



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Welcome to the Stone Age: minimally invasive treatments for urolithiasis

Weltings, S.

Citation

Weltings, S. (2021, April 29). *Welcome to the Stone Age: minimally invasive treatments for urolithiasis*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3160765>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3160765>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3160765> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Weltings, S.


Title: Welcome to the Stone Age: minimally invasive treatments for urolithiasis

Issue Date: 2021-04-29

Part 1

Anatomy & Diagnostics

2a



The anatomy of the renal pyelocaliceal system studied by CTU

*The content of this chapter has been published as: The anatomy of the renal
pyelocaliceal system studied by CTU. Abdominal Radiology 2019*

S. Weltings

S.Hulsbos

G.J. Kieft

R.C.M. Pelger

H. Roshani



ABSTRACT

Introduction & Objectives

Knowledge of the pyelocaliceal system anatomy is essential for safe and successful performance of endourologic procedures. The purpose of this study was to provide a better understanding of the full three-dimensional pyelocaliceal system anatomy.

Methods

Morphometric parameters of the three-dimensional reconstructions of Computed Tomography Intravenous Urography (CTU) scans (n=25 scans) were analysed. Both kidneys were divided in three equal sized segments; upper segment (US), mid segment (MS), lower segment (LS). Infundibular length (IL), infundibular width (IW), number of calyces and the transverse orientation in hours of a clock of each calyx as well as the dimension of the renal pelvis were determined.

Results

The mean upper IL (n=92) was longer than the middle (n=154) and lower IL (n=112) (30.6 ± 7.9 mm vs. 16.4 ± 7.7 mm vs. 16.0 ± 6.0 mm, respectively; $P = <.0001$). IW was significant smaller in the MS (3.7 ± 1.9 mm), followed by the US (4.6 ± 1.9 mm) and LS (4.9 ± 2.2). No correlation was found between IL and IW (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.1). US calyces were predominantly orientated lateral (8-10 o'clock: 44.5 %) and medial (2-4 o'clock: 30.5 %), in the MS lateral (8-10 o'clock: 87.6%) and anterolateral in the LS (9-12 o'clock: 67.9%). 74% of the kidneys consisted of 6-8 calyces (mean 7.2 ± 1.4 , range 4-10), with the majority of the calyces in the MS (3.1 ± 0.8) followed by the LS (2.24 ± 0.8) and US (1.8 ± 0.7). There were no statistical differences between the right and left kidney in IL ($P = 0.112$) and number of calyces ($P = 0.685$).

Conclusion

Anatomical differences between the three segments of the pyelocaliceal system in terms of IL, IW, number of calyces and orientation are seen and should be considered when performing an endourologic procedure.

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is considered to be an important medical problem with an increasing prevalence and incidence in the past years[1]. It affects the functioning of individuals on different levels. Optimisation of treatment will benefit the quality of life and the economic impact of the disease. The exact mechanism of stone formation remains unclear but it has been suggested that, besides metabolic/diet factors, pyelocaliceal anatomic properties may play an important role in the aetiology.

Endourologic procedures, more specifically percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) and ureterorenoscopy (URS) are the two main treatment modalities for renal stones. PCNL is the treatment of choice for larger stones (>20 mm in diameter) while URS is the primary treatment modality for smaller/multiple calculi spreading in the different parts of the kidney[2]. Preoperative knowledge of the pyelocaliceal anatomy is fundamental to perform an accurate and safe puncture of the[3,4] calyx when PCNL needs to be performed[5]. Technical improvements of the instrument and its miniaturization have led to an increased use of URS for both renal and ureteral calculi[2]. Although, the flexibility of the URS and its imaging have been improved as well as the techniques used in PCNL, both modalities have their limitations. Intraoperative limitations are beside body habitus, stone size, composition and location, very often caused by the anatomy of the kidney[6,7].

