



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Social exclusion: put into context**

Doolaard, F.T.

### **Citation**

Doolaard, F. T. (2021, June 8). *Social exclusion: put into context*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185503>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185503>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185503> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Doolaard, F.T.

**Title:** Social exclusion: put into context

**Issue date:** 2021-06-08

## References

- Adamkovic, M., & Martoncik, M. (2017). A review of consequences of poverty on economic decision-making: A hypothesized model of a cognitive mechanism. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*, Article 1784. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01784>
- Arrow, H., & Crosson, S. (2003). Musical chairs: Membership dynamics in self-organized group formation. *Small Group Research, 34*, 523–556. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496403254585>
- Asher, S. R., Rose, A. J., & Gabriel, S. W. (2001). Peer rejection in everyday life. In M. R. Leary (Ed.), *Interpersonal rejection* (pp. 105–142). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Aydin, N., Fischer, P., & Frey, D. (2010). Turning to god in the face of ostracism: Effects of social exclusion on religiousness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 36*, 742–753. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210367491>
- Bahamondes, J., Sibley, C. G., & Osborne, D. (2019). “We look (and feel) better through system-justifying lenses”: System-justifying beliefs attenuate the well-being gap between the advantaged and disadvantaged by reducing perceptions of discrimination. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 45*, 1391–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167219829178>
- Banerjee, R. (2008). An examination of factors affecting perception of workplace discrimination. *Journal of Labor Research, 29*, 380–401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12122-008-9047-0>
- Barreto, M., & Ellemers, N. (2005). The perils of political correctness: Men's and women's responses to old-fashioned and modern sexist views. *Social Psychology Quarterly, 68*, 75–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019027250506800106>
- Barreto, M., & Ellemers, N. (2015). Detecting and experiencing prejudice: New answers to old questions. In: J. M. Olson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (pp. 139–219). Elsevier.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin, 117*, 497–529. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.497>
- Baumeister, R. F., Stillwell, A. M., & Heatherton, T. F. (1994). Guilt: An interpersonal approach. *Psychological Bulletin, 115*, 243–267. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.115.2.243>
- Baumeister, R. F., Twenge, J. M., & Nuss, C. K. (2002). Effects of social exclusion on cognitive

- processes: Anticipated aloneness reduces intelligent thought. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83, 817–827.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.83.4.817>
- Becker, J. C., & Swim, J. K. (2011). Seeing the unseen: Attention to daily encounters with sexism as way to reduce sexist beliefs. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 35, 227–242.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684310397509>
- Benabou, R., & Tirole, J. (2002). Self-confidence and personal motivation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117, 871–915. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355302760193913>
- Bernstein, M. J., & Claypool, H. M. (2012). Not all social exclusions are created equal: Emotional distress following social exclusion is moderated by exclusion paradigm. *Social Influence*, 7, 113–130.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15534510.2012.664326>
- Bernstein, M. J., Young, S. G., Brown, C. M., Sacco, D. F., & Claypool, H. M. (2008). Adaptive responses to social exclusion: Social rejection improves detection of real and fake smiles. *Psychological Science*, 19, 981–983. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02187.x>
- Böhnke, P. (2010). Implications of the activation paradigm on poverty and social exclusion in Germany: Facts, hypotheses, uncertainties. *German Policy Studies*, 6, 185–209.
- Böhnke, P. (2008). Are the poor socially integrated? The link between poverty and social support in different welfare regimes. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 18, 133–150.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0958928707087590>
- Bourguignon, D., Seron, E., Yzerbyt, V., & Herman, G. (2006). Perceived group and personal discrimination: Differential effects on personal self-esteem. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 36, 773–789. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.326>
- Bowling, A. (1995). What things are important in people's lives? A survey of the public's judgements to inform scales of health related quality of life. *Social Science and Medicine*, 40, 1447–1462. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(95\)00113-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(95)00113-L)
- Branscombe, N. R. (1998). Thinking about one's gender group's privileges or disadvantages: Consequences for well-being in women and men. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 69, 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.1998.tb01163.x>
- Branscombe, N. R., & Ellemers, N. (1998). Coping with group-based discrimination: Individualistic versus group-level strategies. In J. K. Swim & C. Stangor (Eds.),

- Prejudice: The target's perspective* (p. 243–266). Academic Press.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012679130-3/50046-6>
- Brewer, M. B. (1979). In-group bias in the minimal intergroup situation: A cognitive-motivational analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *86*, 307–324.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-2909.86.2.307>
- Broekman, A. V., Koudenburg, N., Gordijn, E. H., Krans, K. L. S., & Postmes, T. (2019). The impact of art: Exploring the social-psychological pathways that connect audiences to live performances. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *116*, 942–965.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000159>
- Buckley, K. E., Winkel, R. E., & Leary, M. R. (2004). Reactions to acceptance and rejection: Effects of level and sequence of relational evaluation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *40*, 14–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031\(03\)00064-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031(03)00064-7)
- Burger, J. M. (1995). Individual differences in preferences for solitude. *Journal of Research in Personality*, *29*, 85–108. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jrpe.1995.1005>
- Byrne, D. (2007). *Social Exclusion* (2nd ed.). Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Cameron, J. E. (2001). Social identity, modern sexism, and perceptions of personal and group discrimination by women and men. *Sex Roles*, *45*, 743–766.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1015636318953>
- Carter-Sowell, A. R., Wesselmann, E. D., Wirth, J. H., Law, A. T., Chen, Z., Kosasih, M. W., Van der Lee, R., & Williams, K. D. (2010). Strides for belonging trump strides for superiority: Effects of being ostracized for being superior or inferior to the others. *The Journal of Individual Psychology*, *66*, 68–92.
- Cass, N., Shove, E., & Urry, J. (2005). Social exclusion, mobility and access. *Sociological Review*, *53*, 539–555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2005.00565.x>
- Çelik, P., Lammers, J., Van Beest, I., Bekker, M. H. J., & Vonk, R. (2013). Not all rejections are alike: Competence and warmth as a fundamental distinction in social rejection. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *49*, 635–642.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.02.010>
- Chase, E., & Walker, R. (2013). The co-construction of shame in the context of poverty: Beyond a threat to the social bond. *Sociology*, *47*, 739–754.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038512453796>
- Chetty, R., Stepner, M., Abraham, S., Lin, S., Scuderi, B., Turner, N., Bergeron, A., & Cutler, D.

