

# Taxation of cross-border inheritances and donations: suggestions for improvement

Dafnomilis, V.

#### Citation

Dafnomilis, V. (2021, June 3). *Taxation of cross-border inheritances and donations:* suggestions for improvement. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182533

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182533">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182533</a>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

#### Cover Page



## Universiteit Leiden



The handle  $\underline{\text{https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182533}}$  holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Dafnomilis, V.

Title: Taxation of cross-border inheritances and donations: suggestions for improvement

**Issue Date:** 2021-06-03



## Taxation of cross-border inheritances and donations. Suggestions for improvement

Vasileios Dafnomilis

# TAXATION OF CROSS-BORDER INHERITANCES AND DONATIONS. SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

### BELASTINGHEFFING VAN GRENSOVERSCHRIJDENDE NALATENSCHAPPEN EN SCHENKINGEN.

VOORSTELLEN TOT VERBETERING

#### **PROEFSCHRIFT**

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 3 juni 2021
klokke 16.15 uur

Vasileios Dafnomilis geboren te Amarousio, Athene, Griekenland in 1990

door

Promotoren: prof. dr. F. Sonneveldt

prof. dr. S.C.W. Douma (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Promotiecommissie: prof. mr. T.J. Mellema-Kranenburg

prof. mr. I.J.F.A. van Vijfeijken (Tilburg University)

prof. G. Maisto (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Piacenza, Italy)

prof. dr. T Lyons QC (City University of London, UK)

This research project is partially funded by the Foundation for Education and European Culture (IPEP).

The commercial version of this dissertation will be published in the series Fiscale Monografieën of Wolters Kluwer.

#### **PREFACE**

My decision to write a doctoral thesis on cross-border death and gift taxation was not taken lightly. I believe fate led me to this; fate accompanied by luck and interesting coincidences. During my bachelor studies at the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, the chapter of the laws of succession of the Greek Civil Code was one of the most interesting ones to me. After graduating in 2012, I did not practice succession law as a trainee lawyer in Athens, but intuition told me that I had not finished with that topic. One year after graduating, I enrolled for the postgraduate programme on EU law studies at the University of Athens, to attend the 'European tax law' course. I was struck by how fascinating and dynamic this field of law can be as it combines the theoretical concepts of EU law with the mechanics of tax law. Under the excellent supervision of the inspiring course instructor, Associate Professor dr. Rebecca-Emmanuela Papadopoulou, I wrote a master thesis on the negative integration of taxes within the EU, with particular focus on death taxes.

I knew I wanted to deepen my knowledge of European tax law and of how inheritance and gift taxes worked in practice. In August 2014, Leiden University and ITC Leiden launched its Advanced LL.M. in European tax law programme. I met Professor dr. Sjoerd Douma, the then director of the programme, who in December 2014, asked me to consider preparing a doctoral thesis in international taxation, with him as supervisor. He was perhaps the first person who believed in me when I moved to the Netherlands and I would like to thank him for his unwavering support.

That same year, the EC Tax Review journal published an article of mine which was broadly based on my master thesis. It caught the eye of Professor dr. Frans Sonneveldt, the main instructor of the Estate Planning course in the Advanced LL.M., who encouraged me to attend the course. Death and gift taxation from an international and EU point of view was an area of law I wanted to specialise in further. I thank Professor Sonneveldt who inspired me to present this doctoral thesis, and gave me the benefit of his profound knowledge of this discipline.

I would also like to thank several people who have contributed to the completion of this thesis. They include my colleagues from PwC's Dutch Tax Knowledge Centre and especially, Professor dr. Ad van Doesum and Mariska van der Maas, who have supported my academic aspirations from the first, allowing me to combine my work as tax advisor with my academic work. Also, my PwC and UvA colleague, Professor dr. Hein Vermeulen, for being such a supportive friend and colleague through all these years. My thanks also go to the members of the Estate Planning group of PwC and especially Mitra Tydeman-Yousef who helped me understand some practical problems of cross-border death taxation. Finally, I thank the members of the manuscript committee for reading my manuscript and for their valuable comments, and the Foundation for Education and European Culture which has supported my studies since 2014.

