



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Mind the reading mind: a multifaceted and methodologically diverse approach to investigating the role of attentional control and feedback in reading comprehension

Swart, E.K.

Citation

Swart, E. K. (2021, June 8). *Mind the reading mind: a multifaceted and methodologically diverse approach to investigating the role of attentional control and feedback in reading comprehension*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185501>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185501>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden




The handle <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3185501> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Swart, E.K.

Title: Mind the reading mind: a multifaceted and methodologically diverse approach to investigating the role of attentional control and feedback in reading comprehension

Issue Date: 2021-06-08



References

A

- Aarnoutse, C. A. J., & Konings, H. (2013). *Leesattitudeschaal* [Reading Attitude Scale].
- Adams, R. H., & Strickland, J. (2012). The effects of computer-assisted feedback strategies in technology education: A comparison of learning outcomes. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 40*, 211-223. doi:10.2190/ET.40.2.i
- Adcock, R. A., Thangavel, A., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Knutson, B., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2006). Reward-motivated learning: Mesolimbic activation precedes memory formation. *Neuron, 50*, 507-517. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.03.036
- Afflerbach, P., Hurt, M., & Cho, B. Y. (2020). Reading comprehension strategy instruction. In D. L. Dinsmore, L. K. Fryer, & M. M. Parkinson (Eds.) *Handbook of strategies and strategic processing* (pp. 99-118). Routledge.
- Aldemir, R., Demirci, E., Per, H., Canpolat, M., Özmen, S., & Tokmakçı, M. (2018). Investigation of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) sub-types in children via EEG frequency domain analysis. *International Journal of Neuroscience, 128*(4), 349-360. doi:10.1080/00207454.2017.1382493
- Alessandri, G., Zuffianò, A., Vecchione, M., Donnellan, B. M., & Tisak, J. (2016). Evaluating the temporal structure and correlates of daily self-esteem using a trait state error framework (TSE). *Self and Identity, 15*(4), 394-412. doi:10.1080/15298868.2015.1137223
- Alexander, P. A. (2020). What research has revealed about readers' struggles with comprehension in the digital age: Moving beyond the phonics versus whole language debate. *Reading Research Quarterly, 55* (S1), S89-S97. doi:10.1002/rrq.331
- Anderson, R. C., Kulhavy, R. W., & Andre, T. (1972). Conditions under which feedback facilitates learning from programmed lessons. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 63*, 186-188. doi:10.1037/h0032653
- Andrianatos, K. (2019). Barriers to reading in higher education: Rethinking reading support. *Reading & Writing, 10*(1), 1-9. doi:10.4102/rw.v10i1.241
- Angelidis, A., van der Does, W., Schakel, L., & Putman, P. (2016). Frontal EEG theta/beta ratio as an electrophysiological marker for attentional control and its test-retest reliability. *Biological Psychology, 121, Part A*, 49-52. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2016.09.008

- Ariza, M., Garolera, M., Jurado, M. A., Garcia-Garcia, I., Hernan, I., Sanchez-Garre, C., Vernet-Vernet, M., Sender-Palacios, M. J., Marques-Itturia, I., Pueyo, R., Segura, B., & Narberhaus, A. (2012). Dopamine genes (DRD2/ANKK1-TaqA1 and DRD4-7R) and executive function: Their interaction with obesity. *PloS ONE*, 7(7), e41482. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041482
- Arns, M., Conners, C. K., & Kraemer, H. C. (2013). A Decade of EEG theta/beta ratio research in ADHD: A Meta-analysis. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 17(5), 374-383. doi:10.1177%2F1087054712460087
- Arrington, C. N., Kulesz, P. A., Francis, D. J., Fletcher, J. M., & Barnes, M. A. (2014). The contribution of attentional control and working memory to reading comprehension and decoding. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 18(5), 325-346. doi:10.1080/10888438.2014.902461
- Azevedo, R., & Bernard, R. M. (1995). A meta-analysis of the effects of feedback in computer-based instruction. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 13, 111-127. doi:10.2190%2F9LMD-3U28-3A0G-FTQT
- B**
- Baker, L. & Brown, A. L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P. D. Pearson, R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, & P. Mosenthal (Eds.) *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 353-393). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bangert-Drowns, R. L., Kulik, C. L. C., Kulik, J. A., & Morgan, M. (1991). The instructional effect of feedback in test-like events. *Review of Educational Research*, 61, 213-238. doi:10.3102%2F00346543061002213
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173
- Barrett, L. F., Tugade, M. M., & Engle, R. W. (2004). Individual differences in working memory capacity and dual-process theories of the mind. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(4), 553-573. doi:10.1037%2F0033-2909.130.4.553

- Barry, R. J., Clarke, A. R., & Johnstone, S. J. (2003). A review of electrophysiology in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: I. Qualitative and quantitative electroencephalography. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 114(2), 171-183. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(02)00362-0
- Bazanov, O. M., & Vernon, D. (2014). Interpreting EEG alpha activity. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 44, 94-110. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.05.007
- Belísio, A. S., Kolodiuk, F. F., Louzada, F. M., Valdez, P., & Azevedo, C. V. M. (2017). Sleep-wake cycle, daytime sleepiness, and attention components in children attending preschool in the morning and afternoon shifts. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 11(1), 10-20. doi:10.1111/mbe.12132
- Bergeron, P. J., & Rivard, L. (2017). How to engage in pseudoscience with real data: A criticism of John Hattie's arguments in visible learning from the perspective of a statistician. *McGill Journal of Education/Revue des sciences de l'éducation de McGill*, 52(1), 237-246. doi:10.7202/1040816ar
- Berke, J. D. (2018). What does dopamine mean? *Nature Neuroscience*, 21(6), 787-793. doi:10.1038%2Fs41593-018-0152-y
- Bertrams, A., Englert, C., & Dickhäuser, O. (2010). Self-control strength in the relation between trait test anxiety and state anxiety. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 44, 738-741. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2010.09.005
- Bettinger, E., & Long, B. T. (2009). Addressing the needs of underprepared students in higher education: Does college remediation work? *Journal of Human Resources*, 44(3), 736-771. doi:10.3368/jhr.44.3.736
- Bonvicini, C., Cortese, S., Maj, C., Baune, B. T., Faraone, S. V., & Scassellati, C. (2020). DRD4 48 bp multiallelic variants as age-population-specific biomarkers in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Translational Psychiatry*, 10(1), 1-19. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-0755-4
- Bonvicini, C., Faraone, S. V., & Scassellati, C. (2018). Common and specific genes and peripheral biomarkers in children and adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, 19(2), 80-100. doi:10.1080/15622975.2017.1282175
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2005). *Comprehensive meta-analysis* (Version 2) [Computer Software]. Biostat.

- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to meta-analysis*. Wiley.
- Boulougouris, V., & Tsaltas, E. (2008). Serotonergic and dopaminergic modulation of attentional processes. *Progress in Brain Research*, 172, 517-542.
doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(08)00925-4
- Boyer, K. E., Phillips, R., Wallis, M., Vouk, M., & Lester J. (2008). Balancing cognitive and motivational scaffolding in tutorial dialogue. In B. P. Woolf, E. Aïmeur, R. Nkambou, & S. Lajoie (Eds.) *Intelligent Tutoring Systems. ITS 2008. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (vol 5091)*. Springer.
- Braboszcz, C., & Delorme, A. (2011). Lost in thoughts: Neural markers of low alertness during mind wandering. *NeuroImage*, 54(4), 3040-3047.
doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.008
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school (Rev. ed.)*. National Academies Press.
- Braver, T. S., & Cohen, J. D. (2000). On the control of control: The role of dopamine in regulating prefrontal function and working memory. In S. Monsell & J. Driver, (Eds.). *Control of cognitive processes: Attention and performance XVIII* (pp. 713-737). MIT Press.
- Breitenstein, C., Flöel, A., Korsukewitz, C., Wailke, S., Bushuven, S., & Knecht, S. (2006). A shift of paradigm: From noradrenergic to dopaminergic modulation of learning? *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, 248(1-2), 42-47.
doi:10.1016/j.jns.2006.05.012
- Breitenstein, C., Korsukewitz, C., Flöel, A., Kretzschmar, T., Diederich, K., & Knecht, S. (2006). Tonic dopaminergic stimulation impairs associative learning in healthy subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 31(11), 2552-2564.
doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301167
- Brock, S. E., & Knapp, P. (1996). Reading comprehension abilities of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 1(3), 173-185. doi:10.1177/108705479600100305
- Burgess, A. (2012). *A Clockwork Orange* (H. Damsma & N. Miedema, Trans.). Athenaeum-Polak & Van Gennep. (Original work published 1962)

Butler, A. C., Godbole, N., & Marsh, E. J. (2013). Explanation feedback is better than correct answer feedback for promoting transfer of learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 105*, 290-298. doi:10.1037/a0031026

C

Cain, K. (2010). *Reading Developments and Difficulties*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Calderwood, C., Ackerman, P. L., & Conklin, E. M. (2014). What else do college students “do” while studying? An investigation of multitasking. *Computers & Education, 75*, 19-29. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2014.02.004

Castles, A., Rastle, K., & Nation, K. (2018). Ending the reading wars: Reading acquisition from novice to expert. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 19*(1), 5-51. doi:10.1177%2F1529100618772271

Cecilia, M. R., Vittorini, P., Cofini, V., & di Orio, F. (2014). The prevalence of reading difficulties among children in scholar age. *Styles of Communication, 6*(1), 18-30.

