

The complex interactions between the tumor microenvironment and prostate and oropharyngeal cancer Cioni, B.

Citation

Cioni, B. (2021, June 3). *The complex interactions between the tumor microenvironment and prostate and oropharyngeal cancer*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182527

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182527

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3182527</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Cioni, B. Title: The complex interactions between the tumor microenvironment and prostate and oropharyngeal cancer Issue Date: 2021-06-03

CHAPTER 2

Androgen Receptor Moonlighting in the Prostate Cancer Microenvironment

B. Cioni, W. Zwart and A. M. Bergman

Endocr Relat Cancer. 2018 Jun;25(6):R331-R349

ABSTRACT

Androgen receptor (AR) signaling is vital for normal development of the prostate, and is critically involved in prostate cancer (PCa) progression. AR is not only found in epithelial prostate cells, but is also expressed in various cells in the PCa-associated stroma, which constitute the Tumor MicroEnvironment (TME). In the TME, AR is expressed in fibroblasts, macrophages, lymphocytes, and neutrophils. AR expression in the TME was shown to be decreased in higher grade and metastatic PCa, suggesting that stromal AR plays a protective role against PCa progression. With that, the functionality of AR in stromal cells appears to deviate from the receptor's classical function as described in PCa cells. However, the biological action of AR in these cells and its effect on cancer progression remains to be fully understood. Here, we systematically review the pathological, genomic and biological literature on AR actions in various subsets of prostate stromal cells, with the aim to better understand the consequences of AR signaling in the TME in relation to PCa development and progression.

PROSTATE CANCER

PCa is the second-most frequently diagnosed tumor type in men worldwide and the mostcommon male malignancy in developed countries [1]. Annually, there are an estimated 1.1 million new prostate cancer cases worldwide and 300.000 cancer-related deaths [2]. The main risk factors for PCa are ethnicity, family history and genetic predisposition. Moreover, prevalence increases with age, with the highest incidence between 70 and 75 years of age [3].

The majority of patients present with localized disease, in which the tumor is confined to the prostate. PCa is often detected after the development of lower urine tract complaints, while an increasing percentage of patients are being diagnosed before developing any symptoms as a result of Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) testing [4]. PSA is a serine protease, specifically secreted by epithelial prostate cells, which remains expressed in PCa cells. Likelihood of recurrence is commonly estimated by the TNM classification of malignant tumors (tumor, lymph nodes involvement and metastasis) and the Gleason Score (GS); both prognostic scores are based on histopathological features of the tumor.

Treatment options largely depend on stage and grade of the disease, as well as age, health condition and expected life-span of the patient. For primary local treatment, a choice is made between radiotherapy and radical prostatectomy. Radical prostatectomy can be combined with extended pelvic nodal dissection [5], while radiotherapy can be combined with adjuvant Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) [6]. Both treatment modalities are considered equally effective in curing the disease at first [7-9], however, approximately 35% of patients will develop a rise in PSA and a smaller proportion will develop metastatic disease [10]. These patients cannot be cured, but the disease can be treated with ADT to which virtually all patients will respond.

THE PROSTATE CANCER MICROENVIRONMENT

Stromal cells present in an organ are all non-epithelial cells that jointly constitute the connective tissue. During normal tissue development, epithelial-stromal interactions are fundamental in order to maintain organ homeostasis. In PCa, the tumor is surrounded by a large variety of stromal cells including resident fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, endothelial cells as well as innate and adoptive immune cells [11]. Apart from cells, tumors are influenced by soluble factors such as cytokines and other extracellular molecules that constitute the ExtraCellular Matrix (ECM). Components of the ECM are secreted by both tumor and stromal cells, and they can regulate tumor cell proliferation and migration [12]. Moreover, cytokines released in the TME can control stromal cell polarization towards tumor-suppressive or tumor-promoting phenotypes [13].

In multiple tumor types, including PCa, tumor-associated stromal cells are considered to be highly plastic compared to the normal-associated stromal cellsn [14-16]. During PCa development and progression, stromal cells show an altered phenotype which leads to an increased ECM remodeling, angiogenesis, protease activity and immune cells infiltration [17]. Tumor-associated stromal cells have been shown to undergo genetic alterations in the presence of a tumor, which might sustain the malignant phenotype [18].

Many studies have addressed the microenvironment as a prognostic factor in PCa [19-22]. Moreover, the TME gained a lot of interest as a therapeutic target over the last decades. Targeting various components of the TME might represent an alternative approach as compared to the classical therapies targeting cancer cells. This is an attractive concept, since (in contrast to tumor cells) stromal cells are normally regulated and do not show genetic instability. Based on this concept, new potential drugs targeting the crosstalk between cancer cells and stromal cells, such as Src kinase inhibitors, TGF-ß inhibitors, and angiogenesis such as the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFR) inhibitors are now being tested in clinical trials [23-25].

AR EXPRESSION IN PCA CELLS

Nuclear receptors such as AR, but also estrogen receptor (ER), glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and progesterone receptor (PR) are all expressed in prostate tissue and play a role in PCa development and progression [26-28]. AR is expressed in the epithelial cells of primary and metastatic PCa and regulates a variety of cellular functions [29]. AR is a steroid hormone receptor located on chromosome Xq12 and a member of the nuclear receptor family [30]. Its transcript comprises of 8 exons and 3 functional domains: the N-terminal transactivation domain (NTD) in exon 1, the DNA-binding domain (DBD) in exon 2 and 3, and the C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD) in exon 5 to 8. The AR transcript is translated into an 110kDa ligand-dependent transcription factor [31] that plays a critical role in PCa development and progression by regulating the transcription of genes involved in cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, cell cycling, and apoptosis [29].

After entering the target cell, testosterone is converted into dihydrotestosterone (DHT) by $5-\alpha$ -reductase, which has a high affinity for AR (Figure 1). Upon DHT binding, AR dissociates from the heat-shock protein 90 complex (Hsp90) and undergoes intra-molecular conformational changes at the N- and C-terminal of the receptor (N/C interactions) [32]. Consequently, the AR-DHT complex translocates into the nucleus where the receptor dimerizes [33, 34], and binds the DNA at Androgen Responsive Elements (ARE) of promoter and enhancer regions of various target genes [35].

AR/DNA binding is mediated by pioneering transcription factors (TFs) including Forkhead Box Protein A1 (FOXA1), GATA Binding Protein 2 (GATA2) and Homeobox Protein 13 (HOXB13), that render ARE regions accessible for AR to bind [36]. Subsequently, a variety of co-regulators are recruited to the complex that can either activate (co-activators, e.g. steroid receptor co-activator 1 (SRC-1), Androgen Receptor Co-activator 70-alpha (ARA70-alpha) [37, 38] or repress (co-repressors, e.g. Flightless I (FLI1), Nuclear Receptor Co-Repressor 1 (NCoR1) [34] the expression of downstream-responsive genes.

AR AS A THERAPEUTIC TARGET

Since AR signaling modulates the expression of critical genes involved in PCa proliferation and migration, inhibiting AR action is the mainstay of anti-hormonal treatment for both metastasized and adjuvant treatment [39]. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of AR





function is essential to develop new drugs targeting any of the steps of the AR signaling cascade. Currently, multiple drugs targeting these steps in the AR pathway are introduced in clinical practice or are in clinical development (Table 1). ADT is achieved by physical castration or Luteinising Hormone-Releasing Hormone (LHRH) agonists and antagonists. The latter two interventions lower the level of the testosterone produced by the testicles by inhibiting the production of Luteinizing Hormone (LH) from the pituitary gland [40] Furthermore, antiandrogen treatment is commonly prescribed to PCa patients. Antiandrogens such as bicalutamide, flutamide and enzalutamide act by directly blocking AR function, while others such as the CYP17A1 inhibitors ketoconazole and abiraterone acetate inhibit extragonadal and intratumoral synthesis of androgens [41]. Despite the very high response rate to AR targeting interventions in metastatic PCa patients, progression into metastasized Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC) is inevitable, which is hallmarked by high morbidity and mortality [42]. In contrast to the previous believe that PCa developed a hormone-refractory stage [43], we now know that prostate cancer cells develop a hypersensitivity to testosterone [44], resulting in activation of the AR cascade at castrate levels of circulating hormones. In this mCRPC stage of the disease, AR remains expressed as a driver of disease progression [45]. Enzalutamide and abiraterone have shown clinical activity in mCRPC in combination with ADT [46, 47], which confirms continued androgen dependence of PCa cells in this stage of the disease. Apart from further anti-hormonal interventions, also taxanes and radio nucleotides have shown activity in mCRPC in combination with ADT [48].

The underlying mechanism of this high sensitivity to testosterone in mCRPC has been unraveled in recent years. Altered AR functions commonly occur, which are thought to develop during continued selection pressure induced by treatment [49]. The molecular mechanisms by which impaired AR activity is associated with PCa development and progression is complex and includes AR amplification, constitutive active AR splice variants and extra testicular testosterone synthesis [34, 49-52], overexpression of AR cofactors [53, 54], gain-of-function AR mutations in the LBD [55] and intracrine androgen production [56]. Alternative spliced AR variants represent a key factor in resistance to hormonal intervention and are often found in mCRPC [57]. One of the best characterized AR variant is AR-V7 (AR3), which is composed only of exon 1 to 3 which encode the NTD and DBD and is therefore capable of DNA binding [58]. However, it is ligand-independent and constitutively active. AR-V7 was significantly upregulated during PCa progression and expression was correlated with disease recurrence after radical prostatectomy [59]. Importantly, overexpression of AR-V7 in circulating tumor cells was associated with resistance to androgen ablation treatments in PCa patients [60]. Currently, occurance of AR-V7 in circulating tumor cells is being validated as a predictive biomarker for antihormonal treatment insensitivity [61].