Special thanks go to my friends and especially to Konstantina, Dora, Marthe and Serieke for all their unwavering support during all these years and to my family in Greece, without whose support I could never have achieved this. I would also like to thank my in-laws for their great interest in my progress. Above all, I would like to thank my husband, Stephan, for his unconditional support and ability to make me smile, especially when I needed it most.

Vassilis Dafnomilis, Leiden, May 2021

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Preface / V

#### List of abbreviations used / XVII

PART I: INTRODUCTION TO DEATH AND GIFT TAXATION AND THE PROBLEMS OF

CROSS-BORDER DEATH AND GIFT TAXATION

#### CHAPTER 1

#### Scope, purpose, structure and methodology used in this study / 3

- 1.1 The current situation / 3
  - 1.1.1 The problems of death taxes in a domestic setting / 4
    - 1.1.1.1 The interaction of death taxes with other types of taxes / 4
    - 1.1.1.2 The difficulty of the public to grasp the justifications of death taxes / 4
    - 1.1.1.3 The nature and design of death taxes / 5
  - 1.1.2 The problems of death taxes in a cross-border setting / 5
- 1.2 The purposes of this study / 7
  - 1.2.1 Description and systemisation of the law as such / 7
  - 1.2.2 Suggestion of separate and holistic solutions / 8
- 1.3 Structure of this study / 8
- 1.4 Methodology used in this study / 10
  - 1.4.1 "Legal-dogmatic research" / 10
  - 1.4.2 Adding to the research in this area and the applicable approach / 12

#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### Death taxes and taxes on gifts / 13

- 2.1 Key features of death taxes and taxes on gifts / 13
  - 2.1.1 Inheritance and estate taxes / 13
  - 2.1.2 Other types of taxes levied upon death / 15
  - 2.1.3 Taxes on gifts / 15
- 2.2 Establishment of tax jurisdiction / 16
  - 2.2.1 Inheritance and estate taxes / 16
    - 2.2.1.1 The personal nexus / 16
    - 2.2.1.2 The objective nexus / 18
  - 2.2.2 Other types of taxes levied upon death / 18

2.3 2.4	Justificat 2.4.1 2.4.2 2.4.3 2.4.4 2.4.5 2.4.6 2.4.7 2.4.8 2.4.9 2.4.10 2.4.11 2.4.12 2.4.13	of death to the cions of death to the cions of death the cions of the	death taxes and revenue trends / 19 ns of death taxation / 21 he ability-to-pay-taxes justification (the theory of value) / 24 he windfall justification (the accidental income theory) / 27 he tax equality justification / 30 he diffusion-of-wealth justification / 31 he sluice justification / 32 he work stimulating justification/incentive to work justification / 32 he wages-for-work justification / 33 he justification of less pain / 33 he profit justification (the benefits theory and the co-heirship theory) / 34 he belated fee justification / 35 he financing of the probate costs / 35 enalty for the deceased's tax evasion (the back-taxes theory) / 36 he substitution for not imposed taxes justification (apart from tax vasion) / 36		
2.5	2.4.14 Conclusi	A means for the abolition of the intestate inheritance / 37 ision of Chapter 2 / 37			
CHAPTE The star		nt of this	<b>study</b> / 39		
3.1	The prob 3.1.1		cross-border death and gift taxation / 39  Variety of concepts determining the personal nexus between a person and a state / 39  3.1.1.1.1 Residence / 40  3.1.1.2 Domicile / 42  3.1.1.3 Nationality / 43  Assessment of the personal link with a different person (donor-based and donee-based taxes) / 44  Different taxable persons / 45  Different types of taxes / 45  Connection with civil law / 46  3.1.1.5.1 Determination of critical terms by civil law / 46  3.1.1.5.2 Private international law rules / 47  3.1.1.5.3 The EU Succession Regulation / 48  Qualification issues / 50  Divergent valuation rules / 50  Situs taxation / 51		