Chall, J. S. (1983). *Stages of reading development*. McGraw-Hill

Chang, F. M., Kidd, J. R., Livak, K. J., Pakstis, A. J., & Kidd, K. K. (1996). The world-wide distribution of allele frequencies at the human dopamine D4 receptor locus. *Human Genetics, 98*(1), 91-101. doi:10.1007/s004390050166

Chen, C. M., & Huang, S. H. (2014). Web-based reading annotation system with an attention-based self-regulated learning mechanism for promoting reading performance. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 45*(5), 959-980. doi:10.1111/bjet.12119

Chen, N. S., Teng, D. C. E., & Lee, C. H. (2011). Augmenting paper-based reading activity with direct access to digital materials and scaffolded questioning. *Computers & Education, 57*(2), 1705-1715. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2011.03.013

Chi, M. T. H. (2010). Self-explaining expository texts: The dual processes of generating inferences and repairing mental models. In R. Glaser (Ed.) *Advances in instructional psychology: Educational design and cognitive science, Volume 5* (pp. 161-238). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Chiesa, A., Calati, R., & Serretti, A. (2011). Does mindfulness training improve cognitive abilities? A systematic review of neuropsychological findings. *Clinical Psychology Review, 31*(3), 449-464. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2010.11.003

- Christoff, K., Irving, Z. C., Fox, K. C. R., Spreng, R. N., & Andrews-Hanna, J. R. (2016). Mind-wandering as spontaneous thought: a dynamic framework. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(11), 718-731. doi:10.1038/nrn.2016.113
- Clariana, R. B., & Koul, R. (2006). The effects of different forms of feedback on fuzzy and verbatim memory of science principles. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76, 259-270. doi:10.1348/000709905X39134
- Clark, J. M., & Paivio, A. (1991). Dual coding theory and education. *Educational Psychology Review*, 3(3), 149-210. doi:10.1007/BF01320076
- Coddington, C. S. (2009). *The effects of constructs of motivation that affirm and undermine reading achievement inside and outside of school on middle school students' reading achievement* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland]. Digital Repository of the University of Maryland.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(1), 155-159. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155
- Conners, F. A. (2009). Attentional control and the simple view of reading. *Reading & Writing*, 22, 591-613. doi:10.1007/s11145-008-9126-x
- Cools, R., & D'Esposito, M. (2011). Inverted-U-shaped dopamine actions on human working memory and cognitive control. *Biological Psychiatry*, 69(12), 113-125. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.03.028
- Cools, R., & Robbins, T. W. (2004). Chemistry of the adaptive mind. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 362(1825), 2871-2888. doi:10.1098/rsta.2004.1468
- Craik, F. I., & Lockhart, R. S. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 11, 671-684. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(72)80001-X
- Crawford, M. B. (2015) *The world beyond your head: How to flourish in an age of distraction*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Crossley, S. A. & McNamara, D. S. (2017). Educational technologies and literacy development. In S. A. Crossley & D. S. McNamara (Eds.), *Adaptive educational technologies for literacy instruction* (pp. 1-12). Routledge.
- Craney, T. A., & Surles, J. G. (2002). Model-dependent variance inflation factor cutoff values. *Quality Engineering*, 14(3), 391-403. doi:10.1081/QEN-120001878

D

- Davidson, J., & Noyes, P. (1995). Computer-generated speech-feedback as support for reading instruction. *Support for Learning, 10*, 35-39. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9604.1995.tb00008.x
- Davis, R. O. (2018). The impact of pedagogical agent gesturing in multimedia learning environments: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 24*, 193-209. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2018.05.002
- Dempsey, J. V., & Wager, S. U. (1988). A taxonomy for the timing of feedback in computer-based instruction. *Educational Technology, 28*(10), 20-25.
- De Naeghel, J., Van Keer, H., Vansteenkiste, M., & Rosseel, Y. (2012). The relation between elementary students' recreational and academic reading motivation, reading frequency, engagement, and comprehension: A self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*(4), 1006-1021. doi:10.1037/a0027800
- Derryberry, D., & Reed, M. A. (2002). Anxiety-related attentional biases and their regulation by attentional control. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 111*(2), 225-236. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.111.2.225
- De Vries, M. H., Ulte, C., Zwitserlood, P., Szymanski, B., & Knecht, S. (2010). Increasing dopamine levels in the brain improves feedback-based procedural learning in healthy participants: an artificial-grammar-learning experiment. *Neuropsychologia, 48*(11), 3193-3197. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.06.024
- Diamond, A., Briand, L., Fossella, J., & Gehlbach, L. (2004). Genetic and neurochemical modulation of prefrontal cognitive functions in children. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 161*(1), 125-132. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.161.1.125
- Dimigen, O., Sommer, W., Hohlfeld, A., Jacobs, A. M., & Kliegl, R. (2011). Coregistration of eye movements and EEG in natural reading: analyses and review. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 140*(4), 552-572. doi:10.1037/a0023885
- Dixon, P., & Bortolussi, M. (2013). Construction, integration, and mind wandering in reading. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 67*(1), 1-10. doi:10.1037/a0031234

- Dole, J. A., Duffy, G. G., Roehler, L. R., & Pearson, P. D. (1991). Moving from the old to the new: Research on reading comprehension instruction. *Review of Educational Research, 61*, 239-264. doi:10.3102%2F00346543061002239
- Duke, N., & Pearson, P. (2008). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. *The Journal of Education, 189*(1/2), 107-122. doi:10.1177%2F0022057409189001-208
- Duval, S., & Tweedie, R. (2000). A nonparametric "trim and fill" method for accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 95*, 89-98. doi:10.1080/01621459.2000.10473905

E

- Edossa, A. K., Neuenhaus, N., Artelt, C., Lingel, K., & Schneider, W. (2019). Developmental relationship between declarative metacognitive knowledge and reading comprehension during secondary school. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 34*(2), 397-416. doi:10.1007/s10212-018-0393-x
- Edmonds, M. S., Vaughn, S., Wexler, J., Reutebuch, C., Cable, A., Tackett, K. K., & Schnakenberg, J. W. (2009). A synthesis of reading interventions and effects on reading comprehension outcomes for older struggling readers. *Review of Educational Research, 79*, 262-300. doi:10.3102%2F0034654308325998
- Ehrlich, M.-F., Remond, M., & Tardieu, H. (1999). Processing of anaphoric devices in young skilled and less skilled comprehenders: Differences in metacognitive monitoring. *Reading and Writing 11*(1), 29-63. doi:10.1023/A:1007996502372
- Elleman, A. M., & Compton, D. L. (2017). Beyond comprehension strategy instruction: What's next? *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 48*(2), 84-91. doi:10.1044/2017_LSHSS-16-0036

F

- Faber, M., Bixler, R., & D'Mello, S. K. (2018). An automated behavioral measure of mind wandering during computerized reading. *Behavior Research Methods, 50*(1), 134-150. doi:10.3758/s13428-017-0857-y

- Faber, M., & D'Mello, S. K. (2018). How the stimulus influences mind wandering in semantically rich task contexts. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 3(1), 35. doi:10.1186/s41235-018-0129-0
- Fan, J., Wu, Y., Fossella, J. A., & Posner, M. I. (2001). Assessing the heritability of attentional networks. *BMC Neuroscience*, 2(1), 14. doi:10.1186/1471-2202-2-14
- Farragher, P., & Yore, L. D. (1997). The effects of embedded monitoring and regulating devices on the achievement of high school students learning science from text. *School Science and Mathematics*, 97(2), 87-95. doi:10.1111/j.1949-8594.1997.tb17348.x
- Feldhusen, J. F., & Birt, A. (1962). A study of nine methods of presentation of programmed learning material. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 55, 461-466. doi:10.1037/h0030766
- Feng, S., D'Mello, S. K., & Graesser, A. (2013). Mind wandering while reading easy and difficult texts. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 20(3), 586-592. doi:10.3758/s13423-012-0367-y
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. Sage Publications.
- Florax, M., & Ploetzner, R. (2010). What contributes to the split-attention effect? The role of text segmentation, picture labelling, and spatial proximity. *Learning and Instruction*, 20, 216-224. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.02.021
- Flory, K., Milich, R., Lorch, E.P., Hayden, A. N., Strange, C., & Welsh, R. (2006). Online story comprehension among children with ADHD: Which core deficits are involved? *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 34, 850-862. doi:10.1007/s10802-006-9070-7
- Fong, C. J., Patall, E. A., Vasquez, A. C., & Stautberg, S. (2019). A Meta-analysis of negative feedback on intrinsic motivation. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31, 121-162. doi:10.1007/s10648-018-9446-6
- Forrin, N. D., Risko, E. F., & Smilek, D. (2017). On the relation between reading difficulty and mind-wandering: a section-length account. *Psychological Research*, 1-13. doi:10.1007/s00426-017-0936-9
- Fortenbaugh, F. C., DeGutis, J., & Esterman, M. (2017). Recent theoretical, neural, and clinical advances in sustained attention research. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1396(1), 70-91. doi:10.1111/nyas.13318