Table 1. Hormone therapy for prostate cancer patients: established drugs and clinical trials. On the left side of the column, established treatments to lower the androgen levels (Androgen biosynthesis blockade, LHRH agonist and LHRH antagonists), anti-androgen therapies (Androgen receptor blockade and AR-targeted mustard conjugates) currently applied to treat PCa patients are listed. On the right side of the column, drugs currently in clinical trials are listed. These include the new interventions: AR NTD blockade and androgen therapy.

Established therapies	In clinical trial
Androgen biosynthesis blockade	
Ketoconazole [165]	TAK-700 [166]
Abiraterone [167]	
Androgen receptor blockade	
Bicalutamide [168]	Galeterone [169]
Nilutamide [170]	ARN509 [171]
Flutamide [172]	ODM-201 [173]
Enzalutamide [174]	
LHRH agonists	
Goserelin [175]	
Histrelin [176]	
Leuprolide [177]	
Triptorelin [178]	
LHRH antagonists	
Degarelix [179]	
Abarelix [180]	
AR NTD blockade	
-	EPI-506 (NCT02606123)
AR-targeted mustard conjugates	
Estramustine phosphate [181]	
Androgen therapy	
-	Testosterone cypionate [182]

AR EXPRESSION IN THE PROSTATE CANCER MICROENVIRONMENT

There is a growing interest in the impact of stromal AR signaling on the development and progression of PCa. While a large number of studies addressed the role of AR in epithelial cells, only a limited number of reports were focused on the role of AR in the stroma [62]. However, it is well established that AR is expressed in stromal cells (Table 2) and it is also known that stromal AR is lost during PCa progression [63-65]. In various studies, decreased stromal AR expression was shown to be associated with biochemical relapse and poor prognosis [66-68]. These results suggest a protective role of stromal AR against PCa progression which would be in contrast to the well-established role of AR in PCa cells. However, the role of AR in stromal cells of the TME remains largely unclear. Given the growing evidence for a key role of the TME in PCa development and progression, exploring the expression and function of

II prostate cetto at	ות אמדוטתא לכווא טו נווך ד למ זוווניוטכוואדו טוווווניוו מוות נווך לתודבוו	r vitomicase out the sentonin	o regulation of MAN			
Cell type	AR role	Possible effect in PCa	DNA binding location	Function	Pioneering factors	References
Prostate cells	Maintenance of prostate development and morphogenesis. Regulation of cell growth and migration.	Increased proliferation and migration	Distal intergenic and introns	Enhancer	FOXA1, GATA2, HOXB13	26-29
Fibroblasts	Regulation of cytokines secretion and cross-talk between stromal and epithelial cells (cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, cell adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction)	Up-or down-regulation of tumor cell growth and migration	Distal intergenic and introns	Enhancer	c-Jun, c-Fos, ATF ZFX	5, 60, 86-90, 93-96
T lymphocytes	Suppression T cells proliferation. Promotion of differentiation of FoxP3+ T cells (Tregs) and Th2/Th1 T cells ratio (via downregulation of IL-2, IFN-y, and IL-12)	Increased number of 'pro-tumor' T cells		1	1	103-121
B lymphocytes	Negative regulation of B cells development and differentiation and antibodies production	Reduced humoral immune response			ı	106, 122-127
Neutrophils	Positive regulation of neutrophils maturation, proliferation and inflammatory cytokines production (IL1-ß, IL-6, TNF)	Increased innate immune reaction	ī		1	129-130
Macrophages	Negative regulation of TLR4, CCL2 and FcyR expression. Increased CCL4 production. Up- and down-regulation of TNF	Decreased immune response and phagocytosis. Promotion of tumorigenesis. Up-or down-regulation of EMT genes.	1	1		58, 82, 132-141
Dendritic cells Endothelial cells	Negative regulation of inflammatory cytokines production (TNF, IL1-ß, IL-6 etc) Induction of VEGF-mediated cell growth. Increased VCAM-1 expression. Increase TNF-α -induced apoptosis	Decreased antigen presentation Increased angiogenesis			FOXO3 -	142-144 145-150

AR in the TME is highly relevant. Also, the underlying mechanisms by which stromal AR expression is lost during PCa progression remains largely unknown. However, some hypotheses have been proposed: increased epithelial AR expression during PCa development might lead to increased uptake of androgens by epithelial AR which outcompetes stromal AR, possibly leading to reduced expression [62]. Another option is that distinct inactivating AR mutations might occur in stroma. However, this remains a relatively unexplored hypothesis as the only data available on AR inactivating point mutations were originated from PCa cells [69]. Finally, epigenetic modifications have also been proposed, such as changes in methylation status of the AR promoter [70]. Importantly, epithelial and stromal AR can also interact together to promote epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and influence PCa development. During EMT, epithelial cells gain the migratory properties characteristic of the mesenchymal stem cells, and this may strongly promote PCa progression [71, 72]. As shown in human and ARKO-mice (mice lacking AR expression) studies, stromal AR is believed to promote EMT differentiation in male urogenital tract via secretion of various growth factors and cytokines, such as keratinocyte growth factor, insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [73, 74] [75]. Furthermore, tissue recombinant studies evaluated the mesenchymal induction of prostatic epithelium and show that stromal AR plays a key role in prostate development by modulating the epithelial differentiation, apoptosis and proliferation. More specifically, mice with recombinant tissue with wild-type urogenital sinus mesenchyme (UGM) and wild-type epithelium successfully develop the prostatic glands, however, mice with recombinant tissue composed of AR-deficient testicular feminization (Tfm)-UGM and wild-type-epithelium fail to form the prostate. This highlighted the critical role of mesenchymal AR in prostate formation [76, 77].

Interactions between stromal cells and PCa cells are frequently mediated by soluble factors, such as cytokines [78]. Testosterone and other sex hormones can modulate the adaptive and innate immune system; however, their effect might vary depending on the type of sex steroids. Indeed, estrogens are generally thought to promote pro-inflammatory cytokines production, whereas androgens are thought to suppress them [79]. The fact that males are in general more prone to infectious diseases and females are more prone to develop autoimmune diseases, supports this hypothesis [80-83]. However, relatively little is known about the mechanisms by which androgens affect the immune system.

Suppression of the pro-inflammatory signals by androgens might be mediated through reciprocal repression between AR and the NF-kB signaling pathway, which is a well-known regulator of immune functions [84, 85]. Studies in rats showed that NF-kB was implicated in repression of the AR gene [86]. Moreover, NF-kB activation was shown to block the proliferation of androgen-dependent PCa cells, but not androgen-insensitive PCa cells [87]. Also, in human benign prostatic hyperplasia cells, DHT-induced suppression of NF-kB-mediated inflammatory cytokine production was demonstrated [88, 89]. However, in LNCaP prostate cancer cells, no tethering of AR and NF- κ B was observed in the chromatin binding upon stimulation with androgens and TNF α , suggesting that they would not compete for the same genomic locations [90]. Instead, redistribution of the AR pioneer factor, FOXA1 was observed together with

Table 2. Functional and genomic features of AR signaling in the various cells of the prostate cancer microenvironment. The table shows the actions of AR signaling

increased NF- κ B binding sites in the chromatin. This phenomenon was suggested to possibly 'mask' the AR-binding sites due to redistribution of FOXA1 binding in the presence of inflammatory cytokines stimulation. Therefore, a potential negative regulation of AR function would be possible by activation of the NF- κ B via inflammatory cytokines such as TNF α .

In conclusion, AR expression in the PCa TME might profoundly affect development of the disease. Therefore, the exact actions of AR in stromal cells warrants further characterization. Importantly, AR is expressed in various cells in the PCa stroma, which might have different kinetics and might affect PCa development in different ways (Figure 2). We will discuss the available data on AR actions in the various cells of the TME below.

AR EXPRESSION IN PROSTATE CANCER ASSOCIATED FIBROBLASTS

Fibroblasts represent one of the most abundant cell populations in the TME and one of their primary functions is to produce the structural and regulatory components of the ECM and a large variety of cytokines [91]. During PCa development and progression, the stroma becomes reactive and undergoes structural and functional changes which might affect progression of the disease [91]. Relatively little is known about the exact mechanisms by which fibroblasts become activated into Cancer Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs), however, their role in modulation of tumorigenesis and progression is well documented [92, 93]. A recent study showed that CAFs in the TME of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma are characterized by unique Nuclear Receptor (NR) expression profiles as compared to Normal-Associated Fibroblasts (NAFs), which might affect cancer cell invasiveness, proliferation and response to chemotherapy [94, 95].