- (2016). The association between income and life expectancy in the United States, 2001-2014. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *315*, 1750–1766.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.4226>
- Chua, R. Y. J., & Iyengar, S. S. (2006). Empowerment through choice? A critical analysis of the effects of choice in organizations. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, *27*, 41–79. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-3085\(06\)27002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0191-3085(06)27002-3)
- Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S. B., Michaels, P. J., Rafacz, J. D., & Rusch, N. (2012). Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. *Psychiatric Services*, *63*, 963–973. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201100529>
- Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (2013). Evolutionary psychology: New perspectives on cognition and motivation. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *64*, 201–229.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.121208.131628>
- Cozzarelli, C., Wilkinson, A. V., & Tagler, M. J. (2001). Attitudes toward the poor and attributions for poverty. *Journal of Social Issues*, *57*, 207–227.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00209>
- Crocker, J., & Major, B. (1989). Social stigma and self-esteem: The self-protective properties of stigma. *Psychological Review*, *96*, 608–630. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.96.4.608>
- Curran, P. J., & Hussong, A. M. (2009). Integrative data analysis: The simultaneous analysis of multiple data sets. *Psychological Methods*, *14*, 81–100.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015914>
- De Goede, M., Van Veelen, R., & Derks, B. (2016). *Financiële belonging van mannen en vrouwen in de wetenschap*. Retrieved from:  
<https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/347142>
- De Waal-Andrews, W., & Van Beest, I. (2012). When you don't quite get what you want: Psychological and interpersonal consequences of claiming inclusion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *38*, 1367–1377.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212450463>
- Delton, A. W., & Cimino, A. (2010). Exploring the evolved concept of newcomer: Experimental tests of a cognitive model. *Evolutionary Psychology*, *8*, 317–335.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/147470491000800214>

- DeMarco, T. C., & Newheiser, A. K. (2019). When groups do not cure: Group esteem moderates the social cure effect. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 49*, 1421–1438. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2594>
- DeWall, C. N., & Baumeister, R. F. (2006). Alone but feeling no pain: Effects of social exclusion on physical pain tolerance and pain threshold, affective forecasting, and interpersonal empathy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 91*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.1.1>
- DeWall, C. N., MacDonald, G., Webster, G. D., Masten, C. L., Baumeister, R. F., Powell, C., Combs, D., Schurtz, D. R., Stillman, T. F., Tice, D. M., & Eisenberger, N. I. (2010). Acetaminophen reduces social pain: Behavioral and neural evidence. *Psychological Science, 21*, 931–937. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797610374741>
- DeWall, C. N., Twenge, J. M., Bushman, B., Im, C., & Williams, K. (2010). A little acceptance goes a long way: Applying social impact theory to the rejection-aggression link. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 1*, 168–174. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550610361387>
- Dion, K. L. (2002). The social psychology of perceived prejudice and discrimination. *Canadian Psychology-Psychologie Canadienne, 43*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0086899>
- Doolaard, F. T., Lelieveld, G. -J., Noordewier, M. K., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2020). Get out or stay out: How the social exclusion process affects actors, but not targets. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 88*, Article 103946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2019.103946>
- Doolaard, F. T., Lelieveld, G. -J., Noordewier, M. K., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2020). *Information on sexism induces feelings of social exclusion among women*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Doolaard, F. T., Noordewier, M. K., Lelieveld, G. -J., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2020). *Go on without me: Exclusion as a preferable outcome for underperforming group members*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Doolaard, F. T., Lelieveld, G. -J., Noordewier, M. K., Van Beest, I., Gallucci, M., Van Dijk, E., & Van Dijk, W. W. (2020). *The mutually reinforcing effects of experienced financial scarcity and feelings of social exclusion*. Manuscript submitted for publication.



- Dvir, M., Kelly, J. R., & Williams, K. D. (2019). Is inclusion a valid control for ostracism? *Journal of Social Psychology, 159*, 106–111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2018.1460301>
- Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). The pain of social disconnection: Examining the shared neural underpinnings of physical and social pain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 13*, 421–434. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3231>
- Eisenberger, N. I., Jarcho, J. M., Lieberman, M. D., & Naliboff, B. D. (2006). An experimental study of shared sensitivity to physical pain and social rejection. *Pain, 126*, 132–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.06.024>
- Ellemers, N., & Barreto, M. (2009). Collective action in modern times: How modern expressions of prejudice prevent collective action. *Journal of Social Issues, 65*, 749–768. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01621.x>
- Elliot, A. J., & Devine, P. G. (1994). On the motivational nature of cognitive-dissonance – Dissonance as psychological discomfort. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67*, 382–394. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.3.382>
- Eurofound (2020). *Addressing household over-indebtedness*. Retrieved from: <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/report/2020/addressing-household-over-indebtedness#tab-01>
- European Commission (2019). *2019 report on equality between women and men*. Retrieved from: [https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/aid\\_development\\_cooperation\\_fundamental\\_rights/annual\\_report\\_ge\\_2019\\_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/aid_development_cooperation_fundamental_rights/annual_report_ge_2019_en.pdf)
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G\*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods, 41*, 1149–1160. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>
- Fayant, M. P., Muller, D., Hartgerink, C. H. J., & Lantian, A. (2014). Is ostracism by a despised outgroup really hurtful? A replication and extension of Gonsalkorale and Williams (2007). *Social Psychology, 45*, 489–494. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000209>
- Fisher, C. D. (1978). The effects of personal control, competence, and extrinsic reward systems on intrinsic motivation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 21*, 273–288. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(78\)90054-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(78)90054-5)
- Frankenhuis, W. E., & Nettle, D. (2019). The strengths of people in poverty. *Current*

