Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A FRET-based biosensor for NO detection

Strianese, M.; De Martino, F.; Pavone, V.; Lombardi, A.; Canters, G.W.; Pellecchia, C.

Citation

Strianese, M., De Martino, F., Pavone, V., Lombardi, A., Canters, G. W., & Pellecchia, C. (2010). A FRET-based biosensor for NO detection. *Journal Of Inorganic Biochemistry*, 104(6), 619-624. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2010.02.002

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licensed under Article 25fa Copyright Act/Law \(Amendment Taverne\)](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3192254>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

A FRET-based biosensor for NO detection

Maria Strianese^a, Franco De Martino^a, Vincenzo Pavone^c, Angela Lombardi^c, Gerard W. Canters^{b,*}, Claudio Pellecchia^{a,*}

^a Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Salerno, via Ponte Don Melillo, Fisciano (Sa), I84084 Italy

^b Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands

^c Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Napoli, Via Cintia 45, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 April 2009

Received in revised form 17 February 2010

Accepted 19 February 2010

Available online 2 March 2010

Keywords:

Heme proteins

Biosensors

Fluorescence

FRET

Sol–gel processes

ABSTRACT

In this paper we explore the use of fluorescently labeled cytochrome *c* peroxidase (CcP) from baker's yeast for monitoring nitric oxide (NO) down to the sub-micromolar level, by means of a FRET (Förster Resonance Energy Transfer) mechanism. The binding affinity constant (K_d) for the NO binding to CcP was determined to be $10 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{M}$. The rate of NO dissociation from the CcP (k_{off}) and the second order rate constant for the NO association (k_{on}) were found to be $0.22 \pm 0.08 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $0.024 \pm 0.002 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ respectively. The immobilization of fluorescently labeled CcP into a polymeric matrix for use in a solid state NO sensing device was also explored. The results provide proof-of-principle that labeled CcP can be successfully implemented in a fast, simple, quantitative and sensitive NO sensing device.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 1992, nitric oxide (NO) was selected by the journal “Science” as “Molecule of the year” [1]. This reflected the discovery that NO plays a crucial role in a variety of biological processes [2]. At low concentrations, NO regulates vasodilation in the circulatory system and serves as a messenger in the immune and nervous systems [3]. At micromolar concentrations NO can lead to carcinogenic and neurodegenerative disorders [4,5]. Furthermore NO is a ubiquitous by-product of high-temperature combustion [6] and one of the hazardous exhaust gases generated by motor vehicles [7]. Emissions of these gases cause local and global environmental problems such as acid rain, greenhouse effects, destruction of the ozone layer and air pollution.

With such diverse interests in NO, there is a pressing need for methods to detect NO in both aqueous and gaseous media [8]. Currently available technologies to monitor NO levels are based among others on chemiluminescent instrumentation [9], amperometry [10], EPR spectroscopy [11], colorimetric assays or porphyrin based fluorescent compounds [12]. While each technique has its own advantages [8,13,14] there remains a need for simple to implement, cheap NO sensors that can be used repeatedly [15].

With fluorescence-based methods one may, in principle, be able to avoid some of the limitations of existing methodologies. In 1998 the first

example of a fluorescence-based system for sensing NO was reported. The method makes use of cytochrome *c'* immobilized on gold nanobeads as sensing material. The sensor operates in a reversible way and the reported detection limit was $20 \mu\text{M}$ [16]. One year later the same group improved the performance of this sensor by employing fluorescent ratiometric measurements. The improved sensor consisted of fluorescently labeled cytochrome *c'* incorporated within polystyrene nanobeads labeled with a fluorescent reference dye, whose emission allowed ratiometric (two wavelengths) measurements. Compared to the previous construct, the detection limit of the sensor was lowered to $8 \mu\text{M}$ [17]. A relevant application of this NO sensing system is the measurement of NO produced by macrophages [17,18]. The system relies on a FRET-based (Förster Resonance Energy Transfer) mechanism by which the dye fluorescence is attenuated as the protein binds NO. The observed fluorescence changes were ascribed [16] to conformational alterations in cyt *c'* induced by NO binding. This type of sensor is commonly referred to as a “turn-off” sensor since it exploits the quenching of the dye fluorescence upon analyte binding.

FRET-based ratiometric fiber-optic NO sensors have been prepared with the heme domain of soluble guanylate cyclase [18]. They also belong to the family of the “turn-off” sensors, and function in a manner analogous to the biosensors prepared with cytochrome *c'*. With a genetically encoded fluorescent indicator, NOA-1, physiological nanomolar dynamics of NO in single living cells have been reported as detectable [19]. The sensing system is suitable for monitoring NO release by endothelial cells, neurons and macrophages [19].

