

Efficient three-dimensional field documentation methods for labour cost studies: case studies from archaeological and heritage contexts Pakkanen, J.; Brysbaert, A.; Turner, D.; Boswinkel, Y.

Citation

Pakkanen, J., Brysbaert, A., Turner, D., & Boswinkel, Y. (2020). Efficient three-dimensional field documentation methods for labour cost studies: case studies from archaeological and heritage contexts. *Digital Applications In Archaeology And Cultural Heritage*, 17. doi:10.1016/j.daach.2020.e00141

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3188810

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/daach



Efficient three-dimensional field documentation methods for labour cost studies: Case studies from archaeological and heritage contexts



Jari Pakkanen^{a,*}, Ann Brysbaert^b, Daniel Turner^b, Yannick Boswinkel^b

^a University of London, Royal Holloway, Department of Classics, School of Humanities, TW20 OEX, Egham, United Kingdom ^b Leiden University, Faculty of Archaeology, Einsteinweg 2, 2333 CC, Leiden, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Greek architecture Three-dimensional documentation Labour cost studies Combining total station line-drawing and photogrammetry Monumental architecture Private houses

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to present alternatives for three-dimensional field documentation methods which can be used to record architectural features for econometric labour cost studies. Combining reflectorless total station line-drawing with photogrammetry produces high-quality three-dimensional models of the targets which can subsequently be analysed to derive volumetric data of the employed building materials and the sizes of the individual elements. The case studies compare how different variations and combinations of three-dimensional architectural documentation can be used to create sufficiently accurate models for architectural energetics studies with varying degrees of time and expense in the field and in the post-processing phase of the research. The documentation method has been developed by the first author of this paper and disseminated through annual fieldschools conducted on archaeological sites. The standard documentation procedure is to establish ground control points and an alternative based on inbuilt instrument GPS systems is also discussed.

1. Introduction: architectural labour cost studies (A. Brysbaert – J. Pakkanen)

Labour cost studies, also frequently called architectural energetics and building econometrics, are based on quantification of employed materials, human and animal labour and transport. Construction is one of the key activities of all complex ancient societies and numerous studies have demonstrated the usefulness of the approach in a wide range of different regions and contexts (e.g. Stanier, 1953; Burford, 1969; Clark, 1993; Abrams, 1994; DeLaine, 1997; Bessac, 2007; de Haan, 2009; Pakkanen, 2013; Devolder, 2013; several contributions in Brysbaert et al., 2018; McCurdy and Abrams, 2019). Today, quantification of volumetric data of construction materials and the energetic/labour input (Abrams, 1994: 5) can be used to investigate socio-economic conditions and changes, and this does not stand in the way of more qualitative research of the monuments. In the latter approach the relatively objective data from the econometric calculations can be taken to the next, more interpretive level (e.g. DeLaine, 1997; Pakkanen, 2013; Brysbaert, 2018; Brysbaert and Pakkanen, in press). Quantitative research on ancient building has had its opponents (see e.g. the overview in Osborne, 2014; more recently, Voutsaki et al., 2018; for a reply, see Brysbaert and Pakkanen, in press). However, the authors of this paper regard refining the research methodology as a process. For example, studies on ancient Greek construction have exaggerated the cost of building stones largely due to referring to the exceptionally well-preserved building accounts from Epidauros (Pakkanen, 2013: 64–65). Also, there have been discrepancies in how architectural energetics data has been published and how, from time to time, such data was copied over uncritically in subsequent publications (as critiqued in Turner, 2012, 2018; Fotou, 2016).

Accurate quantification of different building materials, well-argued labour rates and material costs and transparent calculations are the key factors behind persuasive research in architectural energetics (Stanier, 1953, Abrams, 1994; DeLaine, 1997; Pakkanen, 2013; Brysbaert, 2013, 2015; McCurdy and Abrams, 2019). Thorough documentation and studies of the archaeological remains should form the basis of the volumetric data and reconstructions used in the econometric calculations (see e.g. DeLaine, 1997; Pakkanen, 2013; Lacquemont, 2019; several papers in Brysbaert et al., 2018; McCurdy and Abrams, 2019; Turner forthcoming; Boswinkel forthcoming). Current digital field documentation methods such as total station line-drawing and photogrammetry provide the means of accurate and cost-efficient data collection, reducing also the time needed for post-processing of the data. These techniques, and combining them (Pakkanen, 2018), are evaluated in this paper from the point of view of labour cost studies. The presented case studies are from

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: j.pakkanen@rhul.ac.uk (J. Pakkanen), a.n.brysbaert@arch.leidenuniv.nl (A. Brysbaert), d.r.turner@arch.leidenuniv.nl (D. Turner), y.boswinkel@arch.leidenuniv.nl (Y. Boswinkel).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.daach.2020.e00141

Received 3 December 2019; Received in revised form 26 February 2020; Accepted 8 March 2020

2212-0548/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bynend/40/). the sphere of monumental Late Bronze Age architecture (14th–13th century BCE) and the domestic structure is considerably later, from the Hellenistic period (3rd century BCE).

2. Three-dimensional field documentation for building econometrics: methods and techniques (J. Pakkanen)

The case studies presented in this paper employ three-dimensional (3D) documentation of architecture to estimate the volumes of materials used in the labour cost calculations, and to provide a detailed recording of standing architectural remains for archaeological and heritage contexts. The recorded volumes include different materials from removing the soil and bedrock before the construction started to the size of blocks and total volume of materials used in the buildings. The tested field documentation methods include 3D line-drawing using reflectorless laser total stations, georeferenced photogrammetry, and combining these (Pakkanen, 2009, 2018; Pakkanen et al., 2019).

