Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Indo-European origins of Anatolian morphology and semantics: innovations and archaisms in Hittite, Luwian and Lycian

Norbruis, S.

Citation

Norbruis, S. (2021, May 12). *Indo-European origins of Anatolian morphology and semantics: innovations and archaisms in Hittite, Luwian and Lycian*. *LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3176460

Version:	Publisher's Version
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3176460

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3176460 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Norbruis, S.
Title: Indo-European origins of Anatolian morphology and semantics: innovations and archaisms in Hittite, Luwian and Lycian
Issue Date: 2021-05-12

Indo-European Origins of Anatolian Morphology and Semantics

Innovations and Archaisms in Hittite, Luwian and Lycian

Published by
LOT
Kloveniersburgwal 48
1012 CX Amsterdam
The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461
e-mail: lot@uva.nl
http://www.lotschool.nl

Cover illustration: KBo 10.34 (obv.), SULTANHAN (side C) and TL 44 (side A). All photos © Paul Randall Williams, licensed through Alamy and FunkyStock. Creative designer: Jelma Design.

ISBN: 978-94-6093-373-8
DOI: https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0588
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2021: Stefan Norbruis. All rights reserved.

Indo-European Origins of Anatolian Morphology and Semantics

Innovations and Archaisms in Hittite, Luwian and Lycian

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 12 mei 2021
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Stefan Norbruis

geboren te Almelo

in 1986

Promotor

prof.dr. A.M. Lubotsky

Co-promotor

dr. A. Kloekhorst

Promotiecommissie

prof.dr. M.J. Kümmel (Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena)
prof.dr. H.C. Melchert (University of California, Los Angeles)
prof.dr. J. Schaeken
dr. W.J.I. Waal

The research for this work was carried out as part of dr. A. Kloekhorst's research project *Splitting the mother tongue*: *the position of Anatolian in the dispersal of the Indo-European language family* (2015-2020), which was funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO; project nr. 276-70-026).

Contents

Abbreviations and symbols xiii
Languages and scripts xiii
Grammar xiv
Symbols xv
Literature xvi
Text xvii
Acknowledgments xxi

Introduction 1
Aims, basis and contents 2
Practical indications 4
References 5

NOMINAL PART 7

CHAPTER 1

The origin and spread of the '*i*-mutation' paradigm and the prehistory of the Luwic nominal stem classes 9

1 Introduction 9
2 Previous analyses 10
2.1 Starke 1990 11
2.1.1 Analysis 11
2.1.2 Discussion 13
2.2 Rieken 2005 14
2.2.1 Analysis 14
2.2.2 Discussion 16
3 Terminology and notation 18

4 A new account 19
4.1 The adjectives 19
4.2 Identifying the paradigm 20
4.2.1 Paradigm-internal analysis 20
4.2.1.1 Morphological clues 20
4.2.1.2 *i*-stem paradigm: fleshing out the details 21
4.2.1.2.1 Ablaut vowel *-*e*- and a more general loss of *-*i̯*- 22
4.2.1.2.2 No length in the direct case endings 25
4.2.1.2.3 Discrepancies between Luwic and Hittite 27
4.2.1.2.4 Paradigm-internal analysis: outcome 28
4.2.2 Other *i*-stems? 28
4.2.2.1 Luw. -*i(i̯a)*-, Lyc. -*i(je)*- 29
4.2.2.2 Luw. -*ai̯a/i*-, Lyc. -*Vije/i*- 30
4.2.2.3 *i*-stems outside nouns and adjectives 31
4.2.2.4 Other *i*-stems: conclusion 32
4.2.3 Lexical evidence: the inflection of original *i*-stem lexemes 32
4.3 The extent of the spread of the *i*-stem paradigm 33
4.3.1 *C*-stems 33
4.3.2 *u*-stems 34
4.3.3 *ā*-stems and *o*-stems 35
4.3.3.1 Lyc. *a*-stems < *ā*-stems 35
4.3.3.2 Lyc. *e*-stems < *o*-stems 36
4.3.3.3 Luw. *a*-stems 37
4.3.3.4 Mismatches: productivity of the Lyc. *a*-stems 39
4.3.3.5 *ā*-stems and *o*-stems: conclusion 41
4.4 A scenario of the spread 41
4.4.1 A collapse of *i*-stems, *C*-stems and *o*-stems 41

4.4.2 Why *i*-stems? An initial collapse of *i*-stems and *C*-stems 43
4.4.2.1 The evidence 43
4.4.2.2 Motivation and scenario 44
4.4.3 Further spread to the *o*-stems 45
5 Conclusion 46
References 47