Fluorescent detection of NO has also been achieved with the commercially available organic molecules *o*-diaminonaphthalene

* Corresponding authors. Pellecchia is to be contacted at Tel.: +39 089 969576; fax: +39 089 969603. Canters, Tel.: +31 71 527 4256; fax: +31 71 527 4349.

E-mail addresses: canters@chem.leidenuniv.nl (G.W. Canters), cpellecchia@unisa.it (C. Pellecchia).

(DAN) and the o-diamino-fluoresceins (DAFs) [13]. Their fluorescence depends on the formation of a triazole species by oxidized NO products (e.g. N_2O_3), which means that the NO is detected in an indirect way. The theoretical detection limit for these constructs amounts to 10 nM [13], although it remains to be established what the detection limit will be under practical circumstances like in a cell supernatant.

Recently we and other groups have reported that it is possible to detect oxygen using a type-3 copper protein labeled with a fluorescent dye, by means of a FRET mechanism [20,21]. To design an NO sensor along the same principles, we extended the FRET-based approach to cytochrome c peroxidase from baker's yeast (CcP), making use of the fact that its optical characteristics are different in the presence and absence of NO. CcP is a soluble 34-kDa heme protein, located in the intermembrane space of yeast mitochondria, which is structurally, spectroscopically and functionally well characterized [22,23]. Resting state CcP (Fe^{III}) contains a noncovalently bound heme with a five-coordinate, high spin iron ($S = 5/2$). The sixth coordination position is vacant, allowing ligands like NO to bind [22].

The overall properties of CcP make it an attractive candidate for developing a “turn on” [14] FRET-based NO biosensor. In particular, while the absorption spectrum of CcP exhibits a characteristic high spin marker band at 645 nm ($\epsilon = 3 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) [23], the binding of NO leads to the disappearance of this band [24] (see Fig. 1A). By attaching a fluorescent label to the protein, whose emission spectrum overlaps with the 645 nm band of the protein, this change in absorption upon NO binding can be translated into a change of fluorescence intensity of the label through a FRET mechanism. That is to say, when the protein is in the NO-free state, the label fluorescence becomes (partially) quenched as a result of FRET to the 645 nm band. As soon as NO binds to CcP, the latter band disappears and the energy absorbed by the label is emitted as fluorescence, thus causing an increase in fluorescence. In this way the fluorescent dye acts as a passive “beacon” which is “off” in the NO-free state and “on” in the NO-bound state of the protein. Two different labels, whose emission spectra overlap with the 645 nm spin marker band of CcP, were selected for this study: Cy5 and Atto620. The overlap of the 645 nm high spin marker band of CcP with the emission spectrum of either Atto620 or Cy5 can be judged from Fig. 1B.

Several research groups have examined the interaction of CcP with small ligands other than NO (e.g., CN^- , F^- , and CO) [25,26]. Some of the complexes formed upon interaction of CcP with small ligands were found not to be stable; for example the dioxygen adduct of CcP has a half life of 200 ms at 23 °C [27]. By contrast, NO is one of the most powerful strong field ligands, which occurs on the right end of the

spectrochemical series [28,29]. One may expect, therefore, that the CcP–NO complex will exhibit excellent stability, which is clearly borne out when comparing, for example, the dissociation constant of the CcP– F^- complex (305 μM) [26] with that of the CcP–NO complex (10 μM , this work). This suggests that the binding of NO to CcP is strongly preferred over other analytes. This was a further reason to select CcP for implementation in an NO biosensor.

In this contribution we report on the use of CcP fluorescently labeled at the N-terminus. We present data showing that the system is efficiently working in solution as a fluorescence-based NO sensor, allowing measurements in any kind of standard fluorescence measuring device. Furthermore we show that the sensing system operates also when labeled CcP is immobilized into a silica matrix, providing a more stable and, above all, reusable biosensor.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General

CcP was prepared following literature procedures [22]. The purity of the CcP was checked spectrophotometrically by measuring the ratio of the absorbances at 408 nm and 280 nm or at 408 nm and 308 nm and comparing them with literature values ($A_{408}/A_{280} = 1.28$ or $A_{408}/A_{308} = 1.57$) [22]. Cy5 NHS-ester was purchased from Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg Germany) and Atto620 NHS-ester was purchased from ATTO-TEC Biolabeling and Ultraanalytics (Siegen, Germany). Stock solutions of the dyes (50 mM) were prepared by dissolving the powders in water-free DMSO. TMOS (tetramethyl orthosilicate) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich. $NaNO_2$, $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and H_2SO_4 (37% solution) were bought from Sigma Aldrich.

