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## Abbreviations and symbols

LANGUAGES AND SCRIPTS

| Alb. | Albanian |
| :--- | :--- |
| Arm. | Armenian |
| Av. | Avestan |
| CLuw. | Cuneiform Luwian |
| Cret. | Cretan |
| Eng. | English |
| Germ. | (Modern High) German |
| Goth. | Gothic |
| Gr. | Ancient Greek |
| Hitt. | Hittite |
| HLuw. | Hieroglyphic Luwian |
| Hom. | Homeric Greek |
| IE | Indo-European |
| It. | Italian |
| Lat. | Latin |
| Latv. | Latvian |
| Lith. | Lithuanian |
| LNS | Late New Script |
| Luw. | Luwian |
| Lyc. | Lycian |
| MH | Middle Hittite |
| MHG | Middle High German |
| MoGr. | Modern Greek |
| MS | Middle Script |
| Myc. | Mycenaean |
| NH | Neo-Hittite |
| NS | Neo-Script |
| Nw. | Norwegian |
| OCS | Old Church Slavic |
| OH | Old Hittite |
|  |  |
| Mor |  |


| OHG | Old High German |
| :--- | :--- |
| OIr. | Old Irish |
| OLat. | Old Latin |
| ON | Old Norse |
| OPruss. | Old Prussian |
| OS | Old Script |
| PAnat. | Proto-Anatolian |
| PGm. | Proto-Germanic |
| PIE | Proto-Indo-European |
| PLuw. | Proto-Luwic |
| PSlav. | Proto-Slavic |
| RCS | Russian Church Slavic |
| Sab. | Sabellic |
| Skt. | Sanskrit |
| Sp. | Spanish |
| Sw. | Swedish |
| Thess. | Thessalian |
| ToA | Tocharian A |
| ToB | Tocharian B |
| ToAB | Tocharian A and B |
| VLat. | Vulgar Latin |

GRAMMAR

| abl. | ablative |
| :--- | :--- |
| acc. | accusative |
| adj. | adjective |
| adv. | adverb |
| all. | allative |
| aor. | aorist |
| c. | common (gender) |
| conj. | conjugation |
| dat. | dative |
| dir. | direct cases |
| du. | dual |


| f. | feminine (gender) |
| :--- | :--- |
| fut. | future |
| gen. | genitive, genitival |
| gen.adj. | genitival adjective |
| imp. | imperative |
| impf. | imperfect, imperfective |
| inf. | infinitive |
| intr. | intransitive |
| loc. | locative |
| m. | masculine (gender) |
| n. | neuter (gender) |
| nom. | nominative |
| obl. | oblique cases |
| perf. | perfect |
| pl. | plural |
| plupf. | pluperfect |
| PN | personal name |
| poss.pron. | possessive pronoun |
| ppp. | passive past participle |
| pres. | present tense; present aspect |
| pret. | preterite, past tense |
| ptc. | participle |
| sg. | singular |
| subj. | subjunctive |
| subst. | substantive, substantivized |
| tr. | transitive |
| voc. | vocative |

## Symbols

| $>$ | develops into |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ | develops from |
| $\gg$ | is analogically replaced by |
| $\ll$ | analogically replaces |


| $\rightarrow$ | is the derivational base of; <br> outcome of a proportional analogy |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\leftarrow$ | is derived from <br> $* \ldots$ |
| $\ldots r^{*}$ | reconstructed form |
| $* * \ldots$ | unattested form of an attested lexeme |
| $\circ$ | counterfactual form |
| $\sim$ | omission of part of the form |
|  | comparable to, cognate with, varying with; |
| $/$ | paradigmatically alternating with |
| $/ /$ | the tablets on either side are duplicates |
| + | join with |
| $\varnothing$ | zero |
| $\#$ | word end |
| $C$ | consonant |
| $V$ | vowel |
| $R$ | resonant |
| $H$ | laryngeal |
| $P$ | labial stop |
| $T$ | dental stop |
| $K$ | velar stop |
| X | variable in a proportional analogy |

## LITERATURE

| IBoT | İstanbul Arkeoloji Müzelerinde bulunan Boğazköy <br> Tabletlerinden Secme Metinler |
| :--- | :--- |
| KBo | Keilschrifttexte aus Boghazköi |
| KUB | Keilschrifturkunden aus Boghazköi <br> TL |
| Tituli Lyciae |  |$\quad$| obv. |
| :--- |
| rev. |$\quad$| obverse, recto, front side |
| :--- |
| reverse, verso, back side |


| RV | Rigveda |
| :--- | :--- |
| Il. | Iliad |
| Od. | Odyssey |
| Hdt. | Herodotus |
| Cic. | Cicero |
| Amic. | De amicitia |
| Leg. | De legibus |
| NT | New Testament |
| Rom. | Romans |

Text
c. circa; century
cf. confer, compare
cont. continued
dial. dialectal
e.g. exempli gratia, for example
ed(s). editor(s)
esp. especially
et al. et alii, and others
etc. etcetera
f. from page (preceding number) onward
fthc. forthcoming
i.c. in casu, in this case
i.e. id est, that is
lit. literature; literally
mr. mister
n. note
p.c. personal communication
refs. references
sc. scilicet, namely, supply
s.v. sub voce, under the lemma
vel sim. vel similia/simile, or similar
viz. videlicet, to wit, namely
vs. versus, as opposed to
"Many resemblances are evident at first sight, others are discovered by more careful investigation, and the more closely we analyse the recondite structure of the kindred tongues, the more we are surprised to find them constantly developed by the same principle."

- Franz Bopp, 1820
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