Recent studies described the genomic action of AR in immortalized stromal cells from benign prostatic hyperplasia (PshTert-AR) and CAFs. Using Chromatin Immunoprecipitation, followed by massive parallel sequencing (ChIP-seq) in PshTert-AR cells, it was shown that AR binds the DNA upon testosterone stimulation via the activating protein-1 (AP-1) complex [95]. However, this could not be confirmed in CAFs or primary Embryonic Prostate Fibroblasts (EPFs) [96]. In CAFs and EPFs, AR binding was reported proximal to the known AR-responsive genes ATAD2 and ARL8B, which was shared with PCa cells [96]. However, the vast majority of AR chromatin binding sites in CAFs were specific for this cell type and not shared with prostate cancer cells. In the same study, the zinc-finger protein X-linked (ZFX) was identified as a potential AR co-factor in EPFs but not in CAFs. This suggests that ZFX may function as an AR-co-factor during embryonic development of the prostate which disappears during differentiation. However, also in prostate tumors ZFX was shown to be elevated and to drive cell proliferation and survival [97, 98].

The consequences of AR signaling in CAFs for PCa development remains unestablished, since reports are not unequivocal. It was suggested that acceleration of human PCa growth and migration was mediated by soluble factors secreted by CAFs [99, 100]. Co-culture of CAFs in which AR was knocked down, with PC3 prostate cancer cells resulted in decreased epithelial growth, and diminished colony formation and invasion. This was mediated by

2



reduced secretion of IGF1, FGF7, FGF10, SDF1, HGF and TGFß2 [101]. In agreement with these results, conditioned medium of DHT-stimulated AR-positive WPMY-1 immortalized normal human prostate fibroblasts, significantly increased LnCap prostate cancer cell proliferation compared to non-stimulated fibroblasts [102]. Moreover, invasion of PCa cells co-cultured with WPMY-1 fibroblasts in which AR was knocked down, was significantly lower compared to co-culture with AR wild-type WPMY fibroblasts [103]. In contrast, it was reported that antisense oligonucleotide AR-silenced CAFs promoted PCa cells growth, colony formation and expression of stem cell markers by increased IFN-y and M-CSF expression [104]. Furthermore, castrated mice co-grafted with patient-derived PCa tissue and PshTert ARpositive myofibroblasts showed a significant increase of apoptotic PCa cells compared to PCa tissue co-grafted with AR-negative myofibroblasts, suggesting that loss of AR in myofibroblasts protects PCa cells from castration induced apoptosis [4]. There is no clear explanation why AR signaling in fibroblasts is both associated with increased and decreased PCa cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis. A potential explanation of these contrasting findings might be related to differences in the fibroblasts' origins (normal fibroblasts or CAFs) or variation in the duration of AR stimulation of the fibroblasts in the various studies.

If AR actions in fibroblasts protect against PCa development and metastases, this would impact the way we look at anti-hormonal treatments. Although AR inhibition is the mainstay of metastasized disease treatment, this would also imply that this treatment has unwanted effects by disrupting the protective function of fibroblasts against disease progression.

AR EXPRESSION IN ADAPTIVE IMMUNE CELLS

The cell-mediated adaptive immune system largely consists of B and T lymphocytes. Various subsets of T cells have been described, most prominently CD8+ cells (commonly referred to as cytotoxic T cells) and CD4+ cells, also called T helper cells [105]. Moreover, various subpopulations of CD4+ T helper cells have been described in tumor inflammation, including the anti-tumor Th1 CD4+ cells, the pro-tumor Th2 CD4+ cells and regulatory T cells (Tregs) [106].

The CD8+ and CD4+ subsets of T lymphocytes are present in the PCa-affected prostate gland, however, an unequivocal correlation between CD8+ and CD4+ T lymphocyte infiltration and prognosis has not been established yet. The number of infiltrating CD8+ and CD4+ cells was shown to be increased in cancer compared to benign tissue, however, no correlation with malignancy grade (GS) was observed [107]. Moreover, the numbers of immunosuppressive Tregs were found to be increased in the prostate and peripheral blood of PCa patients compared to healthy men [108, 109]. However, a clear relation between T cell infiltration in the PCa TME and clinical outcome is yet to be established, as both increased and decreased numbers of infiltrating T cells into the PCa TME were found to correlate with shorter PSA recurrence-free survival after radical prostatectomy [110]. Expression of classical intracellular AR (iAR) has been documented in T and B cells, while in T cells also a surface AR (sAR) was described [111-115]. The functionality and significance of this sAR remains to be elucidated. A few studies have

suggested that AR signaling in immune cells alters cytokine production in T cells [116, 117], which may potentially affect prostate cancer development and progression [118].

Thymic cells of AR knocked-out (ARKO) mice showed a lower expression of CD80/CD86 (also known as B7-2) activation marks compared to AR proficient thymic cells [119], which are believed to be required for proper antigen-mediated activation of T cells [120]. AR-mediated activation of thymic cells was confirmed in another study where AR was shown to upregulate CD80 and CD86 by direct promoter binding [121]. However, in thymic cells of ARKO mice, an increased expression of IL-7 and CCL21 was shown, while TGFß1 and IL-6 expression was decreased. IL-7 and CCL21 were reported to promote thymopoiesis [122], while TGFß1 and IL-6 were suggested to inhibit it [123]. This would be in contrast to the suggested AR-mediated activation of thymic cells based on CD80/CD86 expression. However, reports on the role of CD80/CD86 activation marks in T cell activation are not unequivocal, since another study reported that double CD80/CD86 KO mice showed increased numbers of mature CD4 and CD8 splenic T cells [124], suggesting that increased CD80/CD86-mediated activation of T cells might negatively regulate the maturation and differentiation of T cells.

In mature T cells, AR signaling was shown to have a dual role. AR activation suppresses T cell proliferation in mice and in vitro [110,125], and it modulated the balance between CD4+ Th1 and Th2 (T helper cells) response, skewing the differentiation towards the Th2 phenotype in peripheral blood of ADT-treated PCa patients [126]. A possible explanation for AR-mediated Th2 polarization, is the suppression of IL-2, IFN-γ and IL-12 expression in T cells [126, 127], which are known to be key signals for the Th1 polarization [128, 129]. In CD4+ T cells, AR was found to bind an intronic region of the protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 1 (Ptpn1) locus [130]. Moreover, ptpn1 expression was decreased in CD4+ cells isolated from patients undergoing ADT [126]. In the same study, up-regulation of Ptpn1 in human and mouse androgen-treated CD4+ T cells was associated with IL-12 inhibition, which prevented STAT4 phosphorylation and ultimately blocked Th1 polarization, potentially contributing to a sustained tumor-specific immune response. Importantly, the AR – mediated Th1 to Th2 T cell switch would possibly support PCa development and progression as Th2 T cells have been widely described to favor pro-tumor and immunosuppressive microenvironment, producing cytokines that support the presence of MDSCs and TAMs [131, 132].

AR is also expressed in immature murine B cells [133]. In vitro and in vivo studies showed that blockade of AR signaling enhanced B cell lymphopoiesis, demonstrating that B cell development is negatively regulated by androgens and AR signaling [134-136]. These data suggest that hormonal therapy increases the generation of young B cells, however, it is not clear how this might affect PCa.

AR signaling seems to affect maturation of T and B cells. However, there is limited data on the relevance of AR signaling in T and B cells for PCa development, nevertheless in T cells, AR signaling could regulate the expression of various cytokines that might affect PCa development

2

AR EXPRESSION IN INNATE IMMUNE CELLS

Cells of the innate immune system (e.g. macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and neutrophils) promote phagocytosis and lysis of bacteria and virus-infected cells, and are critically involved in the immunological response to cancer development and progression. Within the same subset of innate immune cells, various phenotypes may be present that affect PCa development and progression. The effects of androgens on innate immune cells functions is largely unexplored, however, several androgen-driven mechanisms of action have been proposed, as further discussed below.

Neutrophils and polymorphonuclear cells

Neutrophils or polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) kill tumor cells by either phagocytosis or releasing toxic oxygen-free radicals [42]. It is suggested that neutrophils can be present in the tumor microenvironment as N1-like neutrophils (anti-tumorigenic) or N2-like neutrophils (pro-tumorigenic) and therefore, contribute to both suppression and promotion of the tumor [137]. Although a clear distinction between these two neutrophils phenotypes in PCa and their correlation with survival is lacking, an elevated neutrophils-to-lymphocytes ratio (NLR) in the peripheral blood was shown to be associated with lower response rates to abiraterone or docetaxel treatment in mCRPC patients [138].

A study in ARKO mice reported significant reduction of neutrophil proliferation and maturation [139], possibly via reduced phosphorylation of STAT3 and ERK, which are essential for myeloid cells differentiation. As a consequence, production of chemokines and cytokines such as IL1- β , IL- δ and TNF- α was also reduced in granulocytes of ARKO mice. This would suggest that AR in neutrophils decreases the number of neutrophils and supports their immunosuppressive phenotype. However, in the same study, ADT did not significantly affect peripheral blood neutrophil count in patients. Despite no clear effect of AR function in neutrophils is known in relation to PCa development and progression, we speculate that, the AR-mediated neutrophils maturation might possibly be associated with a worse clinical outcome as high neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in PCa patients was shown to be associated with shorter overall survival (OS) an increased chances of biochemical recurrence in several studies [140, 141].

Macrophages

Macrophages are found in most organs of the human body and are derived from circulating monocytes which differentiate into macrophages when entering the tissue [142]. Unlike neutrophils, macrophages are capable of repeated phagocytosis and can secrete inflammatory cytokines [42]. However, similar to neutrophils, phenotypic subsets occur with contrasting actions on tumor cells. M1-like and M2-like macrophages are so called pro-inflammatory and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), respectively [42]. The number of infiltrating TAMs in the PCa microenvironment was predictive for disease progression after hormonal therapy [143]. Moreover, increased numbers of cancer associated M2-macrophages was associated with extra capsular tumor extension [15].