CHAPTER 2

The Luwic inflection of proper names, the Hittite dative-locative of *i*- and *iia*-stems, and the Proto-Anatolian allative 51

1 Introduction 51
2 The Luwic inflection of proper names 52
2.1 Personal names 52
2.1.1 Hieroglyphic Luwian 52
2.1.2 Cuneiform Luwian 56
2.1.3 Lycian 56
2.2 Toponyms 59
2.2.1 Hieroglyphic Luwian 59
2.2.2 Cuneiform Luwian 61
2.2.3 Lycian 61
2.3 Divine names 62
2.4 Proto-Luwic 65
2.4.1 Differences 65
2.4.2 Reconstruction of the paradigms 67
2.5 Pre-Proto-Luwic: prehistory of the case forms 68
2.5.1 The genitive and the ablative 68
2.5.2 The locative 68
2.5.3 The dative of personal names 73
3 The Hittite dative-locative of *i*-stems and *iia*-stems 75

3.1 The distribution of the dat.-loc. *-iy̯a* 76
3.2 The origin of the dat.-loc. *-iy̯a* 79
4 The origin of the Luwic onomastic dative 80
5 The Luwic dat.-loc. of *iy̯o/i*-stems 82
6 The Luwian dat.-loc. of the genitival adjective 83
7 The Proto-Anatolian allative 85
8 Conclusions 91
References 92

CHAPTER 3

The distribution of *-a-* and *-e-* in the Lycian genitival adjective suffix 99

1 Introduction 99
2 Earlier interpretations 100
2.1 *-a-* and *-e-* as phonetic variants 100
2.2 *-a-* and *-e-* as morphological variants 101
3 Outline 102
4 Lycian A: attestations, rules and exceptions 104
4.1 Nouns 104
4.1.1 *a*-stems (c.) 104
4.1.2 *i*-stems (c.) 107
4.1.3 *e*-stems (n.) 110
4.1.4 Consonant stems (n.) 111
4.2 Adjectives 111
4.2.1 *e/i*-stems 111
4.3 Pronouns 113
4.3.1 *e*-stem(s) 113
4.3.2 Non-ablauting *i*-stem(s) 114
4.4 Attested but unclear bases 114
4.5 Lycian A: conclusions 116

5 Lycian B: attestations, rules and exceptions 117
5.1 Nouns 117
5.1.1 *a*-stems (c.) 117
5.1.2 *i*-stems (c.) 118
5.1.3 Consonant stem(s) (c.) 119
5.1.4 *e*-stems (n.) 120
5.1.5 Attested but unclear base (n.) 120
5.2 Adjectives 121
5.2.1 *e/i*-stems 121
5.3 Lycian B: conclusions 121
6 Conclusions: synchronic rules 122
7 Historical interpretation 123
References 126

VERBAL PART 129

CHAPTER 4

From the Proto-Indo-European perfect to the Hittite *ḫi*-conjugation
Semantic and formal distributions between the *mi*- and *ḫi*-conjugations **131**

1 Introduction 131
2 Existing scenarios and criticism 133
3 No stative perfects 136
4 The perfect and tense 136
4.1 PIE and IE developments 136
4.2 Anatolian: development to a preterite 138
4.2.1 *A priori*: predisposition 139
4.2.2 Perfect endings emerge as preterite endings 139
4.2.3 Eventive semantics 140
4.2.4 Syncretism with the *s*-aorist 140

4.3 The creation of a new present tense 142
5 Conjugation assignment I 145
5.1 Is there a principle? 145
5.2 In defense of formal transfers 147
6 Conjugation assignment II: A formal distribution between the *mi*- and *ḫi*-conjugations 151
6.1 Root formations 153
6.1.1 No adjacent laryngeal 153
6.1.1.1 *°*eC*- 153
6.1.1.1.1 **CeC*- 153
6.1.1.1.2 **CCeC*- 155
6.1.1.2 *°*eCC*- 157
6.1.2 Adjacent laryngeal 161
6.1.2.1 **h*$_1$ 161
6.1.2.2 **h*$_2$ 162
6.1.2.2.1 **eh*$_2$ 163
6.1.2.2.2 **h*$_2$*e* 166
6.1.2.3 **h*$_3$ 168
6.1.2.3.1 **eh*$_3$ 168
6.1.2.3.2 **h*$_3$*e* 170
6.1.2.4 **H* 171
6.2 Affixed formations 172
6.2.1 Reduplicated formations 172
6.2.2 Infixed formations (*°-*ne*-*C*-) 177
6.2.3 Suffixes 181
6.3 Overview and further interpretation 187
7 The ultimate origin of the *ḫi*-conjugation and the semantics of the PIE perfect 192
8 Summary and conclusion 198
References 200

