

LÉJON SAARLOOS

The scholarly self under threat:
Language of vice in British scholarship (1870 –1910)

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 24 juni 2021
klokke 10.00 uur

door

JACOBUS JOHANNES LEENDERT SAARLOOS

geboren te Middelharnis
in 1988

PROMOTORES

Prof.dr. H.J. Paul

Prof.dr. H.S. Jones (University of Manchester)

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE Prof.dr. M.K. Baár

Prof.dr. J.A.E.F. van Dongen

(University of Amsterdam)

Dr. H.L. Ellis (University of Sheffield)

Dr. W.G. Ruberg (Utrecht University)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am incredibly proud of this achievement, but without the support of others this dissertation would never have been written. I count myself lucky to have received help from so many directions and from so many people. It is to all of you that I extend my heartfelt thanks.

To start, I want to thank the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) for funding my position within the research project *The Scholarly Self: Character, Habit, and Virtue in the Humanities, 1860-1930*. I thank the Institute for History at Leiden University for hosting this project.

For their commitment, their support and their time, I want to thank my supervisors Prof.dr. Herman Paul at Leiden University and Prof.dr. Stuart Jones at Manchester University. Stuart: thank you for your kind support, your invaluable feedback and your great hospitality in Manchester. Herman: thank you for all your insights, your kind words of support, and your commitment to bringing this to a conclusion. I have learnt a lot from you.

Over the course of the past years, I have received advice and help from many scholars. It is impossible to list each and every one of you, but I thank you all sincerely. In particular, I would like to thank my closest colleagues Katharina Manteufel and Dr. Christiaan Engberts. It has been a privilege to share an office and a struggle with you. For giving valuable advice on parts of this dissertation, I thank Prof.dr. Matthew Stanley at New York University, Prof.dr. Jeroen van Dongen at University of Amsterdam, Dr. Marco de Waard at Amsterdam University College, Dr. Dirk van Miert at Utrecht University, and Dr. Heather Ellis at Sheffield University. Many thanks also to the staff of the Special Collections at the John Rylands Library in Manchester, and to Dr. James Peters in

particular. I thank Dr. Patrick Dassen for teaching me how to teach at the university, and my students for making teaching so enjoyable. I also want to thank Dr. Willemijn Ruberg and Dr. Joes Segal for their kind advice when, at the end of my master's, I started thinking about a PhD.

For editing this dissertation, I thank Debbie Baker. I thank Onessa Novak for designing this manuscript and getting it ready for print. Many thanks to Daniël Labruyère for the beautiful illustrations that adorn the cover of this dissertation.

Completing this dissertation would have been unthinkable without the support of friends and family. I am grateful to all of you. I want to mention a few people in particular. First of all, I thank my parents Anja and Leen for their infinite kindness and unconditional support. I would like to thank Bastiaan and Alessandra for making the pursuit of history exceptionally enjoyable from the very start. I am looking forward to reading your dissertations. Many thanks to Maarten, Rijndert, Daniël, Bert and Tom. I could not wish for better friends and your support means the world to me. Thanks to all Henca's and everyone in Solon for offering a listening ear and much needed distractions.

Finally, I want to thank Juul. There would be no dissertation without you being there along the way. I am incredibly grateful for your love, support and encouragement. You pulled me through. Now that it is done, I look forward to finding out what comes after a PhD, together.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Vices in historiography: public morality	4
Vices in historiography: epistemology	7
Historiographical relevance and research question	10
The context of late Victorian and early Edwardian Britain	15
Two reasons for the importance of vices	19
Methodology: a cultural history of scholarship	21
Chapter outline and sources	33
1. VIRTUES AND VICES IN ACADEMIC MEMORY CULTURE	38
Introduction	38
Corpus and method	45
Uselessness	50
Enthusiasm	55
Prejudice	63
Money	67
Fame	73
Distraction	78
Remedy #1: balance	83
Remedy #2: a love of science	85
Conclusion: six dangers, two remedies	88
2. EDWARD FRANKLAND AND MORAL INSTRUCTION	90
A young chemist in the metropolis of vice	90
London and distraction	94
Historiography: moral instruction and academic socialisation	102
Avarice	109
Selfishness and the civilisation process	117
Conclusion: mechanisms of moral instruction	122

3. PETER GUTHRIE TAIT AND THE VICTORIAN IMAGINATION	130
Introduction	130
Imagination	133
Peter Guthrie Tait and the image of Newton	137
Tait in historiography	146
Joule's experiments versus Mayer's genius	149
Tait versus Tyndall	158
Tait versus Ingleby	168
Tait versus Spencer	174
Conclusion: mapmaking and the imagination	181
4. FREDERICK JAMES FURNIVALL AND SOCIAL VICE	188
Introduction	188
The New Shakspeare Society and Frederick James Furnivall	198
Furnivall versus Fleay	203
Furnivall versus Swinburne	209
Furnivall versus Halliwell-Phillipps	221
Conclusion: social vices and scholarly cooperation	226
CONCLUSION	231
Why was the category of vice so important to British scholars around 1900?	231
Vices in historiography	235
Limitations and future research	240
BIBLIOGRAPHY	245
SUMMARY IN DUTCH	286
CURRICULUM VITAE	296