- Directions in Psychological Science*, 29, 16–21. <http://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000124>
- Freedman, G., Williams, K. D., & Beer, J. S. (2016). Softening the blow of social exclusion: The responsive theory of social exclusion. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, Article 1570. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01570>
- Fryberg, S. A., & Stephens, N. M. (2010). When the world is colorblind, American Indians are invisible: A diversity science approach. *Psychological Inquiry*, 21, 115–119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840x.2010.483847>
- Gerard, H. B., & Hoyt, M. F. (1974). Distinctiveness of social categorization and attitude toward ingroup members. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 29, 836–842. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0036204>
- Gerber, J. P., Chang, S. H., & Reimel, H. (2017). Construct validity of Williams' ostracism needs threat scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 115, 50–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.07.008>
- Gerber, J. P., & Wheeler, L. (2014). Clarifying the relationship between ostracism and relational devaluation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 154, 14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2013.826619>
- Gerbner, G. (1998). Cultivation analysis: An overview. *Mass Communication and Society*, 1, 175–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.1998.9677855>
- Gino, F., & Kouchaki, M. (2020). Feeling authentic serves as a buffer against rejection. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 160, 36–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2020.03.006>
- Glick, P., & Fiske, S. T. (2011). Ambivalent sexism revisited. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 35, 530–535. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684311414832>
- Godwin, A., MacNevin, G., Zadro, L., Iannuzzelli, R., Weston, S., Gonsalkorale, K., & Devine, P. (2014). Are all ostracism experiences equal? A comparison of the autobiographical recall, Cyberball, and O-Cam paradigms. *Behavior Research Methods*, 46, 660–667. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-013-0408-0>
- Gonsalkorale, K., & Williams, K. D. (2007). The KKK won't let me play: Ostracism even by a despised outgroup hurts. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 37, 1176–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.392>

- Goodacre, R., & Zadro, L. (2010). O-Cam: A new paradigm for investigating the effects of ostracism. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 768–774.  
<https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.42.3.768>
- Gordon, D., Levitas, R., Pantazis, C., Patsios, D., Payne, S., Townsend, P., ...Williams, J. (2000). *Poverty and social exclusion in Britain*. Retrieved from:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/73358/1/Document.pdf>
- Graeupner, D., & Coman, A. (2017). The dark side of meaning-making: How social exclusion leads to superstitious thinking. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *69*, 218–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2016.10.003>
- Grau, S. L., & Zotos, Y. C. (2016). Gender stereotypes in advertising: A review of current research. *International Journal of Advertising*, *35*, 761–770.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2016.1203556>
- Greenaway, K. H., Jetten, J., Ellemers, N., & Van Bunderen, L. (2015). The dark side of inclusion: Undesired acceptance increases aggression. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *18*, 173–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430214536063>
- Gruter, M., & Masters, R. D. (1986). Ostracism as a social and biological phenomenon: An introduction. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, *7*, 149–158. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095\(86\)90043-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095(86)90043-9)
- Güzel, H. Y., & Sahin, D. N. (2018). The effect of ostracism on the accessibility of uncertainty-related thoughts. *Archives of Neuropsychiatry*, *55*, 183–188.  
<https://doi.org/10.5152/npa.2017.19342>
- Hales, A. H., Kassner, M. P., Williams, K. D., & Graziano, W. G. (2016). Disagreeableness as a cause and consequence of ostracism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *42*, 782–797. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167216643933>
- Hales, A. H., & Williams, K. D. (2018). Marginalized individuals and extremism: the role of ostracism in openness to extreme groups. *Journal of Social Issues*, *74*, 75–92.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12257>
- Hamaker, E. L., Kuiper, R. M., & Grasman, R. (2015). A critique of the cross-lagged panel model. *Psychological Methods*, *20*, 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038889>
- Hartgerink, C. H. J., Van Beest, I., Wicherts, J. M., & Williams, K. D. (2015). The ordinal effects of ostracism: A meta-analysis of 120 Cyberball studies. *Plos One*, *10*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127002>

- Haushofer, J., & Fehr, E. (2014). On the psychology of poverty. *Science*, *344*, 862–867.  
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232491>
- Hayes, A. F., & Preacher, K. J. (2014). Statistical mediation analysis with a multicategorical independent variable. *British Journal of Mathematical & Statistical Psychology*, *67*, 451–470. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bmsp.12028>
- Hilbert, L. P., Noordewier, M. K., & Van Dijk, W. W. (2020). *The ostrich cycle. Financial scarcity and information avoidance mutually reinforce each other*. Manuscript in preparation.
- Hjalmarsson, S., & Mood, C. (2015). Do poorer youth have fewer friends? The role of household and child economic resources in adolescent school-class friendships. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *57*, 201–211.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2015.08.013>
- Hodson, G., Dovidio, J. F., & Esses, V. M. (2003). Ingroup identification as a moderator of positive-negative asymmetry in social discrimination. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *33*, 215–233. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.141>
- IJzerman, H., Gallucci, M., Pouw, W., Weissgerber, S. C., Van Doesum, N. J., & Williams, K. D. (2012). Cold-blooded loneliness: Social exclusion leads to lower skin temperatures. *Acta Psychologica*, *140*, 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2012.05.002>
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L., & Thaler, R. H. (1991). Anomalies: The endowment effect, loss aversion, and status-quo bias. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *5*, 193–206. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.5.1.193>
- Kaiser, C. R., Vick, S. B., & Major, B. (2006). Prejudice expectations moderate preconscious attention to cues that are threatening to social identity. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 332–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01707.x>
- Kay, A. C., Whitson, J. A., Gaucher, D., & Galinsky, A. D. (2009). Compensatory control: Achieving order through the mind, our institutions, and the heavens. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *18*, 264–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01649.x>
- Kearney, M. W. (2017). Cross-lagged panel analysis. In M. R. Allen (Ed.), *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Communication Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Kelly, L. (2011). 'Social inclusion' through sports-based interventions? *Critical Social Policy*, *31*, 126–150. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261018310385442>

