



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Making messages memorable: the influence of rhetorical techniques on information retention

Wackers, M.J.Y.

Citation

Wackers, M. J. Y. (2021, June 24). *Making messages memorable: the influence of rhetorical techniques on information retention*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185773>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185773>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185773> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Wackers, M.J.Y.

Title: Making messages memorable: the influence of rhetorical techniques on information retention

Issue date: 2021-06-24

Making messages memorable

**The influence of rhetorical techniques on
information retention**

Published by
LOT
Kloveniersburgwal 48
1012 CX Amsterdam
The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461

e-mail: lot@uva.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: © Jessy Wackers-Merry

ISBN: 978-94-6093-377-6
DOI: <https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0592>
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2021: Martijn Wackers. All rights reserved.

Making messages memorable

**The influence of rhetorical techniques on
information retention**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 24 juni 2021
klokke 15.00 uur
door

Martijn Johannes Yvon Wackers

geboren te Sittard
in 1984

Promotor: Prof. dr. J.C. de Jong

Copromotor: Dr. B.A. Andeweg (Technische Universiteit Delft)

Promotiecommissie: Em. prof. dr. C.J.M. Jansen (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen)
Prof. dr. J.E. Kjeldsen (University of Bergen)
Dr. M. van Leeuwen
Em. prof. dr. I.M. Tiekens-Boon van Ostade

Aan Jolijn

Aan Joep, Guus en Sil

Preface and acknowledgements

In a travel guide for PhD students, this dissertation would probably feature in the chapter *Off the beaten track*. After graduating in Rhetoric and Argumentation (the specialisation Taalbeheersing of the Dutch language and Culture study programme) from Leiden University by the end of 2008, I found a job as a communication skills lecturer at Delft University of Technology. Teaching presentation, (academic) writing and debating skills formed the main focus of my work; officially, research tasks were not part of the position. Still, rhetorical research was never far away. In hindsight, that is perhaps no surprise: Bas Andeweg—copromotor of this thesis—was my colleague at the TU Delft’s Centre for Languages and Academic Skills (ITAV: Instituut voor Talen en Academische Vaardigheden) and promotor Jaap de Jong worked there for quite some time. Jaap and Bas, who wrote their dissertation together, slowly lit my PhD fire: next to my teaching activities, I was continuously involved in some of their research projects.

In 2012, I decided to embark on my own PhD project. While keeping my teaching position at TU Delft, I took what turned out to be a long and winding road and started my research project as an external PhD student at Leiden University. In busy semesters, I was happy when I could spend one day a week on the research. Still, I enjoyed diving into the topic and soon I had reached the point of no return. Finally, by the end of 2020, there came the sun. I had reached the finish line in a year that would prove to be challenging for everyone, and would pose many people with challenges that make the task of writing a PhD thesis grow pale. Although I had thought of a clear step-by-step approach, with various teaching projects, articles and not to mention the arrival of three wonderful children my PhD journey regularly veered ‘off the beaten track’. It is a journey I am proud of.

Of course, I could not have reached the finish line without support. First and foremost, Jaap and Bas deserve my gratitude. You have encouraged me to take this step, always gave constructive feedback and held faith in a positive wrap-up of the project. Thank you for countless inspiring meetings in which we discussed both the bigger picture and the “sterretjes” I needed to take care of. We were ahead of time in some respect, with our hybrid meetings from Jaap’s (home) office and Bas zooming from Zelhem. It is an honour to have written a dissertation in the tradition of *De eerste minuten*.

Next, I would like to thank the bachelor and master students of the Dutch Language and Culture programme (specialisation Taalbeheersing) who have made an important contribution to this dissertation, Nanouk Bel, Bert Besterveld, Shari Helderman, Anna Hoogesteger, Sebastiaan van Loosbroek, Ave Luth, Lisanne Mijnders, Anne van Winkelhof and Carli van Winsen for their efforts and useful results. The experiments could not have been possible without guest speakers Tommy Hopstaken and Bob van der Laaken displaying their rhetorical skills.