2.2. Protein labeling

CcP was labeled at the N-terminus with NHS-reactive fluorophors in a roughly 10 times molar excess over the protein concentration in potassium phosphate 100 mM, pH 6.8, using procedures reported in the literature [30]. The constructs were purified using PD-10 gel-filtration columns purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. Labeling ratios were in the range of 0.2–0.9 (dye molecule/protein), as determined from the absorption spectra of the labeled proteins using the extinction coefficients at 280 nm [24] for the protein and at 620 nm for the Atto620 label and at 645 nm for the Cy5 label as stated by the manufacturers, respectively.

Fig. 1. A. Absorption spectrum of NO-free (black) and NO-bound (grey) CcP. Inset: 500–800 nm region of the spectrum with enlarged vertical scale showing the 645 nm high spin marker band of the NO-free CcP (black) and its disappearance in the NO-bound form (grey). B. Absorption spectrum of NO-free CcP (solid line) and emission spectra of Cy5 ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 665 \text{ nm}$) (dotted line) and of Atto620 ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 645 \text{ nm}$) (dashed line). All spectra measured at room temperature. Protein concentration: 8 μM in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8).

2.3. Protein encapsulation in TMOS sol–gel

The preparation of silica gels and the encapsulation of proteins were undertaken with pure TMOS [31]. TMOS (15.22 g) was mixed with milliQ water (3.38 g) in a 1:2 molar ratio followed by the addition of 20 μ L of 10 mM HCl. The reaction mixture was sonicated for 20 min. Upon addition of buffer (potassium phosphate 100 mM, pH 6.8) in a 1:1 (volume) ratio and addition of roughly 1 mL of labeled protein solution (end conc. of protein: 5–10 μ M) the mixture was degassed by bubbling through pure argon. Before gelation 150 μ L of the sol solution was quickly poured onto a home made device (8 $\times$ 30 mm² quartz slide Heraeus 3 quality with a 1 mm thickness) yielding a roughly 0.6 mm thick sol–gel layer on top of the quartz slide. Activation of quartz slides with “Piranha solution” (30% H₂O₂ and concentrated H₂SO₄ in a 1:3 volume ratio) was performed before pouring the sol solution on top. [Caution: “Piranha solution” is highly corrosive and should be handled with extreme care]. Samples were kept sealed with parafilm and allowed to age at 4 °C overnight before use. Methanol, a by-product of the TMOS-based sol–gel process, was removed by washing the samples with potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 6.8) several times.

2.4. Nitric oxide saturated solutions

Saturated solutions of nitrogen monoxide were prepared by bubbling NO gas, produced in situ according to literature procedures [32], through 5 mL of 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.8) for periods of up to 1 h resulting in an approximate concentration of 2 mM at 20 °C [33].

2.5. Absorbance and fluorescence measurements

Absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary-50 Spectrophotometer. For the solution measurements fluorescence spectra and time traces were measured on a Cary Eclipse Spectrophotometer. For the sol–gel measurements fluorescence spectra and time traces were also measured on a Cary Eclipse Spectrophotometer by fixing the quartz slides with a home made device in a 10 $\times$ 10 mm² airtight quartz fluorescence cuvette (Hellma Benelux bv, Rijswijk, Netherlands) and applying front face illumination [34]. Measurements were performed in potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 6.8) at room temperature. High quality argon (<1 ppm O₂) was used to deoxygenate the sample prior to each measurement and to displace NO. For NO titrations, the cuvette was filled with deoxygenated sample solutions, after which μ L amounts of an NO-saturated buffer were injected.

2.6. Förster radius calculations

Values for the Förster radius, R_0 were calculated from the equation [34]

$$R_0 = 0.211 \left(J \kappa^2 n^{-4} \Phi_D \right)^{1/6} (\text{\AA}). \quad (1)$$

Here κ^2 is an orientation factor, n – refractive index, Φ_D – fluorescence quantum yield of the donor and J – spectral overlap integral, defined as $J = \int F_D(\lambda) \epsilon_A(\lambda) \lambda^4 d\lambda / \int F_D(\lambda) d\lambda$, where $F_D(\lambda)$ is the fluorescence intensity of the donor, $\epsilon_A(\lambda)$ – the extinction coefficient in [M^{−1} cm^{−1}] of the acceptor at wavelength λ with λ expressed in nanometers. The refractive index was taken as 1.4 and the orientation factor κ^2 was taken as 2/3 [34,35]. Φ_D for Cy5 was taken as 0.27 [36], for Atto620 as 0.5 (as stated by the manufacturers). The distance (R) from the Cy5 and Atto620 labels to the active site was estimated as $R = [(d+1) \pm 0.5]$ nm (adding 1 nm to the calculated distance d accounts for the approximate length of the linker chain), where d is

the distance from the attachment point of the dye (N-terminus) to the Fe atom in the heme. The distance d was estimated at 3.0 nm from the crystal structure of CcP from the baker's yeast [1ZBY].