- Kerr, N. L., & Levine, J. M. (2008). The detection of social exclusion: Evolution and beyond. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, *12*, 39–52.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.12.1.39>
- Killen, M., Mulvey, K. L., & Hitti, A. (2013). Social exclusion in childhood: A developmental intergroup perspective. *Child Development*, *84*, 772–790.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12012>
- Klages, S. V., & Wirth, J. H. (2014). Excluded by laughter: Laughing until it hurts someone else. *Journal of Social Psychology*, *154*, 8–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2013.843502>
- Kobryniewicz, D., & Branscombe, N. R. (1997). Who considers themselves victims of discrimination? Individual difference predictors of perceived gender discrimination in women and men. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *21*, 347–363.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00118.x>
- Koopman, M. Y., Pieterse, M. E., Bohlmeijer, E. T., & Drossaert, C. H. C. (2017). Mental health promoting interventions for the unemployed: A systematic review of applied techniques and effectiveness. *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion*, *19*, 202–223. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623730.2017.1328367>
- Korpershoek, H., Canrinus, E. T., Fokkens-Bruinsma, M., & De Boer, H. (2019) The relationships between school belonging and students' motivational, social-emotional, behavioural, and academic outcomes in secondary education: A meta-analytic review. *Research Papers in Education*, 1–40.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2019.1615116>
- Koudenburg, N., Gordijn, E. H., & Postmes, T. (2014). “More than words”: Social validation in close relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *40*, 1517–1528.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167214549945>
- Koudenburg, N., Postmes, T., & Gordijn, E. H. (2011). Disrupting the flow: How brief silences in group conversations affect social needs. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *47*, 512–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2010.12.006>
- Kurzban, R., & Leary, M. R. (2001). Evolutionary origins of stigmatization: The functions of social exclusion. *Psychological Bulletin*, *127*, 187–208.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.2.187>
- Leary, M. R. (2001). *Interpersonal rejection*. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Leary, M. R. (2001). Toward a conceptualization of interpersonal rejection. In M. R. Leary (Ed.), *Interpersonal rejection* (pp. 3–20). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Leary, M. R., Springer, C., Negel, L., Ansell, E., & Evans, K. (1998). The causes, phenomenology, and consequences of hurt feelings. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74*, 1225–1237. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.74.5.1225>
- Legate, N., DeHaan, C. R., Weinstein, N., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). Hurting you hurts me too: The psychological costs of complying with ostracism. *Psychological Science, 24*, 583–588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457951>
- Lelieveld, G. -J., Harris, L. T., & Van Dillen, L. F. (2020). Jumping on the 'bad' wagon? How group membership influences responses to the social exclusion of others. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 15*, 571–586. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa070>
- Lelieveld, G. -J., Moor, B. G., Crone, E. A., Karremans, J. C., & Van Beest, I. (2013). A penny for your pain? The financial compensation of social pain after exclusion. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 4*, 206–214. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612446661>
- LeRoy, A. S., Lu, Q., Zvolensky, M. J., Ramirez, J., & Fagundes, C. P. (2018). Anxiety sensitivity moderates the painful effects of feeling burdensome to others. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 47*, 126–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2017.1357749>
- Leshner, C., Reysen, S., Plante, C. N., Chadborn, D., Roberts, S., & Gerbasi, K. (2018). “My group is discriminated against, but I’m not”: Denial of personal discrimination in furry, brony, anime and general interest fan groups. *The Phoenix Papers, 4*, 130–142. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/8xvzr>.
- Levine, J., & Moreland, R. (1985). Innovation and socialisation in small groups. In S. Moscovici, G. Mugny, & E. Avermaet (Eds.), *Perspectives on minority influence* (pp. 143–170). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511897566.009>
- Liang, S. C., Chang, Y. P., & Wang, J. S. (2017). Social exclusion and conspicuous consumption: The moderating effect of power state. *Social Behavior and Personality, 45*, 321–329. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.5773>

- Long, C. R., & Averill, J. R. (2003). Solitude: An exploration of benefits of being alone. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, *33*, 21–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5914.00204>
- Lott, B. (2002). Cognitive and behavioral distancing from the poor. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.57.2.100>
- Lustenberger, D. E., & Jagacinski, C. M. (2010). Exploring the effects of ostracism on performance and intrinsic motivation. *Human Performance*, *23*, 283–304. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959285.2010.501046>
- MacDonald, G., & Leary, M. R. (2005). Why does social exclusion hurt? The relationship between social and physical pain. *Psychological Bulletin*, *131*, 202–223. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.2.202>
- Maner, J. K., DeWall, C. N., Baumeister, R. F., & Schaller, M. (2007). Does social exclusion motivate interpersonal reconnection? Resolving the “porcupine problem”. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *92*, 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.92.1.42>
- Maner, J. K., & Mead, N. L. (2010). The essential tension between leadership and power: When leaders sacrifice group goals for the sake of self-interest. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *99*, 482–497. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018559>
- Martela, F., & Steger, M. F. (2016). The three meanings of meaning in life: Distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *11*, 531–545. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1137623>
- McLeod, J. D., & Shanahan, M. J. (1996). Trajectories of poverty and children's mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *37*, 207–220. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2137292>
- Miceli, M., & Castelfranchi, C. (2018). Reconsidering the differences between shame and guilt. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, *14*, 710–733. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v14i3.1564>
- Molden, D. C., Lucas, G. A., Gardner, W. L., Dean, K., & Knowles, M. L. (2009). Motivations for prevention or promotion following social exclusion: Being rejected versus being ignored. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *96*, 415–431. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012958>
- Mood, C., & Jonsson, J. O. (2016). The social consequences of poverty: An empirical test on longitudinal data. *Social Indicators Research*, *127*, 633–652.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0983-9>
- Napier, J. L., Suppes, A., & Bettinsoli, M. L. (2020). Denial of gender discrimination is associated with better subjective well-being among women: A system justification account. *European Journal of Social Psychology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2702>
- Nezlek, J. B., Wesselmann, E. D., Wheeler, L., & Williams, K. D. (2012). Ostracism in everyday life. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, *16*, 91–104. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028029>
- Nezlek, J. B., Wesselmann, E. D., Wheeler, L., & Williams, K. D. (2015). Ostracism in everyday life: The effects of ostracism on those who ostracize. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, *155*, 432–451. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2015.1062351>
- Ouwerkerk, J. W., Kerr, N. L., Gallucci, M., & Van Lange, P. A. M., (2005). Avoiding the social death penalty: Ostracism and cooperation in social dilemmas. In: Williams, K., Forgas, J., & Hoppel, W. (Eds), *The social outcast: Ostracism, social exclusion, rejection, and bullying* (pp. 321–332). New York: Psychology Press. [doi.org/10.4324/9780203942888](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203942888)
- Paolini, D., Alparone, F. R., Cardone, D., Van Beest, I., & Merla, A. (2016). “The face of ostracism”: The impact of the social categorization on the thermal facial responses of the target and the observer. *Acta Psychologica*, *163*, 65–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2015.11.001>
- Park, J., & Baumeister, R. F. (2015). Social exclusion causes a shift toward prevention motivation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *56*, 153–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2014.09.011>
- Petersen, T., & Morgan, L. A. (1995). Separate and unequal: Occupation establishment, sex segregation, and the gender wage gap. *American Journal of Sociology*, *101*, 329–365. <https://doi.org/10.1086/230727>
- Pfundmair, M., Aydin, N., & Frey, D. (2017). Whatever? The effect of social exclusion on adopting persuasive messages. *Journal of Social Psychology*, *17*, 181–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2016.1192098>
- Pietri, E. S., Hennes, E. P., Dovidio, J. F., Brescoll, V. L., Bailey, A. H., Moss-Racusin, C. A., & Handelsman, J. (2019). Addressing unintended consequences of gender diversity interventions on women's sense of belonging in STEM. *Sex Roles*, *80*, 527–547.



- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-018-0952-2>
- Pietri, E. S., Moss-Racusin, C. A., Dovidio, J. F., Guha, D., Roussos, G., Brescoll, V. L., & Handelsman, J. (2017). Using video to increase gender bias literacy toward women in science. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *41*, 175–196.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684316674721>
- Pinel, E. C. (1999). Stigma consciousness: The psychological legacy of social stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *76*, 114–128.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.76.1.114>
- Pinel, E. C., Warner, L. R., & Chua, P. P. (2005). Getting there is only half the battle: Stigma consciousness and maintaining diversity in higher education. *Journal of Social Issues*, *61*, 481–506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2005.00417.x>
- Poon, K. T., Teng, F., Chow, J. T., & Chen, Z. S. (2015). Desiring to connect to nature: The effect of ostracism on ecological behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *42*, 116–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.03.003>
- Poulsen, J. R., & Kashy, D. A. (2011). Two sides of the ostracism coin: How sources and targets of social exclusion perceive themselves and one another. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *15*, 457–470. <https://doi.org/10.1177/136843021143057>
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Contemporary approaches to assessing mediation in communication research. In A. F. Hayes, M. D. Slater, & L. B. Snyder (Eds.), *The Sage sourcebook of advanced data analysis methods for communication research* (pp. 13–54). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Proudfoot, J., Gray, J., Carson, J., Guest, D., & Dunn, G. (1999). Psychological training improves mental health and job-finding among unemployed people. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, *72*, 40–42.
- Ren, D., Hales, A. H., & Williams, K. D. (2017). Ostracism: Being ignored and excluded. In K. D. Williams & S. A. Nida (Eds.), *Ostracism, exclusion, and rejection* (pp. 10–28). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Ren, D., Wesselmann, E., & Williams, K. D. (2016). Evidence for another response to ostracism: Solitude seeking. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *7*, 204–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550615616169>
- Reutter, L. I., Stewart, M. J., Veenstra, G., Love, R., Raphael, D., & Makwarimba, E. (2009). “Who do they think we are, anyway?”: Perceptions of and responses to poverty

- stigma. *Qualitative Health Research*, *19*, 297–311.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732308330246>
- Robertson, T. E., Delton, A. W., Klein, S. B., Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (2014). Keeping the benefits of group cooperation: Domain-specific responses to distinct causes of social exclusion. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *35*, 472–480.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.06.006>
- Rudert, S. C., & Greifeneder, R. (2016). When it's okay that I don't play: Social norms and the situated construal of social exclusion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *42*, 955–969. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167216649606>
- Rudert, S. C., Ruf, S., & Greifeneder, R. (2020). Whom to punish? How observers sanction norm-violating behavior in ostracism situations. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *50*, 376–391. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2606>
- Rudert, S. C., Sutter, D., Corrodi, C., & Greifeneder, R. (2018). Who's to blame? Dissimilarity as a cue in moral judgments of observed ostracism episodes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *115*, 31–53. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000122>
- Ruggiero, K. M., & Taylor, D. M. (1997). Why minority group members perceive or do not perceive the discrimination that confronts them: The role of self-esteem and perceived control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *72*, 373–389.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.72.2.373>
- Sacco, D. F., & Bernstein, M. J. (2015). Social inclusion leads individuals to devalue groups of perceived inferior quality. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, *19*, 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.1037/gdn000003520>
- Sacco, D. F., Bernstein, M. J., Young, S. G., & Hugenberg, K. (2014). Reactions to social inclusion and ostracism as a function of perceived in-group similarity. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, *18*, 129–137.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/gdn0000002>
- Scott, K. L., Restubog, S. L. D., & Zagenczyk, T. J. (2013). A social exchange-based model of the antecedents of workplace exclusion. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *98*, 37–48.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030135>
- Schmitt, M. T., & Branscombe, N. R. (2002). The meaning and consequences of perceived discrimination in disadvantaged and privileged social groups. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *12*, 167–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14792772143000058>

