



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Reading comprehension in elementary school children: cognitive studies of the reader, the text, and the task

Karlsson, A.K.J.

Citation

Karlsson, A. K. J. (2021, April 7). *Reading comprehension in elementary school children: cognitive studies of the reader, the text, and the task*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3158744>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3158744>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3158744> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Karlsson, J.A.K.

Title: Reading comprehension in elementary school children: cognitive studies of the reader, the text, and the task

Issue Date: 2021-04-07

Reference list

- Ackerman, B. P. (1986). Referential and causal coherence in the story comprehension of children and adults. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *41*, 336-366.
- Ackerman, B. P. (1988). Reason inferences in the story comprehension of children and adults. *Child Development*, *59*, 1426-1442.
- Albrecht, J. E., & O'Brien, E. J. (1991). Effects of centrality on retrieval of text-based concepts. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *17*, 5, 932-939.
- Altmann, G., & Mirković, J. (2009). Incrementality and prediction in human sentence processing. *Cognitive Science*, *33*, 583-609.
- Anmarkrud, Ø., McCrudden, M. T., Bråten, I., & Strømsø H. I. (2013). Task-oriented reading of multiple documents: online comprehension processes and offline products. *Instructional Science*, *41*, 5, 873-894. doi:10.1007/s11251-013-9263-8
- Armbruster, B. B., Anderson, T. H., & Ostertag, J. (1987). Does text structure/summarization instruction facilitate learning from expository text? *Reading Research Quarterly*, *22*, 3, 331-346.
- Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J., & Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *59*, 390-412.
- Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory and language: An overview. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *36*, 3, 189-208. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9924(03)00019-4
- Baddeley, A.D. (2007). *Working Memory, Thought and Action*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Baddeley, A.D. (2012). Working memory: theories, models, and controversies. *The Annual Review of Psychology*, *63*, 1-29.
- Baker, L., & Wagner, J. L. (1987). Evaluating information for truthfulness: The effects of logical subordination. *Memory & Cognition*, *15*, 3, 247-255. doi:10.3758/BF03197723

- Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J. (2013). Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *68*, 255-278.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using {lme4}. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*, 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>
- Bauer, P. J., & San Souci, P. (2010). Going beyond the facts: Young children extend knowledge by integrating episodes. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *107*, 452-465. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2010.05.012
- Beker, K., Jolles, D., & van den Broek, P. (2017). Meaningful learning from texts: The construction of knowledge representations. In J. A. León & I. Escudero (Eds.), *Studies in Written Language and Literacy: Reading Comprehension in Educational Settings* (pp. 29-62). The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Bergman, L. R., & Magnusson, D. (1997). A person-oriented approach in research on developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, *9*, 291-319. doi:10.1017/S095457949700206X
- Best, R. M., Floyd, R. G., & McNamara D. S. (2008). Differential competencies contributing to children's comprehension of narrative and expository texts. *Reading Psychology*, *29*, 2, 137-164. doi:10.1080/02702710801963951
- Blything, L. P., & Cain, K. (2016). Children's processing and comprehension of complex sentences containing temporal connectives: The influence of memory on time course of accurate responses. *Developmental Psychology*, *52*, 10, 1517-1529. doi:10.1037/dev0000201
- Blything, L. P., Davies, R., & Cain, K. (2015). Young children's comprehension of temporal relations in complex sentences: The influence of memory on performance. *Child Development*, *86*, 1922-1934. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12412
- Bogaerds-Hazenbergh, S.T.M., Evers-Vermeul, J., & van den Bergh, H.H. (2020). A meta-analysis on the effects of text-structure instruction on reading comprehension in the upper elementary grades. *Reading Research Quarterly*. Advance online publication.

- Bohn-Gettler, C. M., & Kendeou, P. (2014). The interplay of reader goals, working memory, and text structure during reading. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 39*, 3, 206-219. doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.05.003
- Booth, J. R., Perfetti, C. A., & MacWhinney, B. (1999). Quick, automatic, and general activation of orthographic and phonological representations in young readers. *Developmental Psychology, 35*, 3-19.
- Booth, J. R., Perfetti, C. A., MacWhinney, B., & Hunt, S. B. (2000). The association of rapid temporal perception with orthographic and phonological processing in children and adults with reading impairment. *Scientific Studies of Reading, 4*, 101-132.
- Booth, J.R., Burman, D.D., Meyer, J.R., Gitelman, D.R., Parrish, T.B., Mesulam, M.M. (2002). Modality independence of word comprehension. *Human Brain Mapping, 16*, 251-61.
- Boudewyn, M. A., Long, D. L., & Swaab, T. Y. (2013). Effects of working memory span on processing of lexical associations and congruence in spoken discourse. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00060
- Bowyer-Crane, C., & Snowling, M. J. (2005). Assessing children's inference generation: What do tests of reading comprehension measure? *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 75*, 189-201. doi:10.1348/000709904X22674
- Britton, B. K., & Pelligrini, A. D. (1990). *Narrative Thought and Narrative Language*. Hillsdale, NJ: Earlbaum.
- Brown, A. L., & Day, J. D. (1983). Macrorules for summarizing texts: The development of expertise. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 22*, 1-14.
- Buchweitz, A., Mason, R. A., Tomitch, L., & Just, M. A. (2009). Brain activation for reading and listening comprehension: An fMRI study of modality effects and individual differences in language comprehension. *Psychology and Neuroscience, 2*, 111-123. doi:10.3922/j.psns.2009.2.003.
- Cain, K., & Nash, H. M. (2011). The influence of connectives on young readers' processing and comprehension of text. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 103*, 2, 429-441. doi:10.1037/a0022824