2.7. Nano-HPLC-ESI-MS analysis

For the determination of molecular masses samples were analyzed using a Q-TOF instrument, QSTAR Elite (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA/Toronto, Canada) equipped with a nanoflow electrospray ion source. Pulled silica capillary (170 μ m OD/100 μ m ID, tip 30 μ m ID) was used as nanoflow tip. The samples (15 pmol) were loaded, purified and concentrated on a pre-column PepMap, C18, 5 mm length, 300 $\text{\AA}$, (LCPackings, Sunnyvale, CA USA) at 30 μ L/min flow rate. HPLC analysis was performed by Ultimate 3000 (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA USA) using a capillary column, PepMap, C18, 15 cm length, 75 μ m ID, 300 $\text{\AA}$ (LCPackings, Sunnyvale, CA USA), solvent A: 2% ACN in 0.1% formic acid (HCOOH) and 0.025% TFA and solvent B: 98% ACN in 0.1% HCOOH and 0.025% TFA at 300 nL/min flow rate. The following gradient was used: 5–50% B in 30 min, 50–98% B in 6 s. Mass spectra were acquired in the mass range of m/z 300–2000 in positive ion mode. Molecular mass measurements were performed on the top 20 scans of the chromatographically eluted proteins. Analyst QS.2.0 software was used for data acquisition and Bioanalyst QS 2.0 for Bayesian protein reconstruct. Two independent nano-HPLC-ESI-MS experiments were performed for each sample.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fluorescence spectroscopy

CcP was labeled either with Atto620 or with Cy5. The pH of the labeling reaction was chosen so as to favour labeling of the N-terminus over lysine labeling (see Materials and methods). These conditions have been tested for the case of azurin, for which it was confirmed by electrospray MS that the label was exclusively present on the N-terminus [30]. In the present case concentrations were chosen to ensure that the dye-to-protein ratio was less than 1. While a small dye-to-protein ratio affects only the sensitivity of the experiment, a ratio larger than 1 leads to ambiguities in donor–acceptor distances between the attached label and the prosthetic group of the protein. Moreover, it has been found that proteins labeled with multiple amino-reactive labels show a decrease in fluorescence due to mutual resonance energy transfer [37]. To check the number of label molecules per CcP, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry was performed on different Atto620 labeled CcP samples. Only peaks arising from unlabeled and singly labeled proteins were observed, showing that no CcP molecules with multiple labels were present in the samples (see Fig. S1).

To test the system, the fluorescence intensity of N-terminally labeled CcP was monitored as a function of time during a change from an NO-saturated to an NO-free environment. Fig. 2 shows a typical time trace of a solution containing 140 nM of dye-labeled CcP when excited at the absorption maximum ($\lambda = 619$ nm) of the dye (Atto620). In this particular experiment each cycle was started by adding NO to an end concentration of 360 μ M (i.e., in considerable excess over the CcP concentration) and completed by passing argon through the solution for the complete removal of NO. The dye emission was followed at 640 nm.

A fast increase in label emission was clearly observed upon each NO addition. When bubbling through argon to displace the NO, the fluorescence intensity of the label diminished again; the cycle could be repeated many times. This finding showed that the NO binding process is reversible, which is crucial for practical sensing applications. The dye switching ratio (SR) defined by

$$SR \equiv (F_{\text{CcP-NO}} - F_{\text{CcP}}) / F_{\text{CcP-NO}} \quad (2)$$

Fig. 2. Room temperature fluorescence intensity time trace observed at 645 nm (exc 619 nm) of Atto620 labeled CcP, upon addition and removal of NO. The noise in the experimental trace after starting the bubbling of argon is due to the perturbation of the optical path of the measuring beam by the bubbling. Protein concentration: 140 nM in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8).

in which $F_{\text{CCP-NO}}$ and F_{CCP} are the emission intensities of the NO-bound and NO-free protein, is $45 \pm 5\%$. This can be compared with the theoretical value which is given by

$$SR \equiv [E(\text{CcP}) - E(\text{CcP-NO})] / [1 - E(\text{CcP-NO})] \quad (3)$$

where $E(\text{CcP})$ and $E(\text{CcP-NO})$ are the theoretical FRET efficiencies in the NO-free and NO-bound state of CcP. E is given by $E = R_0^6 / (R_0^6 + R^6)$ [34] where R_0 is the Förster radius and R the distance between donor and acceptor. In the present case, the estimated Förster radii for FRET from Atto620 to the heme (see Materials and methods) are 4.2 nm for the NO-free and 3.6 nm for the NO-bound state of CcP, while the donor–acceptor distance from Atto620 to the heme is 3.0 nm (as estimated from the crystal structure of CcP from baker's yeast [1ZBY], see also Fig. 3).