3.1.2 Double or multiple non-taxation / 53

3.1.2.1 Jurisdictional double or multiple non-taxation / 54

relief / 52

3.1.1.10 The ineffectiveness of the unilateral double taxation

			3.1.2.1.1 Variety of concepts determining the personal nexus		
			between a person and a state / 54		
			3.1.2.1.2 Assessment of the personal link with a different		
			person (donor and donee base) / 55		
			3.1.2.1.3 Connection with civil law / 55		
			3.1.2.1.4 Qualification issues / 55		
			3.1.2.1.5 Situs taxation / 56		
			3.1.2.1.6 Application of different types of taxes / 56		
		3.1.2.2	3 1		
		3.1.2.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			taxing / 57		
		3.1.2.4	Double or multiple non-taxation as a result of tax abuse / 57		
	3.1.3		Discriminatory treatment of cross-border inheritances and donations / $58$		
		3.1.3.1	Introduction / 58		
		3.1.3.2	Examples of discriminatory inheritance and gift tax provisions / 58		
			3.1.3.2.1 Tax deductions for certain liabilities and debts / 58		
			3.1.3.2.2 Subjective tax exemptions / 58		
			3.1.3.2.3 Objective tax exemptions / 59		
			3.1.3.2.4 Valuation rules / 59		
			3.1.3.2.5 Tax rates / 59		
			3.1.3.2.6 Filing deadline / 59		
			3.1.3.2.7 Payment deadline / 59		
			3.1.3.2.8 Payment requirements / 60		
			3.1.3.2.9 Penalties and fines / 60		
	3.1.4		strative difficulties / 60		
			Introduction / 60		
		3.1.4.2	The example of Mr D's beneficiaries / 61		
			3.1.4.2.1 Problems arising in the state of the objective nexus / 62		
			3.1.4.2.2 Problems arising in the state of the personal nexus / 63		
3.2	Confirmation of the selection of the problems / 64				
	3.2.1 The OECD IHTMTC / 64				
		3.2.1.1	1 /		
			The objectives of the OECD IHTMTC / 67		
		3.2.1.3	Why states do not often conclude inheritance and gift tax treaties / 69		
	3.2.2	The 201	5 inheritance tax report / 70		
3.3			roblems at different levels / 71		
<b>3.3</b>			ional level / 71		
	3.3.2		CD level / 71		
	3.3.3		level / 72		
	3.3.3	3.3.3.1	Double or multiple taxation / 72		
		3.3.3.2	Double or multiple non-taxation / 73		
		3.3.3.3	Discrimination / 74		
		3.3.3.4	Administrative difficulties / 75		
3.4	Conclus		apter 3 / 76		
		, J. <b>-11</b>	····· - 1		

PART II: SEPARATE SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS OF CROSS-BORDER DEATH AND GIFT TAXATION

#### **CHAPTER 4**

#### Separate solutions at the OECD level | 81

- 4.1 Introduction / 81
- 4.2 The four elements of the proposed inheritance and gift tax / 82
  - 4.2.1 Mortis causa or inter vivos taxation / 82
  - 4.2.2 The levying of the tax on windfalls / 84
  - 4.2.3 The definition of critical terms by civil law / 85
  - 4.2.4 The ability-to-pay-taxes justification / 86
- 4.3 Conclusion of Chapter 4 / 86