- Shankar, A., McMunn, A., & Steptoe, A. (2010). Health-related behaviors in older adults' relationships with socioeconomic status. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *38*, 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.08.026>
- Simard, V., & Dandeneau, S. (2018). Revisiting the Cyberball inclusion condition: Fortifying fundamental needs by making participants the target of specific inclusion. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *74*, 38–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2017.08.002>
- Simmons, J. P., Nelson, L. D., & Simonsohn, U. (2012). A 21 word solution. *Dialogue: The Official Newsletter of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology*, *26*, 4–7. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2160588>
- Simonsen, K. B. (2017). Does citizenship always further Immigrants' feeling of belonging to the host nation? A study of policies and public attitudes in 14 Western democracies. *Comparative Migration Studies*, *5*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-017-0050-6>
- Skey, M. (2013). Why do nations matter? The struggle for belonging and security in an uncertain world. *British Journal of Sociology*, *64*, 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12007>
- Sommer, K. L., Williams, K. D., Ciarocco, N. J., & Baumeister, R. F. (2001). When silence speaks louder than words: Explorations into the intrapsychic and interpersonal consequences of social ostracism. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, *23*, 225–243. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324834basp2304\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324834basp2304_1)
- Sommer, K. L., & Yoon, J. (2013). When silence is golden: Ostracism as resource conservation during aversive interactions. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *30*, 901–919. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407512473006>
- Spoor, J. & Williams, K. D. (2007). The evolution of an ostracism detection system. In: Forgas, J. P., Haselton, M. G., & Von Hippel, W. (Eds.), *Evolution and the social mind: Evolutionary psychology and social cognition* (pp. 279–292). Psychology Press.
- Stangor, C., & Sechrist, G. B. (1998). Conceptualizing the determinants of academic choice and task performance across social groups. In: J. Swim, & C. Stangor (Eds.), *Prejudice: The target's perspective* (pp. 105–124). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012679130-3/50040-5>
- Steiner, I. D. (1927). *Group Process and Productivity*. Academic Press, New York.
- Stewart, M. J., Makwarimba, E., Reutter, L. I., Veenstra, G., Raphael, D., & Love, R. (2009).

- Poverty, sense of belonging and experiences of social isolation. *Journal of Poverty*, 13, 173–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10875540902841762>
- Stiff, C., & Van Vugt, M. (2008). The power of reputations: The role of third party information in the admission of new group members. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 12, 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.12.2.155>
- Stroebe, K., Barreto, M., & Ellemers, N. (2010a). Experiencing discrimination: How members of disadvantaged groups can be helped to cope with discrimination. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 4, 181–213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-2409.2010.01021.x>
- Stroebe, K., Barreto, M., & Ellemers, N. (2010b). When searching hurts: The role of information search in reactions to gender discrimination. *Sex Roles*, 62, 60–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-009-9700-y>
- Swaab, R. I., Kern, M. C., Diermeier, D., & Medvec, V. (2009). Who says what to whom? The impact of communication setting and channel on exclusion from multiparty negotiation agreements. *Social Cognition*, 27, 385–401. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2009.27.3.385>
- Swim, J. K., Aikin, K. J., Hall, W. S., & Hunter, B. A. (1995). Sexism and racism: Old-fashioned and modern prejudices. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68, 199–214. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.2.199>
- Swim, J. K., & Cohen, L. L. (1997). Overt, covert, and subtle sexism: A comparison between the attitudes toward women and modern sexism scales. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21, 103–118. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00103.x>
- Syrjämäki, A. H., & Hietanen, J. K. (2019). The effects of social exclusion on processing of social information: A cognitive psychology perspective. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 58, 730–748. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12299>
- Szalacha, L., Erkut, S., Coll, C., Fields, J., Alarón, O., & Ceder, I. (2003). Perceived discrimination and resilience. In S. Luthar (Ed.), *Resilience and Vulnerability: Adaptation in the Context of Childhood Adversities* (pp. 414–435). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615788.019>
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of inter-group conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of inter-group relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.

- Tangney, J. P., Stuewig, J., & Mashek, D. J. (2007). Moral emotions and moral behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *58*, 345–372.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070145>
- Taylor, D. M., Ruggiero, K. M., & Louis, W. R. (1996). Personal/group discrimination discrepancy: Towards a two-factor explanation. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science-Revue Canadienne Des Sciences Du Comportement*, *28*, 193–202.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0008-400x.28.3.193>
- Thornicroft, G., Brohan, E., Kassam, A., & Lewis-Holmes, E. (2008). Reducing stigma and discrimination: Candidate interventions. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-2-3>
- Tuscherer, T., Sacco, D. F., Wirth, J. H., Claypool, H. M., Hugenberg, K., & Wesselmann, E. D. (2016). Responses to exclusion are moderated by its perceived fairness. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *46*, 280–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2152>
- Twenge, J. M., Catanese, K. R., & Baumeister, R. F. (2003). Social exclusion and the deconstructed state: Time perception, meaninglessness, lethargy, lack of emotion, and self-awareness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85*, 409–423.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.3.409>
- Van Beest, I., & Williams, K. D. (2006). When inclusion costs and ostracism pays, ostracism still hurts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *91*, 918–928.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.5.918>
- Van Beest, I., Williams, K. D., & Van Dijk, E. (2011). Cyberbomb: Effects of being ostracized from a death game. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *14*, 581–596.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430210389084>
- Van der Lee, R., Ellemers, N., Scheepers, D., & Rutjens, B. T. (2017). In or out? How the perceived morality (vs. competence) of prospective group members affects acceptance and rejection. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *47*, 748–762.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2269>
- Van Dijk, W. W., Van der Werf, M. B., & Van Dillen, L. F. (2020). *The psychological inventory of financial scarcity (PIFS): A psychometric evaluation*. Manuscript submitted for publication.