This leads to theoretical transfer efficiencies of 0.88 for the NO-free state and 0.75 for the NO-bound state of CcP and a theoretical SR of 52%. Considering the uncertainties in the orientation factor, κ , (see Eq. (1)) and in the distance R , this value is in good agreement with the experimental value of $45 \pm 5\%$.

It was found that the maximum and minimum fluorescence levels slowly decrease with the number of cycles the sample solution had gone through. This could be due to partial denaturation of the CcP after bubbling argon through the solution to displace the NO. In fact the fluorescence intensity of the construct after adding NO remained constant for hours when the solution was left standing. Under the same conditions, Cy5 labeled CcP exhibited a SR of $40 \pm 5\%$ (data not shown). In this case the theoretically estimated R_0 values are 3.8 nm for the NO-free state and 3.3 nm for the NO-bound state and a theoretical SR of 56%. These experiments have been performed at pH 6.8 and provide a proof-of-principle for the proposed methodology. Additional studies, to be reported elsewhere, will be undertaken to validate the method for a range of pH values.

3.2. Effect of anions and oxygen

The fluorescence intensity of the Atto620 labeled CcP system upon NO binding in the presence of large excesses of NO_2^- , NO_3^- , examples of biologically relevant and potentially competing ions, was checked to obtain an indication on the selectivity of the construct. It is evident from Fig. 4 that in the presence of a large excess of NO_2^- or NO_3^- over the protein concentration there is still a clear and large effect on the sample fluorescence when NO is introduced into the solution. NO_2^- causes a slight increase in the fluorescence (see Fig. 4). It is known

Fig. 3. Structure of CcP showing the heme (black spheres) and Leu1 (black). Leu1 corresponds to the attachment point of the label. The structure has been obtained from the PYMOL programme using the reported X-ray diffraction structure of CcP (PDB 1ZBY).

that NO_2^- under acidic conditions is partly converted into NO and the observed increase in fluorescence is ascribed to this effect.

To assess the potential of the NO sensing system in the presence of oxygen, the fluorescence intensity of CcP labeled with Atto 620 was monitored when saturating the buffer with oxygen prior to the measurements. A fast and sizeable increase in the fluorescence intensity upon NO addition is observed (Fig. S2). This shows that the rate of NO binding to CcP is fast compared with the rate of reaction of NO with O_2 , and that on the time scale of the measurement O_2 does not interfere with NO detection.

3.3. Determination of K_d

To determine the binding affinity of CcP for NO, NO titrations of labeled CcP were performed while monitoring the fluorescence

Fig. 4. Fluorescence time trace of Atto620 labeled CcP after subsequent additions of 360 μM of NO_3^- , 360 μM of NO_2^- and 360 μM of NO. Protein concentration: 60 nM in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8).

intensity of the labels (Figs. S3, S4). The relation between the observed fluorescence intensity, F_{NO} , and K_d is given by [20]:

$$F_{\text{NO}} = F_0 - \{(F_0 - F_\infty) \cdot [\text{NO}]\} / \{[\text{NO}] + K_d\} \quad (4)$$

where $[\text{NO}]$ is the concentration of free NO in solution and F_0 and F_∞ denote the emission intensities of the NO-free and NO-bound protein, respectively. According to Eq. (4) K_d is independent of the labeling ratio. A different labeling ratio is equivalent to introducing a scale factor for the fluorescence intensities which leaves Eq. (4) invariant.

Since the protein concentrations used in the experiments were small compared to the NO concentrations, for practical purposes the free $[\text{NO}]$ could be set equal to the total amount of added NO and the data points in Figs. S3, S4 could be directly fitted to Eq. (4) resulting in a K_d of $9.4 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{M}$ for the Atto620 CcP system and of $12.0 \pm 2 \mu\text{M}$ for the Cy5 CcP construct. While we could not find literature data on the K_d for NO, the K_d values found here are in mutual agreement.