Knowledge of the pyelocaliceal anatomy is essential to make both procedures more reliable and to improve the success rate of stone access and clearance. Controversy still exists on the surgical anatomy of the pyelocaliceal system. The anatomy and presumed function of the kidney and pyelocaliceal system was first described in the early years of science by a Persian philosopher named Avicenna. The technology of injecting liquid rubber into the pyelocaliceal system to create endocast reprints, is introduced in studying anatomy of different structures among which the kidney and its pyelocaliceal system in the 20th century. This technique was able to clarify the internal relationships between the injected cavities but neglected the external relationship to the rest of the body as required for the surgical purpose. It was also prone to errors due to the shrinkage of the rubber after hardening or expansion when creating a higher pressure while injecting[8].

Previous studies suggest that success of endourologic procedures in relationship to the anatomy is mainly limited to the lower pole[7,9-12]. The anatomic parameters of most

interest are the angle formed between the main lower infundibulum and the renal pelvis (the infundibulopelvic angle), pelvis surface area, infundibular width and infundibular length[6,9,11,13,14].

The fine knowledge of the anatomy remains the keystone of surgical procedures[15]. The main limitation of previous studies was its 2-dimensional character by performing anatomic measurements on intravenous urography (IVU) [6]. Computed Tomography Urography (CTU) on the contrary makes it possible to measure in three-dimensional reconstructions. CTU has proved to be a useful imaging modality to analyse the intrarenal anatomic properties[10,16].

We analysed 25 CTU images and both kidneys were studied separately. Calyces were counted in the upper, middle and lower segments of each kidney. Calyx length in relation to the renal pelvis and its infundibular width as well as their orientation in the transversal plane are studied and measurements were saved in a database for analysis. With this data we expect to demonstrate a relationship between anatomical findings and their possible impact on the surgical procedure and outcome.

METHODS

Study Design & Subjects

The database of the department of radiology of our hospital has been used and CTU scans made between January 2015 and January 2017 have been assessed. The CTU scans without uropathology and complete imaging of both pyelocaliceal systems were selected for further analysis. IRB approval was obtained.

Image Analysis

CTU scans were made using Siemens Somatom definition flash. Patients were given iv contrast fluid 10 minutes before scanning.

All measurements were performed on a 3-dimensional reconstruction, made by Multiplanar Reconstruction / Maximum Intensity Projection (MPR/MIP) functionality in PACS IntelliSpace version 4.4.516.0 (figure 1). Late phase CTUs (+10 min), when the whole pyelocaliceal system was filled with contrast were used for 3D reconstruction.

Each kidney was divided into three equal sized segments. The right and left kidney of the subjects were analysed separately. Every calyx was given a letter according to the alphabet, starting from cranial to caudal segment and from dorsomedial to lateral to

medioventral position. Of each calyx, the infundibular length (IL) and corresponding infundibular width (IW) were measured according to the method described by Elbahnasy et al[13]. IL was measured in the middle of the infundibulum in a straight line beginning at the transition from renal pelvis to infundibulum to the most distal point of the corresponding calyx. IW was measured at the narrowest point along the infundibular axis. The mediolateral, anteroposterior and craniocaudal distances of the renal pelvis were determined.

Orientation of the calyces in the transversal plane were registered according to the analogue hour positions, clockwise for the right kidney and anticlockwise for the left kidney. Renal hilum is by definition at the three o'clock position, with the 9, 12 and 6 o'clock positions respectively representing laterally, anteriorly and posteriorly orientated calyces.




FIGURE 1: 3-dimensional reconstruction of CTU scan. Coronal overview of pyelocaliceal system as generated during this study (Panel A); and transversal sectioning to study the orientation of the calyces (Panel B).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed with SPSS 22.00 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Normally distributed data is expressed as mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical significance between the segments was evaluated using the independent samples T-Test. P values of 0.05 or less were considered to indicate statistical significance for all statistical tests.

RESULTS

A total number of 25 patients (7 male and 18 female) were included. The mean age of our study population was 50.8 ± 12.4 years (range 26-75). Indications for the CTU scan were analysis macro/microscopic haematuria (n=18), (history of) bladder tumour (n=4) and persisting flank pain (n=3). The subjects had no history of surgery in the pyelocaliceal system.