In general, androgens are thought to inhibit macrophage function in vivo and in vitro [144]. For instance, AR knockdown suppresses migration of the macrophage cell line THP-1 [145], suggesting that AR in macrophages might support the migration ability of these cells. However, AR knockdown in THP-1 cells also induces expression of CCL2, which promotes EMT and enhances invasiveness of malignant cells [89, 146].

Moreover, androgen stimulation of murine macrophages reduced the expression of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) [147]. Downregulation of TLR4 expression alters the MyD88-dependent and-independent pathways which leads to decreased expression of various pro-inflammatory molecules such as IL1- β , COX2, CXCL1, TNF- α , CCL3, IL- β , IL-12, IRF1, CXCL10, TNF1 and CCL5 [148]. Furthermore, expression of receptors for the Fc region of IgG (Fc γ R) on macrophages was reduced in guinea pig models after testosterone stimulation [149]. As Fc γ R expression in innate cells is crucial for phagocytosis and the release of inflammatory mediators [150], these data suggest that AR activation in macrophages decreases antibody-mediated phagocytosis via reduction of Fc γ R expression.

Interestingly, co-culture of normal prostate epithelial RWPE-1 cells with the THP-1 macrophage cell line induced prostate tumorigenesis in 3D-culture [151]. In the presence of THP-1 macrophages, RWPE-1 cells differentiated into a disorganized aggregate structure, suggesting that soluble factors secreted by THP-1 cells interfere with the normal development of well-organized spheroids of glandular prostate epithelial cells also called prostaspheres. These observations were confirmed in vivo as all mice injected with both RWPE and THP-1 cells developed tumors, while none of the mice injected with either RWPE or THP-1 alone developed tumors. In the same study the expression of several EMT-associated genes in RWPE-1 cells was increased after co-culture with THP-1 macrophages, including CCL4. CCL4 was previously identified as an AR-responsive gene and proposed as a main driver of tumorigenesis and EMT [152]. In this study, antibody-based blockade of CCL4 in the co-culture experiments showed significant reduction of THP-1-mediated cell migration and EMT-related gene expression in RWPE-1 cells. AR expression in THP-1 macrophages was proposed to be responsible for the cross-talk between THP-1 cells and RWPE-1 cells, as knocking down AR in THP-1 cells reduced of CCL4 expression. This key role of AR-mediated CCL4 expression was confirmed in vivo, since macrophage-AR knockout (M-ARKO)/PTEN^{+/-} mice showed decreased CCL4 levels and reduced preneoplastic Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia (PIN) formation when compared to tumors from PTEN^{+/-} mice. Although these findings demonstrate a role of AR in macrophageassociated inflammatory response, the underlying mechanisms remains unclear.

In conclusion, these results suggest that AR signalling affects multiple functions of macrophages, including migration, cytokine production and phagocytosis. All of these might affect PCa development. Moreover, macrophages might increase prostate cancer cell EMT mediated through AR regulated CCL4 expression.

Dendritic cells

Dendritic cells (DC) share many features with macrophages and play an important role in T cell activation and assist their regulation into Th1 and Th2 differentiation. Very little is known about

2

the role of AR in DCs. DCs do express AR and androgens were shown to decrease production of the inflammatory cytokines IL1-ß, IL-6 and TNF- α [153]. In agreement with this, DHT stimulated bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) showed decreased IL-6 expression, which is fundamental for the maturation of DCs, while production of anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4 and IL-10 was increased upon DHT treatment [154]. However, the genomic mechanisms of AR signalling in DCs, as well as the possible effect thereof on PCa development and progression is yet to be explored. The possible AR-mediated impairment of DCs maturation suggest that AR function in these cells suppress the DCs-mediated activation of CD8+ T cells, which is required for the proper tumor killing. Therefore, we speculate that AR function in DCs might suppress the progression of PCa via preventing CD8+ T cell tumor specific activation.

AR EXPRESSION IN ENDOTHELIAL CELLS

Endothelial cells are key components of blood vessels. Abnormalities in growth, function and organization of endothelial cells often occur in concert with the development and progression of atherosclerosis and cancer. Very little is known about the effects of androgens on endothelial cells. Human umbilical vein EA.hy926 endothelial cells have a functional AR, and AR stimulation increases TNF- α –induced apoptosis of these cells [155, 156]. In contrast, another study reported that AR promotes endothelial cell proliferation through AR/VEGF-A/cyclin-A – mediated mechanisms [157]. Given the opposing conclusions of studies exploring the effects of AR signalling in endothelial cells on proliferation and survival, a clear role of AR in angiogenesis and consequently PCa development remains elusive. AR stimulation induced vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) expression in endothelial cells which led to increased monocyte binding to the endothelium, promoting monocyte migration into the TME [158]. Testosterone was shown to rapidly induce nitric oxide (NO) production in human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) [159], which is known to support an immune suppressive microenvironment, promote cancer cell growth and prevent apoptosis [160].

Altogether, these data would suggest a potential role of endothelial AR in PCa development and progression, mediated by increased angiogenesis and accelerated recruitment of immune cells into the PCa microenvironment.

OTHER NUCLEAR HORMONE RECEPTORS IN THE PCA MICROENVIRONMENT

Other nuclear hormone receptors (NHR) such as ER, GR and PR have also been described in the PCa stroma, however, their role in specific cell type is largely unknown. Both Era and Er β were shown to be expressed in the PCa-associated stroma [161], however, Era is predominantly found in the stromal compartment, while Er β is mainly expressed in the basal-epithelial cells [162, 163]. Indeed, a previous study showed that only increased expression of Era and not Er β in the stroma was shown to be correlated with advanced disease [164]. However, another study showed that PCa patients with Era-positive stroma had a significantly lower risk of biochemical recurrence [165]. In the same study, in vitro experiments, revealed that stromal Era reduced PCa cell invasion possibly by downregulation of matrix metalloproteinase 3 (MMP3) and increased expression of thrombospondin 2 (Thbs2).

Glucocorticoid receptor expression was found in the stroma of both human benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and PCa [166]. Importantly, glucocorticoids play a key role in immune cells as they are potent anti-inflammatory agents that act via transrepression of GR through tethering to various transcription factors, such as the AP-1 and NF- κ B [167]. Therefore, targeting GR in PCa patients might also potentiate the efficacy of current therapies.

Expression of PR was also shown in stromal compartment of PCa biopsies, and levels were decreased when compared to normal prostate stroma. Furthermore, conditioned medium from PR-positive stromal cells was able to inhibit PCa cell migration and invasion, possibly via downregulation of CXCL12 and IL-6 cytokines production [168]. Also, PR was shown to inhibit prostate stromal cell proliferation [169].

All together, these data suggest that the composition of NHRs in the stromal compartment might strongly affect PCa development and progression, however, more studies should be performed to explore the exact role of NHRs in specific cell type.

NEW PROSPECTIVE FOR HORMONE THERAPY AND IMMUNOTHERAPY IN PCA

Immunotherapy was chosen by Science's editors as the "breakthrough of the year 2013" and represents a new potential weapon for fighting cancer by exploiting the immune system. Although effective in melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer and bladder cancer, thus far immunotherapy has shown limited efficacy in PCa patients [170-173].

One potential explanation for this lack of efficacy is the low mutational load of PCa cells, limiting the repertoire of neo-antigens that are required for recognition of cancer cells by activated T cells [174]. Another potential explanation for the low success rate of immunotherapy in PCa is the presence of an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. CD25+ and FoxP3+ Tregs as well as PD1+ exhausted T cells were found to surround prostate cancer islets in untreated PCa patients [175]. Moreover, levels of circulating immune suppressive CD14+HLA-DR^{low/-} MDSCs were significantly increased in the blood of PCa patients, compared to healthy controls [176]. Importantly, MDSCs become more immune suppressive in the tumor and can differentiate into TAMs, supporting tumor growth [177].

Non-immune stromal cells might also contribute to immune suppression by releasing specific stromal factors. Myofibroblasts present in the PCa microenvironment were shown to release CCL2, IL-6 and TGF-ß, promoting differentiation of DCs into tumor-associated DCs (TADCs) via increased expression of IL-10 and PD-L1. This reduced the cross-presentation of tumor antigens to CD8+ T cells and TADCs-mediated T cell proliferation [178].

The effect of ADT therapy on the immune system remains elusive. ADT treatment is reported to increase the level of T cells in peripheral blood of mice [125] and in human PCa tissue [179, 180]. However, recent studies demonstrated that ADT suppresses T-cell differentiation and activation, which hampers the efficacy of immunotherapy [119, 181, 182]. Moreover, others

demonstrated that ADT also promote the expansion of immunosuppressive Tregs and TAMs [183, 184] which counteract the accumulation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) observed upon ADT treatment.

Mouse prostate tumor (Myc-Cap) bearing mice treated with CpG, a TLR9 agonist which activates DCs, showed a suppressed tumor specific CD8+ T cells immune response upon treatment with the AR antagonist flutamide. More specifically, AR antagonist treatment was shown to suppress T cells priming [181]. These data suggest that AR inhibition impairs the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors treatment of PCa patients. Furthermore, it was recently reported that ADT decreases the expression of the immune checkpoint marker, programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) possibly limiting the effect the anti-PD-L1 immune checkpoint therapy [185].