3.4. Determination of NO binding kinetics

To assess the NO binding kinetics, we focused on the Atto620 CcP system. The fluorescence of the labeled CcP was measured as a function of time after adding various amounts of NO in the range of 1–75 μM . The fluorescence time traces (see Fig. S5 for examples) could be well fitted to an exponential function

$$F_{\text{obs}} = F_0 + \{F(t = \infty) - F_0\} \{1 - \exp(-k_{\text{obs}} \cdot t)\}. \quad (5)$$

When plotting the k_{obs} values as a function of the NO concentration, a linear dependence was observed. As an example, in Fig. 5 the data are shown that were observed on a sample containing 20 nM of Atto620 labeled CcP. When using for k_{obs} the expression $k_{\text{obs}} = k_{\text{off}} + k_{\text{on}} [\text{NO}]$ with k_{off} the rate of dissociation of the NO from the CcP/NO complex and k_{on} the second order rate constant for the association of NO and CcP, the following values could be extracted from the data in Fig. 5: $k_{\text{off}} = 0.22 \pm 0.08 \text{ min}^{-1}$, and $k_{\text{on}} = 0.024 \pm 0.002 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$. From these a value of $K_d = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}} = 9 \pm 3 \mu\text{M}$ is found in agreement with the K_d -values derived from the NO titrations (see above).

K_d is the key parameter for determining the sensitivity of the sensor. K_d is defined as $[\text{CcP}] [\text{NO}] / [\text{CcP-NO}]$ where $[\text{CcP}]$ is the concentration of the NO-free and $[\text{CcP-NO}]$ the concentration of the NO-bound CcP. The quantity by which the sensitivity of the method can be judged is the ratio $[\text{CcP}] / [\text{CcP-NO}]$. This ratio varies strongly when the $[\text{NO}]$ is close to the K_d . Therefore, with the proposed biosensing system, NO can be easily detected and quantified in the concentration range of between 1 μM and 100 μM with simple fluorescence detection. For instance, with activated macrophages

Fig. 6. Fluorescence intensity time trace at 665 nm (exc 645 nm) of Cy5-labeled CcP entrapped into a TMOS-based sol-gel immobilized on a quartz support upon addition and removal of NO. During the measurement the sensing device was placed in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH=6.8) at room temperature. The fluorescence was recorded while alternately NO was added (to a final concentration of 360 μM) to the solution and removed by refreshing the buffer and bubbling argon through the solution.

the transient NO concentration in cells or tissue is in the micromolar range [17,18]. The sensor described here would be suitable, therefore, for detecting extracellular macrophage derived NO. Future work will address this topic. Other examples relate to monitoring of industrial exhaust gases where NO levels may rise into the micromolar range [38,39], the study of the physiological effects of elevated NO levels in mammals [40,41], and the study of the equilibrium kinetics of transition metal complexes in which NO is one of the coordinating ligands [42,43].

3.5. Immobilization into sol-gel matrices

In order to investigate the applicability of our protein-based method for implementation in a solid state NO sensing device, we immobilized fluorescently labeled CcP in a silica (TMOS) matrix [44]. CcP N-terminally labeled with either Cy5 or Atto620 was entrapped in TMOS and immobilized on a quartz support as described in “Materials and methods”. Binding of NO to the immobilized and entrapped CcP was found to be reversible: insertion of the construct into an NO containing solution resulted in a fluorescence increase. Subsequent bubbling of argon through the solution removed the NO again (Fig. 6). Labeled CcP immobilized into a TMOS matrix kept its activity in terms of SR and time response for more than 20 days when stored at 4 °C.

The SRs observed were lower than the ones obtained in the solution measurements (e.g., in the case of 360 μM NO an SR of 20% was found

Fig. 5. A. Fluorescence time traces of Atto620 labeled CcP after addition of NO; end concentration of NO: 1 (■); 10 (◇); 20 (☆); 30 (+); 45 (*); 75 (□) μM . The fluorescence time traces shown in panel A have been fitted to an exponential function ($F_{\text{obs}} = F_0 + \{F(t = \infty) - F_0\} \{1 - \exp(-k_{\text{obs}} \cdot t)\}$) (see also Fig. S4). B. The values of k_{obs} have been plotted as a function of the NO concentration. Protein concentration: 20 nM in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH=6.8). All time traces were measured at room temperature.

with Cy5-labeled CcP when measuring the sol–gel sample, while $40 \pm 5\%$ was found in the bulk experiment). These findings may be related to a fraction of encapsulated protein not being accessible to the substrate or having been damaged and having lost its capability to bind NO. The fluorescence time traces could be fitted to the same exponential function as used for the solution experiments (see Eq. (5)).

Not surprisingly, the rates of NO binding were smaller, most likely due to the time needed for the NO to diffuse into the TMOS matrix. A similar behaviour was found for immobilized hemocyanin when it was used to monitor oxygen concentrations [44].