Infundibular length; IL

The mean upper IL (n=92) was calculated to be 30.6 ± 7.9 mm, while the middle (n=154) and the lower IL (n=112) were measured as 16.4 ± 7.7 mm and 16.0 ± 6.0 mm respectively. The differences between ILs were statistically significant when the upper compared with the middle and the lower segment ($P < 0.0001$) (table 1). The mean IL in the left pyelocaliceal system was slightly larger than the right one (20.7 ± 9.8 vs 19.1 ± 9.2 mm) ($P = 0.112$).

Infundibular width; IW

The mean IW was established to 4.6 ± 1.9 mm, 3.7 ± 1.9 mm and 4.9 ± 2.2 in the upper, middle and lower segment, respectively. The middle IW was significant smaller than the upper ($P=0.0002$) and lower ($P < 0.0001$) segment (table 1). There was no correlation between the IL and IW (Pearson correlation coefficient=0.1).

TABLE 1. Comparison between the upper, middle and lower segment of the pyelocaliceal system.

	Upper Segment (A)	P value (A vs. B)	Middle Segment (B)	P value (B vs. C)	Lower Segment (C)	P value (A vs. C)
N	92		154		112	
IL (mm)	30.6 ± 7.9 (17.0-50.4)	<.0001	16.4 ± 7.7 (2.7- 37.9)	0,687	16.0 ± 6.0 (3.2-29.5)	<.0001
IW (mm)	4.6 ± 1.9 (1.5-11.0)	0,0002	3.7 ± 1.9 (1.2-11.0)	<.0001	4.9 ± 2.2 (1.2-10.4)	0,283

Values in cells correspond to the mean $\pm$ standard deviation (range). IL: infundibular length IW: infundibular width.

Orientation and the number of the calyces

Figure 2 shows the frequencies of the transverse orientation of the calyces per segment. In the upper segment (n=92) the most common orientation was lateral at 8 o'clock (22.8 %) and medial at 4 o'clock (19,6 %). A posterior orientation at 5, 6 or 7 o'clock was the most frequent in the upper segment (4.3%, 8.7% and 6.5%, respectively) in comparison to the other segments. The orientation of the calyces in the mid segment (n=154) was mostly lateral at 8, 9 and 10 o'clock (26.6%, 26.6%, and 34.4%, respectively). The lower segment orientation (n=112) was predominantly lateral at 8, 9 and 10 o'clock (67.8%) and anterior at 11, 12 and 1 o'clock (25.1%).




FIGURE 2. Frequency of the transverse calyces orientation in hours per segment

The mean number of calyces per kidney (n=50) was 7.2 ± 1.4 (range 4-10), with 74% (n=12) of the kidneys containing between 6-8 calyces. Divided into the upper, middle and lower segment the number of calyces were 1.8 ± 0.7 , 3.1 ± 0.8 and 2.24 ± 0.8 respectively. These differences are all statistically significant as illustrated in table 2.

The US was drained by only 1 calyx in 30% of the cases and 18% in the LS, a single drainage of 1 calyx in the MS was not seen. No significant differences were found in the total number of calyces between ($P=0.685$) the right and left kidney (figures. 3, 4).

TABLE 2. Number of calyces in the upper, middle and lower segment of the pyelocaliceal system.

	Upper Segment (A)	P value (A vs. B)	Middle Segment (B)	P value (B vs. C)	Lower Segment (C)	P value (A vs. C)
N	50		50		50	
Calyces	1.84±0.65 (1-3)	<0,0001	3.08±0.83 (2-6)	0,0001	2.24±0.85 (1-4)	0,009

Values in cells correspond to the mean ± standard deviation (range).




FIGURE 3. Measuring infundibular width of the lower pole.




FIGURE 4. Measuring infundibular length of the upper pole.

Morphometric properties of adult renal pelvis

The mean mediolateral dimensions of the renal pelvis were measured to be 19.9 ± 4.6 mm (range 10.6 - 29.2), while the craniocaudal and anteroposterior measured length were 16.6 ± 5.7 mm (range 7.1 – 34.7) and 11.2 ± 3.6 mm (range 5.5 – 18.7) respectively.

DISCUSSION

This study describes the anatomic properties of the pyelocaliceal system and shows significant differences between the three segments of the kidney. MS calyces tends to have short and narrow infundibula, while the US calyces significantly have longer IL when comparing to the other segments. A lateral orientation of the calyces was most frequently found, with almost 90% of the calyces in the MS. The US calyces were mainly orientated laterally and medially (75%) and anterolateral in the lower segment (67.9%).