Recent advances of vaccination therapy in PCa treatment led to the development of sipuleucel-T; a therapeutic vaccine shown to prolong OS of mCRPC patients treated with ADT [186]. Clinical outcome of sipuleucel-T treatment was not affected by ADT, as no difference in efficacy was found between patients treated with ADT compared to patients that were treated with ADT after completion of sipuleucel-T treatment [187]. However, a small study in sipuleucel-T treated patients suggested that vaccination followed by treatment with the anti-androgen nilutamide improved survival, as compared with patients who first received anti-androgen therapy and then vaccine [188].

All together, these data suggest that AR blockade impairs the tumor-specific immune response, and additional studies are required to optimize combination strategies for the treatment of PCa patients.

CONCLUSIONS

In this review we explored the role of AR in various cells of the PCa microenvironment and its potential effect on the development of the disease. Understanding the functional mechanisms of AR expression in the stroma is relevant, since unwanted effects of hormone therapy can be expected, as AR in the epithelial and stromal compartments controls different signalling pathways. Opposing effects on PCa growth of stromal and epithelial AR signalling might be targeted through the development of endocrine agents with cell type-selective actions. Cell-specific AR targeting has been described in mice studies [189, 190] using Cre/Lox recombinant system, however no evidence of cell specific AR targeting has been provided in human. Nevertheless, it might be possible to target cell-specific downstream genes of AR signalling pathway to specifically inhibit the effect of AR activation in different cells. For instance, hormonal therapy could be combined with agents blocking the AR-mediated release of specific cytokines in different stromal cells which might support PCa development and progression.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Marie Curie Initial Training Network TIMCC (FP7-PEOPLE-2012-ITN, grant number: 317445).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank all the people that contributed to this work with stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- CA Cancer J Clin, 2015. 65(2): p. 87-108.
- 2. Ferlay, J., et al., Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer, 2015. 136(5): p. E359-86.
- 3. Leitzmann, M.F. and S. Rohrmann, Risk factors for the onset of prostatic cancer: age, location, and behavioral correlates. Clin Epidemiol, 2012. 4: p. 1-11.
- 4. Leach, D.A., et al., Stromal androgen receptor regulates the composition of the microenvironment to influence prostate cancer outcome. Oncotarget, 2015. 6(18): p. 16135-50.
- 5. Briganti, A., et al., Updated nomogram predicting lymph node invasion in patients with prostate cancer undergoing extended pelvic lymph node dissection: the essential importance of percentage of positive cores. Eur Urol, 2012. 61(3): p. 480-7.
- 6. Mottet, N., et al., EAU-ESTRO-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent. Eur Urol, 2017. 71(4): p. 618-629.
- 7. Kupelian, P., et al., Improved biochemical relapse-free survival with increased external radiation doses in patients with localized prostate cancer: the combined experience of nine institutions in patients treated in 1994 and 1995. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2005. 61(2): p. 415-9.
- Peeters, S.T., et al., Dose-response in 8. radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer: results of the Dutch multicenter randomized phase III trial comparing 68 Gy of radiotherapy with 78 Gy. J Clin Oncol, 2006. 24(13): p. 1990-6.
- 9. Mottet, N., et al., EAU-ESTRO-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent. Eur Urol, 2017. 71(4): p. 618-629.
- 10. McLeod, D.G., The effective management of biochemical recurrence in patients with prostate cancer. Rev Urol, 2005. 7 Suppl 5: p. S29-36.

- 1. Torre, L.A., et al., *Global cancer statistics*, 2012. 11. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Guidelines for conducting bird and bat studies at commercial wind energy projects, Division of Fish Wildlife and Marine Resources, Editor. 2009: Albany, NY.
 - 12. Joyce, J.A., Therapeutic targeting of the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Cell, 2005. 7(6): p. 513-20.
 - 13. Corn, P.G., The tumor microenvironment in *prostate cancer: elucidating molecular pathways* for therapy development. Cancer Manag Res, 2012. 4: p. 183-93.
 - 14. Paland, N., et al., Differential influence of normal and cancer-associated fibroblasts on the growth of human epithelial cells in an in vitro cocultivation model of prostate cancer. Mol Cancer Res, 2009. 7(8): p. 1212-23.
 - 15. Lanciotti, M., et al., The role of M1 and M2 macrophages in prostate cancer in relation to extracapsular tumor extension and biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Biomed Res Int, 2014. 2014: p. 486798.
 - 16. Dulos, J., et al., PD-1 blockade augments Th1 and Th17 and suppresses Th2 responses in peripheral blood from patients with prostate and advanced melanoma cancer. J Immunother, 2012. 35(2): p. 169-78.
 - 17. Rowley, D.R., What might a stromal response mean to prostate cancer progression? Cancer Metastasis Rev, 1998. 17(4): p. 411-9.
 - 18. Hill, R., et al., Selective evolution of stromal mesenchyme with p53 loss in response to epithelial tumorigenesis. Cell, 2005. 123(6): p. 1001-11.
 - 19. Halama, N., et al., The local immunological microenvironment in colorectal cancer as a prognostic factor for treatment decisions in the clinic: The way ahead. Oncoimmunology, 2012. 1(1): p. 62-66.
 - 20. Galon, J., et al., The immune score as a new possible approach for the classification of cancer. J Transl Med, 2012. 10: p. 1.
 - 21. Kadota, K., et al., Prognostic Impact of Immune Microenvironment in Lung Squamous

Cell Carcinoma: Tumor-Infiltrating CD10+ Neutrophil/CD20+ Lymphocyte Ratio as an Independent Prognostic Factor. J Thorac Oncol, 2015. 10(9): p. 1301-10.

- 22. Fridman, W.H., et al., The immune contexture in cancer prognosis and treatment. Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 2017.
- 23. Araujo, J.C., et al., Dasatinib combined with docetaxel for castration-resistant prostate cancer: results from a phase 1-2 study. Cancer, 2012. 118(1): p. 63-71.
- 24. Herbertz, S., et al., Clinical development of galunisertib (LY2157299 monohydrate), a small molecule inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta signaling pathway. Drug Des Devel Ther, 2015. 9: p. 4479-99.
- 25. Bousquet, G., et al., Phase I study of BIBF 1120 with docetaxel and prednisone in metastatic chemo-I hormone-refractory prostate cancer patients. Br J Cancer, 2011. 105(11): p. 1640-5.
- 26. Christoforou, P., P.F. Christopoulos, and M. Koutsilieris, The role of estrogen receptor beta in prostate cancer. Mol Med, 2014. 20: p. 427-34.
- 27. Arora, V.K., et al., Glucocorticoid receptor confers resistance to antiandrogens by bypassing androgen receptor blockade. Cell, 2013. 155(6): p. 1309-22.
- 28. Chen, R., Y. Yu, and X. Dong, Progesterone receptor in the prostate: A potential suppressor for benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol, 2017. 166: p. 91-96.
- 29. Heinlein, C.A. and C. Chang, Androgen receptor in prostate cancer. Endocr Rev, 2004. 25(2): p. 276-308.
- 30. Rathkopf, D. and H.I. Scher, Androgen receptor antagonists in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer J, 2013. 19(1): p. 43-9.
- 31. van de Wetering, M., et al., Prospective derivation of a living organoid biobank of colorectal cancer patients. Cell, 2015. 161(4): p. 933-45.
- 32. Schaufele, F., et al., The structural basis of androgen receptor activation: intramolecular and

intermolecular amino-carboxy interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005. 102(28): p. 9802-7.

- 33. van Royen, M.E., et al., Stepwise androgen receptor dimerization. J Cell Sci, 2012. 125(Pt 8): p. 1970-9.
- 34. Mo, L., et al., Depletion of regulatory T cells by anti-ICOS antibody enhances anti-tumor immunity of tumor cell vaccine in prostate cancer. Vaccine, 2017.
- 35. Tan, M.H., et al., Androgen receptor: structure, role in prostate cancer and drug discovery. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 2015. 36(1): p. 3-23.
- 36. Stelloo, S., et al., Endogenous androgen receptor proteomic profiling reveals genomic subcomplex involved in prostate tumorigenesis. Oncogene, 2018. 37(3): p. 313-322.
- 37. Zhao, J.C., et al., FOXA1 acts upstream of GATA2 and AR in hormonal regulation of gene expression. Oncogene, 2016. 35(33): p. 4335-44.
- 38. Foley, C. and N. Mitsiades, Moving Beyond the Androgen Receptor (AR): Targeting AR-Interacting Proteins to Treat Prostate Cancer. Horm Cancer, 2016. 7(2): p. 84-103.
- 39. Uhlman, M.A., et al., Risk stratification in the hormonal treatment of patients with prostate cancer. Ther Adv Med Oncol, 2009. 1(2): p. 79-94.
- 40. Gomella, L.G., Effective testosterone suppression for prostate cancer: is there a best castration therapy? Rev Urol, 2009. 11(2): p. 52-60.
- 41. Gomella, L.G., et al., Hormone therapy in the management of prostate cancer: evidencebased approaches. Ther Adv Urol, 2010. 2(4): p. 171-81.
- 42. Elrefaey, S., et al., HPV in oropharyngeal cancer: the basics to know in clinical practice. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital, 2014. 34(5): p. 299-309.
- 43. Chang, S.S., Treatment options for hormonerefractory prostate cancer. Rev Urol, 2007. 9 Suppl 2: p. S13-8.
- 44. Fujimoto, N., et al., Prostate cancer cells increase androgen sensitivity by increase in nuclear androgen receptor and androgen

•

45. Eisenberger, M.A., et al., *Bilateral orchiectomy with or without flutamide for metastatic prostate cancer.* N Engl J Med, 1998. **339**(15): p. 1036-42.