3.6. Controls

No significant changes in the fluorescence intensities were observed in the absence of NO or when free dyes, Cy5 or Atto620 labeled BSA, or unlabeled CcP were used as sensing materials. Furthermore when adding $360 \mu\text{M}$ of nitrate, CO and oxygen, the proposed sensor did not exhibit a change in fluorescence (Fig. S6). The lack of an effect of CO and O₂ binding is most likely due to the fact that the CcP we are working with is in the Fe³⁺ state while O₂ and CO have a strong preference for Fe²⁺. Differently, when adding $360 \mu\text{M}$ of nitrite, the fluorescence intensity of the system slightly increased. As argued above, this is probably due to the NO produced by nitrite (Fig. S6).

4. Conclusions

Fluorescently labeled CcP can be successfully used as a ‘turn on’ [14] FRET-based biosensor for NO. Its flexible mode of operation not only in a solution but also when applied in the form of a solid state device, makes a CcP-based device suited for sensing applications. A limitation is that the maximum and minimum fluorescence levels decrease with the number of cycles in a single experiment. Further experiments are needed to design a proper calibration scheme to overcome this limitation.

Our NO sensing system is based on the appearance of a fluorescence signal upon NO binding different from the already existing protein-based methods for NO detection [16,17,45]. Although concentration-dependent quenching mechanisms have shown to be successful, [16,20] they are inherently less sensitive than methods exploiting fluorescence enhancement or “turn on” as a result of binding [12,14,46]. Moreover, it is often difficult to distinguish analyte response from sensor degradation when quenching is relied upon for quantitation [46]. The successful immobilization of labeled CcP into a polymeric matrix to provide a solid state NO sensing device presents an advantage in terms of reusability and stability of the system.

List of abbreviations

NO	nitric oxide
FRET	Förster Resonance Energy Transfer
DAN	o-diaminonaphthalene
DAF	o-diamino-fluorescein
Cytc'	cytochrome c'
CcP	cytochrome c peroxidase from baker's yeast
NHS	N-hydroxy-succinimide
TMO	tetramethyl orthosilicate
ESI-MS	electrospray ionization mass spectrometry
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
ACN	acetonitrile

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Dr. Armand W.J.W. Tepper (University of Leiden, LIC) for helpful discussions and assistance with the preparation of the figures, Lionel Ndamba (University of Leiden, LIC) for assistance

during protein purification, Dr. Gabriella Pocsfalvi (Istituto di Biochimica delle Proteine, CNR Naples) for her help with ESI mass spectrometry analysis.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2010.02.002.