Photogrammetry is currently the most common choice of 3D digital architectural documentation for cultural heritage largely due to the low cost of hard- and software (for studies related to Greek contexts, see e.g. Sapirstein, 2014, 2016; Thomas, 2016; Murray et al., 2017; Pakkanen, 2018; Pakkanen et al., 2019; for a recent summary of 3D techniques for cultural heritage, see Siebke et al., 2018). Deriving accurate linear representations of the features from the models, though, is challenging (in relation to lithic artefacts, see Barone et al., 2018). In direct reflectorless total station drawing the choice of how to draw the features is made already in the field and not in the post-processing phase (Pakkanen, 2009: 3-6; 2018: 119-123). By using the laser option of the total station it is not necessary to have a second person holding the prism, so the size of the survey team is reduced to one. The instrument operator codes the start and end of each line and its attributes and stores these, and the coordinates of the points, into the total station memory (Fig. 1). These data can then be directly translated into a layered CAD drawing (on the computer program ts2dxf.exe, see Pakkanen, 2018: 119). The key considerations for fast and accurate drawing of the architectural features are a dense network of laser backsights (GCPs) allowing relocation of the total station to any position at the site, and keeping the instrument close

to the documented targets so that oblique views of the documented targets can be avoided and the laser pointer is clearly visible (Pakkanen, 2009: 3–6).

In photogrammetry, or Structure-from-Motion (SfM) methods, 3D data is derived from two-dimensional images. The target surfaces have to be photographed from multiple viewpoints and there has to be significant overlap between the photographs. In these techniques, the geometry of the 3D objects is reconstructed on the basis of their projection onto the two-dimensional image plane of the digital camera's sensor (see e.g. Mosbrucker et al., 2017). Since 2014, the Finnish Institute at Athens (under the direction of the first author in 2013–2017) has integrated the use of 3D total station drawings with photogrammetry in its fieldwork projects and also arranged fieldschools to train colleagues and students in these methods (Pakkanen, 2018). Photogrammetry can be used to add textured surfaces to the 3D total station line-drawings. If the primary documentation method is photogrammetry, introducing 3D linear data to the models, orthomosaics and point clouds can make the subtle changes in the texture and surfaces easier to discern.

In all of the fieldwork case studies, a differential GPS (DGPS) was used to first establish a georeferenced site grid, and the location of each Ground Control Point (GCP) was subsequently resurveyed using a total station to minimise the local errors of the grid. The documentation of the first case study was employed to test the use of photogrammetry without GCPs. Relying on the inbuilt GPS receivers to model the building foundations, this strategy was used to assess if a highly cost-effective documentation method could still be sufficiently accurate for econometric calculations.

A range of reflectorless total stations were in use in the fieldschools to give the participants the possibility of becoming familiar with different generations of equipment: the oldest instrument in use was Leica TCRA1103+ and the latest Leica FlexLine TS06. The digital cameras used in photogrammetry were Canon EOS 6D with an inbuilt GPS receiver (with 20MP full frame sensor, used on Salamis) and Nikon D7200 (with 24MP sensor, at Tiryns, Menidi and Mycenae). The Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) employed on Salamis was DJI Phantom 4 (with 12MP sensor). The model of the DGPS used was Leica GS08plus. For photogrammetry, Agisoft PhotoScan was the software in use; for GIS, ArcMap,



Fig. 1. The principle of total station line-drawing. The instrument is set inside the perspective projection of the surveyed wireframe model of Stoa A at Kalaureia, Poros. (J. Pakkanen).

and for CAD, AutoCAD. All post-processing has been carried out on a range of laptops.

3. Case studies on documentation

From the point of view of labour cost studies, the principal aims of documenting the architectural remains on the ground and approximating the cost of building are measuring the size of the structure and estimating the volumes of different building materials. The following case studies illustrate the range of methods used and different types of documented buildings and materials. A map of sites discussed in the paper is presented in Fig. 2.

3.1. Early Hellenistic private house on Salamis (J. Pakkanen)

Oikia Theta is the only fully uncovered house of the town centre of ancient Salamis near Athens. The private houses north of Odos Tefkrou and west of Odos Eurysakou were excavated by I. Dekoulakou in the 1990s (Dekoulakou, 2003, 2008). The finds and the architectural remains of the house have been recently published by I. Chairetakis who also had access to the excavation archives (Chairetakis, 2018: 14, 122–152). The earliest construction phase inside the boundary of the house plot can be dated to the Late Archaic period (Domi A, circa 550–500 BCE; Chairetakis, 2018: 123, figs. 140–147) and the currently visible architectural remains to the Early Hellenistic period (275–250 BCE; Chairetakis, 2018: 145–148, figs. 235–260). The stone foundations of the house are well-preserved but the mudbrick walls have entirely dissolved; a large number of curving Laconian-type roof-tile fragments was discovered in the excavations.

The ongoing *Urban Landscape of Salamis* project is a collaboration between the Finnish Institute at Athens and the Ephorate of West Attica, Piraeus and the Islands. As part of this project, the house and its surroundings were recorded in June 2016 using a UAV without carrying out extensive cleaning at the site to expose the wall foundations. In April 2018 the area was thoroughly cleared of vegetation and recorded using 3D total station drawing. In September 2019 further cleaning of the stone foundations of the house allowed additional documentation with total station and photogrammetry.

The fieldwork conducted in 2016–2019 can be used to evaluate the costs and level of expertise required to carry out the documentation work for architectural energetics. In the following, different approaches to produce the fieldwork data sets for econometric calculations are compared. Fig. 3 presents a summary of three alternatives based on GPS-



Fig. 2. Map of case studies. (J. Pakkanen).

referenced photographs and photogrammetry. Since not all archaeological projects have access to high-accuracy DGPS, no ground targets were used to scale and shift the resulting orthomosaics.