- Van Laar, C. Derks, B. & Ellemers, N. (2013). Motivation for education and work in young Muslim women: The importance of value for ingroup domains. *Basic and Applied Psychology, 35*, 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2012.746609>
- Van Laar, C., Derks, B., Ellemers, N., & Bleeker, D. (2010). Valuing social identity: Consequences for motivation and performance in low status groups. *Journal of Social Issues, 66*, 602–617. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2010.01665.x>
- Van Zomeren, M., Spears, R., & Leach, C. W. (2008). Exploring psychological mechanisms of collective action: Does relevance of group identity influence how people cope with collective disadvantage? *British Journal of Social Psychology, 47*, 353–372. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466607x231091>
- Vinnicombe, S., Atewologun, D. & Battista, V. (2019). *The female FTSE board report 2019: Moving beyond the numbers*. Retrieved from: <https://www.iwforumuk.org/news/2019/07/12/female-ftse-board-report>
- Way, B. M., Taylor, S. E., & Eisenberger, N. I. (2009). Variation in the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) is associated with dispositional and neural sensitivity to social rejection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106*, 15079–15084. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812612106>
- Weinstein, N., & Stone, D. (2018). Need depriving effects of financial insecurity: Implications for well-being and financial behaviors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 147*, 1503–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000436>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Bagg, D., & Williams, K. D. (2009). “I feel your pain”: The effects of observing ostracism on the ostracism detection system. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 45*, 1308–1311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.08.003>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Butler, F. A., Williams, K. D., & Pickett, C. L. (2010). Adding injury to insult: Unexpected rejection leads to more aggressive responses. *Aggressive Behavior, 36*, 232–237. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20347>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Hales, A. H., Ren, D., & Williams, K. D. (2015). *Ostracism threatens personal security: A temporal need threat framework*. In P. J. Carroll, R. M. Arkin, & A. L. Wichman (Eds.), *Handbook of personal security* (p. 191–206). Psychology Press. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315308470>
- Wesselmann, E. D., & Williams, K. D. (2017). Social life and social death: Inclusion,

- ostracism, and rejection in groups. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *20*, 693–706. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430217708861>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Williams, K. D., Ren, D., & Hales, A. H. (2014). Ostracism and solitude. In: R. J. Coplan & J. C. Bowker (Eds.), *The handbook of solitude: Psychological perspectives on social isolation, social withdrawal, and being alone* (p. 224–241). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Wesselmann, E. D., Williams, K. D., & Wirth, J. H. (2014). Ostracizing group members who can (or cannot) control being burdensome. *Human Ethology Bulletin*, *29*, 82–103.
- Wesselmann, E. D., Wirth, J. H., Mroczek, D. K., & Williams, K. D. (2012). Dial a feeling: Detecting moderation of affect decline during ostracism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *53*, 580–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.04.039>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Wirth, J. H., Pryor, J. B., Reeder, G. D., & Williams, K. D. (2013). When do we ostracize? *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *4*, 108–115. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612443386>
- Wesselmann, E. D., Wirth, J. H., Pryor, J. B., Reeder, G. D., & Williams, K. D. (2015). The role of burden and deviation in ostracizing others. *Journal of Social Psychology*, *155*, 483–496. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2015.1060935>
- Williams, K. D. (2007). Ostracism. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *58*, 425–452. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085641>
- Williams, K. D. (2009). Ostracism: A temporal need-threat model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, *41*, 275–314. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601\(08\)00406-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601(08)00406-1)
- Williams, K. D., Cheung, C. K. T., & Choi, W. (2000). Cyberostracism: Effects of being ignored over the internet. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *79*, 748–762. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.5.748>
- Williams, K. D., & Nida, S. A. (2011). Ostracism: Consequences and coping. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *20*, 71–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721411402480>
- Williams, K. D., & Nida, S. A. (2016). *Ostracism, exclusion, and rejection*. Routledge.
- Wiltermuth, S. S., & Cohen, T. R. (2014). “I’d only let you down”: Guilt proneness and the avoidance of harmful interdependence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *107*, 925–942. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037523>

- Wirth, J. H., Bernstein, M. J., & Leroy, A. S. (2015). Atimia: A new paradigm for investigating how individuals feel when ostracizing others. *Journal of Social Psychology, 155*, 497–514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2015.1060934>
- Wirth, J. H., Sacco, D. F., Hugenberg, K., & Williams, K. D. (2010). Eye gaze as relational evaluation: Averted eye gaze leads to feelings of ostracism and relational devaluation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 36*, 869–882. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210370032>
- Wirth, J. H., Turchan, P. J., Zimmerman, A. G., & Bernstein, M. J. (2014). Atimia: A novel group-based paradigm for manipulating ostracism and group members' performance. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice, 18*, 251–266. <https://doi.org/10.1037/gdn0000006>
- Wolf, W., Levordashka, A., Ruff, J. R., Kraaijeveld, S., Lueckmann, J. M., & Williams, K. D. (2015). Ostracism Online: A social media ostracism paradigm. *Behavior Research Methods, 47*, 361–373. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-014-0475-x>
- Zadro, L., & Gonsalkorale, K. (2014). Sources of ostracism: The nature and consequences of excluding and ignoring others. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 23*, 93–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721413520321>
- Zadro, L., Williams, K. D., & Richardson, R. (2004). How low can you go? Ostracism by a computer is sufficient to lower self-reported levels of belonging, control, self-esteem, and meaningful existence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 40*, 560–567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2003.11.006>
- Zhao, J., & Tomm, B. M., (2018). Psychological responses to scarcity. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia, Psychology*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.41>
- Zhong, C. B., & Leonardelli, G. J. (2008). Cold and lonely: Does social exclusion literally feel cold? *Psychological Science, 19*, 838–842. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02165.x>
- Ziller, R. C., Behringer, R. D., & Jansen, M. J. (1961). The newcomer in open and closed groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 45*, 55–58. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0048008s>



# Appendix

## Supplemental Material Chapter 2

Besides the variables reported in the main article, understanding and justification were measured among actors and targets, for exploratory purposes. In Study 2.1 (Table S2.1), actors indicated how understanding they perceived targets would be (“To what extent do you think Barry understands if he cannot play along in the second round?”) and their own justification of the exclusion (“To what extent do you think it is justified if you decide Barry cannot play along in the second round?”). In Study 2.2 (Table S2.2), we measured these variables both among actors and targets. For actors we removed the phrase “To what extent” to make the questions more direct and closer to those answered by the targets. Targets indicated how understanding they were of the exclusion (“I understand I cannot play along in the second round.”) and whether they thought it was justified they were excluded (“I think it is justified that the group decided I could not play along in the second round.”). In Study 2.3 (Table S2.3), we rephrased the question assessing justification among actors to be even more direct (“Do you think it is justified to decide that Barry cannot play along in the second round?”). All other items were identical to those used in Study 2.2. All items were answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = *Not at all*, 7 = *Completely*).