Cancer Invest, 2007. 25(1): p. 32-7.

receptor coactivators; a possible mechanism

of hormone-resistance of prostate cancer cells.

- Efstathiou, E., et al., Effects of abiraterone acetate on androgen signaling in castrateresistant prostate cancer in bone. J Clin Oncol, 2012. 30(6): p. 637-43.
- Hussain, M., et al., PROSPER: A phase 3 study of enzalutamide in nonmetastatic (M0) castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014. 32(15_suppl): p. TPS5094-TPS5094.
- Hotte, S.J. and F. Saad, *Current management of castrate-resistant prostate cancer*. Curr Oncol, 2010. 17 Suppl 2: p. S72-9.
- 49. Taplin, M.E., et al., *Mutation of the androgen*receptor gene in metastatic androgenindependent prostate cancer. N Engl J Med, 1995. **332**(21): p. 1393-8.
- 50. Marcelli, M., et al., *Androgen receptor mutations in prostate cancer.* Cancer Res, 2000. **60**(4): p. 944-9.
- 51. Koivisto, P., et al., Androgen receptor gene amplification: a possible molecular mechanism for androgen deprivation therapy failure in prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 1997. 57(2): p. 314-9.
- 52. Visakorpi, T., et al., In vivo amplification of the androgen receptor gene and progression of human prostate cancer. Nat Genet, 1995. 9(4): p. 401-6.
- 53. Buchanan, G., et al., Contribution of the androgen receptor to prostate cancer predisposition and progression. Cancer Metastasis Rev, 2001. 20(3-4): p. 207-23.
- Heemers, H.V. and D.J. Tindall, Androgen receptor (AR) coregulators: a diversity of functions converging on and regulating the AR transcriptional complex. Endocr Rev, 2007. 28(7): p. 778-808.
- 55. Steinkamp, M.P., et al., *Treatment-dependent androgen receptor mutations in prostate cancer*

exploit multiple mechanisms to evade therapy. Cancer Res, 2009. **69**(10): p. 4434-42.

- 56. Locke, J.A., et al., Androgen levels increase by intratumoral de novo steroidogenesis during progression of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 2008. **68**(15): p. 6407-15.
- Dehm, S.M. and D.J. Tindall, Alternatively spliced androgen receptor variants. Endocr Relat Cancer, 2011. 18(5): p. R183-96.
- 58. Hu, R., et al., Ligand-independent androgen receptor variants derived from splicing of cryptic exons signify hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 2009. **69**(1): p. 16-22.
- Guo, Z., et al., A novel androgen receptor splice variant is up-regulated during prostate cancer progression and promotes androgen depletionresistant growth. Cancer Res, 2009. 69(6): p. 2305-13.
- 60. Antonarakis, E.S., et al., Clinical Significance of Androgen Receptor Splice Variant-7 mRNA Detection in Circulating Tumor Cells of Men With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Treated With First- and Second-Line Abiraterone and Enzalutamide. J Clin Oncol, 2017. 35(19): p. 2149-2156.
- 61. Scher, H.I., et al., Association of AR-V7 on Circulating Tumor Cells as a Treatment-Specific Biomarker With Outcomes and Survival in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. JAMA Oncol, 2016. 2(11): p. 1441-1449.
- 62. Leach, D.A. and G. Buchanan, *Stromal Androgen Receptor in Prostate Cancer Development and Progression.* Cancers (Basel), 2017. 9(1).
- Singh, M., et al., Stromal androgen receptor in prostate development and cancer. Am J Pathol, 2014. 184(10): p. 2598-607.
- 64. Singh, M., Expression and Function of Stromal Androgen Receptor in Prostate Cancer. Advances in Prostate Cancer.
- 65. Cano, P., et al., Stromal-epithelial cell interactions and androgen receptorcoregulator recruitment is altered in the tissue microenvironment of prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 2007. 67(2): p. 511-9.

- 66. Li, Y., et al., Decrease in stromal androgen receptor associates with androgen-independent disease and promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation and invasion. J Cell Mol Med, 2008. 12(6B): p. 2790-8.
- 67. Wikstrom, P., et al., *Low stroma androgen* receptor level in normal and tumor prostate tissue is related to poor outcome in prostate cancer patients. Prostate, 2009. **69**(8): p. 799-809.
- Henshall, S.M., et al., Altered expression of androgen receptor in the malignant epithelium and adjacent stroma is associated with early relapse in prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 2001. 61(2): p. 423-7.
- Eisermann, K., et al., Androgen receptor gene mutation, rearrangement, polymorphism. Transl Androl Urol, 2013. 2(3): p. 137-147.
- 70. Keil, K.P., et al., Androgen receptor DNA methylation regulates the timing and androgen sensitivity of mouse prostate ductal development. Dev Biol, 2014. **396**(2): p. 237-45.
- Alonso-Magdalena, P., et al., A role for epithelial-mesenchymal transition in the etiology of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2009. 106(8): p. 2859-63.
- 72. Iwatsuki, M., et al., *Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer development and its clinical significance.* Cancer Sci, 2010. **101**(2): p. 293-9.
- 73. Cunha, G.R., et al., Normal and abnormal development of the male urogenital tract. Role of androgens, mesenchymalepithelial interactions, and growth factors. J Androl, 1992. 13(6): p. 465-75.
- 74. Lai, K.P., et al., Suppressed prostate epithelial development with impaired branching morphogenesis in mice lacking stromal fibromuscular androgen receptor. Mol Endocrinol, 2012. **26**(1): p. 52-66.
- 75. Levine, A.C., et al., Androgens induce the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in human fetal prostatic fibroblasts. Endocrinology, 1998. **139**(11): p. 4672-8.
- Cunha, G.R., et al., *The endocrinology and developmental biology of the prostate*. Endocr Rev, 1987. 8(3): p. 338-62.

- 77. Wen, S., et al., Stromal androgen receptor roles in the development of normal prostate, benign prostate hyperplasia, and prostate cancer. Am J Pathol, 2015. 185(2): p. 293-301.
- Zhang, W. and P. Huang, Cancerstromal interactions: role in cell survival, metabolism and drug sensitivity. Cancer Biol Ther, 2011. 11(2): p. 150-6.
- Ahmed, A., et al., Effects of Sex Steroids on Innate and Adaptive Immunity. Springer, 2010. Sex Hormones and Immunity to Infection(Chapter 2).
- Choudhry, M.A., K.I. Bland, and I.H. Chaudry, Gender and susceptibility to sepsis following trauma. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets, 2006. 6(2): p. 127-35.
- Schuurs, A.H. and H.A. Verheul, *Effects of gender and sex steroids on the immune response*. J Steroid Biochem, 1990. 35(2): p. 157-72.
- Lahita, R.G., Effects of gender on the immune system. Implications for neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1997. 823: p. 247-51.
- Whitacre, C.C., S.C. Reingold, and P.A. O'Looney, A gender gap in autoimmunity. Science, 1999. 283(5406): p. 1277-8.
- Kaarbo, M., T.I. Klokk, and F. Saatcioglu, Androgen signaling and its interactions with other signaling pathways in prostate cancer. Bioessays, 2007. 29(12): p. 1227-38.
- De Bosscher, K., W. Vanden Berghe, and G. Haegeman, Cross-talk between nuclear receptors and nuclear factor kappaB. Oncogene, 2006. 25(51): p. 6868-86.
- 86. Supakar, P.C., et al., Nuclear factor kappa B functions as a negative regulator for the rat androgen receptor gene and NF-kappa B activity increases during the agedependent desensitization of the liver. J Biol Chem, 1995. 270(2): p. 837-42.
- 87. Nakajima, Y., et al., *TNF-mediated cytotoxicity* and resistance in human prostate cancer cell lines. Prostate, 1996. **29**(5): p. 296-302.