#### **CHAPTER 5**

#### The provisions of the OECD IHTMTC and its Commentary that can be improved / 89

- 5.1 Double or multiple taxation / 89
  - 5.1.1 Narrow scope and subsidiary taxing rights (Articles 1,4, 7 and 9A and 9B) / 90
  - 5.1.2 Multiple taxation (Articles 1 and 4) / 92
  - 5.1.3 The tiebreaker rule for individuals (Article 4(2)) / 95
  - 5.1.4 Overlaps with the OECD ICTMTC (Article 2) / 97
  - 5.1.5 Estate and inheritance taxes (Articles 2 and 9B) / 100
  - 5.1.6 Inheritance/estate/gift taxes and income/capital gains taxes (Articles 2 and 9B) / 101
  - 5.1.7 Lack of common valuation rules (Articles 9A and 9B) / 103
  - 5.1.8 Conflicts of qualification (Articles 3 and 5-7) / 105
  - 5.1.9 The special features of the law of the Contracting States (Article 1) / 107
  - 5.1.10 Mutual agreement procedure (Article 11) / 109
- 5.2 Double or multiple non-taxation / 110
  - 5.2.1 Overlaps with the OECD ICTMTC (Article 2) / 110
  - 5.2.2 Conflicts of qualification (Articles 3 and 5-7) / 112
  - 5.2.3 Termination of the tax treaty (Article 16) / 113
- 5.3 Discriminatory treatment of cross-border inheritances and donations / 115
  - 5.3.1 Introduction / 115
  - 5.3.2 The OECD IHTMTC's nationality non-discrimination provision / 116
- 5.4 Administrative difficulties / 117
  - **5.4.1** Introduction / 117
  - 5.4.2 The OECD IHTMTC's nationality non-discrimination provision (Article 10) / 118
- 5.5 Conclusion of Chapter 5 / 118

#### CHAPTER 6

6.1

#### The suggested improvements to the OECD IHTMTC $\mid$ 121

5.1	Double	Double or multiple taxation / 121				
	6.1.1	Narrow scope and subsidiary taxing rights (Articles 1,4, 7, 9A and 9B) / 121				
		6.1.1.1	Primary taxing rights / 121			
		6.1.1.2	Subsidiary taxing right provision / 122			
			6.1.1.2.1 Taxation based on nationality / 123			
			6.1.1.2.2 Taxation based on links with beneficiaries / 124			
			6.1.1.2.3 Extended domicile / 124			
	6.1.2	Multiple	e taxation (Articles 1 and 4) / 127			
		6.1.2.1 Introduction / 127				
		6.1.2.2	Enhancing the effectiveness of the model in multiple taxation situations / 128			
			6.1.2.2.1 Nationality as a subsidiary criterion (Article 4) / 128			
			6.1.2.2.2 Conclusion of a multilateral tax convention			
			(Article 1) / 130			
	6.1.3	The tieb	reaker rule for individuals (Article 4(2)) / 131			
		6.1.3.1	The two aspects of the OECD IHTMTC's tiebreaker rule that			
			can be improved / 131			
		6.1.3.2	Proposed amendments / 133			
			6.1.3.2.1 Specific tiebreaker rule / 133			
			6.1.3.2.2 Specific tiebreaker rule and broadening of the scope			
			the general tiebreaker rule / 135			
			6.1.3.2.3 Update of the general tiebreaker rule / 136			
	6.1.4	Overlap	s with the OECD ICTMTC (Article 2) / 137			
		6.1.4.1	Introduction / 137			
		6.1.4.2	Article 2(1)-(3): transition from an exhaustive to an indicative			
			list / 137			
		6.1.4.3	Article 2(4): addressing the parallel application of an income			
			tax and an inheritance and gift tax treaty / 140			
	6.1.5	Estate a	Estate and inheritance taxes (Articles 2 and 9B) / 142			
	6.1.6	Inherita	Inheritance/estate/gift taxes and income/capital gains taxes (Articles 2			
		and 9B)	/ 143			
		6.1.6.1	Introduction / 143			
		6.1.6.2	Bridging the gap between inheritance/gift taxes and other			
			types of death taxes and taxes on gifts / 144			
			6.1.6.2.1 Taxes covered (Article 2) and "in relation to the same			
			event" (Article 9B) / 144			
			6.1.6.2.2 A "comprehensive treaty" and a "consolidated			
			treaty" / 144			
			6.1.6.2.3 The income tax treaty practice / 145			
	6.1.7	Conflict	s of qualification (Articles 3 and 5-7) / 146			
		6.1.7.1	Conflicts of qualification due to the interpretation of the treaty			
			rules / 146			
			6.1.7.1.1 The defined terms – Article 3(1) of the OECD IHTMTC / 147			