- Cain, K., & Oakhill, J. (1999). Inference making ability and its relation to comprehension failure in young children. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, *11*, 489-503. doi:10.1023/A:1008084120205
- Cain, K., Oakhill, K., & Bryant, P. (2004) Children's reading comprehension ability: Concurrent prediction by working memory, verbal ability, and component skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*, 1, 31-42. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.31
- Calvo, M.G. (2001). Working memory and inferences: evidence from eye fixations during reading. *Memory*, *9*, 365-381.
- Calvo, M.G. (2004). Relative contribution of vocabulary knowledge and working memory span to elaborative inference in reading. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *15*, 53-65.
- Carlson, S. E., Seipel, B., & McMaster, K. (2014). Development of a new reading comprehension assessment: Identifying comprehension differences among readers. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *32*, 40-53. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2014.03.003
- Carretti, B., Borella, E., Cornoldi, C., & De Beni, R. (2009). Role of working memory in explaining the performance of individuals with specific reading comprehension difficulties: A meta-analysis. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *19*, 2, 246-251. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2008.10.002
- Carretti, B., Cornoldi, C., De Beni, R., & Romanò, M. (2005). Updating in working memory: A comparison of good and poor comprehenders. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *91*, 45-66. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2005.01.005
- Clark, E. V. (1971). On the acquisition of the meaning of before and after. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *10*, 266-275. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(71)80054-3
- Claus, B., & Kelter, S. (2006). Comprehending narratives containing flashbacks: Evidence for temporally organized representations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *32*, 5, 1031-1044. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.32.5.1031
- Clifton, C., Staub, A., & Rayner, K. (2007). Eye movements in reading words and sentences. In R. P.G. Van Gompel, M. H. Fisher, W. S. Murray, &

- R. L. Hill (Eds.) *Eye Movements: A Window on Mind and Brain* (pp. 341-372). doi:10.1016/B978-008044980-7/50017-3
- Clinton, V., & van den Broek, P. (2012). Interest, inferences and learning from texts. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 22, 650-663. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2012.07.004
- Collins, L. M., & Lanza, S. T. (2010). *Latent Class and Latent Transition Analysis: With Applications in the Social, Behavioral, and Health Sciences*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Constable, R. T., Pugh, K. R., Berroya, E., Mencl, W. E., Westerveld, M., Ni, W., & Shankweiler, D. (2004). Sentence complexity and input modality effects in sentence comprehension: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage*, 22, 1, 11-21.
- Conway, A. R. A., Kane, M. J., Bunting, M. F., Hambrick, D. Z., Wilhelm, O., & Engle, R. W. (2005). Working memory span tasks: A methodological review and user's guide. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 12, 5, 769-786. doi:10.3758/BF03196772
- Cook, A., Limber, J. E., & O'Brien, E. J. (2001). Situation-based context and the availability of predictive inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 44, 220-234.
- Cook A. E., & O'Brien, E. J. (2014). Knowledge activation, integration, and validation during narrative text comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 51, 26 – 59. doi:10.1080/0163853X.2013.855107
- Cooreman, A., & Sanford, A. (1996). Focus and syntactic subordination in discourse. Research paper no. RP-79, University of Edinburgh, HCRC.
- Coté, N., Goldman, S. R., & Saul, E. U. (1998). Students making sense of informational text: Relations between processing and representation, *Discourse Processes*, 25, 1, 1-53. doi:10.1080/01638539809545019
- Cowan, N. (1995). *Attention and Memory: An Integrated Framework*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cowan, N. (2017). The many faces of working memory and short-term storage. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 24, 4, 1158-1170. doi:10.3758/s13423-016-1191-6

- Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P.A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *19*, 450–466.
- Daneman, M., & Merikle, P. M. (1996). Working memory and language comprehension: A meta-analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *3*, 4, 422-433. doi. 10.3758/BF03214546
- de Ruiter, L. E., Theakston, A. L., Brandt, S., & Lieven, E. V. (2018). Iconicity affects children’s comprehension of complex sentences: The role of semantics, clause order, input and individual differences. *Cognition*, *171*, 202-224. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2017.10.015
- de Leeuw, L., Segers, E., & Verhoeven, L. (2016). The effect of student-related and text-related characteristics on student’s reading behavior and text comprehension: An eye movement study (2016). *Scientific Studies of Reading*, *20*, 3, 248-263. doi:10.1080/10888438.2016.1146285
- Debrulle, J. B. (2007). The N400 potential could index a semantic inhibition. *Brain Research Reviews*, *56*, 2, 472-477.
- Debrulle, J. B., Ramirez, D., Wolf, Y., Schaefer, A., Nguyen, T. V., Bacon, B. A., Renoult, L., & Brodeur, M. (2008). Knowledge inhibition and N400: A within-and a betweensubjects study with distractor words. *Brain Research*, *1187*, 167-183.
- DeLong, K. A., Urbach, T. P., & Kutas, M. (2005). Probabilistic word pre-activation during language comprehension inferred from electrical brain activity. *Nature Neuroscience*, *8*, 8, 1117-1121.
- Denton, C. A., Enos, M., York, M. J., Francis, D. J., Barnes, M. A., Kulesz, P. A., Fletcher, J. M., & Carter, S. (2015). Text-processing differences in adolescent adequate and poor comprehenders reading accessible and challenging narrative and informational text. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *50*, 4, 393-416. doi:10.1002/rq.105
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual Reviews of Psychology*, *64*, 135-168. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
- Diessel, H. (2005). Competing motivations for the ordering of main and adverbial clauses. *Linguistics*, *43*, 3, 449-470. doi:10.1515/ling.2005.43.3.449.