- Vignozzi, L., et al., Antiinflammatory effect of androgen receptor activation in human benign prostatic hyperplasia cells. J Endocrinol, 2012. 214(1): p. 31-43.
- 89. Izumi, K., et al., *Targeting the androgen receptor* with siRNA promotes prostate cancer metastasis through enhanced macrophage recruitment via *CCL2/CCR2-induced STAT3 activation*. EMBO Mol Med, 2013. 5(9): p. 1383-401.
- 90. Ko, S., et al., Interplay of nuclear factor-kappaB and B-myb in the negative regulation of androgen receptor expression by tumor necrosis factor alpha. Mol Endocrinol, 2008. **22**(2): p. 273-86.
- Barron, D.A. and D.R. Rowley, *The reactive stroma microenvironment and prostate cancer progression*. Endocr Relat Cancer, 2012. 19(6): p. R187-204.
- 92. Mueller, M.M. and N.E. Fusenig, *Friends or foes – bipolar effects of the tumour stroma in cancer*. Nat Rev Cancer, 2004. 4(11): p. 839-49.
- 93. Olumi, A.F., et al., Carcinoma-associated fibroblasts direct tumor progression of initiated human prostatic epithelium. Cancer Res, 1999. 59(19): p. 5002-11.
- 94. Chan, J.S.K., et al., *Targeting nuclear* receptors in cancer-associated fibroblasts as concurrent therapy to inhibit development of chemoresistant tumors. Oncogene, 2017.
- Leach, D.A., et al., *Cell-lineage specificity and role of AP-1 in the prostate fibroblast androgen receptor cistrome*. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2017.
 439: p. 261-272.
- 96. Nash, C., et al., Genome-wide analysis of AR binding and comparison with transcript expression in primary human fetal prostate fibroblasts and cancer associated fibroblasts. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2017.
- 97. Jiang, H., et al., Knockdown of zinc finger protein X-linked inhibits prostate cancer cell proliferation and induces apoptosis by activating caspase-3 and caspase-9. Cancer Gene Ther, 2012. **19**(10): p. 684-9.
- 98. Tricoli, J.V. and R.B. Bracken, ZFY gene expression and retention in human prostate

adenocarcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer, 1993. **6**(2): p. 65-72.

- 99. Gleave, M., et al., Acceleration of human prostate cancer growth in vivo by factors produced by prostate and bone fibroblasts. Cancer Res, 1991. 51(14): p. 3753-61.
- 100. Gleave, M.E., et al., Prostate and bone fibroblasts induce human prostate cancer growth in vivo: implications for bidirectional tumor-stromal cell interaction in prostate carcinoma growth and metastasis. J Urol, 1992. 147(4): p. 1151-9.
- 101. Yu, S., et al., Androgen receptor in human prostate cancer-associated fibroblasts promotes prostate cancer epithelial cell growth and invasion. Med Oncol, 2013. **30**(3): p. 674.
- 102. Tanner, M.J., et al., *Effects of androgen receptor and androgen on gene expression in prostate stromal fibroblasts and paracrine signaling to prostate cancer cells.* PloS One, 2011. 6(1): p. e16027.
- 103. Niu, Y., et al., Androgen receptor is a tumor suppressor and proliferator in prostate cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2008. 105(34): p. 12182-7.
- 104. Liao, C.P., et al., Androgen receptor in cancerassociated fibroblasts influences stemness in cancer cells. Endocr Relat Cancer, 2017. 24(4): p. 157-170.
- 105. Koretzky, G.A., Multiple roles of CD4 and CD8 in T cell activation. J Immunol, 2010. 185(5): p. 2643-4.
- 106. Knutson, K.L. and M.L. Disis, Tumor antigenspecific T helper cells in cancer immunity and immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Immunother, 2005. 54(8): p. 721-8.
- 107. Valdman, A., et al., Distribution of Foxp3-, CD4- and CD8-positive lymphocytic cells in benign and malignant prostate tissue. APMIS, 2010. 118(5): p. 360-5.
- 108. Miller, A.M., et al., CD4+CD25high T cells are enriched in the tumor and peripheral blood of prostate cancer patients. J Immunol, 2006. 177(10): p. 7398-405.
- 109. Sfanos, K.S., et al., Phenotypic analysis of prostate-infiltrating lymphocytes reveals TH17

and Treg skewing. Clin Cancer Res, 2008. 14(11): p. 3254-61.

- 110. Flammiger, A., et al., *Intratumoral T but not B lymphocytes are related to clinical outcome in prostate cancer*. APMIS, 2012. **120**(11): p. 901-8.
- 111. Viselli, S.M., et al., Immunochemical and flow cytometric analysis of androgen receptor expression in thymocytes. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 1995. **109**(1): p. 19-26.
- 112. Benten, W.P., et al., Functional testosterone receptors in plasma membranes of T cells. FASEB J, 1999. 13(1): p. 123-33.
- 113. Wunderlich, F., et al., *Testosterone signaling in T cells and macrophages*. Steroids, 2002. 67(6): p. 535-8.
- Benten, W.P., et al., Rapid effects of androgens in macrophages. Steroids, 2004. 69(8-9): p. 585-90.
- 115. Benten, W.P., C. Stephan, and F. Wunderlich, B cells express intracellular but not surface receptors for testosterone and estradiol. Steroids, 2002. 67(7): p. 647-54.
- 116. Bebo, B.F., Jr., et al., Androgens alter the cytokine profile and reduce encephalitogenicity of myelin-reactive T cells. J Immunol, 1999. 162(1): p. 35-40.
- 117. Liva, S.M. and R.R. Voskuhl, Testosterone acts directly on CD4+ T lymphocytes to increase IL-10 production. J Immunol, 2001. 167(4): p. 2060-7.
- 118. Izumi, K., L. Li, and C. Chang, Androgen receptor and immune inflammation in benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. Clin Investig (Lond), 2014. 4(10): p. 935-950.
- 119. Lai, J.J., et al., Androgen receptor influences on body defense system via modulation of innate and adaptive immune systems: lessons from conditional AR knockout mice. Am J Pathol, 2012. 181(5): p. 1504-12.
- 120. Vasu, C., et al., CD80 and CD86 C domains play an important role in receptor binding and costimulatory properties. Int Immunol, 2003. 15(2): p. 167-75.
- 121. Lai, K.P., et al., Targeting thymic epithelia AR enhances T-cell reconstitution and bone

marrow transplant grafting efficacy. Mol Endocrinol, 2013. **27**(1): p. 25-37.

- 122. Liu, C., et al., *The role of CCL21 in recruitment of T-precursor cells to fetal thymi*. Blood, 2005. 105(1): p. 31-9.
- 123. Sempowski, G.D., et al., Leukemia inhibitory factor, oncostatin M, IL-6, and stem cell factor mRNA expression in human thymus increases with age and is associated with thymic atrophy. J Immunol, 2000. 164(4): p. 2180-7.
- 124. Vacchio, M.S., J.A. Williams, and R.J. Hodes, A novel role for CD28 in thymic selection: elimination of CD28/B7 interactions increases positive selection. Eur J Immunol, 2005. 35(2): p. 418-27.
- 125. Roden, A.C., et al., Augmentation of T cell levels and responses induced by androgen deprivation. J Immunol, 2004. 173(10): p. 6098-108.
- 126. Kissick, H.T., et al., Androgens alter T-cell immunity by inhibiting T-helper 1 differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2014. 111(27): p. 9887-92.
- 127. Messingham, K.A., et al., Testosterone receptor blockade restores cellular immunity in male mice after burn injury. J Endocrinol, 2001. 169(2): p. 299-308.
- 128. Romagnani, S., *Th1/Th2 cells*. Inflamm Bowel Dis, 1999. 5(4): p. 285-94.
- 129. Bettelli, E. and V.K. Kuchroo, *IL-12- and IL-23-induced T helper cell subsets: birds of the same feather flock together.* J Exp Med, 2005. **201**(2): p. 169-71.
- 130. Lessard, L., et al., *PTP1B is an androgen* receptor-regulated phosphatase that promotes the progression of prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 2012. **72**(6): p. 1529-37.
- 131. Chimal-Ramirez, G.K., N.A. Espinoza-Sanchez, and E.M. Fuentes-Panana, Protumor activities of the immune response: insights in the mechanisms of immunological shift, oncotraining, and oncopromotion. J Oncol, 2013. 2013: p. 835956.
- 132. Grivennikov, S.I., F.R. Greten, and M. Karin, *Immunity, inflammation, and cancer.* Cell, 2010. **140**(6): p. 883-99.

- 133. Mantalaris, A., et al., Localization of androgen receptor expression in human bone marrow. J Pathol, 2001. 193(3): p. 361-6.
- 134. Altuwaijri, S., et al., Susceptibility to autoimmunity and B cell resistance to apoptosis in mice lacking androgen receptor in B cells. Mol Endocrinol, 2009. 23(4): p. 444-53.
- 135. Smithson, G., et al., The role of estrogen receptors and androgen receptors in sex steroid regulation of B lymphopoiesis. J Immunol, 1998. **161**(1): p. 27-34.
- 136. Ellis, T.M., et al., *Alterations in peripheral B cells and B cell progenitors following androgen ablation in mice.* Int Immunol, 2001. **13**(4): p. 553-8.
- 137. de Oliveira, S., E.E. Rosowski, and A. Huttenlocher, Neutrophil migration in infection and wound repair: going forward in reverse. Nat Rev Immunol, 2016. 16(6): p. 378-91.
- 138. Templeton, A.J., et al., Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2014. 106(6): p. dju124.
- 139. Chuang, K.H., et al., Neutropenia with impaired host defense against microbial infection in mice lacking androgen receptor. J Exp Med, 2009. 206(5): p. 1181-99.
- 140. Gu, X., et al., Prognostic significance of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in prostate cancer: evidence from 16,266 patients. Sci Rep, 2016. 6: p. 22089.
- 141. Cao, J., et al., Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts PSA Response and Prognosis in Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PloS One, 2016. 11(7): p. e0158770.
- 142. Italiani, P. and D. Boraschi, From Monocytes to M1/M2 Macrophages: Phenotypical vs. Functional Differentiation. Front Immunol, 2014. 5: p. 514.
- 143. Nonomura, N., et al., Infiltration of tumourassociated macrophages in prostate biopsy specimens is predictive of disease progression after hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. BJU Int, 2011. **107**(12): p. 1918-22.