**Table S2.1**

*T-test Results for Understanding and Justification Between the Prospective Member and Current Member Condition (Study 2.1)*

		Prospective member	Current member	Statistics		
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>d</i>
Actors	Understanding	4.83 (1.11)	4.49 (1.03)	1.42	.159	0.32
	Justification	4.80 (1.32)	3.90 (1.61)	2.74	.008	0.61

**Table S2.2**

*T-test Results for Understanding and Justification Between the Prospective Member and Current Member Condition (Study 2.2)*

		Prospective member	Current member	Statistics		
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>d</i>
Actors	Understanding	5.08 (1.23)	4.82 (1.04)	1.01	.316	0.23
	Justification	4.49 (1.79)	3.92 (1.71)	1.40	.166	0.32
Targets	Understanding	6.08 (0.97)	6.03 (1.39)	0.20	.843	0.05
	Justification	5.53(1.47)	5.63 (1.56)	-0.29	.775	0.07

**Table S2.3**

*T-test Results for Understanding and Justification Between the Prospective Member and Current Member Condition (Study 2.3)*

		Prospective member	Current member	Statistics		
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>d</i>
Actors	Understanding	4.44 (1.23)	3.33 (1.38)	3.79	<.001	0.85
	Justification	4.24 (1.53)	2.38 (1.65)	5.23	<.001	1.17
Targets	Understanding	4.37 (1.95)	3.37 (1.73)	2.40	.019	0.54
	Justification	3.07 (1.35)	2.79 (1.56)	0.87	.389	0.19

## Supplemental Material Chapter 3

### Study 3.1: Full Scenario

**Low-performance Condition.** “Imagine you hear about the initiative of some of your friends to start a football team to compete in a local tournament. It is really important for your friends to win the tournament and the associated prize money. You decide to join them, as you want to be part of the team.

You have never played football before, and at the first training you find out that all your friends are really experienced and competitive. Every time you get the ball something goes wrong, and your team members are sighing, and they are clearly annoyed by the fact that you are so bad at it. They have to run fast and work hard to try to repair your mistakes. After the training your friends are exhausted and annoyed by the fact that you are ruining their chances of winning the tournament next week. Winning the tournament is very important for them. You know that everyone is disappointed in you, and thinks you are a burden to the team.

Think about how you would feel if it had been you who experienced this at the first training. Then you experience the following at the tournament.”

**Equal Performance Control Condition.** “Imagine you hear about the initiative of some of your friends to start a football team to compete in a local tournament. It is really important for your friends to win the tournament and the associated prize money. You decide to join them, as you want to be part of the team.

You have been playing football for a long time, and at the first training you find out that all your friends are really experienced and competitive. Every time you get the ball it goes well, and your team members are supportive, and clearly glad that you are so good at it. You do your share and your team members can count on you. After the training your friends are pleased by the fact that you are improving their chances of winning the tournament next week. Winning the tournament is very important for them. You know that everyone is happy with you and thinks you are a nice addition to the team.

Think about how you would feel if it had been you who experienced this at the first training. Then you experience the following at the tournament.”

**Exclusion Condition.** “The next week you play in the tournament, and you notice in every game that your team members do not involve you in the game at all. Every time they

have a chance to give you the ball, they choose to give it to someone else. You are clearly excluded from the team.”

**Inclusion Condition.** “The next week you play in the tournament, and you notice in every game that your team members really involve you in the game. Every time they have a chance to give you the ball, they choose to give it to you. You are clearly included in the team.”

### Study 3.3

**Exploratory Analyses.** The distress measure used in the current study consisted of 5 items, one measuring guilt, three measuring negative affect, and one measuring burdensomeness to the rest of the group. Although the high Cronbach's alpha ( $\alpha = .94$ , throughout three studies) is a strong indication that these 5 items measure the same construct, in this exploratory analysis we looked at these three measures separately. Three independent *t*-tests explored whether low-performers who chose to leave vs. stay in the group differed in how guilty they felt while they were part of the group (i.e., prior to making the choice to stay/leave the group). Differences in negative affect and experienced burdensomeness are also reported.

*Guilt, Negative Affect, and Burdensomeness.* Low-performers that eventually chose to leave the group had felt more guilty while they were still part of the group ( $M = 5.69$ ,  $SD = 1.19$ ) than those who chose to stay in the group, ( $M = 4.65$ ,  $SD = 1.69$ ),  $t(58) = 2.69$ ,  $p = .009$ ,  $d = 0.72$ . The differences between the two groups in negative affect were marginally significant, indicating that those who would choose to leave experienced marginally more negative affect ( $M = 4.81$ ,  $SD = 0.91$ ) than those who would choose to stay in the group, ( $M = 4.30$ ,  $SD = 1.31$ ),  $t(58) = 1.68$ ,  $p = .099$ ,  $d = 0.45$ . Similarly, participants that would later choose to leave felt marginally more burdensome while part of the group ( $M = 5.54$ ,  $SD = 1.36$ ) than those who would choose to stay in the group, ( $M = 4.85$ ,  $SD = 1.71$ ),  $t(58) = 1.68$ ,  $p = .099$ ,  $d = 0.44$ .

**Discussion.** The exploratory analyses that compared low-performers who chose to leave the group with those who chose to stay, demonstrated differences in how these participants had experienced underperformance in the group. Low-performers who would eventually leave the group had experienced stronger feelings of guilt while they were still part of the group than those who eventually stayed. This suggests guilt may be an important motivation for leaving a group. Guilt-proneness of a person may then be an important predictor of whether they are likely to leave the group or not when they underperform. This would be in line with recent research demonstrating that guilt-prone individuals are more strongly inclined to avoid situations in which they incur costs on others (Wiltermuth & Cohen, 2014). However, it must be noted that the single guilt-item used in this exploratory analysis may not provide the most robust measurement of guilt. Future research can consider to

employ a multi-item guilt-scale to specifically address the role of guilt or guilt-proneness in the underperforming group members' desire to stay or leave their groups.