The anatomy of the pyelocaliceal system

There are different approaches in the description of the anatomy of the kidney and the pyelocaliceal system in particular. Some describe the upper urinary tract (UUT) in relation to urine producing parenchyma, while others published the morphometric aspects of the cast made by polymers injection into the UUT[8]. Over the years different classifications of the pyelocaliceal system have been proposed. In 1998 Sampaio made a pyelocaliceal classification system by using endocasts of the renal collecting system. Sampaio divided the endocasts into four morphological types, the renal midzone drainage was decisive for this subdivision[8]. The majority of these studies described the 2D morphology of calyces using its length, diameter and its angle of drainage into the renal pelvis in order to classify the anatomy into groups. In our opinion the value of this classification is limited due to diversity of the morphology of the pyelocaliceal system.

There are different publications describing the lower pole anatomy in relation to the surgical outcomes. In 1992 Sampaio and Aragão[17] were the first authors, and later in 1998 Elbahnasy[13], to report that some lower pole anatomic features, such as an long IL (>3 cm) [13] and a narrow IW (Sampaio: <4 mm, Elbahnasy: <5mm), negatively affects the stone clearance after shock wave lithotripsies (ESWL). In our study, infundibular length and width was measured according to the Elbahnasy method⁵[13]. Our findings were considerably smaller than the mean IL and IW of the lower pole described by Elbahnasy himself. These differences may be due to different patient populations and/or different imaging of the pyelocaliceal system (IVP versus CTU).

We observed fewest numbers of calyces in the US with up to 30% being mono-caliceal. Furthermore, US calyces are drained by a single midline infundibulum in 92% of the cases. In contrast, no fused single caliceal infundibulum was observed in the MS. Fused infundibula were only reported in 18% in the LS. The same findings were noted by Sampaio and Aragão who reported 98% and 42% single midline drainage of the

superior and inferior pole respectively[18]. When approaching the pyelocaliceal system urologists should be aware of the differences in polar region drainage as multiple smaller infundibula would make it more difficult to remove renal stones.

The use of and need for minimally invasive endourologic procedures like PCNL and flexible ureterorenoscopy (fURS) is growing. Instruments require increasingly more flexibility, smaller size and better handling of the instruments by the surgeon. Interventional radiologists need to access the optimal calyx for later renal stone surgery. Urologist needs to be informed of the 3D anatomy of the individual pyelocaliceal system in order to optimally navigate into the different calyces. In contrast to previous research, which was intensively focused on the anatomy of the lower pole and its prognostic values, we described the entire pyelocaliceal system. We provide a simple way of thinking and handling. One should be aware of the number of the calices, their locations and their orientation.

In our study however, a mean lower segment IL of 16.0 ± 6.0 mm was reported which has a favourable outcome on the stone free rate after both URS and ESWL[9,13]. Furthermore, we reported a mean IW of the lower segment of 4.9 ± 2.2 mm, which has a positive effect on the stone clearance after ESWL[13]. Unfavourable outcomes, including a long mean IL of 30.6 ± 7.9 mm and a narrow mean IW of 3.7 ± 1.9 mm in the upper and mid segment respectively, were also measured. Evidence in literature on the impact of the upper and mid segment renal anatomy on the success rate of endourologic interventions, however, is lacking.

PCNL/URS devices and procedures

Technical advances of endourological equipment over the years including improved deflection mechanism, superior (digital) imaging and miniaturisation of the device led to an increased use of both URS and PCNL[2]. Moreover, the new generation ureterorenoscopes show an increased success rate compared to their predecessors[19]. When PCNL is concerned, technical evolutions have led to success rates that vary from 72% to 98%[6]. The anatomical architecture affects the results of the endourological procedure and hence needs to be clear preoperatively. Our results support the opinion that preplanning of URS and PCNL in order to decide for the puncture trajectory of PCNL and the route of (the difficult) URS is mandatory.