This thesis could not have been written without the invaluable support from my colleagues at the Centre for Languages and Academic Skills (ITAV) at Delft University of Technology. Thank you for spending some of your precious lecture time

on my experiments, for inspiring lunches and a wonderful atmosphere to work in. In particular, I would like to thank Pauline Post for supporting this project and giving me time and space to work on it. I also can't thank Nadia van Pelt enough for her sharp eye in the final editing process. With Gezinus Wolters, lecturer and researcher Cognitive Psychology at Leiden University, I had a valuable meeting to discuss the role of memory theory in this dissertation.

Many thanks to my paranympths Max van Duijn and Sjaak Baars for supporting me and organising the defence. For me, it feels as if we are closing a circle: starting as students in Leiden, working and making music together, and now bringing this project to a close.

Furthermore, I would like to thank my family and friends who have supported me in the past few years. I could always share my thoughts with you or take my mind off the project. A word of thanks to my parents, for encouraging me to take my first steps on the academic path, and especially to my mother for the cover picture of this book.

Last but not least: the home squad. Joep, Guus and Sil: thank you for making every day a joy. My dear Jolijn, we have been a great team for quite a while now. This project has travelled along with us at least half of that time. Thank you for encouraging me and giving me the space I needed to write this book.

Leiden, April 2021

Table of contents

Preface and acknowledgements

vii

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Memorable messages in a knowledge society.....	1
1.2 The psychology of remembering messages.....	4
1.2.1 Attention enables encoding	4
1.2.2 Encoding via organisation	5
1.2.3 Encoding via elaboration	6
1.2.4 Retrieval process	7
1.3 The rhetorical retention situation	8
1.4 A rhetorical approach to making messages memorable	10
1.4.1 Research aim	11
1.4.2 Scope and contribution	12
1.4.3 Methodology and thesis outline.....	13
2. Rhetorical retention advice: classical and modern techniques	15
2.1 Orator and audience memory in classical rhetoric	16
2.1.1 <i>Memoria</i> : places, images, and things.....	17
2.1.2 Advice on audience information retention by the classical authors.....	20
2.1.3 Conclusion classical retention advice	26
2.2 Method: construction and analysis of corpus modern public-speaking textbooks ...	26
2.2.1 Construction of the textbook corpus.....	27
2.2.2 Identification, labelling and categorisation of rhetorical retention techniques	30
2.3 <i>Memoria</i> task of the speaker in modern public-speaking textbooks	32
2.3.1 To memorise or not to memorise.....	32
2.3.2 Rehearsals, outlines and speaking notes.....	34
2.3.3 Modern perspectives on <i>memoria</i>	35
2.4 Amount of retention advice in modern public-speaking textbooks	38
2.4.1 Number of pages devoted to audience information retention	38
2.4.2 Most frequently recommended retention techniques	40
2.5 Description of twenty-five most frequently recommended retention techniques	43
2.5.1 Visual aids	43
2.5.2 Anecdote.....	56
2.5.3 Summary	60
2.5.4 Repetition	64
2.5.5 Imagery	67
2.5.6 Chunking	71
2.5.7 Humour	74
2.5.8 Rhyme	77
2.5.9 Metaphor	79
2.5.10 One-liner, soundbite and slogan	81
2.5.11 Connecting to the audience.....	83
2.5.12 Final statement	85
2.5.13 Circle technique.....	87
2.5.14 Quotation.....	89