- Eason, S. H., Goldberg, L., Young, K. M., Geist, M. C., & Cutting, L. E. (2012). Reader-text interactions: How differential text and question types influence cognitive skills needed for reading comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*, 515-528. doi:10.1037/a0027182
- Ecker, U. K. H., Lewandowsky, S., Oberauer, K., & Chee, A. E. H. (2010). The components of working memory updating: an experimental decomposition and individual differences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 36*, 170-189. doi: 10.1037/a0017891
- Eddington, C. M., & Tokowicz, N. (2015). How meaning similarity influences ambiguous word processing: The current state of the literature. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 22*, 1, 13-37. doi: 10.3758/s13423-014-0665-7
- Elleman, A. M. (2017). Examining the impact of inference instruction on the literal and inferential comprehension of skilled and less skilled readers: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 109*, 6, 761-781. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/edu0000180>
- Engle, R. W. (2002). Working memory capacity as executive attention. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11*, 19-23.
- Ericsson, K. A., & Kintsch, W. (1995). Long-term working memory. *Psychological Review, 102*, 211-245. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.102.2.211
- Ericsson, K. A., & Simon, H. A. (1980). Verbal reports as data. *Psychological Review, 87*, 3, 215-251. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.87.3.215
- Evers, G. (2008). *Programma voor berekening Cito Lees Index voor het Basisonderwijs*. P-CLIB versie 3.0. Arnhem, The Netherlands: Cito B.V.
- Evers-Vermeul, J., & Sanders, T. (2009). The emergence of Dutch connectives; How cumulative cognitive complexity explains the order of acquisition. *Journal of Child Language, 36*, 829-854. doi: 10.1017/S0305000908009227
- Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999a). A rose by any other name: Long-term memory structure and sentence processing. *Journal of memory and Language, 41*, 469-495.
- Federmeier, K. D., & Kutas, M. (1999b). Right words and left words: Electrophysiological evidence for hemispheric differences in meaning processing. *Cognitive Brain Research, 8*, 373-392.

- Feenstra, H., Kleintjes, F., Kamphuis, F., & Krom, R. (2010). *Begrijpend Lezen groep 3 t/m 6 LOVS*. Arnhem: Cito B.V.
- Fincher-Kiefer, R. (1995). Relative inhibition following the encoding of bridging and predictive inferences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *21*, 981–995.
- Finney, M. C., Montgomery, J. W., Gillam, R. B., & Evans, J. L. (2014). Role of working memory storage and attention focus switching in children's comprehension of spoken object relative sentences. *Child Development Research*, *2014*. doi:10.1155/2014/450734
- French, L. A., & Brown, A. L. (1977). Comprehension of before and after in logical and arbitrary sequences. *Journal of Child Language*, *4*, 2, 247-256. doi:10.1017/S0305000900001641
- Frisson, S., & Pickering, M. J. (1999). The processing of metonymy: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *25*, 1366-1383.
- Gathercole, S. E. (1999). Cognitive approaches to the development of short-term memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *3*, 11, 410-419. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01388-1
- Gathercole, S. E., Pickering, S. J., Ambridge, B., & Wearing, H. (2004). The structure of working memory from 4 to 15 years of age. *Developmental Psychology*, *40*, 177-190. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.40.2.177
- Gibson, E. (2000). The dependency locality theory: A distance-based theory of linguistic complexity. In A. Marantz, Y. Miyashita, & W. O'Neil (Eds.), *Image, Language, Brain* (pp. 95-126). Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Gibson, E., & Pearlmutter, N. J. (1998). Constraints on sentence comprehension. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *2*, 7, 262-268. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01187-5
- Gernsbacher, M. A., & Robertson, R. R. (1999). The role of suppression in figurative language comprehension. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *31*, 1619-1630.

- Goldman, S. R., McCarthy, K. S., & Burkett, C. (2015). Interpretive inferences in literature. In: E. J., O'Brien, A. E., Cook, & R. F., Lorch (Eds.), *Inferences During Reading* (pp. 386-415). UK: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/cbo9781107279186.018
- Graesser, A. C., McNamara, D. S., & Louwerse, M. M. (2003). What do readers need to learn in order to process coherence relations in narrative and expository text? In A. P. Sweet, & C. E. Snow (Eds.), *Rethinking Reading Comprehension* (pp. 82-98). New York: Guilford.
- Graesser, A. C., Millis, K. K., & Zwaan, R. A. (1997). Discourse comprehension. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 48, 1, 163-189.
- Graesser, A., Singer, M., & Trabasso, T. (1994). Constructing inferences during text comprehension. *Psychological Review*, 101, 371-395. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.101.3.371
- Grunér, S., Östberg, P., & Hedenius, M. (2018). The compensatory effect of text-to-speech technology on reading comprehension and reading rate in Swedish schoolchildren with reading disability: The moderating effect of inattention and hyperactivity symptoms differs by grade groups. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 33, 2, 98-110. doi: 10.1177/0162643417742898
- Guthrie, J. T., & Alao, S. (1997). Designing contexts to increase motivations for reading. *Educational Psychologist*, 32, 95-107. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep3202_4
- Hagenaars, J. A., & McCutcheon, A. L. (2002). *Applied Latent Class Analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511499531
- Hannon, B. (2012). Understanding the relative contributions of lower-level word processes, higher-level processes, and working memory to reading comprehension performance in proficient adult readers. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 47, 2, 125-152. doi:10.1002/RRQ.013
- Helder, A., van Leijenhorst, L., & van den Broek, P. (2016). Coherence monitoring by good and poor comprehenders in elementary school: comparing offline and online measures. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 48, 17-23.

- Hertzum, M., Hansen, K. D., & Andersen, H. H. K. (2009) Scrutinising usability evaluation: does thinking aloud affect behaviour and mental workload?, *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 28, 2, 165-181. doi:10.1080/01449290701773842
- Hickendorff, M., Edelsbrunner, P., McMullen, J., Schneider, M., & Trezise, K. (2017). Informative Tools for Characterizing Individual Differences in Learning: Latent Class, Latent Profile, and Latent Transition Analysis. *Learning and Individual Differences*, In Press. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2017.11.001
- Hoover, W. A., & Gough P. B. (1990). The simple view of reading. *Reading and Writing*, 2, 127-160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00401799>
- Huizinga, M., Dolan, C., & van der Molen, M. (2006). Age-related change in executive function: Developmental trends and a latent variable analysis. *Neuropsychologia*, 44, 2017-2036. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.01.010
- Hyönä, J., Lorch Jr. R. F., & Kaakinen, J. K. (2002). Individual differences in reading to summarize expository text: Evidence from eye fixation patterns. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94, 1, 44-55. doi:10.1037//0022-0663.94.1.44
- Jaeggi, S. M., Buschkuhl, M., Perrig, W. J., & Meier, B. (2010). The concurrent validity of the N-back task as a working memory measure. *Memory*, 18, 4, 394-412. doi:10.1080/09658211003702171
- Jobard, G., Vigneau, M., Mazoyer, B., & Tzourio-Mazoyer, N. (2007). Impact of modality and linguistic complexity during reading and listening tasks. *Neuroimage*, 34, 2, 784-800.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). *Mental Models*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Jonsson, B., Wiklund-Hörnqvist, C., Nyroos, M., & Börjesson, A. (2014). Self-reported memory strategies and their relationship to immediate and delayed text recall and working memory capacity. *Educational Inquiry*, 5, 3, 385-404. doi:10.3402/edui.v5.22850
- Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual differences in working memory. *Psychological Review*, 99, 1, 122- 149. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.99.1.122

- Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1987). *The psychology of reading and language comprehension*. Allyn & Bacon.
- Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2005). Perspective effects on expository text comprehension: Evidence from think-aloud protocols, eye-tracking, and recall. *Discourse Processes, 40*, 3, 239-257.
doi:10.1207/s15326950dp4003_4
- Karlsson, J., van den Broek, P., Helder, A., Hickendorff, M., Koornneef, A., & van Leijenhorst, L. (2018). Profiles of young readers: Evidence from thinking aloud while reading narrative and expository texts. *Learning and Individual Differences, 67*, 105–116. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2018.08.001
- Keefe, D. E., & McDaniel, M. A. (1993). The time course and durability of predictive inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language, 32*, 446–463.
- Kendeou, P., Bohn-Gettler, C., White, M. J., & van den Broek, P. (2008). Children's inference generation across different media. *Journal of Research in Reading, 31*, 3, 259-272. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.2008.00370.x>
- Kendeou, P., van den Broek, P., Helder, A., & Karlsson, J. (2014). A cognitive view of reading comprehension: Implications for reading difficulties. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 29*, 1, 10-16.
doi:10.1111/ldrp.12025
- Kendeou, P., van den Broek, P., White, M. J., & Lynch, J. S. (2007). Comprehension in preschool and early elementary children: Skill development and strategy interventions. In D. S. McNamara (Ed.), *Reading Comprehension Strategies: Theories, Interventions, and Technologies* (pp. 27-45). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Kendeou, P., van den Broek, P. W., White, M. J., & Lynch, J. S. (2009). Predicting reading comprehension in early elementary school: The independent contributions of oral language and decoding skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 101*, 4, 765-778.
- Kidd, E. (2013). The role of verbal working memory in children's sentence comprehension: A critical review. *Topics in Language Disorders, 33*, 208–223. doi: 10.1097/TLD.0b013e31829d623e

- Kim, Y. S., & Phillips, B. (2014). Cognitive correlates of listening comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 49, 3, 269-281.
- Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A construction–integration model. *Psychological Review*, 95, 163–182. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.95.2.163
- Kintsch, W. (1994). Text comprehension, memory, and learning. *American Psychologist*, 49, 4, 294-303. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.49.4.294
- Kintsch, W. (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. Cambridge university press.
- Klin, C. M., Guzmán, A. E., & Levine, W. H. (1999). Prevalence and persistence of predictive inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 40, 593-604.
- Klin, C. M., Murray, J. D., Levine, W. H., & Guzmán, A. E. (1999). Forward inferences: From activation to long-term memory. *Discourse Processes*, 27, 3, 241-260.
- Knol, F. (2012). *Statusontwikkeling van wijken in Nederland 1998-2010* (Report 2012-26). Retrieved from website Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau Den Haag: http://www.scp.nl/Publicaties/Alle_publicaties/Publicaties_2012/Statusontwikkeling_van_wijken_in_Nederland_1998_2010.
- Kopatich, R. D. & Santuzzi, A. (2018, July). An Exploration of Reader Profiles by Reading Proficiency and Constructive Processes Used During Reading. Paper presentation at the 28th annual meeting of the Society for Text & Discourse.
- Kraal, A., Koornneef, A. W., Saab, N., & van den Broek, P. W. (2017). Processing of expository and narrative texts by low- and high-comprehending children. *Reading and Writing*, 1-24. doi:10.1007/s11145-017-9789-2
- Krom, R., Jongen, I., Verhelst, N., Kamphuis, F., & Kleintjes, F. (2010). *Drie-Minuten-Toets*. Arnhem: Cito B.V.
- Kucan, L., & Beck, I. L., (1997). Thinking aloud and reading comprehension research: Inquiry, instruction, and social interaction. *Review of Educational Research*, 67, 271-299. doi:10.3102/00346543067003271

- Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980). Reading senseless sentences: Brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. *Science*, *207*, 203-205.
- Kutas, M., & Federmeier, K. D. (2000). Electrophysiology reveals semantic memory use in language comprehension. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *4*, 463-470.
- Kutas, M. & Federmeier, K.D. (2011). Thirty rears and counting: finding meaning in the N400 component of the event-related brain potential (ERP). *Annual Review of Psychology*, *62*, 621-647.
- Landers, R.N. (2015). Computing intraclass correlations (ICC) as estimates of interrater reliability in SPSS. *The Winnower* 2.
doi:10.15200/winn.143518.81744
- Language and Reading Research Consortium, & Logan, J. (2016). Pressure points in reading comprehension: a quantile multiple regression analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. doi:10.1037/edu0000150
- Larson, G. E., Merritt, C. R., & Williams, S. E. (1988). Information processing and intelligence: Some implications of task complexity. *Intelligence*, *12*, 2, 131-147.
- Linderholm, T. (2002). Predictive inference generation as a function of working memory capacity and causal text constraints. *Discourse Processes*, *34*, 259-280.
- Linderholm, T. & van den Broek, P. (2002). The effects of reading purpose and working memory capacity on the processing of expository text. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *94*, 778-784.
- Lorch, R. F. (2017). What is so difficult about expository text? In J. A. León & I. Escudero (Eds.), *Reading comprehension in educational settings* (pp. 145-167). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company. doi:10.1075/swll.16.06lor
- Lynch, J. S., van den Broek, P., Kremer, K. E., Kendeou, P., White, M. J., & Lorch, E. P. (2008). The development of narrative comprehension and its relation to other early reading skills. *Reading Psychology*, *29*, 4, 327-365. doi:10.1080/02702710802165416
- Magliano, J. P., & Millis, K. K. (2003). Assessing reading skill with a think-aloud procedure and latent semantic analysis. *Cognition and Instruction*, *21*, 3, 251-283. doi: 10.1207/S1532690XCI2103_02