- Fragkos, K. C., Tsagris, M., & Frangos, C. C. (2014). Publication bias in meta-analysis: confidence intervals for Rosenthal's fail-safe number. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2014, 1-17. doi:10.1155/2014/825383
- Fuchs, D. & Fuchs, L. S. (2005). Peer Assisted Learning Strategies: Promoting word recognition, fluency and reading comprehension in young children. *The Journal of Special Education*, 39(1), 34-44. doi:10.1177%2F0022466905039001040
- Furedi, F. (2016, February 25). *The crisis of attention: It's not smartphones and Facebook that are making us permanently distracted*. Spiked. <https://www.spiked-online.com/2016/02/25/the-crisis-of-attention/>

G

- Gambrell, L. B., Palmer, B. M., Codling, R. M., & Mazzoni, S. A. (1996). Assessing motivation to read. *Reading Teacher*, 49(7), 518-533. doi:10.1598/RT.49.7.2
- Gazzaley, A., & Rosen, L. D. (2016). *The distracted mind: Ancient brains in a high-tech world*. The MIT Press.
- George, J. M. (1991). State or trait: Effects of positive mood on prosocial behaviors at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76(2), 299-307. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.76.2.299
- Georgiou, G. K., & Das, J. P. (2016). Direct and indirect effects of executive function on reading comprehension in young adults. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 41, 243-258. doi:10.1111/1467-9817.12091
- Gersten, R., Fuchs, L. S., Williams, J. P., & Baker, S. (2001). Teaching reading comprehension strategies to students with learning disabilities: A review of research. *Review of Educational Research*, 71(2), 279-320. doi:10.3102%2F00346543071002279
- Gibbs, S. E. B., & D'Esposito, M. (2005). A functional MRI study of the effects of bromocriptine, a dopamine receptor agonist, on component processes of working memory. *Psychopharmacology*, 180(4), 1-10. doi:10.1007/s00213-005-0077-5
- Golchert, J., Smallwood, J., Jefferies, E., Seli, P., Huntenburg, J. M., Liem, F., Lauckner, M. E., Oligschläger, S., Bernhardt, B. C., Villringer, A., & Margulies, D.S. (2017). Individual variation in intentionality in the mind-wandering state is reflected in the integration of the default-mode, fronto-parietal, and limbic networks. *NeuroImage*, 146, 226-235. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.11.025

- Golke, S., Dörfler, T., & Artelt, C. (2015). The impact of elaborated feedback on text comprehension within a computer-based assessment. *Learning and Instruction, 39*, 123-136. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2015.05.009
- González-Burgos, I., & Feria-Velasco, A. (2008). Serotonin/dopamine interaction in memory formation. *Progress in Brain Research, 172*, 603-623. doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(08)00928-X
- Gorzycki, M., Howard, P., Allen, D., Desa, G., & Rosegard, E. (2016). An exploration of academic reading proficiency at the university level: A cross-sectional study of 848 undergraduates. *Literacy Research and Instruction, 55*(2), 142-162. doi:10.1080/19388071.2015.1133738
- Gough, P. B., & Tunmer, W. E. (1986). Decoding, reading, and reading disability. *Remedial and Special Education, 7*(1), 6-10. doi:10.1177/0704193258600700104
- Graesser, A. C. (2007). An introduction to strategic reading comprehension. In D. S. McNamara (Ed.), *Reading comprehension strategies: Theories, interventions, and technologies* (pp. 3-26). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Graesser, A. C., Millis, K. K., & Zwaan, R. A. (1997). Discourse comprehension. *Annual Review of Psychology, 48*, 163-189. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.48.1.163
- Graesser, A. C., Singer, M., & Trabasso, T. (1994). Constructing inferences during narrative text comprehension. *Psychological Review, 101*(3), 371-395. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.101.3.371
- Gratton, G., Coles, M. G. H., & Donchin, E. (1983). A new method for off-line removal of ocular artifact. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, 55*(4), 468-484. doi:10.1016/0013-4694(83)90135-9
- Green, C. S., & Bavelier, D. (2012). Learning, attentional control, and action video games. *Current Biology, 22*(6), R197-R206. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.012
- Grossman, M., Glosser, G., Kalmanson, J., Morris, J., Stern, M. B., & Hurtig, H. I. (2001). Dopamine supports sentence comprehension in Parkinson's Disease. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 184*(2), 123-130. doi:10.1016/S0022-510X(00)00491-3
- Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). Engagement and motivation in reading. In M. L. Kamil (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 403-418). Erlbaum Associates.

Guthrie, J. T., Wigfield, A., & You, W. (2012). Instructional contexts for engagement and achievement in reading. In S. Christensen, A. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.) *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 601-634). Springer. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_29

H

Hamid, A. A., Pettibone, J. R., Mabrouk, O. S., Hetrick, V. L., Schmidt, R., Vander Weele, C. M., Kennedy, R. T., Aragona, B. J., & Berke, J. D. (2016). Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nature Neuroscience*, 19(1), 117-126. doi:10.1038/nn.4173

Hampel, F. R., Ronchetti, E. M., Rousseeuw, P. J., & Stahel, W. A. (1986). *Robust statistics: The approach based on influence functions*. Wiley.

Hattie, J. (2012). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning*. Routledge.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77, 81-112. doi:10.3102%2F003465430298487

Hjetland, H. N., Brinchmann, E. I., Scherer, R., Hulme, C., & Melby-Lervåg, M. (2020). Preschool pathways to reading comprehension: A systematic meta-analytic review. *Educational Research Review*, 30, 100323. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100323

Hoffman, J. V. (2017). Comprehension is *not* simple: Considering the persisting dangers in the simple view of reading comprehension. In S. E. Israel (Ed.) *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 57-69). The Guilford Press.

Holroyd, C. B., & Coles, M. G. (2002). The neural basis of human error processing: reinforcement learning, dopamine, and the error-related negativity. *Psychological Review*, 109(4), 679-709. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.109.4.679

Hoska, D. M. (1993). Motivating learners through CBI feedback: Developing a positive learner perspective. In J. V. Demspey & G. C. Sales (Eds.) *Interactive instruction and feedback* (pp. 105-132). Educational Technology Publications.

I

Ilies, R., Judge, T. A., & Wagner, D. T. (2010). The influence of cognitive and affective reactions to feedback on subsequent goals. *European Psychologist*, 15, 121-131. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000011

Israel, S. E. (2017). Sophistication of reading comprehension: Where to from here? In S. E. Israel (Ed.) *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 649-657). The Guilford Press.

Israel, S. E. & Reutzel, D. R. (2017). The consequential pulse of reading comprehension research. In S. E. Israel (Ed.) *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 57-69). The Guilford Press.