Fig. 3a is based on 41 aerial photos taken by E. Tikkala in 2016 with a UAV and referenced using the on-board GPS. The black line drawn around Oikia Theta in the orthomosaic can be compared with the red line derived from documentation of the house georeferenced using a differential GPS and a total station. The orientation and dimensions of the house can be nearly correctly derived from the aerial documentation, though its location is shifted 1.6 m to south–southwest due to the accuracy of the UAV GPS. The size of the house plot based on the 2016 aerial documentation is only slightly smaller when compared to the DGPS-referenced data: the error is circa 1 per cent with the measured area of 280.0 square metres compared to the total station survey area of 283.2 square metres.

The orthomosaic of the 3D photogrammetry model in Fig. 3b is derived from 208 photos georeferenced using the inbuilt GPS of the handheld camera in use, Canon EOS 6D. The two-dimensional projection of the model outlined in black has substantially smaller dimensions than the house itself: the measured area is 227.8 square meters, circa 20 per cent smaller than the recorded house plot. The visible problems in the scale and orientation of the model are created by the inaccuracy of the GPS coordinates resulting in a tilted model. However, these inaccuracies are easy to correct by the introduction of a metal grid square and scales into the photographs. In Fig. 3c the location of the metal square is marked with a blue rectangle in the southeast corner of the house and the two 0.5-m scales with orange rectangles in the western and northern parts of the building. By positioning the square north to south and its shorter arm east to west it is possible to correct the tilt of the model, and the two additional 0.5-m scales ensure that the geometry of the model accurately reflects the archaeological remains throughout the area. There is hardly any discrepancy between the area of the house plot in the model and the total station measurements (283.4 vs. 283.2 square metres). The house foundations in Fig. 3c are shifted 2.8 m to the north-northeast of the DGPS-referenced location of the remains, but the difference is within the error margin of the camera's GPS.

Figs. 4 and 5 present the current situation of documentation after the 2019 campaign. The 3D line-drawing can be directly superimposed on the textured model in PhotoScan (Fig. 4 and Animation 1). The markers (GCPs) used in positioning the photogrammetry model are based on the site grid derived from a joint DGPS and total station survey. The total station was first positioned using five DGPS backsights which were subsequently resurveyed using a total station. This reduces the local grid discrepancies from centimetre-range errors using the DGPS to a maximum of a few millimetres. Using multiple backsights to position the total station ensures minimal positioning and directional errors and, equally importantly, allows free movement of the total station across the surveyed site. The reference markers (GCPs) for photogrammetry are evenly distributed around the house foundations (the blue flags in Fig. 4). The elements of the georeferenced model match with the line-drawing of the foundation stones with the accuracy of a few millimetres. Perhaps the greatest benefit of combining 3D line-drawing with photogrammetry is that the method can be used to produce highly accurate site plans (Fig. 5), sections and elevation drawings with minimal post-processing.

The econometric calculations of the construction of Oikia Theta stone foundations are based on a combination of 3D total station line-drawing and aerial photogrammetry carried out in 2016–2018 (for the cost calculation of the house, see Pakkanen, in press). However, if minimising the time spent in the field would have been the most important factor behind the decision which method to employ, we would have opted to carry out only photogrammetry. Line-drawing using multiple total stations is time-consuming, especially the recording of the foundations built largely of small to medium-size irregular stones. The purpose of the documentation fieldschools is also to train the participants to become confident in the use of the instruments and post-processing software which requires a significant number of repetitions.



Fig. 3. Salamis, Oikia Theta. Comparison of photogrammetry results georeferenced using inbuilt GPS receivers. Red: outline of the house remains georeferenced with a DGPS. Black: house outline based on the tested set of photographs. (J. Pakkanen). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

3.2. Late Bronze Age tholos tomb at Tiryns (A. Brysbaert)

The tholos tomb at Tiryns, with another unpublished one just adjacent to it, is located about 1 km east of the Tiryns citadel and is dug deep into the hill of Profitis Ilias. Its dromos is circa 13 m long, 2 m wide and runs west-east towards the entrance of the tomb chamber. The stomion measures 4.10 m in height and 4.7 m in depth and it is wider towards the bottom of the entrance (Fig. 6). The ceiling of this stomion leading into the chamber is built of three very large limestone lintel blocks, one of which is about 6.5 tonnes in weight. Apart from these and the upright large stomion jambs, the corbelled tholos is built of smaller and larger blocks placed almost in regular courses all the way to the capstone. On the outside façade of the stomion plaster remains can still be seen (Fig. 7); this was not an uncommon feature (Konstantinidi-Syvridi et al., 2015). Although this tomb was found completely empty of mortuary finds, it has been dated by Fitzsimons (2011, following Wace, 1921) to the 15th century BCE on the basis of stylistic criteria (this date is also followed in Brysbaert, 2018). However, Pelon (1976: 181) refers to Wace's suggestion (Wace, 1949: 17-18) that this tomb may be dated, instead, to the LH III period and would thus be a contemporary to the tholos found at the nearby Dendra cemetery and some of the later ones at Mycenae. Also Müller (1975) dates the tomb to LH III.

The tomb was documented in spring 2018 using georeferenced photogrammetry by A. Brysbaert with the help of I. Vikatou. The GCPs for the grid were established in June 2017 with a DGPS around the tomb entrance. A total station was used to measure 41 georeferenced markers along and above the dromos, the stomion, and the beehive chamber. The final point taken was at the capstone of the vault using the laser pointer of the total station. All 41 points were drawn on removable stones since no

permission to draw these directly on the tholos stonework was given.

Before photography for photogrammetry could be carried out, vegetation growing between the stones was cleared. All green growth was clipped and removed while none of the roots themselves were taken away since these had grown into the actual structure and would destabilise the stonework if pulled out. For photogrammetry, the georeferenced photomarkers were recorded in their wider setting. The sets of photographs cover the dromos and a stretch of surface on both sides, the stomion, the entire inside of the tholos, and the earth cover on top outside. The photography outside was carried out at overcast moments to keep the lighting constant. The 3D model has been produced from 1311 photographs, and in PhotoScan the sets were divided into three chunks in order to speed up the post-processing. One chunk covers the beehive chamber and the stomion and the other two the dromos and the stomion to ensure enough overlap to join the two parts. Fig. 8 shows a 3D photogrammetry model of the tomb. The high number of photographs and reference points ensures that all necessary views of the tomb can be accurately produced in post-processing.