- Magnusson Amu, N. (2020). Att lyssna sig till kunskap. *Digitalare undervisning*, 1, 18-19. https://www.nok.se/contentassets/e84656834f6d4a5aa4a556f4093b8ba9/digital_undervisning_1_2020_webb.pdf
- Mandler, J. M., & Johnson, N. S. (1977). Remembrance of things parsed: Story structure and recall. *Cognitive Psychology*, 9, 111-151.
- Mann, W. C., & Thompson, S. A. (1986). Relational propositions in discourse. *Discourse Processes*, 9, 1, 57-90. doi:10.1080/01638538609544632
- Mayer, R. E. (1996). Learning strategies for making sense out of expository text: The SOI model for guiding three cognitive processes in knowledge construction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 8, 4, 357-371. doi:10.1007/BF01463939
- McCrudden, M. T., & Kendeou, P. (2014). Exploring the link between cognitive processes and learning from refutational text. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 37, S1, S116-S140. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9817.2011.01527.x
- McGee, A., & Johnson, H. (2003). The effect of inference training on skilled and less skilled comprehenders. *Educational Psychology*, 23, 1, 49-59. doi:10.1080/01443410303220
- McKoon, G., & Ratcliff, R. (1986). Inferences about predictable events. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 12, 82-91.
- McMaster, K. L., Van den Broek, P., Espin, C. A., White, M. J., Rapp, D. N., Kendeou, P., Bohn-Gettler, C. M., & Carlson, S. (2012). Making the right connections: Differential effects of reading intervention for subgroups of comprehenders. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 22, 100-111. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2011.11.017
- McNamara, D. S. (2004) SERT: Self-Explanation Reading Training. *Discourse Processes*, 38, 1, 1-30, doi: 10.1207/s15326950dp3801_1
- McNamara, D. S., Boonthum, C., Kurby, C. A., Magliano, J., Pillarisetti, S., & Bellissens, C. (2009). Interactive paraphrase training: The development and testing of an iSTART module. In V. Dimitrova, R. Mizoguchi, B. du Boulay, & A. Graesser (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence in Education, Building Learning Systems That Care: from Knowledge Representation to Affective Modelling* (pp. 181-188). The Netherlands, IOS Press.

- McNamara, D. S., Floyd, R. G., Best, R., & Louwse M. M. (2004). World knowledge driving young readers' comprehension difficulties. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Learning Sciences*, 326-333
- McNamara, D. S., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Learning from text: Effects of prior knowledge and text coherence. *Discourse Processes*, 22, 247-287. doi:10.1080/01638539609544975
- McNamara, D. S., & Magliano, J. (2009). Toward a comprehensive model of comprehension. In B. Ross (Ed.) *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (pp. 297-384). Burlington: Academic Press.
- McNamara, D. S., Ozuru, Y. & Floyd, G. R. (2011). Comprehension challenges in the fourth grade: The roles of text cohesion, text genre, and readers' prior knowledge. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4, 1, 229-257.
- Medina, A. L., & Pilonieta, P. (2006). Once upon a Time: Comprehending Narrative Text. In J. S. Schumm (Ed.), *Reading Assessment and Instruction for all Learners* (pp. 222-261). New York: Guilford Press.
- Meyer, B. J. F. (1985). Prose analysis: Purposes, procedures, and problems. In B. K. Britten & J. B. Black (Eds.), *Understanding Expository Text: A Theoretical and Practical Handbook for Analyzing Explanatory Text* (pp. 11-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Meyer, B. J. F. (1987) Following the author's top-level organization: An important skill for reading comprehension. In R. J. Tierney, P. L. Anders, & J. N. Mitchell (Eds.), *Understanding Readers' Understanding* (pp. 59-76). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Meyer, B. J. F., Brandt, D.M., & Bluth, G.J. (1980). Use of top-level structure in text: Key for reading comprehension of ninth grade students. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16, 1, 72-103. doi:10.2307/747349
- Meyer, B. J., & Ray, M. (2011). Structure strategy interventions: Increasing reading comprehension of expository text. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4, 127-152.

- Michael, E. B., Keller, T. A., Carpenter, P. A., & Just, M. A. (2001). fMRI investigation of sentence comprehension by eye and by ear: Modality fingerprints on cognitive processes. *Human Brain Mapping, 13*, 239-252.
- Miller, A. C., & Keenan, J. M. (2009). How word reading skill impacts text memory: The centrality deficit and how domain knowledge can compensate. *Annals of Dyslexia, 59*, 2, 99-113. doi:10.1007/s11881-009-0025-x
- Miller, A. C., & Keenan, J. M. (2011). Understanding the centrality deficit: Insight from foreign language learners. *Memory & Cognition, 39*, 5, 873-883. doi:10.3758/s13421-010-0062-z
- Miltsakaki, E. (2003). *The Syntax-Discourse Interface: Effects of the Main-Subordinate Distinction on Attention Structure* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from Repository University of Pennsylvania. (AAI3087438)
- Miyake, A., & Shah, P. (Eds.). (1999). *Models of Working Memory; Mechanisms of Active Maintenance and Executive Control*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mo, L., Chen, H., Li, Y., Chen, Z., & He, X. (2007). Effects of event-related centrality on concept accessibility. *Discourse Processes, 43*, 3, 229-254. doi:10.1080/01638530701226204
- Münte, T. F., Schiltz, K., & Kutas, M. (1998). When temporal terms belie conceptual order. *Letters to Nature, 395*, 71-73. doi:10.1038/25731
- Naglieri, J. A. (2001). Do ability and reading achievement correlate? *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 34*, 4, 304-305.
- Narvaez, D., van den Broek, P., & Barrón Ruiz, A., (1999). Reading purpose, type of text and their influence on think-alouds and comprehension measures. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 91*, 3, 488-496. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.91.3.488
- Nation, K., & Snowling, M. J. (1997). Assessing reading difficulties: The validity and utility of current measures of reading skill. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 67*, 359-370. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8279.1997.tb01250.x