- 144. Miller, L. and J.S. Hunt, Sex steroid hormones and macrophage function. Life Sci, 1996. 59(1): p. 1-14.
- 145. Huang, C.K., et al., New therapy via targeting androgen receptor in monocytes/macrophages to battle atherosclerosis. Hypertension, 2014. 63(6): p. 1345-53.
- 146. Izumi, K. and C. Chang, *Targeting inflammatory cytokines-androgen receptor (AR) signaling with ASC-J9I to better battle prostate cancer progression.* Oncoimmunology, 2013. 2(12): p. e26853.
- 147. Rettew, J.A., Y.M. Huet-Hudson, and I. Marriott, *Testosterone reduces macrophage expression in the mouse of toll-like receptor 4, a trigger for inflammation and innate immunity.* Biol Reprod, 2008. **78**(3): p. 432-7.
- 148. Bjorkbacka, H., et al., The induction of macrophage gene expression by LPS predominantly utilizes Myd88-independent signaling cascades. Physiol Genomics, 2004. 19(3): p. 319-30.
- 149. Gomez, F., et al., *Effects of androgen treatment* on expression of macrophage Fcgamma receptors. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol, 2000. 7(4): p. 682-6.
- 150. Nimmerjahn, F. and J.V. Ravetch, *Fcgamma* receptors as regulators of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol, 2008. **8**(1): p. 34-47.
- 151. Fang, L.Y., et al., Infiltrating macrophages promote prostate tumorigenesis via modulating androgen receptor-mediated CCL4-STAT3 signaling. Cancer Res, 2013. 73(18): p. 5633-46.
- 152. Lai, K.P., et al., Loss of stromal androgen receptor leads to suppressed prostate tumourigenesis via modulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines. EMBO Mol Med, 2012. 4(8): p. 791-807.
- 153. Corrales, J.J., et al., Androgen-replacement therapy depresses the ex vivo production of inflammatory cytokines by circulating antigenpresenting cells in aging type-2 diabetic men with partial androgen deficiency. J Endocrinol, 2006. **189**(3): p. 595-604.
- 154. Zhao, Y., D.J. Tindall, and H. Huang, Modulation of androgen receptor by FOXA1

and FOXO1 factors in prostate cancer. Int J Biol Sci, 2014. **10**(6): p. 614-9.

- 155. Liu, P.Y., A.K. Death, and D.J. Handelsman, Androgens and cardiovascular disease. Endocr Rev, 2003. 24(3): p. 313-40.
- 156. Ling, S., et al., *Testosterone (T) enhances* apoptosis-related damage in human vascular endothelial cells. Endocrinology, 2002. 143(3): p. 1119-25.
- 157. Cai, J., et al., Androgen stimulates endothelial cell proliferation via an androgen receptor/VEGF/ cyclin A-mediated mechanism. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol, 2011. **300**(4): p. H1210-21.
- 158. Death, A.K., et al., *Dihydrotestosterone* promotes vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 expression in male human endothelial cells via a nuclear factor-kappaB-dependent pathway. Endocrinology, 2004. **145**(4): p. 1889-97.
- 159. Yu, J., et al., Androgen receptor-dependent activation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase in vascular endothelial cells: role of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/akt pathway. Endocrinology, 2010. 151(4): p. 1822-8.
- 160. Grimm, E.A., A.G. Sikora, and S. Ekmekcioglu, Molecular pathways: inflammation-associated nitric-oxide production as a cancer-supporting redox mechanism and a potential therapeutic target. Clin Cancer Res, 2013. **19**(20): p. 5557-63.
- 161. Gangkak, G., et al., Immunohistochemical analysis of estrogen receptors in prostate and clinical correlation in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Investig Clin Urol, 2017. 58(2): p. 117-126.
- 162. Leav, I., et al., *Comparative studies of the estrogen receptors beta and alpha and the androgen receptor in normal human prostate glands, dysplasia, and in primary and metastatic carcinoma.* Am J Pathol, 2001. **159**(1): p. 79-92.
- 163. Royuela, M., et al., *Estrogen receptors alpha and beta in the normal, hyperplastic and carcinomatous human prostate.* J Endocrinol, 2001. **168**(3): p. 447-54.
- 164. Daniels, G., et al., *Decreased expression of stromal estrogen receptor alpha and beta in prostate cancer*. Am J Transl Res, 2014. 6(2): p. 140-6.

- 165. Slavin, S., et al., Estrogen receptor alpha in cancer-associated fibroblasts suppresses prostate cancer invasion via modulation of thrombospondin 2 and matrix metalloproteinase 3. Carcinogenesis, 2014. 35(6): p. 1301-9.
- 166. Mohler, J.L., et al., Androgen and glucocorticoid receptors in the stroma and epithelium of prostatic hyperplasia and carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res, 1996. 2(5): p. 889-95.
- 167. Lin, K.T. and L.H. Wang, *New dimension* of glucocorticoids in cancer treatment. Steroids, 2016. 111: p. 84-88.
- 168. Yu, Y., et al., *Prostate stromal cells express* the progesterone receptor to control cancer cell mobility. PloS One, 2014. 9(3): p. e92714.
- 169. Yu, Y., et al., Expression and function of the progesterone receptor in human prostate stroma provide novel insights to cell proliferation control. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2013. 98(7): p. 2887-96.
- 170. Kantoff, P.W., et al., Overall survival analysis of a phase II randomized controlled trial of a Poxviral-based PSA-targeted immunotherapy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol, 2010. 28(7): p. 1099-105.
- 171. Higano, C.S., et al., Integrated data from 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials of active cellular immunotherapy with sipuleucel-T in advanced prostate cancer. Cancer, 2009. 115(16): p. 3670-9.
- 172. Beer, T.M., et al., Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial of Ipilimumab Versus Placebo in Asymptomatic or Minimally Symptomatic Patients With Metastatic Chemotherapy-I Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. J Clin Oncol, 2017. 35(1): p. 40-47.
- 173. Topalian, S.L., et al., Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl J Med, 2012. 366(26): p. 2443-54.
- 174. Alexandrov, L.B., et al., Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature, 2013. 500(7463): p. 415-21.
- 175. Ebelt, K., et al., *Prostate cancer lesions are surrounded* by FOXP3+, PD-1+ and B7-H1+ lymphocyte clusters. Eur J Cancer, 2009. 45(9): p. 1664-72.

- 176. Vuk-Pavlovic, S., et al., *Immunosuppressive CD14+HLA-Drlow/- monocytes in prostate cancer.* Prostate, 2010. **70**(4): p. 443-55.
- 2
- 177. Kumar, V., et al., *The Nature of Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in the Tumor Microenvironment*. Trends Immunol, 2016. **37**(3): p. 208-220.
- 178. Spary, L.K., et al., *Tumor stroma-derived factors skew* monocyte to dendritic cell differentiation toward a suppressive CD14+ PD-L1+ phenotype in prostate cancer. Oncoimmunology, 2014. 3(9): p. e955331.
- 179. Mercader, M., et al., *T cell infiltration of the prostate induced by androgen withdrawal in patients with prostate cancer.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2001. **98**(25): p. 14565-70.
- 180. Gannon, P.O., et al., Characterization of the intra-prostatic immune cell infiltration in androgen-deprived prostate cancer patients. J Immunol Methods, 2009. 348(1-2): p. 9-17.
- 181. Pu, Y., et al., Androgen receptor antagonists compromise T cell response against prostate cancer leading to early tumor relapse. Sci Transl Med, 2016. 8(333): p. 333ra47.
- 182. Androgen Receptor Antagonists Suppress Immunotherapy in Prostate Cancer. Cancer Discov, 2016. 6(6): p. 569.
- 183. Bao, S.H., et al., Increased expression of Toll-like receptor 3 in decidual natural killer cells of patients with unexplained recurrent spontaneous miscarriage. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 2012. 165(2): p. 326-30.

- 184. Escamilla, J., et al., CSF1 receptor targeting in prostate cancer reverses macrophage-mediated resistance to androgen blockade therapy. Cancer Res, 2015. 75(6): p. 950-62.
- 185. Calagua, C., et al., *Expression of PD-L1 in* Hormone-I and Treated Prostate Cancer Patients Receiving Neoadjuvant Abiraterone Acetate plus Prednisone and Leuprolide. Clin Cancer Res, 2017.
- 186. Kantoff, P.W., et al., Sipuleucel-Timmunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. N Engl J Med, 2010. 363(5): p. 411-22.
- 187. Antonarakis, E.S., et al., Sequencing of Sipuleucel-T and Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men with Hormone-Sensitive Biochemically Recurrent Prostate Cancer: A Phase II Randomized Trial. Clin Cancer Res, 2017. 23(10): p. 2451-2459.
- 188. Madan, R.A., et al., Analysis of overall survival in patients with nonmetastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer treated with vaccine, nilutamide, and combination therapy. Clin Cancer Res, 2008. 14(14): p. 4526-31.
- 189. O'Hara, L. and L.B. Smith, Development and Characterization of Cell-Specific Androgen Receptor Knockout Mice. Methods Mol Biol, 2016. 1443: p. 219-48.
- 190. De Gendt, K. and G. Verhoeven, Tissue- and cellspecific functions of the androgen receptor revealed through conditional knockout models in mice. Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2012. 352(1-2): p. 13-2