J

Jacobs, P. I., & Kulkarni, S. (1963). A test of some assumptions underlying programmed instruction. *Psychological Reports, 18*, 103-110. doi:10.1002/j.2333-8504.1963.tb00495.x

Jacobs, J. E., Lanza, S., Osgood, D. W., Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Changes in children's self-competence and values: Gender and domain differences across grades one through twelve. *Child Development, 73*(2), 509-527. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00421

Jaehnig, W., & Miller, M. L. (2007). Feedback types in programmed instruction: A systematic review. *The Psychological Record, 57*, 219-232. doi:10.1007/BF03395573

Joensson, M., Thomsen, K. R., Andersen, L. M., Gross, J., Mouridsen, K., Sandberg, K., Østergaard, L., & Lou, H. C. (2015). Making sense: dopamine activates conscious self-monitoring through medial prefrontal cortex. *Human Brain Mapping, 36*(5), 1866-1877. doi:10.1002/hbm.22742

Jurado, M. B., & Rosselli, M. (2007). The elusive nature of executive functions: a review of our current understanding. *Neuropsychology Review, 17*, 213-233. doi:10.1007/s11065-007-9040-z

K

Kähkönen, S., Ahveninen, J., Pekkonen, E., Kaakkola, S., Huttunen, J., Ilmoniemi, R. J., & Jääskeläinen, I. P. (2002). Dopamine modulates involuntary attention shifting and reorienting: an electromagnetic study. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 113*(12), 1894-1902. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(02)00305-X

- Karbach, J., & Verhaeghen, P. (2014). Making working memory work: a meta-analysis of executive-control and working memory training in older adults. *Psychological Science*, 25(11), 2027–2037. doi:10.1177/0956797614548725
- Kealy, W. A. & Ritzhaupt, A. D. (2010). Assessment certitude as a feedback strategy for learners' constructed responses. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 43, 25-45. doi:10.2190%2FEC.43.1.c
- Kendeou, P., van den, Broek, P., Helder, A. and Karlsson, J. (2014), A cognitive view of reading comprehension: Implications for reading difficulties. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 29, 10-16. doi:10.1111/ldrp.12025
- Kerr, M. M., & Frese, K. M. (2017). Reading to learn or learning to read? Engaging college students in course readings. *College Teaching*, 65(1), 28-31. doi:10.1080/87567555.2016.1222577
- Kieffer, M. J., Vukovic, R. K., & Berry, D. (2013). Roles of attention shifting and inhibitory control in fourth-grade reading comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 48(4), 333-348. doi:10.1002/rrq.54
- Kintsch, W. (1986). Learning from text. *Cognition and Instruction*, 3(2), 87-108. doi:10.1207/s1532690xc0302_1
- Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A construction-integration model. *Psychological Review*, 95, 163-182. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.95.2.163
- Kischka, U., Kammer, T. H., Maier, S., Weisbrod, M., Thimm, M., & Spitzer, M. (1996). Dopaminergic modulation of semantic network activation. *Neuropsychologia*, 34(11), 1107-1113. doi:10.1016/0028-3932(96)00024-3
- Kjaer, T. W., Bertelsen, C., Piccini, P., Brooks, D., Alving, J., & Lou, H. C. (2002). Increased dopamine tone during meditation-induced change of consciousness. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 13(2), 255-259. doi:10.1016/S0926-6410(01)00106-9
- Klauda, S. L., & Guthrie, J. T. (2015). Comparing relations of motivation, engagement, and achievement among struggling and advanced adolescent readers. *Reading and Writing*, 28(2), 239-269. doi:10.1007/s11145-014-9523-2
- Klein, T. A., Neumann, J., Reuter, M., Hennig, J., von Cramon, D. Y., & Ullsperger, M. (2007). Genetically determined differences in learning from errors. *Science*, 318(5856), 1642-1645. doi:10.1126/science.1145044

- Klimesch, W. (2012). Alpha-band oscillations, attention, and controlled access to stored information. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(12), 606-617.
doi:10.1016/j.tics.2012.10.007
- Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., & Hanslmayr, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillations: the inhibition-timing hypothesis. *Brain Research Reviews*, 53(1), 63-88.
doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2006.06.003
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119, 254-284. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.254
- Knecht, S., Breitenstein, C., Bushuven, S., Wailke, S., Kamping, S., Flöel, A., Zwitterlood, P. & Ringelstein, E. B. (2004). Levodopa: faster and better word learning in normal humans. *Annals of Neurology*, 56(1), 20-26. doi:10.1002/ana.20125
- Ko, J. H., Ptito, A., Monchi, O., Cho, S. S., Van Eimeren, T., Pellecchia, G., Ballanger, B., Rusjan, P., Houle, S., & Strafella, A. P. (2009). Increased dopamine release in the right anterior cingulate cortex during the performance of a sorting task: a [11C] FLB 457 PET study. *Neuroimage*, 46(2), 516-521. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.02.031
- Konishi, M., Brown, K., Battaglini, L., & Smallwood, J. (2017). When attention wanders: Pupillometric signatures of fluctuations in external attention. *Cognition*, 168, 16-26.
doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2017.06.006
- Krinsky, M., Forster, D. E., Llabre, M. M., & Jha, A. P. (2017). The influence of time on task on mind wandering and visual working memory. *Cognition*, 169, 84-90.
doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2017.08.006
- Kulhavy, R. W. (1977). Feedback in written instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, 47, 211-232. doi:10.3102/00346543047002211
- Kulhavy, R. W., & Stock, W. A. (1989). Feedback in written instruction: The place of response certitude. *Educational Psychology Review*, 1, 279-308.
doi:10.1007/BF01320096
- Kulhavy, R. W. & Wager, W. (1993). Feedback in programmed instruction: Historical context and implications for practice. In J. V. Demspey & G. C. Sales (Eds.) *Interactive instruction and feedback* (pp. 3-20). Educational Technology Publications.

L

- Lancaster, G. A., Dodd, S., & Williamson, P. R. (2004). Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations for good practice. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 10(2), 307-312. doi:10.1111/j..2002.384.doc.x
- LaRusso, M., Kim, H. Y., Selman, R., Uccelli, P., Dawson, T., Jones, S., Donovan, S., & Snow, C. (2016). Contributions of academic language, perspective taking, and complex reasoning to deep reading comprehension. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 9(2), 201-222. doi:10.1080/19345747.2015.1116035
- Lasoff, E. M. (1981). *The effects of feedback in both computer-assisted instruction and programmed instruction on achievement and attitude* (Publication No. 8121115) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Miami]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Lee, H. W., Lim, K. Y., & Grabowski, B. L. (2010). Improving self-regulation, learning strategy use, and achievement with metacognitive feedback. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58, 629-648. doi:10.1007/s11423-010-9153-6
- Linssen, A. M. W., Sambeth, A., Vuurman, E. F. P. M., & Riedel, W. J. (2014). Cognitive effects of methylphenidate and levodopa in healthy volunteers. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 24(2), 200-206. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2013.09.009
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis*. Sage.
- Llorens, A. C., Cerdán, R., & Vidal-Abarca, E. (2014). Adaptive formative feedback to improve strategic search decisions in task-oriented reading. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 30, 233-251. doi:10.1111/jcal.12050
- Llorens, A. C., Vidal-Abarca, E., & Cerdán, R. (2016). Formative feedback to transfer self-regulation of task-oriented reading strategies. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 32, 314-331. doi:10.1111/jcal.12134
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting & task performance*. Prentice-Hall, Inc.

M

- MacGregor, S. K. (1988). Use of self-questioning with a computer-mediated text system and measures of reading performance. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 20, 131-148. doi:10.1080%2F10862968809547631
- Máñez, I., Vidal-Abarca, E., Kendeou, P., & Martínez, T. (2019). How do students process complex formative feedback in question-answering tasks? A think-aloud study. *Metacognition and Learning*, 14(1), 65-87. doi:10.1007/s11409-019-09192-w
- Martin, F., Klein, J. D., & Sullivan, H. (2007). The impact of instructional elements in computer-based instruction. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38, 623-636. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2006.00670.x
- Maxwell, S. E. (2004). The persistence of underpowered studies in psychological research: Causes, consequences, and remedies. *Psychological Methods*, 9(2), 147-163. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.9.2.147
- Mayer, R. E. (1996). Learners as information processors: Legacies and limitations of educational psychology's second. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(3-4), 151-161. doi:10.1080/00461520.1996.9653263
- McNamara, D. S., & Kendeou, P. (2011). Translating advances in reading comprehension research to educational practice. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 33-46.
- McVay, J. C., & Kane, M. J. (2010). Does mind wandering reflect executive function or executive failure? Comment on Smallwood and Schooler (2006) and Watkins (2008). *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(2), 188-197. doi:10.1037/a0018298
- McVay, J.C., & Kane, M.J. (2012). Why does working memory capacity predict variation in reading comprehension? On the influence of mind wandering and executive attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 141(2), 302-320. doi:10.1037/a0025250
- Melara, R. D. (2004) The cognitive neuroscience of attention. In A. Johnson & R. W. Proctor (Eds.). *Attention: Theory and practice* (pp. 333-365). Sage Publications.
- Merkelbach, I. (2018). *Protective teaching mechanisms in case of mild perinatal adversity* (Doctoral dissertation). Leiden University.