- Nation, K., & Snowling, M. J. (1998). Semantic processing and the development of word-recognition skills: Evidence from children with reading comprehension difficulties. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *39*, 85-101. doi:10.1006/jmla.1998.2564
- Nation, K., & Snowling, M. J. (1999). Developmental differences in sensitivity to semantic relations among good and poor comprehenders: Evidence from semantic priming. *Cognition*, *70*, B1-B13. doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(99)00004-9
- Natsopoulos, D., & Abadzi, H. (1986). Understanding linguistic time sequence and simultaneity: A literature review and some new data. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, *15*, 3, 243-273.
- Naveh-Benjamin, M., Moscovitch, M., & Roediger, H. L. (2001). *Perspectives on Human Memory and Cognitive Aging: Essays in Memory of Fergus Craik*. New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Nieuwland, M. S. (2015). The truth before and after: brain potentials reveal automatic activation of event knowledge during sentence comprehension. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *27*, 2215-2228.
- Nieuwland, M.S. (2016). Quantification, prediction and the online impact of sentence truthvalue: evidence from event-related potential. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *42*, 316-334.
- Noordman, L., Vonk, W., & Kempf, H. (1992). Causal inferences during reading of expository texts. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *31*, 573-590. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(92)90029-W
- O'Brien, E. J., Cook, A. E., & Lorch, Jr. R. F. (2015). *Inferences during reading*. UK: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107279186
- O'Brien, E. J., & Myers, J. L. (1987). The role of causal connections in the retrieval of text. *Memory & Cognition*, *15*, 419-427.
- Oakes, J. (2008). Keeping track: Structuring equality and inequality in an era of accountability. *Teachers College Record*, *110*, 3, 700-712.
- Oakhill, J., Cain, K., & Elbro, C. (2015). *Understanding and Teaching Reading Comprehension: A Handbook*. UK: Routledge.

- Oberauer, K., Süß, H.-M., Wilhelm, O., & Wittmann, W. W. (2003). The multiple faces of working memory: Storage, processing, supervision, and coordination. *Intelligence*, *31*, 167-193.
- Oberski, D. (2016). Mixture models: Latent profile and latent class analysis. In J. Robertson, & M. Kaptein (Eds.), *Modern Statistical Methods for HCI* (pp. 275-287). Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-26633-6_12
- Olson, M. W. (1985). Text type and reader ability: The effects on paraphrase and text-based inference questions. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, *3*, 199-214. doi:10.1080/10862968509547540
- Omanson, R. C. (1982a). An analysis of narratives: Identifying central, supportive, and distracting content. *Discourse Processes*, *5*, 195–224.
- Omanson, R. C. (1982b). The relation between centrality and story category variation. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *21*, 3, 326–337.
- Otten, M., Nieuwland, M. S., & Van Berkum, J. J. (2007). Great expectations: Specific lexical anticipation influences the processing of spoken language. *BMC neuroscience*, *8*, 1, 89.
- Otten, M., & van Berkum, J.J.A. (2009). Does working memory capacity affect the ability to predict upcoming words in discourse? *Brain Research*, *1291*, 92-101.
- Pander Maat, H., Kraf, R., & Dekker, N. (2017). *Handleiding T-Scan*. Retrieved from <https://webservices-1st.science.ru.nl/tscan/> on July 17th, 2018.
- Pickering, M.J., & Traxler, M.J. (1998). Plausibility and recovery from garden paths: an eyetracking study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, *24*, 7278-7393.
- Poolman, B. G., Leseman, P. P. M., Doornenbal, J. M., & Minnaert, A. E. M. G. (2017). Development of the language proficiency of five- to seven-year-olds in rural areas. *Early Child Development and Care*, *187*, 3-4, 756-777. doi:10.1080/03004430.2016.1203787
- Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal Protocols of Reading: The Nature of Constructively Responsive Reading*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Pyykkönen, P., & Järvikivi, J. (2012). Children and situation models of multiple events. *Developmental Psychology, 48*, 2, 521-529. doi:10.1037/a0025526
- R Core Team. (2017). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Rapp, D. N., & Mensink, M. C. (2011). Focusing effects from online and offline reading tasks. In M. T. McCrudden, J. P. Magliano, & G. Schraw (Eds.), *Text Relevance and Learning from Text* (pp. 141-164). Charlotte, NC, US: IAP Information Age Publishing.
- Rapp, D. N., & van den Broek, P. (2005). Dynamic text comprehension: An integrative view of reading. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14*, 5, 276-279. doi:10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00380.x
- Rapp, D. N., van den Broek, P., McMaster, K.L., Kendeou, P., & Espin, C.A. (2007). Higher-order comprehension processes in struggling readers: A perspective for research and intervention. *Scientific Studies of Reading, 11*, 289-312. doi:10.1080/10888430701530417
- Raven, J. (2008). General introduction and overview: The Raven Progressive Matrices Tests: Their theoretical basis and measurement model. In J. Raven & J. Raven (Eds.) *Uses and Abuses of Intelligence: Studies Advancing Spearman and Raven's Quest for Non-Arbitrary Metrics*. (pp. 17-68). Unionville, New York: Royal Fireworks Press.
- Raven, J., Raven, J. C., & Court, J. H. (1998). *Manual for Raven's Progressive Matrices and Vocabulary Scales. Section 1: General Overview*. San Antonio: Harcourt Assessment.
- Rayner, K. (1998). Eye movements in reading and information processing: 20 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin, 124*, 372-422
- Rayner, K., & Sereno, S. C. (1994). Eye movements in reading: Psycholinguistic studies. In Gernsbacher, Morton Ann (Ed), (1994). *Handbook of Psycholinguistics*. (pp. 57-81). San Diego, CA, US: Academic Press.
- Rayner, K., Warren, T., Juhasz, B. J., & Liversedge, S.P. (2004). The effect of plausibility on eye movements in reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 30*, 1290-1301.