CHAPTER 5

Evidence for the PIE augment in Anatolian 209

1 Introduction 209

1.1 Ablaut in the *mi*-conjugation 209

1.2 Ablaut in the *ḫi*-conjugation 210

2 Previous explanations 211

3 A new interpretation 214

4 Vowel length 217

5 PIE 225

6 **h₁es*- 227

7 Conclusion 231

References 232

CHAPTER 6

The etymology of PIE *h_1es- ‘to be’ 235

References 240

CHAPTER 7

The etymology of IE *deh_3- ‘to give’ 243

References 249

Summary and conclusions 251

Index 261

Nederlandse samenvatting 301

Curriculum vitae 311

Abbreviations and symbols

LANGUAGES AND SCRIPTS

Alb.	Albanian
Arm.	Armenian
Av.	Avestan
CLuw.	Cuneiform Luwian
Cret.	Cretan
Eng.	English
Germ.	(Modern High) German
Goth.	Gothic
Gr.	Ancient Greek
Hitt.	Hittite
HLuw.	Hieroglyphic Luwian
Hom.	Homeric Greek
IE	Indo-European
It.	Italian
Lat.	Latin
Latv.	Latvian
Lith.	Lithuanian
LNS	Late New Script
Luw.	Luwian
Lyc.	Lycian
MH	Middle Hittite
MHG	Middle High German
MoGr.	Modern Greek
MS	Middle Script
Myc.	Mycenaean
NH	Neo-Hittite
NS	Neo-Script
Nw.	Norwegian
OCS	Old Church Slavic
OH	Old Hittite

OHG	Old High German
OIr.	Old Irish
OLat.	Old Latin
ON	Old Norse
OPruss.	Old Prussian
OS	Old Script
PAnat.	Proto-Anatolian
PGm.	Proto-Germanic
PIE	Proto-Indo-European
PLuw.	Proto-Luwic
PSlav.	Proto-Slavic
RCS	Russian Church Slavic
Sab.	Sabellic
Skt.	Sanskrit
Sp.	Spanish
Sw.	Swedish
Thess.	Thessalian
ToA	Tocharian A
ToB	Tocharian B
ToAB	Tocharian A and B
VLat.	Vulgar Latin

GRAMMAR

abl.	ablative
acc.	accusative
adj.	adjective
adv.	adverb
all.	allative
aor.	aorist
c.	common (gender)
conj.	conjugation
dat.	dative
dir.	direct cases
du.	dual

f.	feminine (gender)
fut.	future
gen.	genitive, genitival
gen.adj.	genitival adjective
imp.	imperative
impf.	imperfect, imperfective
inf.	infinitive
intr.	intransitive
loc.	locative
m.	masculine (gender)
n.	neuter (gender)
nom.	nominative
obl.	oblique cases
perf.	perfect
pl.	plural
plupf.	pluperfect
PN	personal name
poss.pron.	possessive pronoun
ppp.	passive past participle
pres.	present tense; present aspect
pret.	preterite, past tense
ptc.	participle
sg.	singular
subj.	subjunctive
subst.	substantive, substantivized
tr.	transitive
voc.	vocative

SYMBOLS

>	develops into
<	develops from
>>	is analogically replaced by
<<	analogically replaces

→	is the derivational base of; outcome of a proportional analogy
←	is derived from
*…	reconstructed form
…*	unattested form of an attested lexeme
**…	counterfactual form
°	omission of part of the form
~	comparable to, cognate with, varying with; (in example sentences) the word(s) under discussion
/	paradigmatically alternating with
//	the tablets on either side are duplicates
+	join with
∅	zero
#	word end
C	consonant
V	vowel
R	resonant
H	laryngeal
P	labial stop
T	dental stop
K	velar stop
X	variable in a proportional analogy

LITERATURE

IBoT	İstanbul Arkeoloji Müzelerinde bulunan Boğazköy Tabletlerinden Secme Metinler
KBo	Keilschrifttexte aus Boghazköi
KUB	Keilschrifturkunden aus Boghazköi
TL	Tituli Lyciae

obv.	obverse, recto, front side
rev.	reverse, verso, back side

RV	Rigveda
Il.	Iliad
Od.	Odyssey
Hdt.	Herodotus
Cic.	Cicero
Amic.	*De amicitia*
Leg.	*De legibus*
NT	New Testament
Rom.	*Romans*