- Metcalf, J., Kornell, N., & Finn, B. (2009). Delayed versus immediate feedback in children's and adults' vocabulary learning. *Memory & Cognition*, 37, 1077-1087. doi:10.3758/MC.37.8.107
- Mikulecky, L. (1987, December 4). *The effectiveness of interactive computer assisted modelling in teaching study strategies and concept mapping of college textbook material* [Paper presentation]. National Reading Conference, St. Petersburg Beach, FL, United States.
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 24(1), 167-202. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167
- Miller, M., Nevado-Montenegro, A. J., & Hinshaw, S. P. (2012). Childhood executive function continues to predict outcomes in young adult females with and without childhood-diagnosed ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 40(5), 657-668. doi:10.1007/s10802-011-9599-y
- Mol, S. E., Bus, A. G., & De Jong, M. T. (2009). Interactive book reading in early education: A tool to stimulate print knowledge as well as oral language. *Review of Educational Research*, 79, 979-1007. doi:10.3102%2F0034654309332561
- Mol, S. E., Bus, A. G., De Jong, M. T., & Smeets, D. J. H. (2008). Added value of parent-child dialogic book readings: A meta-analysis. *Early Education and Development*, 19, 7-26. doi:10.1080/10409280701838603
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (1999). Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The role of modality and contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91, 358-368. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.91.2.358
- Mory, E. H. (2004). Feedback research revisited. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.) *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (2nd edition, pp. 745-783). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Moss, J., Schunn, C. D., Schneider, W., & McNamara, D. S. (2013). The nature of mind wandering during reading varies with the cognitive control demands of the reading strategy. *Brain Research*, 1539, 48-60. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.09.047
- Moustafa, A. A., Herzallah, M. M., & Gluck, M. A. (2013). Dissociating the cognitive effects of levodopa versus dopamine agonists in a neurocomputational model of learning in Parkinson's disease. *Neurodegenerative Diseases*, 11(2), 102-111. doi:10.1159/000341999

- Mrazek, M. D., Franklin, M. S., Phillips, D. T., Baird, B., & Schooler, J. W. (2013). Mindfulness training improves working memory capacity and GRE performance while reducing mind wandering. *Psychological Science*, 24(5), 776-781.
doi:10.1177%2F0956797612459659
- Mudde, T. (2019, July 26). *Aandacht graag* [Attention please]. De Volkskrant
- Muijselaar, M. M. L., Swart, N. M., Steenbeek-Planting, E. G., Droop, M., Verhoeven, L., & de Jong, P. F. (2017) Developmental relations between reading comprehension and reading strategies. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 21, 194-209,
doi:10.1080/10888438.2017.1278763
- Mullet, H. G., & Marsh, E. J. (2016). Correcting false memories: Errors must be noticed and replaced. *Memory & Cognition*, 44(3), 403-412. doi:10.3758/s13421-015-0571-x
- Munro, C. A., McCaul, M. E., Wong, D. F., Oswald, L. M., Zhou, Y., Brasic, J., Kuwabara, H., Kumar, A., Alexander, M., Ye, W., & Wand, G. S. (2006). Sex differences in striatal dopamine release in healthy adults. *Biological Psychiatry*, 59(10), 966-974.
doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.01.008
- N**
- Nation, P. (2020). The different aspects of vocabulary knowledge. In S. Webb (Ed.) *The Routledge handbook of vocabulary studies* (pp. 15-29). Routledge.
- National Reading Panel (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction*. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
- Neugebauer, S. R. (2013). A daily diary study of reading motivation inside and outside of school: A dynamic approach to motivation to read. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 24, 152-159. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2012.10.011
- Nielen, T. M. J., Smith, G. G., Sikkema-de Jong, M. T., Drobisz, J., van Horne, B., & Bus, A. G. (2017). Digital guidance for susceptible readers: Effects on fifth graders' reading motivation and incidental vocabulary learning. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 56, 48-73. doi:10.1177%2F0735633117708283
- Nieoullin, A. (2002). Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Progress in Neurobiology*, 67(1), 53-83. doi:10.1016/S0301-0082(02)00011-4

- Nikolaev, A. R., Meghanathan, R. N., & van Leeuwen, C. (2016). Combining EEG and eye movement recording in free viewing: Pitfalls and possibilities. *Brain and Cognition*, 107, 55-83. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2016.06.004
- Noymer, A. (2011). Alpha, significance level of test. In P. J. Lavrakas (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of survey research methods* (pp. 17-19). Sage Publications.
doi:10.4135/9781412963947

O

- OECD (2010). *PISA 2009 Results: What students know and can do: Student performance in reading, mathematics and science (Volume I)*. doi:10.1787/9789264091450-en
- OECD (2014). *PISA 2012 Results: What students know and can do – Student performance in mathematics, reading and science (Volume I, Revised edition, February 2014)*.
doi:10.1787/9789264208780-en
- OECD (2016). *PISA 2015 Results (Volume I): Excellence and equity in education*.
doi:10.1787/9789264266490-en
- OECD (2019). *PISA 2018 Results (Volume I): What students know and can do*.
doi:10.1787/5f07c754-en
- Okkinga, M., van Steensel, R., van Gelderen, A. J., van Schooten, E., Slegers, P. J., & Arends, L. R. (2018). Effectiveness of reading-strategy interventions in whole classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 1215-1239. doi:10.1007/s10648-018-9445-7
- Orwin, R. G. (1983). A fail-safe N for effect size in meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 8(2), 157-159. doi:10.3102%2F10769986008002157
- Ouellette, G., & Beers, A. (2010). A not-so-simple view of reading: How oral vocabulary and visual-word recognition complicate the story. *Reading and Writing*, 23(2), 189-208.
doi:10.1007/s11145-008-9159-1
- Owen, A., Hampshire, A., Grahn, J. A., Stenton, R., Dajani, S., Burns, A. S., Howard, R. J., & Ballard, C. G. (2010). Putting brain training to the test. *Nature*, 465, 775-778.
doi:10.1038/nature09042

P

- Paas, F., Renkl, A., & Sweller, J. (2004). Cognitive load theory: Instructional implications of the interaction between information structures and cognitive architecture. *Instructional Science*, 32, 1-8.
doi:10.1023/B:TRUC.0000021806.17516.d0
- Pak, S. S., & Weseley, A. J. (2012). The effect of mandatory reading logs on children's motivation to read. *Journal of Research in Education*, 22(1), 251-265.
- Palinscar, A. S. and Brown, A. L. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition and Instruction*, 1(2), 117-175.
doi:10.1207/s1532690xcio102_1
- Palladino, P., Cornoldi, C., De Beni, R., & Pazzaglia, F. (2001). Working memory and updating processes in reading comprehension. *Memory & Cognition*, 29(2), 344-354.
doi:10.3758/BF03194929
- Paris, S. G., & Jacobs, J. E. (1984). The benefits of informed instruction for children's reading awareness and comprehension skills. *Child Development*, 55(6), 2083-2093.
doi:10.2307/1129781
- Pearson, P. D., Cervetti, G., Invernizzi, M., & Hayes, L. (2012). Should the focus of literacy education be on "reading to learn" or "learning to read"? In A. J. Eakle, C. J. Russon, & A. G. Osborne Jr. (Eds.), *Curriculum and instruction: Debating issues in American education* (pp. 75-81). Sage Publications
- Pedhazur, E. J. *Multiple regression in behavioral research*. Thomson Learning.
- Peterson, E. R., & Irving, S. E. (2008). Secondary school students' conceptions of assessment and feedback. *Learning and Instruction*, 18(3), 238-250.
doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.05.001
- Peverly, S. T., & Wood, R. (2001). The effects of adjunct questions and feedback on improving the reading comprehension skills of learning-disabled adolescents. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 26, 25-43. doi:10.1006/ceps.1999.1025
- Putman, P., van Peer, J., Maimari, I., & van der Werff, S. (2010). EEG θ/β -ratio in relation to fear-modulated response-inhibition, attentional control, and affective traits. *Biological Psychology*, 83(2), 73-78. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.10.008

Putman, P., Verkuil, B., Arias-Garcia, E., Pantazi, I., & van Schie, C. (2014). EEG θ/β -ratio as a potential biomarker for attentional control and resilience against deleterious effects of stress on attention. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 14(2), 782-791. doi:10.3758/s13415-013-0238-7

R

RAND Reading Study Group (2002). *Reading for understanding: toward a research and development program in reading comprehension*. RAND.

Raudenbush, S. W. (2009). Analyzing effect sizes: Random-effects models. In H. Cooper, L. V. Hedges, & J. C. Valentine (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (2nd ed. pp. 295-315). Russel Sage Foundation.

Rayner, K., Juhasz, B. J., & Pollatsek, A. (2005) Eye movements during reading. In M. J. Snowling & C. Hulme (Eds.), *The science of reading: A handbook* (pp. 79-97). Blackwell Publishing.