- Samuelstuen, M. S., & Bråten, I. (2005). Decoding, knowledge, and strategies in comprehension of expository text. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, *46*, 107-117. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2005.00441.x
- Sanford, A. J. (2002). Context, attention and depth of processing during interpretation. *Mind and Language*, *17*, 188-206. doi:10.1111/1468-0017.00195
- Schellings, G., Aarnoutse, C., & van Leeuwe, J. (2006). Third-grader's think-aloud protocols: Types of reading activities in reading an expository text. *Learning and Instruction*, *16*, 6, 549-568. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2006.10.004
- Schlichting, L. (2005). *Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-III-NL*. Amsterdam: Harcourt Test Publishers.
- Schmiedek, F., Hildebrandt, A., Lövdén, M., Lindenberger, U., & Wilhelm, O. (2009). Complex span versus updating tasks of working memory: the gap is not that deep. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *35*, 4, 1089-1096. doi:10.1037/a0015730.
- Schneider, W., Kron-Sperl, V., & Hünnerkopf, M. (2009). The development of young children's memory strategies: Evidence from the Würzburg Longitudinal Memory Study. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, *6*, 1, 70-99. doi: 10.1080/17405620701336802.
- Schwanenflugel, P. J., & LaCount, K. L. (1988). Semantic relatedness and the scope of facilitation for upcoming words in sentences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *14*, 344-354.
- Seipel, B., Carlson, S. E., & Clinton, V. E. (2017). When do comprehender groups differ? A moment-by-moment analysis of think-aloud protocols of good and poor comprehenders. *Reading Psychology*, *38*, 1-32. doi:10.1080/02702711.2016.1216489
- Singer, M., Andrusiak, P., Reisdorf, P., & Black, N. L. (1992). Individual differences in bridging inference processes. *Memory & Cognition*, *20*, 5, 539-548. doi:10.3758/BF03199586
- Singer, M., & Ritchot, K. F. M. (1996). The role of working memory capacity and knowledge access in text inference processing. *Memory & Cognition*, *24*, 733-743.

- Snow, C. E. & RAND (2002). *Reading for Understanding: Toward an R&D Program in Reading Comprehension*. Rand Corporation, CA, USA.
- Staub, A., Rayner, K., Pollatsek, A., Hyönä, J., & Majewski, H. (2007). The time course of plausibility effects on eye movements in reading: evidence from noun-noun compounds. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *33*, 1162-1169.
- St. George, M., Mannes, S., & Hoffman, J. E. (1997). Individual differences in inference generation: An ERP analysis. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *9*, 776-787.
- Sturt, P. (2007). Semantic re-interpretation and garden path recovery. *Cognition*, *105*, 477-488.
- Swaab, T. Y., Ledoux, K., Camblin, C. C., & Boudewyn, M. A. (2012). *Language-related ERP components*. *Oxford handbook of event-related potential components*, 397-440.
- Swanson, H. L. (1992). Generality and modifiability of working memory among skilled and less skilled readers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *84*, 4, 473.
- Swanson, H. L., Cochran, K. F., & Ewers, C. A. (1989). Working memory in skilled and less skilled readers. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *17*, 2, 145-156.
- Säljö, Roger (2015). *Lärande. En introduktion till perspektiv och metaforer*. Sweden: Gleerups.
- Taylor, B. (1980). Children's memory for expository text after reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *15*, 399-411.
- Taylor, B. (1982). Text structure and children's comprehension and memory for expository materials. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *15*, 401-405.
- Tellings, A., Hulsbosch, M., Vermeer, A., & van den Bosch, A. (2015). BasiLex: an 11.5-million words corpus of Dutch texts written for children. *Computational Linguistics in the Netherlands Journal*, *4*, 191-208.
- Till, R. E., Mross, E. F., & Kintsch, W. (1988). Time course of priming for associate and inference words in a discourse context. *Memory & Cognition*, *16*, 283-298.

- Trabasso, T., & Magliano, J. P. (1996). Conscious understanding during comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, 21, 3, 255-287.
doi.org/10.1080/01638539609544959
- Trosborg, A. (1982). Children's comprehension of before and after reinvestigated. *Journal of Child Language*, 9, 2, 381-402.
doi:10.1017/S0305000900004773
- Tun, P. A. (1989). Age differences in processing expository and narrative text. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*, 44, 9-15.
- Turley-Ames, K. & Whitfield, M. (2003). Strategy training and working memory task performance. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 49, 4, 446-468.
doi: 10.1016/S0749-596X(03)00095-0.
- van Berkum, J. J., Hagoort, P., & Brown, C. (1999). Semantic integration in sentences and discourse: Evidence from the N400. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 11, 657-671.
- van den Broek, P. W. (1990). The causal inference maker: Towards a process model of inference generation in text comprehension. In: D. A. Balota, G. B. Flores d'Arcais & K. Rayner (Eds.), *Comprehension Processes in Reading* (pp. 423-446). Hillsdale, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- van den Broek, P. W. (2010). Using texts in science education: Cognitive processes and knowledge representation. *Science*, 328, 453-456.
- van den Broek, P., Espin, C., McMaster, K., & Helder, A. (2017). Developing reading comprehension interventions – Perspectives from theory and practice. In E. Segers & P. van den Broek (Eds.), *Developmental Perspectives in Written Language and Literacy* (pp. 85-101). Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company. Doi:10.1075/z.206
- van den Broek, P., Fletcher, C. R., & Risdien, K. (1993). Investigations of inferential processes in reading: A theoretical and methodological integration. *Discourse Processes*, 16, 169-180.
- van den Broek, P., Fletcher, C., & Risdien, K. (1993). Investigations of inferential processes in reading: A theoretical and methodological integration. *Discourse Processes*, 16, 169-180.