TEXT

c.	circa; century
cf.	confer, compare
cont.	continued
dial.	dialectal
e.g.	exempli gratia, for example
ed(s).	editor(s)
esp.	especially
et al.	et alii, and others
etc.	etcetera
f.	from page (preceding number) onward
fthc.	forthcoming
i.c.	in casu, in this case
i.e.	id est, that is
lit.	literature; literally
mr.	mister
n.	note
p.c.	personal communication
refs.	references
sc.	scilicet, namely, supply
s.v.	sub voce, under the lemma
vel sim.	vel similia/simile, or similar
viz.	videlicet, to wit, namely
vs.	versus, as opposed to

“Many resemblances are evident at first sight, others are discovered by more careful investigation, and the more closely we analyse the recondite structure of the kindred tongues, the more we are surprised to find them constantly developed by the same principle.”

— Franz Bopp, 1820

Acknowledgments

This book is the fruit of my PhD research conducted at Leiden University (2015-2020). I am grateful to the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), and ultimately Dutch society, for funding the overarching project *Splitting the Mother Tongue*, as well as to grant-receiver Alwin Kloekhorst and professor Sasha Lubotsky for granting me the opportunity to fulfill this PhD position, and to develop my own findings and ideas.

I am also grateful for having been able to meet, exchange thoughts with, and enjoy the company of, other people in the field, especially Craig Melchert, Norbert Oettinger, Heiner Eichner, David Hawkins, Elisabeth Rieken, Ilya Yakubovich, Ignasi Adiego, Willemijn Waal, Theo van den Hout, Petra Goedegebuure, Zsolt Simon, Andrey Shatskov, and Martin Kümmel, as well as my fellow Anatolian PhD students, David Sasseville, Guglielmo Inglese, Michele Bianconi, Elena Martínez Rodríguez, Tobias Mosbæk Søborg, Thalia Lysen, Natalia Lodeiro Pichel, Tomoki Kitazumi, and last but not least my direct colleague Xander Vertegaal. Thanks to all of you for providing a stimulating scientific environment, as well as for being great company.

Thanks, also, to my other fellow students of linguistics, classics and/or history, who have contributed tremendously to the enjoyability of my PhD time: my most regular sparring and drinking partners Aljoša Šorgo, Chams Bernard, Kate Bellamy, Louise Friis, Rasmus Nielsen and Andrew Wigman, as well as Oscar Billing, Juan Briceño Villalobos, Laura Bruno, Giacomo Bucci, Petra Buffing, Francesco Burroni, Laura Dees, Federico Dragoni, Pascale Eskes, Cassandra Freiberg, Matilde Garré, Nastya Ionova, Anthony Jakob, Leonidas Kanterakis, Peter Alexander Kerkhof, Joachim Kraaij, Niko Kontovas, Andreas Krogull, Ezra la Roi, Wenjing Mao, Lotte Meester, Arjan Nijk, Tomeu Obrador-Cursach, Axel Palmér, Dalia Pratali Maffei, Luka Repanšek, Niels Schoubben, Marco van der Schuur, Paulus van Sluis, Benjamin Suchard, Kees Thijs, Daphne Vidali, Abel Warries, Vera Zwennes, and many others. Thank you all for a wonderful time.

I also owe a great deal to those who have laid the foundations for this dissertation as teachers: of Indo-European and historical linguistics especially Sasha Lubotsky, Alwin Kloekhorst, Michaël Peyrot, Michiel de Vaan, Lucien van Beek, Guus Kroonen, Tijmen Pronk, Hrach Martirosyan, Cor van Bree, Arjen Versloot, and numerous summer school teachers; of Greek and Latin linguistics among others Gerard Boter, Caroline Kroon, Harm-Jan van Dam, Suzanne Adema, and most of all Sjaak van Hal, Rutger Allan and Frits Waanders, who also represent successive waves in the discovery and nourishment of my passion for historical linguistics.

The ultimate foundation of this work are my parents and grandparents. I am grateful to them not only for having passed on to me favorable traits for doing historical linguistics, but also for always having encouraged and helped me to pursue in life what I find most interesting. I also thank other family members and friends, and want to mention specifically those I have been fortunate enough to have had around me in and around Leiden: Franco and Alda, Richard and Imme, Rolf and Froukje, Kiara and Mimì, the conductor and members of the Voorschotens Kamerkoor, and my fellow voice actors. My biggest thanks go to Annika, not least for enduring the high degree to which I have been engrossed in this work.