Reichle, E. D., Reineberg, A. E., & Schooler, J. W. (2010). Eye movements during mindless reading. *Psychological Science*, 21(9), 1300-1310. doi:10.1177%2F0956797610378686

Rosen, L. D. (2017). The distracted student mind — enhancing its focus and attention. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 99(2), 8-14. doi:10.1177%2F0031721717734183

Rosenshine, B., & Meister, C. (1994). Reciprocal teaching: A review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 64(4), 479-530. doi:10.3102/0034654306400447

Rosenthal, R. (1979). The “file drawer problem” and tolerance for null results. *Psychological Bulletin*, 86, 638-641. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.86.3.638

Rubio-Aparicio, M., Sánchez-Meca, J., López-López, J. A., Botella, J., & Marín-Martínez, F. (2017). Analysis of categorical moderators in mixed-effects meta-analysis: Consequences of using pooled versus separate estimates of the residual between-studies variances. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 70(3), 439-456. doi:10.1111/bmsp.12092

Rummel, J., & Boywitt, C. D. (2014). Controlling the stream of thought: Working memory capacity predicts adjustment of mind-wandering to situational demands. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 21, 1309-1315. doi:10.3758/s13423-013-0580-3

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68

S

Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119-144. doi:10.1007/BF00117714

Sanders, J. G., Wang, H.-T., Schooler, J. W., & Smallwood, J. (2017). Can I get me out of my head? Exploring strategies for controlling the self-referential aspects of the mind-wandering state during reading. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 70(6), 1053-1062. doi:10.1080%2F17470218.2016.1216573

Saunders, P. H. (1998). *The effectiveness of paraphrasing and feedback in computer-based instruction* (Publication No. 9905104) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Memphis]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.

Schad, D. J., Nuthmann, A., & Engbert, R. (2012). Your mind wanders weakly, your mind wanders deeply: Objective measures reveal mindless reading at different levels. *Cognition*, 125(2), 179-194. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2012.07.004

Schooler, J.W. (2002). Re-representing consciousness: Dissociations between experience and meta-consciousness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6(8), 339-344. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(02)01949-6

Schooler, J. W., Reichle, E. D., & Halpern, D. V. (2004). Zoning out while reading: evidence for dissociations between experience and metaconsciousness. In D. T. Levin (Ed.) *Thinking and Seeing: Visual Metacognition in Adults and Children* (pp. 203–226). MIT Press

Schooler, J., & Schreiber, C. A. (2004). Experience, meta-consciousness, and the paradox of introspection. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 11(7-8), 17-39.

Scholte, E., & Noens, I. (2011). *BRIEF-A. Vragenlijst over executieve functies bij volwassenen: Handleiding* [BRIEF-A. Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function—Adult version: Manual]. Hogrefe Uitgevers B.V.

Schoots, O., & Van Tol, H. H. M. (2003). The human dopamine D4 receptor repeat sequences modulate expression. *The Pharmacogenomics Journal*, 3, 343-348. doi:10.1038/sj.tpj.6500208

- Seli, P., Risko, E. F., Smilek, D., & Schacter, D. L. (2016). Mind-wandering with and without intention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(8), 605-617.
doi:10.1016/j.tics.2016.05.010
- Shadish, W. R., & Haddock, C. K. (2009). Combining estimates of effect sizes. In H. Cooper, L. V. Hedges, & J. C. Valentine (Eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (2nd ed., pp. 257-277). Russell Sage Foundation.
- Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (2008). Paying attention to reading: the neurobiology of reading and dyslexia. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20(4), 1329-1349.
doi:10.1017/S0954579408000631
- Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78, 153-189. doi:10.3102%2F0034654307313795
- Slavin, R. E., Cheung, A., Groff, C., & Lake, C. (2008). Effective reading programs for middle and high schools: A best-evidence synthesis. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 43, 290-322. doi:10.1598/RRQ.43.3.4
- Slavin, R. E., Lake, C., Chambers, B., Cheung, A., & Davis, S. (2009). Effective reading programs for the elementary grades: A best-evidence synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 79, 1391-1466. doi:10.3102%2F0034654309341374
- Smallwood, J. (2011). Mind-wandering while reading: Attentional decoupling, mindless reading and the cascade model of inattention. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 5(2), 63-77. doi:10.1111/j.1749-818X.2010.00263.x
- Smallwood, J., Brown, K. S., Baird, B., Mrazek, M. D., Franklin, M. S., & Schooler, J. W. (2012). Insulation for daydreams: a role for tonic norepinephrine in the facilitation of internally guided thought. *PLoS One*, 7(4), e33706.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033706
- Smallwood, J., Fishman, D., & Schooler, J. W. (2007). Counting the cost of an absent mind: Mind wandering as an underrecognized influence on educational performance. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(2), 230-236. doi:10.3758/BF03194057
- Smallwood, J., Fitzgerald, A., Miles, L. K., & Phillips, L. H. (2009). Shifting moods, wandering minds: negative moods lead the mind to wander. *Emotion*, 9(2), 271-276.
doi:10.1037/a0014855
- Smallwood, J., McSpadden, M., & Schooler, J. W. (2008). When attention matters: The curious incident of the wandering mind. *Memory & Cognition*, 36(6), 1144-1150.
doi:10.3758/MC.36.6.1144

- Smallwood, J., & Schooler, J. W. (2006). The restless mind. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(6), 946-958. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.132.6.946
- Smallwood, J. & Schooler, J. W. (2015). The science of mind wandering: empirically navigating the stream of consciousness. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 66, 487-518. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015331
- Smilek, D., Carriere, J. S., & Cheyne, J. A. (2010). Out of mind, out of sight: eye blinking as indicator and embodiment of mind wandering. *Psychological Science*, 21(6), 786-789. doi:10.1177%2F0956797610368063
- Smillie, L. D., Cooper, A. J., & Pickering, A. D. (2011). Individual differences in reward-prediction-error: extraversion and feedback-related negativity. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 6(5), 646-652. doi:10.1093/scan/nsq078
- Soemer, A., Idsardi, H. M., Minnaert, A., & Schiefele, U. (2019). Mind wandering and reading comprehension in secondary school children. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 75, 101778. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2019.101778
- Soemer, A., & Schiefele, U. (2019). Text difficulty, topic interest, and mind wandering during reading. *Learning and Instruction*, 61, 12-22. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2018.12.006
- Subrahmanyam, K., Michikyan, M., Clemmons, C., Carrillo, R., Uhls, Y. T., & Greenfield, P. M. (2013). Learning from paper, learning from screens: Impact of screen reading and multitasking conditions on reading and writing among college students. *International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning (IJCBPL)*, 3(4), 1-27. doi:10.4018/ijcbpl.2013100101
- Sullivan, G. M. & Feinn, R. (2012) Using Effect Size—or Why the *p* value is not enough. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 4, 279-282. doi:10.4300/JGME-D-12-00156.1
- Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Huang, J. S. (2008). Improving children's reading comprehension and use of strategies through computer-based strategy training. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24, 1552-1571. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2007.05.009
- Swart, E. K., Nielen, T. M. J., Shaul, S., & Sikkema-de Jong, T. M. (2020). Frontal theta/beta-ratio (TBR) as potential biomarker for attentional control during reading in healthy females. *Cognition, Brain, Behavior: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 24(3), 187-211. doi:10.24193/cbb.2020.24.11

- Swart, E. K., Nielen, T. M. J., & Sikkema-de Jong, M. T. S. (2019). Supporting learning from text: A meta-analysis on the timing and content of effective feedback. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100296. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2019.100296
- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction*, 4, 295-312. doi:10.1016/0959-4752(94)90003-5
- Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. G. W. C. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review*, 10, 251-296. doi:10.1023/A:1022193728205
- T**
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, A. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics*. Pearson Education.
- Takacs, Z. K., Swart, E. K., & Bus, A. G. (2014). Can the computer replace the adult for storybook reading? A meta-analysis on the effects of multimedia stories as compared to sharing print stories with an adult. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 1366. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01366
- Takacs, Z. K., Swart, E. K., & Bus, A. G. (2015). Benefits and pitfalls of multimedia and interactive features in technology-enhanced storybooks: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85, 698-739. doi:10.3102%2F0034654314566989
- Ter Beek, M., Brummer, L., Donker, A. S., & Opdenakker, M. C. J. (2018). Supporting secondary school students' reading comprehension in computer environments: A systematic review. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 34, 557-566. doi:10.1111/jcal.12260
- Tilstra, J., McMaster, K., Van den Broek, P., Kendeou, P., & Rapp, D. (2009). Simple but complex: Components of the simple view of reading across grade levels. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 32(4), 383-401. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9817.2009.01401.x
- Tripp, G., & Wickens, J. R. (2008). Research Review: Dopamine transfer deficit: a neurobiological theory of altered reinforcement mechanisms in ADHD. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*, 49(7), 691-704. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01851.x
- Tsafou, K. E., Lacroix, J. P., Van Ee, R., Vinkers, C. D., & De Ridder, D. T. (2017). The relation of trait and state mindfulness with satisfaction and physical activity: A cross-sectional study in 305 Dutch participants. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 22(10), 1221-1232. doi:10.1177%2F1359105315624748

U

- Ullsperger, M. (2010). Genetic association studies of performance monitoring and learning from feedback: The role of dopamine and serotonin. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34(5), 649-659. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.06.009
- Unrau, N. J., & Quirk, M. (2014). Reading motivation and reading engagement: Clarifying commingled conceptions. *Reading Psychology*, 35(3), 260-284. doi:10.1080/02702711.2012.684426
- U.S. News (2020). *Best Countries for Education*. <https://www.usnews.com/news/best-countries/best-education>
- Uzzaman, S., & Joordens, S. (2011). The eyes know what you are thinking: eye movements as an objective measure of mind wandering. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 20(4), 1882-1886. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2011.09.010