2.5.15	Example.....	90
2.5.16	Audience participation.....	91
2.5.17	Rhetorical question.....	93
2.5.18	Call to action	94
2.5.19	Parallelism.....	95
2.5.20	List of three	96
2.5.21	Comprehensible language.....	97
2.5.22	Systematic order	98
2.5.23	<i>Partitio</i>	101
2.5.24	Clear message.....	104
2.5.25	<i>Propositio</i>	105
2.6	Warnings (<i>vitia</i>): how information retention can be hindered.....	107
2.6.1	Overview of most frequent warnings.....	107
2.6.2	Information overload.....	109
2.6.3	Ineffective conclusion	111
2.6.4	Complex language	114
2.6.5	Less frequent warnings: ineffective delivery skills and ineffective use of style.....	115
2.7	Support for advice and warnings: references and sources in the textbooks	116
2.7.1	Number of references	116
2.7.2	Quality of references	118
2.8	Conclusion and discussion: retention advice in public-speaking textbooks	123
2.8.1	Retention in modern public-speaking textbooks and ancient rhetoric	123
2.8.2	Differences in retention advice in English-language and Dutch-language textbooks	128
3.	Organisation and elaboration techniques in public-speaking practice	131
3.1	Selection of retention techniques	133
3.1.1	Considerations for selecting techniques	133
3.1.2	Selected retention techniques linked to organisation	135
3.1.3	Selection of retention techniques linked to elaboration	138
3.2	Construction of corpora research presentations, political speeches and TED talks	142
3.2.1	Context	144
3.2.2	Type of speaker	144
3.2.3	Audience.....	146
3.2.4	Main purpose.....	146
3.2.5	Source text and length	148
3.3	Method of analysis.....	149
3.3.1	Operationalisation of retention techniques for text-based analysis	150
3.3.2	Labelling procedure.....	154
3.3.3	Reliability of the analysis	155
3.4	Results organisation techniques	157
3.4.1	Frequency of organisation techniques per corpus.....	157
3.4.2	<i>Partitio</i> : “tell them what you are going to tell them”	159
3.4.3	Announcement of the conclusion and summary: “tell them what you have told them”	162
3.4.4	Circle technique: close the loop.....	169
3.4.5	Transitions: connect the dots	172

3.5	Results elaboration techniques.....	178
3.5.1	Results: frequency of anecdotes and questions.....	179
3.5.2	Anecdotes: vivid and relevant stories	181
3.5.3	Questions: making the audience think.....	190
3.6	Discussion.....	196
3.6.1	Public-speaking practice compared to textbook advice	197
3.6.2	Organisation and elaboration techniques by professional speakers and speaking professionals.....	198
3.6.3	Limitations and next step.....	201
4.	Effects of three concluding retention techniques	203
4.1	Experiment 1: announcement of the conclusion and circle technique.....	204
4.1.1	Recapitulation: announcement of the conclusion and circle technique as retention techniques	204
4.1.2	Experimental design: hypotheses, presentation design and recordings.....	206
4.1.3	Questionnaire, experimental subjects and procedure.....	210
4.1.4	Results	212
4.1.5	Conclusion and discussion: effect of announcing the conclusion and circle technique on retention and appreciation	215
4.2	Experiment 2: retention effects of the summary	216
4.2.1	Retention characteristics and definition of the summary	217
4.2.2	Experimental design: hypotheses, presentation design and recordings.....	221
4.2.3	Questionnaire, experimental subjects and procedure.....	225
4.2.4	Results	227
4.2.5	Conclusion and discussion: effects of summary variants on retention and appreciation	231
5.	Conclusion and discussion	235
5.1	Retention effects of three rhetorical concluding techniques.....	236
5.1.1	Experiments: concluding techniques can lead to increased retention	236
5.1.2	Three concluding retention techniques: advice and practice	238
5.1.3	Limitations and perspectives	239
5.2	Usage of retention techniques in public-speaking practice	241
5.2.1	Preferences and variants of retention techniques in practice	242
5.2.2	Limitations and perspectives	245
5.3	Retention as a rhetorical function in public-speaking textbooks.....	246
5.3.1	Main retention advice in modern public-speaking textbooks	247
5.3.2	Reflection: quality of retention advice and the role of textbooks	249
5.3.3	Limitations and perspectives	250
5.4	Perspectives on rhetorical retention research	250
5.4.1	Retention as a key perspective in rhetorical research	251
5.4.2	The future of rhetorical retention research: methodological perspective ..	252
	References	255
	Summary	269

Samenvatting	281
Appendices	295
A.1 Corpus public-speaking textbooks	296
A.1.1 English-language sub-corpus.....	296
A.1.2 Dutch-language sub-corpus	298
B.1 Overview of speeches and presentations per corpus	301
B.1.1 Research Presentations Corpus.....	301
B.1.2 Political Speech Corpus.....	301
B.1.3 TED Talk Corpus	302
Overview of author's publications	303
Curriculum vitae	305