References

- [1] D.E. Koshland Jr., *Science* 258 (1992) 1861.
- [2] E. Culotta, D.E. Koshland Jr., *Science* 258 (1992) 1862–1865.
- [3] S. Moncada, R.M. Palmer, E.A. Higgs, *Pharmacol. Rev.* 43 (1991) 109–142.
- [4] L.L. Thomsen, D.W. Miles, *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 17 (1998) 107–118.
- [5] P.K. Lala, *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 17 (1998) 1–6.
- [6] J.C. Ball, M.D. Hurley, A.M. Straccia, C.A. Gierczak, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33 (1999) 1175–1178.
- [7] G. Dooley, C. Fitzpatrick, E. Lewis, *Energy* 33 (2007) 657–666.
- [8] E.M. Boon, M.A. Marletta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128 (2006) 10022–10023.
- [9] K. Kikuchi, T. Nagano, H. Hayakawa, Y. Hirata, M. Hirobe, *Anal. Chem.* 65 (1993) 1794–1799.
- [10] L. Mao, G. Shi, Y. Tian, H. Liu, L. Jin, K. Yamamoto, S. Tao, J. Jin, *Talanta* 46 (1998) 1547–1556.
- [11] Y. Kotake, T. Tanigawa, M. Tanigawa, I. Ueno, D.R. Allen, C.S. Lai, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1289 (1996) 362–368.
- [12] M.H. Lim, S.J. Lippard, *Inorg. Chem.* 43 (2004) 6366–6370.
- [13] T. Nagano, T. Yoshimura, *Chem. Rev.* 102 (2002) 1235–1270.
- [14] M.H. Lim, S.J. Lippard, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 40 (2007) 41–51.
- [15] K.J. Franz, N. Singh, B. Spingler, S.J. Lippard, *Inorg. Chem.* 39 (2000) 4081–4092.
- [16] S.L. Barker, R. Kopelman, T.E. Meyer, M.A. Cusanovich, *Anal. Chem.* 70 (1998) 971–976.
- [17] S.L. Barker, H.A. Clark, S.F. Swallen, R. Kopelman, A.W. Tsang, J.A. Swanson, *Anal. Chem.* 71 (1999) 1767–1772.
- [18] S.L. Barker, Y. Zhao, M.A. Marletta, R. Kopelman, *Anal. Chem.* 71 (1999) 2071–2075.
- [19] M. Sato, N. Hida, Y. Umezawa, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (2005) 14515–14520.
- [20] G. Zauner, E. Lonardi, L. Bubacco, T.J. Aartsma, G.W. Canters, A.W. Tepper, *Chemistry* 13 (2007) 7085–7090.
- [21] W. Erker, S. Sdorra, T. Basche, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127 (2005) 14532–14533.
- [22] J.A. Worrall, U. Kolczak, G.W. Canters, M. Ubbink, *Biochemistry* 40 (2001) 7069–7076.
- [23] A.E. Pond, M. Sono, E.A. Elenkova, D.E. McRee, D.B. Goodin, A.M. English, J.H. Dawson, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 76 (1999) 165–174.
- [24] T. Yonetani, H. Yamamoto, J.E. Ertman, J.S. Leigh Jr., G.H. Reed, *J. Biol. Chem.* 247 (1972) 2447–2455.
- [25] S.L. Edwards, T.L. Poulos, *J. Biol. Chem.* 265 (1990) 2588–2595.
- [26] T. Yonetani, H. Anni, *J. Biol. Chem.* 262 (1987) 9547–9554.
- [27] M.A. Miller, A. Shaw, J. Kraut, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 1 (1994) 524–531.
- [28] R. Tsushima, *Bull. Soc. Japan* (1938) 388–400.
- [29] C.K. Jorgensen, *Absorption Spectra and Chemical Bonding in Complexes*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962.
- [30] S. Kuznetsova, G. Zauner, R. Schmauder, O.A. Mayboroda, A.M. Deelder, T.J. Aartsma, G.W. Canters, *Anal. Biochem.* 350 (2006) 52–60.
- [31] L.M. Ellerby, C.R. Nishida, F. Nishida, S.A. Yamanaka, B. Dunn, J.S. Valentine, J.L. Zink, *Science* 255 (1992) 1113–1115.
- [32] B. Mattson, M. Anderson, S. Mattson, *Microscale Gas Chemistry Book Educational Innovations*, 2003.
- [33] G.W.C. Kaye, T.H. Laby, *Tables of Physical and Chemical Constants*, Longmans, London, 1966.
- [34] J.R. Lakovic, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Springer, 1996.
- [35] L. Stryer, R.P. Haugland, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 58 (1967) 719–726.
- [36] R.B. Mujumdar, L.A. Ernst, S.R. Mujumdar, C.J. Lewis, A.S. Waggoner, *Bioconjug. Chem.* 4 (1993) 105–111.
- [37] H.J. Gruber, C.D. Hahn, G. Kada, C.K. Riener, G.S. Harms, W. Ahrer, T.G. Dax, H.G. Knaus, *Bioconjug. Chem.* 11 (2000) 696–704.
- [38] E. Hawe, G. Dooley, C. Fitzpatrick, P. Chambers, E. Lewis, W.Z. Zhao, T. Sun, K.T.V. Grattan, M. Degner, H. Ewald, S. Lochmann, G. Bramman, C. Wei, D. Hitchen, J. Lucas, A. Al-Shamma'a, E. Merlone-Borla, P. Faraldi, M. Pidria, *Int. J. Intell. Syst. Technol. Appl.* 3 (2007) 33–51.
- [39] G. Dooley, C. Fitzpatrick, E. Lewis, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 76 (012021) (2007).
- [40] O.T. Bowen, G.F. Erf, M.E. Chapman, R.F. Wideman Jr., *Poult. Sci.* 86 (2007) 2550–2554.
- [41] M.E. Chapman, R.F. Wideman Jr., *Poult. Sci.* 85 (2006) 312–320.
- [42] J.N. Bates, M.T. Baker, R. Guerra Jr., D.G. Harrison, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 42 (1991) S157–S165.
- [43] F. Roncaroli, J.A. Olabe, R. Van Eldik, *Inorg. Chem.* 42 (2003) 4179–4189.
- [44] G. Zauner, M. Strianese, L. Bubacco, T.J. Aartsma, A.W. Tepper, G.W. Canters, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 361 (2008) 1116–1121.
- [45] S.L. Barker, R. Kopelman, *Anal. Chem.* 70 (1998) 4902–4906.
- [46] B.R. White, H.M. Liljestrand, J.A. Holcombe, *Analyst* 133 (2008) 65–70.