- 6.1.7.1.2 The insufficiently defined terms the term "immovable property" / 148
- 6.1.7.1.3 The undefined terms update of Article 3(2) of the OECD IHTMTC / 150
- 6.1.7.2 Conflicts of qualification due to differences in treaty application to the facts / 150
- 6.1.7.3 Conflicts of qualification due to differences in domestic law classifications / 151
- 6.1.8 Mutual agreement procedure (Article 11) / 152
  - 6.1.8.1 The importance of the procedure / 152
  - 6.1.8.2 Inclusion of arbitration clause / 153
- 6.2 Double or multiple non-taxation / 154
  - 6.2.1 Overlaps with the OECD ICTMTC (Article 2) / 154
  - 6.2.2 Conflicts of qualification (Articles 3 and 5-7) / 155
    - 6.2.2.1 Conflicts of qualification due to the interpretation of the treaty rules / 155
    - 6.2.2.2 Conflicts of qualification due to differences in treaty application to the facts / 155
    - 6.2.2.3 Conflicts of qualification due to differences in domestic law classifications / 156
  - 6.2.3 Termination of the tax treaty (Article 16) / 157
    - 6.2.3.1 Double non-taxation before the lapse of the minimum application period / 158
    - 6.2.3.2 Double non-taxation after the lapse of the minimum application period / 159
- 6.3 Discriminatory treatment of cross-border inheritances and donations / 160
  - 6.3.1 Introduction / 160
  - 6.3.2 Suggested improvements to the OECD IHTMTC's nationality non-discrimination provision / 160
- 6.4 Administrative difficulties / 161
- 6.5 Conclusion of chapter 6 / 162

#### **CHAPTER 7**

#### **Separate solutions at the EU level** / 165

- 7.1 Double or multiple taxation / 165
  - 7.1.1 EU fundamental freedoms and juridical double taxation of inheritances / 166
  - 7.1.2 The EC's recommendation 2011/856 regarding relief for double taxation of inheritances / 167
    - 7.1.2.1 Introduction / 167
    - 7.1.2.2 The innovative aspects of the recommendation / 170 7.1.2.2.1 Broad scope / 171 7.1.2.2.2 Double or multiple taxation / 171
    - 7.1.2.3 The aspects of the recommendation that could be improved / 172 7.1.2.3.1 Unrelieved double or multiple taxation / 172 7.1.2.3.2 The tiebreaker rule / 173

	7.1.3 7.1.4		on of the EC's recommendation to an EU Directive / 174 nation of a treaty-based and an EU law solution / 177 Multilateral convention for the avoidance of double taxation of estates, inheritances and donations (treaty-based solution) in the form of an EU Directive / 177 Extension of the scope of the Council Directive 2017/1852/EU		
		7.1.4.2	(EU law solution) / 178		
7.2	Double o	r multipl	e non-taxation / 179		
7.3		_	eatment of cross-border inheritances and donations / 180		
	7.3.1 The "EU compliant inheritance and gift tax" / 180				
	7.3.2		D IHTMTC and the EU fundamental freedoms / 181		
	7.3.3	Two important issues arising from the Court's case law / 182			
	7.0.0	7.3.3.1	The non-application of the Schumacker doctrine / 183		
		7.3.3.1	7.3.3.1.1 Subjective tax exemptions by the EU Member State of the objective nexus / 184		
			7.3.3.1.2 Subjective tax exemptions by the EU Member State of the personal nexus / 186		
			7.3.3.1.3 Proportionate subjective tax exemptions: a fair solution? / 186		
			7.3.3.1.4 Objective tax exemptions / 188		
		7.3.3.2	Neutralisation / 190		
7.4	Adminis	trative di	fficulties / 192		
	7.4.1 The Council Directive 2011/16/EU / 192				
	7.4.2	The Cou	ncil Directive 2010/24/EU / 193		
	7.4.3	The Cou	ncil Directive 2017/1852/EU / 193		
7.5	Conclusi		pter 7 / 194		
PART III:		HOLISTIC FT TAXAT	SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEMS OF CROSS-BORDER DEATH AND TON		
CHAPTEI <b>A holisti</b>		n to the j	problems of cross-border death and gift taxation / 199		
0.4	TTI 1	1	C.I. F.C		
8.1 8.2	The three solutions of the EC's expert group / 199 Introduction to the "one inheritance – one inheritance tax" concept / 201 8.2.1 Introduction / 201				
	8.2.2	The objectives of the concept / 203 8.2.2.1 The three primary objectives / 203			
	8.2.3	8.2.2.2 A concep 8.2.3.1 8.2.3.2	The underlying objective / 204 ot consistent with subsidiarity and proportionality / 205 The subsidiarity principle / 206 The proportionality principle / 206 8.2.3.2.1 A concept proportionate to the objectives to be achieved / 206		