For the actual econometric calculations of the building of this tholos tomb, the data obtained by this 3D documentation will be compared in detail with the existing documentation data which can be extracted from Müller's line-drawings (Müller, 1975: Beilage 1–2). Part of the goal of this comparison is to assess the usefulness of the time spent on fieldwork rather than employing older published data already available. Based on a preliminary assessment of the 3D model, its plan and section (Fig. 9 and Animation 2), the height from the top to the bottom of the orthostate course and the diameter of the tholos are 7.25–7.26 m and 8.47–8.57 m. The discrepancies between these and Müller's dimensions (1975: 3–4: 7.50 m, 8.45–8.50 m) are not highly significant, but the new



Fig. 4. Salamis, Oikia Theta. Textured photogrammetry model with 3D total station line-drawing of the architectural remains. Georeference-markers highlighted with blue flags. (J. Pakkanen). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

documentation will make possible more accurate measurements of the sizes of the blocks and estimates of the total volume of building materials.

3.3. Late Bronze Age tholos tomb at Menidi (D. Turner)

The Menidi tholos in Acharnes 11 km north of Athens city centre was used primarily during the LH IIIA–B period (ca. 1400–1200 BCE), though finds in the entrance passage indicate a persistent tomb- cult centuries later (Alcock, 1991: 451; Antonaccio, 1994: 402; Whitley, 1988: 176). Excavations led by the German Institute in 1879 left detailed drawings and a notable haul of rare grave offerings (Lolling et al., 1880; Stubbings, 1947). Apart from its impressive contents Menidi, has received little attention on its own. Comparisons have been made in passing for its mortuary customs – notably a raised area or 'bench' (now gone) within the burial chamber (Kontorli-Papadopoulou, 1995: 118) – and its architectural form in terms of other, better known tholoi. The tomb falls into Pelon (1976: 338–339, 391) Type II Class C with masonry similar to Thorikos and Marathon and a size on par with Tiryns, Prosymna, and Vapheio (Fitzsimons, 2006: 153), having a dromos (entrance passage) 27 m long and burial chamber diameter just under 8.5 m.

The stonework at Menidi, however, is memorably terrible. Small, 'rough schist slabs' run in dizzying courses that are fractured and patched (Cavanagh and Laxton, 1981: 111). The dromos has a clear break in stonework showing collapse and hasty reconstruction of the upper walls with rubble and very different stone types intermixed. What appeared to be patches of poured concrete at a glance points to reconstruction for stability after excavation. The chamber's apex has shifted slightly, likely from millennia of seismic activity, and small stones shaking loose from the roof of the chamber prompted recent installation of a net. Balancing on fractured laminar stones stacked nearly 9 m high, it is remarkable that the hypotholion has not collapsed and taken much of the roof with it. In a similar engineering gamble, an experimental relieving system of large stacked slabs (Fig. 10) set with horizontal gaps above the stomion (threshold) offers no mechanical advantage beyond a simple trabeated style (Cotterell and Kamminga, 1990: 120–121; Fitchen, 1986: 208).

Structurally questionable as it is, it is one of the few bold choices remaining from Menidi's builders. Architectural choices here, taken together with the tomb's contents and location, suggest socio-political distance from Athens (Mee and Cavanagh, 1990: 239–242), and the commissioners of the tomb, particularly their role in the wider network of Attica's LH III sites, would be worth further study.

For all its challenges, Menidi held much potential for digital modelling and architectural study. Fieldwork to that end was undertaken in late June and early July 2016. Drawing the stones with total stations proved too laborious to complete in the available time, although an earnest attempt drew nearly every stone below the safety net within the chamber and a few representative samples from the dromos, resulting in more than 21,000 total station measurements. Those several hundred drawn stone samples aligned well with the concurrent photogrammetric modelling, which captured what the stations and our eyes could not in the half light of the chamber. Being large and light enough for focusing cameras with little effort, the chamber performed better than expected in its photomodel. Stones camouflaged by shadows and staining, or fractured enough to frustrate us with forced arbitrary drawing choices, were captured accurately. The craggy stonework also provided as many ledges as one could reach for placing temporary photomarkers, in this case gravel pebbles painted with a target and carefully placed among the original stones at varying heights. Separate models captured the brightly lit dromos and dim burial chamber, requiring the two to be refitted into the model depicted in Fig. 11 and Animation 3. Since we found Agisoft PhotoScan to function better with a minimal number of photos with the processing power available, fewer than 160 photos and 10 photopoint markers for each model sufficed to capture the dromos and burial chamber. Volume and linear measurements derived from these models aided an ongoing tomb and labour comparative study (Turner forthcoming).

3.4. Late Bronze Age fortification at Mycenae (Y. Boswinkel)

The site of Mycenae needs little introduction. As the namesake of an entire epoch, Mycenae is well-known for its rich (mythological) past as



Fig. 5. Salamis, Oikia Theta. Orthomosaic with total station line-drawing. (J. Pakkanen).

well as the long excavation and research history (e.g. Schliemann, 1878; Wace, 1921; Mylonas, 1966; Iakovidis, 1983; Iakovidis et al., 2003). The site is located in the eastern Peloponnese and has numerous features that are potentially interesting for labour cost studies (see e.g. Cavanagh and Mee, 1999; Fitzsimmons, 2006; Harper, 2016; Boswinkel forthcoming). Within the context of the SETINSTONE research (see acknowledgements), our focus here lay on the impressive fortification walls which enclose an imposing 3 ha (Simpson and Hagel, 2006). Due to the size it would be impractical, if not impossible, to document the entire fortification with terrestrial photogrammetry and total station line-drawing.