- van den Broek, P. W., & Kendeou, P. (2008). Cognitive processes in comprehension of science texts: The role of co-activation in confronting misconceptions. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 3, 335-351. doi:10.1002/acp.1418
- van den Broek, P., & Kendeou, P. (2017). Development of reading comprehension: Change and continuity in the ability to construct coherent representations. In K. Cain, D.L. Compton, & R. K. Parrila (Eds.), *Theories of Reading Development* (pp. 283-305). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- van den Broek, P., Lorch, R. F., Linderholm, T., & Gustafson, M. (2001). The effects of readers' goals on inference generation and memory for text. *Memory & Cognition*, 29, 1081-1087. doi:10.3758/BF03206376
- van den Broek, P.W., Risdien, K., Fletcher, C. R., & Thurlow, R. (1996) A 'landscape' view of reading: Fluctuating patterns of activation and the construction of a stable memory representation. In: Britton, B.K. & Graesser, A.C. (Eds.), *Models of Understanding Text* (pp. 165-187). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- van den Broek, P., Young, M., Tzeng, Y., & Linderholm, T. (1999). The landscape model of reading: Inferences and the online construction of a memory representation. In H. van Oostendorp & S. R. Goldman (Eds.), *The Construction of Mental Representations During Reading* (pp. 62-87). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- van Dijk, T. A., & Kintsch, W. (1983). *Strategies of Discourse Comprehension*. New York: Academic Press.
- Van Petten, C. V. (1993). A comparison of lexical and sentence-level context effects in event related potentials. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 8, 485-531.
- van Silfhout, G., Evers-Vermeul, J., & Sanders, T. (2015). Connectives as processing signals: How students benefit in processing narrative and expository texts. *Discourse Processes*, 52, 1, 47-76. doi:10.1080/0163853X.2014.905237
- Vermunt, J. K., & Magidson, J. (2013). *Technical Guide for Latent GOLD 5.0: Basic, Advanced, and Syntax*. Belmont, MA: Statistical Innovations Inc.

- Vermunt, J. K., Tran, B., & Magidson, J. (2008). Latent class models in longitudinal research. In S. Menard (Eds.), *Handbook of Longitudinal Research: Design, Measurement, and Analysis* (pp. 373-385). Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Virtue, S., van den Broek, P., & Linderholm, T. (2006). Hemispheric processing of inferences: The effects of textual constraint and working memory capacity. *Memory & Cognition*, *34*, 6, 1341-1354. doi: 10.3758/BF03193276
- Warren, T., McConnell, K., Rayner, K. (2008). Effects of context on eye movements when reading about possible and impossible events. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *34*, 1001-1010.
- Weekers, A., Groenen, I., Kleintjes, F., & Feenstra, H. (2011). *Begrijpend Lezen groep 7 en 8 LOVS*. Arnhem: Cito B.V.
- Weingartner, K. M., Guzmán, A. E., Levine, W. H., & Klin, C. M. (2003). When throwing a vase has multiple consequences: Minimal encoding of predictive inferences. *Discourse Processes*, *36*, 131–146.
- Whitney, P., Ritchie, B.G., & Clark, M.B. (1991). Working memory capacity and the use of elaborative inferences in text comprehension. *Discourse Processes*, *14*, 133–145.
- Whitney, P., Ritchie, B. G., & Crane, R. S. (1992). The effect of foregrounding on readers' use of predictive inferences. *Memory & Cognition*, *20*, 4, 424-432.
- Williams, J. P., Hall, K. M., & Lauer, K. D. (2004). Teaching expository text structure to young at-risk learners: Building the basics of comprehension instructions. *Exceptionality*, *12*, 3, 129-144. doi:10.1207/s15327035ex1203_2
- Williams, J. P., & Pao, L. S., (2011). Teaching narrative and expository text structure to improve comprehension. In R. E. O'Connor & P. F. Vadasy (Eds.), *Handbook of Reading Interventions* (pp. 254-278). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Willingham, D. T. (2015). *Raising Kids Who Read: What Parents and Teachers can Do*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Wolfe, M. (2005). Memory for narrative and expository text: Independent influences of semantic associations and text organization. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *31*, 359–364. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.31.2.359
- Wolfe, M. B., & Woodwyk, J. M. (2010). Processing and memory of information presented in narrative or expository texts. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *80*, 3, 341-362. doi:10.1348/000709910X485700
- Wood, S. G., Moxley, J. H., Tighe, E. L., & Wagner, R. K. (2018). Does use of text-to-speech and related read-aloud tools improve reading comprehension for students with reading disabilities? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *51*, 1, 73-84. doi: 10.1177/0022219416688170.
- Ye, Z., Kutas, M., St George, M., Sereno, M. I., Ling, F., & Münte, T. F. (2012a). Rearranging the world: Neural network supporting the processing of temporal connectives. *NeuroImage*, *59*, 4, 3662-3667. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.039
- Ye, Z., Milenkova, M., Mohammadi, B., Kollwe, K., Schrader, C., Dengler, R., Samii, A., & Münte, T. F. (2012b). Impaired comprehension of temporal connectives in Parkinson's disease - A neuroimaging study. *Neuropsychologia*, *50*, 8, 1794-1800. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.04.004
- Yeari, M., Oudega, M., & van den Broek, P. (2016). The effect of highlighting on processing and memory of central and peripheral text information: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 1-19. doi:10.1111/1467-9817.12072
- Yeari, M., van den Broek, P., & Oudega, M. (2015). Processing and memory of central versus peripheral information as a function of reading goals: Evidence from eye-movements. *Reading and Writing*, *28*, 8, 1071–1097. doi:10.1007/s11145-015-9561-4
- Yekovich, F. R., & Walker, C. H. (1986). Retrieval of scripted concepts. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *25*, 5, 627-644.
- Zabrocky, K., & Ratner, H. H. (1992). Effects of passage type on comprehension monitoring and recall in good and poor readers. *Journal of Literacy Research*, *24*, 3, 373-391.

- Zielinski, B. A., Gennatas, E. D., Zhou, J., & Seeley, W.W. (2010). Network-level structural covariance in the developing brain. *PNAS*, *107*, 42, 18191-18196. doi:10.1073/pnas.1003109107
- Zwaan, R. A. (1996). Processing narrative time shifts. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, *22*, 5, 1196-1207.
- Zwaan, R. A., Magliano, J. P., & Graesser, A. C. (1995). Dimensions of situation model construction in narrative comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology of Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *21*, 386-397.