URS, despite the described technical evolution over the past years, also has its limitations. A long IL and an acute infundibulopelvic angle ($<30^\circ$) of the lower pole decrease the success rate[7,14]. Jessen et al. reported a mean IL of the lower pole in the not stone free group of 28.3 mm which was significantly larger than the mean of the stone free group

(22.5 mm) [14]. Dorsal or ventral orientation of calyces, particularly in the LS makes it difficult to reach stones, also when using the newest generations of the flexible URS. This should be taken into account when studying the images of CTU.

Although less studied, the IL and IW seem not to negatively affect the success rate of PCNL. Only a large (>20.5 cm²) pelvis surface diminishes the success rate due to large area that may facilitate the escape of the stone to a not reachable place in the kidney[6].

Securing a proper percutaneous access of the pyelocaliceal system is the most crucial step in PCNL. Incidence of access related complications have been reported between 3% and 18% and include injury to adjacent organs, renal haemorrhage, failed access and pneumothorax[20]. A proper preoperative analysis of imaging of the pyelocaliceal system is therefore mandatory. We perform PCNL in a hybrid operating theatre to be able to access accurately. The target calyx for percutaneous puncture depends on the accessibility of a calyx, which is influenced by its orientation. Defining the orientation of each calyx has been found difficult by investigators and clinicians in 2D images. However, with the use of CTU volume rendering technique, the calyx orientation becomes more reliable[21]. We have chosen to define the orientation of each calyx as clock hours, which are clear and easily reproducible parameters.

Literature suggests that a posterior calyx should be punctured since it has a low potential for bleeding complications[21]. A solely posterior orientation was rare in our study, it was most frequently found in the upper segment of the kidney. Besides a posterior orientation we also preferred a more lateral orientation since these calyces are easily accessible. Puncturing a medial orientated calyx in the upper pole is associated with significant risk of causing injury to the posterior segmental artery[21]. Care should be taken when the US is planned to puncture during the PCNL. We found a predominantly mediolateral orientation of the upper pole with almost 20% of the calyces medially orientated. These findings correlate with Miller et al. who found that the primary plane of the upper pole in 95% of the kidneys was mediolateral orientated[16].

Limitations of our study

This study was limited to the CTU volume renderings of 50 kidneys. Although we have been consistent in our measurement technique, one may debate about the tail ends of each measurement. Repeating the measurements by a second individual researcher minimized intra-observer variability.

We preferred to describe the orientation of the calyces according to a clock, since this is an easily reproducible method. The infundibulopelvic angle, a common measurement used in previous studies was avoided as there was a great intra-observer variation due to curved shape of the renal pelvis and the calyces.

CONCLUSION

This study comprehensively describes the anatomic properties of the pyelocaliceal system. It emphasises the accessibility for percutaneous puncture of the MS and LS calyces. Care should be taken when US calyces are planned to be punctured for PCNL, since some are medially and thus not accessible oriented. Also, the narrowest infundibula were reported in the MS, which could make it less accessible for URS. For URS, dorsoventral orientation of some calyces makes access difficult. Preoperative imaging using CTU and knowledge of the individual anatomy is important when optimizing outcome of URS and PCNL.