Fig. 6. Tiryns, tholos tomb. View of the stomion narrowing towards the top. (A. Brysbaert).

Rather, a selection was made to document a relevant sample (Fig. 12). This selection comprises sections on both the inner face as well as the outer face of the wall. Furthermore, it encompasses different stages of the two expansions of the fortifications at the site: the West Wall, both the Lion and North Gate and finally the North East Extension (cf. Iakovidis, 1983: 29–37). Furthermore, with the experience gained during fieldwork at Menidi, we limited total station documentation to parts of the selected sections of the wall while covering entire sections with photogrammetric modelling.

Similar to Tiryns and Menidi, no markings of any kind could be placed on the walls at Mycenae, so we also used small loose stones wedged between the stones here for reference points. Unlike the tombs at Tiryns and Menidi, the walls at Mycenae are built in cyclopean style. This technique is characterised simply by the presence of large boulders with smaller stones intermixed (e.g. Loader, 1998: 1), as seen in Fig. 13 and Animation 4. The rough shapes of the stones challenged decisions on what line to follow with the total station to accurately record the blocks. The obscure fracturing and shadowing at Menidi did not hinder work here. Two different issues arose at Mycenae. First, due to the height of some sections (up to 9 m) it was often difficult to properly document the upper courses with the total station. Ideally, the total station is moved so that it is always in the most opportune position for unobstructed sightlines (Pakkanen, 2009: 4). Higher walls prevent views from above, unless a drone is available for photogrammetric modelling (Boswinkel forthcoming). Roughly shaped blocks compound the problem of obscured lines. These difficulties extended to photo documentation, since for most sections there were no opportunities to take photos from an elevated position. The spread of locations from which the photos are taken helps the modelling process. The limited range in height of the photos taken (between 0.3 and 2.4 m above ground level) restricted resolution of the upper courses.

Recording by total station and photos both have their merits, but in terms of the aims of this research, the latter was definitively more suited



Fig. 7. Tiryns, tholos tomb. Remains of (painted?) plaster clearly visible on the façade-side of the stomion. (A. Brysbaert).

than the first. The volume of the walls and preferably even the blocks were required for the calculations of the labour investment required of these fortification walls (Boswinkel forthcoming). The high level of detail that can be documented with the total station, such as marks and cracks in the individual blocks, were not necessary from the point of view of building econometrics. The high speed and accuracy of photogrammetry supplies the required data for volume calculations.

4. Results and discussion

Based on the fieldwork on Salamis, using the UAV-based photogrammetry model (Fig. 3a) as the starting point of the econometric calculations would not introduce a significant additional error compared to using a DGPS and a total station to reference the documentation (Fig. 5). However, both of these alternatives introduce a substantial additional cost in hardware and expertise, and also the process of getting a permit to fly a UAV in an archaeological area in Greece can be rather complicated. Therefore, the option of using a terrestrial camera with an inbuilt GPS and reference scales (Fig. 3c) is the most cost-effective and precise alternative of the three GPS-based alternatives. If the digital camera in use does not have a GPS, it is also possible to record the locations where the photos are taken using a handheld device or a smartphone with a geologger, and to add the coordinate information in post-processing to the metadata of the images. Combining total station line-drawing with photogrammetry has been found highly useful both for the analysis of the building material volumes and subsequent architectural study of the house.

At Tiryns, the produced photogrammetric model is highly accurate in comparison with the older line-drawing. The average error of the 37 control points distributed around the tholos is 6 mm (Fig. 8). The new 3D model will be highly useful in the planning of any conservation measures of the monument in the future. Since the walls of the dromos are bulging and plant growth affects their stability, consolidation work will need to be carried out soon. Perhaps a full total station drawing of each individual stone would serve such purpose even better but, as indicated by D. Turner and Y. Boswinkel for Menidi and Mycenae (see below), the accuracy of photogrammetry is high enough to work with, even for such



Fig. 8. Tiryns, tholos tomb. 3D photogrammetry model with combined plan and section views. (A. Brysbaert - J. Pakkanen).



Fig. 9. Tiryns, tholos tomb. Plan and section derived from the 3D photogrammetry model. (J. Pakkanen - A. Brysbaert).



Fig. 10. Menidi, tholos tomb. Façade showing stacked slabs above the stomion. (D. Turner).



Fig. 12. Mycenae, citadel. Documented sections using total station line-drawing. (Y. Boswinkel).



Fig. 11. Menidi, tholos tomb. Cross-section of photogrammetric model for the tholos, facing southwest. (D. Turner).



Fig. 13. Mycenae, citadel. A section of cyclopean-style wall with the large boulders and smaller stones in between (left), showing contrast with the well-fitting stones of a later Hellenistic repaired section of the wall (right). (Y. Boswinkel).

purposes. Moreover, the photogrammetry model emphasises the threedimensionality of the monument compared to two-dimensional images and aids the recognition of potential issues in stability of specific sections of the stone work.

Architectural documentation of the Menidi tholos benefited mostly from photogrammetry. Insufficient lighting, safety netting, and irregular slabs stacked more than 8 m high in the burial chamber limited use of total station drawing to representative sections. Visibility was the determining factor. While stonework near eye-level could be comfortably drawn, courses more than a metre above or below that mark were less reliable. Backsighting necessitated a walking corridor between the total stations and the walls, leaving a gap that proved troublesome to discerning the edges of dark stones in very low lighting. Irregular shapes seldom presented 'faces' to outline without arbitrary choices, and split beams were a frequently encountered error where edges veered out of view. Ideally, temporary scaffolding could improve visibility for recording upper courses within both the burial chamber and the dromos. More intensive documentation, including detailed three-dimensional drawings, may prove necessary in the short-term if the upper courses destabilise further.