7.1.2.3.3 The mutual agreement procedure / 174

8.2.3.2.2 A concept proportionate to EU Member States' fiscal sovereignty and international tax law principles / 208

- 8.2.4 The legal form of the concept / 209
- 8.2.5 Taxes covered / 210
- 8.2.6 Application to a cross-border inheritance / 212
- 8.3 The two steps of application of the concept / 213
  - 8.3.1 Step one: the deceased's habitual residence as a connecting tax criterion / 213
    - 8.3.1.1 The assessment of the habitual residence at the deceased / 214
    - 8.3.1.2 Interpreting habitual residence / 215
      - 8.3.1.2.1 Habitual residence: an EU autonomous term / 215
      - 8.3.1.2.2 Three interpretation approaches / 217
      - 8.3.1.2.3 Habitual residence under the EU Succession Regulation / 218
      - 8.3.1.2.4 Habitual residence under the concept / 219
  - 8.3.2 Step two: single taxation / 222
    - 8.3.2.1 Taxation by the EU Member State of the deceased's habitual residence / 223
    - 8.3.2.2 Taxation by any other EU Member State / 223
- 8.4 Application of the concept to the problems of this study / 224
  - 8.4.1 From "obstacles" to "problems" / 224
  - 8.4.2 An EU Directive implementing the "one inheritance one inheritance tax" concept / 226
  - 8.4.3 Enforcement mechanisms against discrimination / 227
    - 8.4.3.1 Survey on the domestic rules on death and taxes on gifts / 227
    - 8.4.3.2 Creation of an inheritance and gift tax forum / 228
    - 8.4.3.3 Infringement procedure / 228
    - 8.4.3.4 Introduction of compulsory rules / 228
- 8.5 Conclusion of chapter 8 / 229

PART IV: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### **CHAPTER 9**

#### Summary and conclusions / 233

Appendix I: A new version of the OECD IHTMTC / 245

Appendix II: Proposal for Council Directive on a multilateral Convention for the avoidance of double or multiple taxation of cross-border inheritances and gifts / 259

Appendix III: Proposal for an amendment to the Council Directive (EU) 2017/1852 of 10 October 2017 on tax dispute resolution mechanisms in the European Union / 269

Appendix IV: Proposal for Council Directive implementing the "one inheritance – one inheritance tax" concept / 273

#### SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)

Table of reference materials / 291

**Table of case law** / 301

**Index** / 303

**Curriculum Vitae** / 309

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED

AG Advocate General

DAC Directive of Administrative Cooperation

EC European Commission

EEA Agreement on the European Economic Area of 2 May 1992

EU European Union

ECJ, CJ European Court of Justice (before 1 December 2009) or Court of

Justice of the European Union (per 1 December 2009)

ECOFIN Economic and Financial Affairs Council configuration / The council

of ministers

MLI Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures

to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting or Multilateral instru-

ment

PE Permanent establishment

OECD Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

OECD IHTMTC OECD Model Tax Convention for the avoidance of double taxation

with respect to taxes on inheritances, estates and gifts

OECD ICTMTC OECD Model Convention on Income and Capital

Para./paras. Paragraph/paragraphs
TEU Treaty on European Union

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

UK United Kingdom US United States

PART I: INTRODUCTION TO DEATH AND GIFT TAXATION AND THE PROBLEMS OF CROSS-BORDER DEATH AND GIFT TAXATION