V

- Van den Broek, P. & Gustafson, M. (1999). Comprehension and memory for texts: Three generations of reading research. In S. R. Goldman, A. C. Graesser, and P. van den Broek (Eds.), *Narrative comprehension, causality, and coherence: Essays in honor of Tom Trabasso* (pp. 15-34). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Van den Broek, P., Lorch, R. F., Linderholm, T., & Gustafson, M. (2001). The effects of readers' goals on inference generation and memory for texts. *Memory & Cognition*, 29(8), 1081-1087. doi:10.3758/BF03206376
- Van den Broek, P., Rapp, D. N., & Kendeou, P. (2005). Integrating memory-based and constructionist processes in accounts of reading comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 39, 299-316. doi:10.1080/0163853X.2005.9651685
- Van den Broek, P., Virtue, S., Everson, M. G., Tzeng, Y., & Sung, Y. C. (2002). Comprehension and memory of science texts: Inferential processes and the construction of a mental representation. In J. Otero, J. A. León, A. C. Graesser (Eds.) *The psychology of science text comprehension* (pp. 131-154). Routledge.
- Van der Kleij, F. M., Feskens, R. C., & Eggen, T. J. (2015). Effects of feedback in a computer-based learning environment on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85, 475-511. doi:10.3102%2F0034654314564881

- Van der Kleij, F. M., Eggen, T. J., Timmers, C. F., & Veldkamp, B. P. (2012). Effects of feedback in a computer-based assessment for learning. *Computers & Education*, 58, 263-272. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2011.07.020
- Van der Stigchel, S (2018). *Concentratie: Gefocust blijven in tijden van afleiding* [Concentration: Staying focused in times of distraction]. Maven Publishing B.V.
- Van Son, D., Angelidis, A., Hagenaars, M. A., van der Does, W., & Putman, P. (2018). Early and late dot-probe attentional bias to mild and high threat pictures: Relations with EEG theta/beta ratio, self-reported trait attentional control, and trait anxiety. *Psychophysiology*, 55(12), e13274. doi:10.1111/psyp.13274
- Van Son, D., De Blasio, F. M., Fogarty, J. S., Angelidis, A., Barry, R. J., & Putman, P. (2019a). Frontal EEG theta/beta ratio during mind wandering episodes. *Biological Psychology*, 140, 19-27. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.11.003
- Van Son, D., de Rover, M., De Blasio, F. M., van der Does, W., Barry, R. J., & Putman, P. (2019b). Electroencephalography theta/beta ratio covaries with mind wandering and functional connectivity in the executive control network. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1452(1), 52-64. doi:10.1111/2Fnyas.14180
- Van Steensel, R., Van der Sande, L., Bramer, W., & Arends, L. (2016). *Effecten van leesmotivatie-interventies: Uitkomsten van een meta-analyse* [Effects of reading motivation interventions: Outcomes of a meta-analysis]. Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Viechtbauer, W. (2007). Accounting for heterogeneity via random-effects models and moderator analyses in meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychology*, 215, 104-121. doi:10.1027/0044-3409.215.2.104
- Vijayraghavan, S., Wang, M., Birnbaum, S. G., Williams, G. V., & Arnsten, A. F. (2007). Inverted-U dopamine D1 receptor actions on prefrontal neurons engaged in working memory. *Nature Neuroscience*, 10(3), 376-384. doi:10.1038/nn1846

W

- Wadley, J. (2015, November 23). *Dopamine: New theory integrates its role in learning, motivation*. University of Michigan News. <https://news.umich.edu/dopamine-new-theory-integrates-its-role-in-learning-motivation/>


- Wass, S. V., Scerif, G., & Johnson, M. H. (2012). Training attentional control and working memory: Is younger, better? *Developmental Review, 32*(4), 360-387.
doi:10.1016/j.dr.2012.07.001
- Weissman, D. H., Roberts, K. C., Visscher, K. M., & Woldorff, M. G. (2006). The neural bases of momentary lapses in attention. *Nature Neuroscience, 9*(7), 971-978.
doi:10.1038/nn1727
- Westbrook, A. & Braver, T. S. (2016). Dopamine does double duty in motivating cognitive effort. *Neuron, 89*, 695-710. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.029
- Weyandt, L. L., & DuPaul, G. J. (2008). ADHD in college students: Developmental findings. *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 14*(4), 311-319.
doi:10.1002/ddrr.38
- Wigfield, A., & Guthrie, J.T. (1997). Relations of children's motivation for reading to the amount and breadth of their reading, *Journal of Educational Psychology, 89*, 420-432. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.89.3.420
- Wigfield, A., Gladstone, J. R., & Turci, L. (2016). Beyond cognition: Reading motivation and reading comprehension. *Child Development Perspectives, 10*(3), 190-195.
doi:10.1111/cdep.12184
- Wijekumar, K. K., Meyer, B. J., & Lei, P. (2013). High-fidelity implementation of web-based intelligent tutoring system improves fourth and fifth graders content area reading comprehension. *Computers & Education, 68*, 366-379. doi:j.compedu.2013.05.021
- Wolf, M. (2018). *Reader, come home: The reading brain in a digital world*. HarperCollins.
- Wolf, M. (2018, August 25). *Skim reading is the new normal. The effect on society is profound*. The Guardian.
- Wolters, C. A., Barnes, M. A., Kulesz, P. A., York, M., & Francis, D. J. (2017). Examining a motivational treatment and its impact on adolescents' reading comprehension and fluency. *The Journal of Educational Research, 110*(1), 98-109.
doi:10.1080/00220671.2015.1048503

X

- Xu, J., & Zhong, B. (2018). Review on portable EEG technology in educational research. *Computers in Human Behavior, 81*, 340-349.
doi:10.1016/j.chb.2017.12.037

Z

- Zanesco, A. P., King, B. G., MacLean, K. A., Jacobs, T. L., Aichele, S. R., Wallace, B. A., Smallwood, J., Schooler, J. W., & Saron, C. D. (2016). Meditation training influences mind wandering and mindless reading. *Psychology of Consciousness: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 3(1), 12-32. doi:10.1037/cns0000082
- Zhang, T., Mou, D., Wang, C., Tan, F., Jiang, Y., Lijun, Z., & Li, H. (2015). Dopamine and executive function: Increased spontaneous eye blink rates correlate with better set-shifting and inhibition, but poorer updating. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 96(3), 155-161. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.04.010
- Zhou, P., Prat, C., Yamasaki, B. L., & Stocco, A. (2020). Monitoring of attentional oscillations through Spectral Similarity Analysis predicts reading comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 200, 104709. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2019.104709
- Zwaan, R. A., Langston, M. C., & Graesser, A. C. (1995). The construction of situation models in narrative comprehension: An event-indexing model. *Psychological Science*, 6(5), 292-297. doi:10.1111%2Fj.1467-9280.1995.tb00513.x



Nawoord (Epilogue)

Nawoord

(Epilogue)

Een Afrikaanse wijsheid luidt “It takes a village to raise a child”. Kortom, de gehele gemeenschap heeft invloed op de ontwikkeling van een kind. Ontwikkeling hoort ook centraal te staan in een promotietraject. Nu mijn proefschrift zijn definitieve vorm heeft bereikt, blik ik, in lijn met deze wijsheid, terug op mijn ‘dorp’. Het was een interessant en divers dorp, waarin ieder een eigen invloed had op mijn ontwikkeling en die van dit proefschrift. Een aantal van hen wil ik hieronder bedanken.

Marga, jij hebt mijn interesse voor wetenschappelijk onderzoek aangewakkerd en mede dankzij jou heb ik die interesse tijdens mijn promotietraject verder kunnen ontwikkelen en vasthouden, ook op momenten waarop dat niet vanzelfsprekend was. Bedankt voor al je ondersteuning bij de onderzoeken, het schrijven en alle zaken daaromheen!

Een dorp kan niet zonder mensen met levenservaring en de daaruit voortkomende wijze lessen. Chris, dank voor jouw promotorschap en wijze lessen als wetenschapper en mens. Eric, ik ben dankbaar voor jouw komst in het dorp. Jouw besluitkracht en de wijze (koopvaardij)lessen van jou en de mensen om jou heen hebben geholpen om door te blijven zetten en vertrouwen te houden in een goede afronding.


Lieve Heleen, Thijs, Rachel en Inge, ons rijtje proefschriften is eindelijk compleet. Bedankt voor alle gezelligheid op de afdeling en daarbuiten! Lieve Marianne en andere collega's op de afdeling, bedankt voor de samenwerking binnen onderzoek en onderwijs, de goede gesprekken en gezelligheid.