At Mycenae, it is clear that photogrammetry is the preferred documentation method as the potential of total station recording of minor details is somewhat lost on the cyclopean walls. This is particularly the case in light of the need for building material volumes in the labour cost study. More detailed information can certainly aid in fine-tuning the calculations, yet bigger issues at play here, such as the difficulties of determining the volume of individual blocks, have a far larger influence on the calculations of the required investments. Nevertheless, the photogrammetry models allowed some nuances to be found that previous studies have overlooked. In light of such results, the used approach with an emphasis on photogrammetry, improved the understanding of the actual construction of the fortification walls at Mycenae.

Based on the four case studies employing photogrammetry, the varying sensor size and resolution of the different cameras did not have a significant effect on the usefulness of the 3D models in labour cost calculations (for a recent general evaluation of the use of consumer-grade digital cameras in photogrammetry, see Mosbrucker et al., 2017). Sub-centimetre accuracy of the models can be comfortably achieved with diligent use of georeferenced GCPs.

5. Conclusions

Photogrammetry is currently the most widely used method for 3D recording of architecture in archaeological contexts, largely due to the low cost of both soft- and hardware. As demonstrated by the case studies of this paper, the full procedure of establishing site grids with

georeferenced GCPs, measuring the accurate locations of the photomarkers with a total station, taking several sets of photographs and producing the preliminary 3D models can be comfortably achieved even during short field campaigns. This makes it possible to return to the site during the same season and to take additional photographs or even full new sets. Cleaning the target area is in many cases the most labourintensive part of the documentation projects.

In this paper we have explored the feasibility of combining photogrammetry with 3D line-drawing using reflectorless total stations. Both techniques can be used to produce the data sets needed for volumetric building material data for econometric modelling of architecture. Accurate textured models, the speed of recording even the large structures and resulting lower cost of fieldwork are perhaps the greatest advantages of photogrammetry. However, if it is also necessary to produce traditional architectural line-drawings for publication, these are quick to produce based on total station documentation (Pakkanen, 2009, 2018). The limitations of total station documentation discussed in relation to Menidi and Mycenae above are rather site-specific: better lighting and the possibility of moving the total stations more freely would compensate for the problems with the sightlines.

The importance of field-training in architectural and archaeological contexts cannot be excessively emphasised. The effects of varying conditions have to be learned in the field. Total station line-drawing is quick to learn and requires rather limited post-processing on the computer. Photogrammetry is more site-dependent and, in the beginning, requires testing out which sets of photographs work out the best to produce sufficient detail while facing the limited processing capacity available in the field. The first author of this paper has trained colleagues and students in 3D documentation in the field since 2008 and specific annual fieldschools at Tiryns and Salamis were organised in 2014–2017: all participants have learned the use of total stations and become productive members of the documentation teams, as has also been witnessed by all authors of this paper.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jari Pakkanen: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Visualization, Writing - original draft. Ann Brysbaert: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - original draft. Daniel Turner: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. Yannick Boswinkel: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft.

Acknowledgements

This research is part of the ERC-Consolidator SETInSTONE project directed by Prof. Ann Brysbaert and funded by the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme/ERC grant agreement n° 646667. A. Brysbaert, D. Turner and Y. Boswinkel gladly acknowledge the ERC CoG grant. J. Pakkanen wishes to express his gratitude to the Foundation of the Finnish Institute at Athens and its support delegation for financing the fieldwork on Salamis. The following colleagues and Ephorates provided us kindly with the permission to work on the monuments at Salamis, Tiryns, Menidi and Mycenae: Dr S. Chryssoulaki (Ephorate of West Attica, Piraeus and the Islands: Salamis), Dr A. Papadimitriou (Ephorate of the Argolid: Tiryns and Mycenae), Dr A. Lazaridou and Dr E. Andrikou (Ephorate of East Attica: Menidi), and Prof. V. Petrakos (Archaeological Society of Athens: Mycenae). We thank them warmly for their help in this research.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.daach.2020.e00141.

J. Pakkanen et al.

Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage 17 (2020) e00141

References

Abrams, E.M., 1994. How the Maya Built Their World. Energetics and Ancient Architecture. Austin.