REFERENCES

1. Hesse A, Brändle E, Wilbert D, Köhrmann K-U, Alken P. Study on the prevalence and incidence of urolithiasis in Germany comparing the years 1979 vs. 2000. *Eur Urol* 2003;44:709–13. doi:10.1016/s0302-2838(03)00415-9.
2. Türk C, Petřík A, Sarica K, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Straub M, et al. EAU Guidelines on Diagnosis and Conservative Management of Urolithiasis. *Eur Urol* 2016;69:468–74. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2015.07.040.
3. Kupeli B, Tunc L, Acar C, Gurocak S, Alkibay T, Guneri C, et al. The impact of pelvicaliceal anatomical variation between the stone-bearing and normal contralateral kidney on stone formation in adult patients with lower caliceal stones. *Int Braz J Urol* 2006;32:287–92–discussion292–4. doi:10.1590/s1677-55382006000300005.
4. Gökalp A, Tahmaz L, Peşkırcıoğlu L, Özgök Y, Sağlam M, Kibar Y, et al. Effect of lower infundibulopelvic angle, lower infundibulum diameter and inferior calyceal length on stone formation. *Urol Int* 1999;63:107–9. doi:10.1159/000030427.
5. Park S, Pearle MS. Imaging for percutaneous renal access and management of renal calculi. *Urol Clin North Am* 2006;33:353–64. doi:10.1016/j.ucl.2006.03.003.
6. Binbay M, Akman T, Özgör F, Yazıcı O, Sari E, Erbin A, et al. Does pelvicaliceal system anatomy affect success of percutaneous nephrolithotomy? *Urology* 2011;78:733–7. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2011.03.058.
7. Geavlete P, Multescu R, Geavlete B. Influence of pyelocaliceal anatomy on the success of flexible ureteroscopic approach. *J Endourol* 2008;22:2235–9. doi:10.1089/end.2008.9719.
8. Sampaio FJ, de Lacerda C. Anatomic classification of the kidney collecting system for endourologic procedures. *J Endourol* 1988;247–51.
9. Sampaio FJ. Renal collecting system anatomy: its possible role in the effectiveness of renal stone treatment. *Curr Opin Urol* 2001;11:359–66. doi:10.1097/00042307-200107000-00004.
10. El-Assmy A, Abo-Elghar ME, El-Nahas AR, Youssef RF, El-Diasty T, Sheir KZ. Anatomic predictors of formation of lower caliceal calculi: is it the time for three-dimensional computed tomography urography? *J Endourol* 2008;22:2175–9. doi:10.1089/end.2008.0148.
11. Sampaio FJ, D'Anuniação AL, Silva EC. Comparative follow-up of patients with acute and obtuse infundibulum-pelvic angle submitted to extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for lower caliceal stones: preliminary report and proposed study design. *J Endourol* 1997;11:157–61. doi:10.1089/end.1997.11.157.
12. Ghoneim IA, Ziada AM, Elkatib SE. Predictive factors of lower calyceal stone clearance after Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy (ESWL): a focus on the infundibulopelvic anatomy. *Eur Urol* 2005;48:296–302–discussion302. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2005.02.017.
13. Elbahnasy AM, Shalhav AL, Hoenig DM, Elashry OM, Smith DS, McDougall EM, et al. Lower caliceal stone clearance after shock wave lithotripsy or ureteroscopy: the impact of lower pole radiographic anatomy. *J Urol* 1998;159:676–82.

14. Jessen JP, Honeck P, Knoll T, Wendt-Nordahl G. Flexible ureterorenoscopy for lower pole stones: influence of the collecting system's anatomy. *J Endourol* 2014;28:146–51. doi:10.1089/end.2013.0401.
15. Kaye KW, Reinke DB. Detailed caliceal anatomy for endourology. *J Urol* 1984;132:1085–8. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)50042-7.
16. Miller J, Durack JC, Sorensen MD, Wang JH, Stoller ML. Renal calyceal anatomy characterization with 3-dimensional in vivo computerized tomography imaging. *J Urol* 2013;189:562–7. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2012.09.040.
17. Sampaio FJ, Aragao AH. Inferior pole collecting system anatomy: its probable role in extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *J Urol* 1992;147:322–4. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)37226-9.
18. Sampaio FJ, Aragao AH. Limitations of extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for lower caliceal stones: anatomic insight. *J Endourol* 1994;8:241–7. doi:10.1089/end.1994.8.241.
19. Wendt-Nordahl G, Mut T, Krombach P, Michel MS, Knoll T. Do new generation flexible ureterorenoscopes offer a higher treatment success than their predecessors? *Urol Res* 2011;39:185–8. doi:10.1007/s00240-010-0331-0.
20. El-Assmy AM, Shokeir AA, Mohsen T, El-Tabey N, El-Nahas AR, Shoma AM, et al. Renal access by urologist or radiologist for percutaneous nephrolithotomy--is it still an issue? *J Urol* 2007;178:916–20–discussion920. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2007.05.015.
21. Sharma GR, Maheshwari PN, Sharma AG, Maheshwari RP, Heda RS, Maheshwari SP. Fluoroscopy guided percutaneous renal access in prone position. *World J Clin Cases* 2015;3:245–64. doi:10.12998/wjcc.v3.i3.245.