Aan de onderzoeken in dit proefschrift hebben veel studenten meegewerkt, zowel als participant als onderzoeker. Bedankt voor jullie inzet! Onze samenwerking heeft me zeer geïnspireerd en ik hoop dat ik jullie op mijn beurt heb kunnen inspireren.

Tot zover het ‘Leidse deel’ van het dorp. Lieve vrienden, familie en schoonfamilie, bedankt voor al jullie oprechte interesse en steun al die jaren. Mam, pap, bedankt dat jullie jarenlang met zoveel geduld de verhalen hebben aangehoord, hebben meegeleefd en jullie trots lieten blijken. Deborah, bedankt dat je daarnaast proefkonijn wilde zijn voor en tijdens mijn onderzoeken.

Lieve Menno, bedankt voor je geduld, begrip, interesse, het luisterende oor telkens weer en alle mooie momenten samen buiten de tijd die ik aan mijn promotietraject besteedde.

Lieve Sophie, zo klein als je bent heb je al zo'n grote invloed gehad. Jij hebt laten zien welke dingen het meest belangrijk en waardevol zijn en hoe mooi aandacht echt is, niet alleen tijdens lezen (hoewel we dat samen maar al te graag doen).



Curriculum Vitae

Curriculum Vitae

Elise Karolina Swart, geboren op 19 juni 1991 te Dordrecht, behaalde in 2009 haar eindexamen van het gymnasium aan het Stedelijk Dalton Lyceum te Dordrecht. In datzelfde jaar begon zij aan de bacheloropleiding Pedagogische Wetenschappen aan de Universiteit Leiden, waarbinnen zij koos voor een specialisatie in de Leerproblemen. Ze behaalde haar bachelor-graad in 2012 en startte aansluitend daarop de Research Master Developmental Psychopathology in Education and Child Studies aan de Universiteit Leiden. Ter afronding van haar master schreef zij een scriptie, getiteld "Technology-enhanced stories as word learning support for children at risk: A meta-analysis", die werd bekroond met drie scriptieprijsen: de Emile scriptieprijs Pedagogische Wetenschappen 2014, de Scriptieprijs 2014 van de Faculteit der Sociale Wetenschappen en de landelijke NVO Scriptieprijs 2014. In december 2013 behaalde ze haar Master of Science en in januari 2014 startte ze als promovenda op de afdeling Leer- en Gedragsproblemen in het Onderwijs. Haar promotieonderzoek richtte zich op aandacht, feedback en begrijpend lezen. De resultaten van dit promotieonderzoek zijn in dit proefschrift beschreven.


Naast haar promotieonderzoek verzorgde Elise de begeleiding van bachelor- en masterscripties en colleges, werkgroepen en practica voor diverse bachelor- en mastercursussen binnen de opleiding Pedagogische Wetenschappen. In 2017 behaalde ze haar Basiskwalificatie Onderwijs. In 2018 ontving zij, samen met dr. Marga Sikkema-de Jong, een Grassroot ten behoeve van onderwijsinnovatie t.w.v. €1000 om een mobiele EEG headset binnen het onderwijs in te zetten, zodat meer studenten ervaring konden opdoen met psychofysiologische maten (in het bijzonder EEG) binnen hun bachelor- en masteronderzoeken.

Elise heeft haar onderzoek gepresenteerd in binnen- en buitenland, onder andere tijdens de 7th International Graduate School on Literacy Acquisition van de Society for the Scientific Study of Reading in 2015, de Summer School on Reading and Learning in the Digital World aan de Universiteit van Würzburg in 2017 en het jaarlijkse wetenschappelijke congres van de Society for the Scientific Study of Reading in Brighton 2018. Naast haar onderzoeks- en onderwijsactiviteiten is ze in 2016 en 2017 lid geweest van het organisatiecomité van de VNOP-CAS-ISED Research Days en was ze betrokken bij de organisatie van de Nederlands-Vlaamse Interacademiale Leerproblemen 2019.

Naast publicaties en presentaties binnen wetenschappelijke kringen, heeft Elise zich ingezet voor de vertaling van wetenschappelijke inzichten naar de onderwijspraktijk, door artikelen over voorlezen, leesonderwijs en leesvaardigheid te schrijven voor de Kennisrotonde en het platform Leraar24 en lezingen te verzorgen voor vrijwilligers van de VoorleesExpress en Stichting Voorlezen. Van oktober 2017 t/m mei 2018 is Elise daarnaast werkzaam geweest als junior beleidsmedewerker binnen het domein Sociale- en

Geesteswetenschappen van de Nederlandse organisatie voor Wetenschappelijke Onderzoek (NWO).

Sinds juli 2020 is Elise werkzaam als programma- en beleidsmedewerker op de afdeling Vrij Onderzoek en Wetenschapsdomein van het NWO-domein Sociale en Geesteswetenschappen.



List of Publications

List of Publications

International refereed journals

- **Swart, E. K.** & Sikkema-de Jong, T. M. (under review). The effects of increased dopamine-levels on attentional control during reading and reading comprehension.
- **Swart, E. K.**, Nielen, T. M. J., & Sikkema-de Jong, T. M. (under review). Explaining the effect of feedback on reading comprehension: A meta-analysis on the effects of feedback on the use of reading strategies and motivational aspects.
- **Swart, E. K.**, Nielen, T. M. J., Shaul, S., & Sikkema-de Jong, T. M. (2020). Frontal theta/beta-ratio (TBR) as potential biomarker for attentional control during reading in healthy females. *Cognition, Brain, Behavior: An Interdisciplinary Journal*. 24(3), 187-211. doi:10.24193/cbb.2020.24.11
- **Swart, E. K.**, Nielen, T. M. J., & Sikkema-de Jong, M. T. (2019). Supporting learning from text: A meta-analysis on the timing and content of effective feedback. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100296. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2019.100296
- Takacs, Z. K., **Swart, E. K.** & Bus, A. G. (2015). Benefits and pitfalls of multimedia and interactive features in technology-enhanced storybooks. A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85 (4), 698-739. doi:10.3102/0034654314566989
- Takacs, Z. K., **Swart, E. K.** & Bus, A. G. (2014). Can the computer replace the adult for storybook reading? A meta-analysis on the effects of multimedia stories as compared to sharing print stories with an adult. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 1366. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01366

Book chapters

- **Swart, E. K.** (2015). Verhalen met technologie als hulpmiddel om nieuwe woorden te leren: Een meta-analyse. In D. Schram, *Hoe maakbaar is de lezer?* (pp. 109-128). Delft, Nederland: Uitgeverij Eburon.

National (refereed) journals

- Sikkema-de Jong M.T. & **Swart E. K.** (2017). App, noot, mies. Leerzame voorleesapps kiezen. *De Wereld van het Jonge Kind*, 45, 16-19.

- Takacs, Z. K. & **Swart, E. K.** (2016). A multimédiás mesék potenciálja a kétnyelvű, migráns családokból származó óvodások nyelvi fejlesztése szempontjából: metaanalízis [The Potential of Multimedia Stories for Fostering the Language Skills of Bilingual, Immigrant Preschoolers: A Meta-Analysis]. *Altalanos Nyelveszeti Tanulmányok*, 28, 279-294.
- **Swart E. K.** (2015). Gedigitaliseerde verhalen als hulpmiddel om nieuwe woorden te leren: Een meta-analyse. *Orthopedagogiek: Onderzoek en Praktijk*, 54 (8), 340-349.
- **Swart, E. K.** (2015). Gedigitaliseerde verhalen als hulpmiddel bij het leren van nieuwe woorden. *NVO Bulletin*, 16 (1), 10-11.

Other

- Kennisrotonde (2020). *Welke relatie bestaat er tussen (een zwakke) woordenschat en technisch lezen?* (KR. 821). Nederlands Regieorgaan Onderwijs onderzoek (NRO).
- Nationaal Regieorgaan Onderwijs onderzoek (2020). *Leesmotivatie: investeren in de wil om te lezen*. Leraar24. <https://www.leraar24.nl/2621612/leesmotivatie-investeren-in-de-wil-om-te-lezen/>
- **Swart, E. K.** & Stichting Voorlezen (2020). *Kennisdossier digitale prentenboeken*. Stichting Voorlezen.
- Kennisrotonde (2018). *Welk verband bestaat er tussen tutorlezen en de ontwikkeling van technische leesvaardigheid? Wat zijn werkzame ingrediënten bij tutorlezen?* (KR. 436). Nederlands Regieorgaan Onderwijs onderzoek (NRO).
- Kennisrotonde (2017). *Welke strategie is het meest effectief voor het aanleren van auditieve synthese ("plakken") bij technisch lezen en wanneer kun je het beste starten met het aanleren hiervan?* (KR. 247). Nederlands Regieorgaan Onderwijs onderzoek (NRO).