- Alcock, S.E., 1991. Tomb cult and the Post-Classical polis. Am. J. Archaeol. 95, 447–467. Antonaccio, C.M., 1994. Contesting the past: hero cult, tomb cult, and epic in early Greece. Am. J. Archaeol. 98, 389–410.
- Barone, S., Neri, P., Paoli, A., Razionale, A.V., 2018. Automatic technical documentation of lithic artefacts by digital techniques. Digit. Appl. Archaeol. Cult. Herit. 11, e00087. Bessac, J.-C., 2007. Le travail de la pierre à Petra. Technique et économie de la taille rupestre. Paris.
- Boswinkel, Y., Forthcoming. Labouring with Large Stones: A Study into the Investment and Impact of Construction Projects on Mycenaean Communities. PhD dissertation, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University.
- Brysbaert, A., 2013. Set in stone? Socio-economic reflections on human and animal resources in monumental architecture of late Bronze Age Tiryns in the argos plain, Greece. Arctos 47, 49–96.
- Brysbaert, A., 2015. Set in stone? Technical, socio-economic and symbolic considerations in the construction of the cyclopean-style walls of the late Bronze Age citadel at Tiryns, Greece. In: Bakels, C., Kamermans, H. (Eds.), Excerpta Archaeologica Leidensia. Analecta Praehistorica Leidensia 45, Leuven, pp. 69–90.
- Brysbaert, A., 2018. Constructing monuments, perceiving monumentality: introduction. In: Brysbaert, A., Klinkenberg, V., Gutiérrez Garcia-M, A., Vikatou, I. (Eds.), Constructing Monuments, Perceiving Monumentality and the Economics of Building. Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to the Built Environment, pp. 21–48. Leiden.
- Brysbaert, A., Klinkenberg, V., Gutiérrez Garcia-M, A., Vikatou, I. (Eds.), 2018. Constructing Monuments, Perceiving Monumentality and the Economics of Building. Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to the Built Environment, Leiden.
- Brysbaert, A., Pakkanen, J., in press. Building BIG constructing economies: from design to long-term impact of large-scale building projects. Introduction. In: Pakkanen, J., Brysbaert, A., (Eds.), Building BIG – Constructing Economies: from Design to Long-Term Impact of Large-Scale Building Projects (Panel 3.6), Proceedings of the 19th International Congress of Classical Archaeology, Heidelberg.
- Burford, A., 1969. The Greek Temple Builders at Epidauros. A Social and Economic Study of Building in the Asklepian Sanctuary, during the Fourth and Early Third Centuries B.C. Liverpool.
- Cavanagh, W.G., Laxton, R.R., 1981. The structural mechanics of the Mycenaean tholos tomb. Annu. Br. Sch. Athens 76, 109–140.
- Cavanagh, W.G., Mee, C., 1999. Building the treasury of atreus. In: Betancourt, P.P., Karageorghis, V., Laffineur, R., Niemeier, W.D. (Eds.), Meletemata: Studies in Aegean Archaeology Presented to Malcolm H. Wiener as He Enters His 65th Year. Aegaeum 20, Liège, pp. 93–103.
- Chairetakis, Y., 2018. Oikistikí orgánosi kai chorotaxía sti Salamína apó ton 60 os ton 10 ai. p.Ch. PhD dissertation. In: Greek: Settlement Patterns and Spatial Planning on Salamis from the 6th to the 1st Century BC. Department of History and Archaeology, University of Athens.
- Clark, M.G., 1993. The Economy of the Athenian Navy in the Fourth Century B.C. PhD dissertation. Oxford University.
- Cotterell, B., Kamminga, J., 1990. Mechanics of Pre-industrial Technology. Cambridge.
- Dekoulakou, I., 2003. Anaskafikés érevnes stin Salamína. Excavations on salamina (in Greek and English). In: Konsolaki-Yiannopoulou, E. (Ed.), Argosaronikós. Praktiká 1ou Diethnoús Synedríou Istorías kai Archaiologías tou Argosaronikoú, Póros, 26–29 Iouníou 1998, vol. 2, pp. 29–44. Athens.
- Dekoulakou, I., 2008. Anaskafés sti Salamína, 1985–2007 (in Greek: Excavations on Salamis, 1985–2007). Akamas 2, 10–13.
- DeLaine, J., 1997. The Baths of Caracalla. A Study in the Design, Construction, and Economics of Large-Scale Building Projects in Imperial Rome. J. Rom. Archaeol. Suppl. 25. Portsmouth, R.I.
- Devolder, M., 2013. Construire en Crete minoenne. Une approche énergétique de l'architecture néopalatiale. Aegaeum 35, Leuven.
- Fitchen, J., 1986. Building Construction before Mechanization. Cambridge, Mass.
- Fitzsimons, R.D., 2006. Monuments of Power and the Power of Monuments: the Evolution of Elite Architectural Styles at Bronze Age Mycenae. PhD dissertation. Department of Classics, University of Cincinnati.
- Fitzsimons, R.D., 2011. Monumental architecture and the construction of the Mycenaean state. In: Terrenato, N., Haggis, D.C. (Eds.), State Formation in Italy and Greece. Questioning the Neoevolutionist Paradigm, Oxford, pp. 75–118.
- Fotou, V., 2016. Calculating the manpower requirements for the construction of Neopalatial buildings in Crete: pitfalls, challenges and possibilities. Review article of Devolder 2013. Aegean Stud 1, 57–81.
- Haan, de H.J., 2009. Building the great pyramid by levering. A mathematical model. J. Archaeol. Egypt/Egyptol. 6, 1–22.
- Harper, C.R., 2016. Labouring with the Economics of Mycenaean Architecture: Theories, Methods, and Explorations of Mycenaean Architectural Production. PhD dissertation. Department of Classics, Florida State University.
- Iakovidis, S., 1983. Late Helladic Citadels on Mainland Greece. Leiden.
- Iakovidis, S., French, E., Andreadi, E., Bratziote, L., 2003. Archaeological Atlas of Mycenae. Athens.
- Konstantinidi-Syvridi, E., Paschalidis, C., Nafplioti, A., Brysbaert, A., 2015. Life and death at Mycenae at the end of the Prepalatial period. The case of the chamber tomb south of Grave Circle B. In: Schallin, A.-L., Tournavitou, I. (Eds.), Mycenaeans up to Date. The Archaeology of the North Eastern Peloponnese – Current Concepts and New Directions, pp. 405–431. Stockholm.

- Kontorli-Papadopoulou, L., 1995. Mycenaean tholos tombs: some thoughts on burial customs and rites. In: Morris, C. (Ed.), Klados. Essays in Honour of J.N. Coldstream. Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies Supplement 63, London, pp. 111–122.
- Lacquemont H., C., 2019. The energetics of earthen landscape modification: an assessment of an emerging Mississippian polity. In: McCurdy, L., Abrams, E. (Eds.), Architectural Energetics in Archaeology: Analytical Expansions and Global Explorations. New York, pp. 161–181.
- Loader, N.C., 1998. Building in Cyclopean Masonry. With Special Reference to the Mycenaean Fortifications on Mainland Greece. Studies in Mediterranean Archaeology and Literature, Pocket-Book 148. Jonsered.
- Lolling, H.G., Bohn, R., Fürtwängler, K., Köhler, U., 1880. Das Kuppelgrab bei Menidi. Athens.
- Mee, C.B., Cavanagh, W.G., 1990. The spatial distribution of Mycenaean tombs. Annu. Br. Sch. Athens 85, 225–243.
- McCurdy, L., Abrams, E.M. (Eds.), 2019. Architectural Energetics in Archaeology. Analytical Expansions and Global Explorations. Abingdon and New York. Mosbrucker, A.R., Major, J.J., Spicer, K.R., Pitlick, J., 2017. Camera system
- considerations for geomorphic applications of SfM photogrammetry. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 42, 969–986.
- Müller, K., 1975. Das Kuppelgrab von Tiryns. Tiryns, Forschungen und Berichte 8. Mainz am Rhein.
- Murray, S., Fachard, S., Knodell, A.R., Papangeli, K., Berenfeld, M., Svana, E., 2017. New and traditional methods for thorough documentation and analysis of architectural features in the Greek landscape: a case study from the Mazi archaeological project (Western Attica). In: Vavouranakis, G., Katsianis, M., Papadatos, Y., Mouliou, M., Petridis, P. (Eds.), Digital Pasts for the Present. Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, Greek Chapter (CAA-GR). Athens, 20–21 December 2016, pp. 3–10. Athens.
- Mylonas, G.E., 1966. Mycenae and the Mycenaean Age. Princeton, N.J.
- Osborne, J.F., 2014. Monuments and monumentality. In: Osborne, J.F. (Ed.), Approaching Monumentality in Archaeology, pp. 1–19. Albany.
- Pakkanen, J., 2009. Documentation and computer reconstruction strategies in the study of architecture at the sanctuary of Poseidon at Kalaureia, Greece. In: Bömer, W., Uhlirz, S. (Eds.), Workshop 13: Archäologie und Computer. Kulturelles Erbe und Neue Technologien 03-05.11.2008, pp. 1–10. Vienna.

Pakkanen, J., 2013. The economics of shipshed complexes: Zea, a case study. In: Blackman, D., Rankov, B., Baika, K., Gerding, H., Pakkanen, J. (Eds.), Shipsheds of the Ancient Mediterranean, pp. 55–75. Cambridge.

- Pakkanen, J., 2018. Three-dimensional documentation of architecture and archaeology in the field: combining intensive total station drawing and photogrammetry. In: Brysbaert, A., Klinkenberg, V., Gutiérrez Garcia-M, A., Vikatou, I. (Eds.), Constructing Monuments, Perceiving Monumentality and the Economics of Building. Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to the Built Environment, pp. 115–138. Leiden.
- Pakkanen, J., Lentini, M.C., Sarris, A., Tikkala, E., Manataki, M., 2019. Recording and reconstructing the sacred landscapes of Sicilian Naxos. Open Archaeol. 5, 416–433.
- Pelon, O., 1976. Tholoi, tumuli et cercles funéraires. Recherches sur les monuments funéraires de plan circulaire dans l'Égée de l'âge du Bronze (IIIe et IIe millénaires av. J.-C.). Athens and Paris.
- Sapirstein, P., 2014. Photogrammetry as a tool for architectural analysis: the digital architecture project at Olympia. In: Papadopoulos, C., Paliou, E., Chrysanthi, A., Kotoula, E., Sarris, A. (Eds.), Archaeological Research in the Digital Age. Proceedings of the 1st Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, Greek Chapter. Rethymno, Crete, 6–8 March 2014, pp. 129–139. Athens.
- Sapirstein, P., 2016. Accurate measurement with photogrammetry at large sites. J. Archaeol. Sci. 66, 137–145.
- Schliemann, H., 1878. Mycenae. A Narrative of Researches and Discoveries at Mycenae and Tiryns. New York.
- Siebke, I., Campana, L., Ramsteind, M., Furtwängler, A., Hafnerf, A., Lösch, S., 2018. The application of different 3D-scan-systems and photogrammetry at an excavation – a Neolithic dolmen from Switzerland. Digit. Appl. Archaeol. Cult. Herit. 10, e00078.
- Simpson, R.H., Hagel, D., 2006. Mycenaean Fortifications, Highways, Dams and Canals. Studies in Mediterranean Archaeology, vol. 133. Sävedalen.
- Stanier, R.S., 1953. The cost of the Parthenon. J. Hellenic Stud. 73, 68-76.
- Stubbings, F.H., 1947. The mycenaean pottery of Attica. Annu. Br. Sch. Athens 42, 1–75. Thomas, H., 2016. Quantitative analysis of two low-cost aerial photography platforms: a case study of the site of Zagora, Andros, Greece. J. Field Archaeol. 41, 660–670.
- Turner, D.R., 2012. Early Medieval Earthwork Enclosures in the British Isles: Comparative Labor Costs in the Insular Scandinavian Context. MPhil thesis. Department of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Cambridge.
- Turner, D.R., 2018. Comparative labour rates in cross-cultural contexts. In: Brysbaert, A., Klinkenberg, V., Gutiérrez Garcia-M, A., Vikatou, I. (Eds.), Constructing Monuments, Perceiving Monumentality and the Economics of Building. Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to the Built Environment, pp. 195–217. Leiden.
- Turner, D.R., Forthcoming. Grave Reminders: Comparing Mycenaean Tomb Building with Energetics and Memory. PhD dissertation, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University.
- Voutsaki, S., van den Beld, Y., de Raaff, Y., 2018. Labour mobilization and architectural energetics in the North Cemetery at Ayios Vasilios, Laconia, Greece. In: Brysbaert, A., Klinkenberg, V., Gutiérrez Garcia-M, A., Vikatou, I. (Eds.), Constructing Monuments, Perceiving Monumentality and the Economics of Building. Theoretical and Methodological Approaches to the Built Environment. Leiden, pp. 169–191.
- Wace, A.J.B., 1921. Excavations at Mycenae. Annu. Br. Sch. Athens 25, 1–145.
- Wace, A.J.B., 1949. Mycenae. An Archaeological History and Guide. Princeton, N.J. Whitley, J., 1988. Early states and hero cults: a re-appraisal. J. Hellenic Stud. 108, 173–182.