



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Law in the context of Nkoya society

Binsbergen, W.M.J.van; Roberts, S.

### Citation

Binsbergen, W. M. Jvan. (1977). Law in the context of Nkoya society. *Law And The Family In Africa*, 39-68. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/8929>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License:

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/8929>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Indeed, Gluckman's Barotse studies rank among the few classics of legal anthropology, and form the indispen-sable starting point for anyone who, as I did, engages in a study of social control among a so-called Barotse subject tribe, the Nkoya, some three decades after Gluckman's first Barotse fieldwork. With a wealth of descriptive detail, great insight into the wider social background, and inspiring theoretical and methodological sophistication, Gluckman traced the Barotse administration within the relatively autonomous Barotse administration of the 1940's. He laid bare the notions underlying Barotse law, the principles of their functioning (whose built-in contradictions he revealed and explained) and their connections with the general Barotse ideological and political system within the relatively autonomous Barotse administration of the 1940's.

Gluckman's Barotse studies rank among the few classics of legal anthropology, and form the indispen-sable starting point for anyone who, as I did, engages in a study of social control among a so-called Barotse subject tribe, the Nkoya, some three decades after Gluckman's first Barotse fieldwork. With a wealth of descriptive detail, great insight into the wider social background, and inspiring theoretical and methodological sophistication, Gluckman traced the Barotse administration within the relatively autonomous Barotse administration of the 1940's. He laid bare the notions underlying Barotse law, the principles of their functioning (whose built-in contradictions he revealed and explained) and their connections with the general Barotse ideological and political system within the relatively autonomous Barotse administration of the 1940's.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*University of Leiden*

WIM M.J. VAN BINSBERGEN

Law in the context of Nkoya society

The Nkoya was in many parts limited, the Nkoya came to be considered one of the Lozi subject tribes, and it is as such that they entered colonial rule at the turn of the century. Since colonial rule based its legitimacy on a treaty with the Lozi King, the white administration boosted the Lozi political claims and favoured the development of a system where Lozi representatives would take up residence with the major Nkoya chiefs and natives (indunas) would share in their political and judicial functions (Stokes 1996). Until then the imbecate, shifting political structure of the Nkoya had centred on the open competition for major and minor chiefly titles, most of which would exist only a few generations between their emergence (as the proper name of a 'big man', leader of a powerful following), and their sinking into disuse. Lozi and colonial rule supplanted this system by a theoretically clear-cut hierarchy of village headmen, minor chiefs, indunas, and senior Nkoya chiefs, under the ultimate authority of the Lozi Paramount Chief. The Local Court little more than this nominal relation exists today. Thus, in Chief Kithembe's area (on which we shall concentrate in this chapter), in the following situation. The court, which used to be at the palace, is now located at a distance of 30km, in the Kapondwe area that has a high incidence of recent non-Nkoya immigrants, and that only after a post-independence redefinition of 'chief's' areas fell to Kithembe. The chief appoints only one member of the Local Court, in consultation with the local minor chiefs, headmen and elders, and subject to the approval of the Court and of Legal Affairs. Once appointed this member is in no way answerable to the chief, and he is paid, and trained, by Government. The Local Court's membership is partly Lozi, proceedings are predominantly in Lozi, and jurisdiction consists of the Lozi legal tradition, adapted to local and to modern conditions.

*Law in the context of Nkoya society* 41

With the general expansion of long-distance trade and slavery in the area during the last few centuries, immigrants of Northern origin brought about a sharp increase of political scale, superimposing a new and more elaborate chief-centred political system upon the older pattern of minor hunting tribes presiding over local elders. Among the neighbouring Luyi which in the second half of the nineteenth century brought most of the Nkoya under Lozi political influence. Although Lozi political control over by the Katalo invasion from South Africa, led to a political expansion by the Zambezi flood plain, and intensified eminently favourable ecology of the Zambezi process, but stimulated by the latter called Lozi) (Maringa 1973) a similar process, but stimulated by the eminently favourable ecology of the Zambezi flood plain, and intensified by the Nkoya under Lozi political influence. Although Lozi political control over

In this chapter I shall first argue these rather sweeping statements concerning the Nkoya legal system. I shall qualify them in the light of the actual, if limited, occurrence of Local Court cases under specific conditions. After an attempt to interpret the Nkoya legal situation against the by-norms of their village society, I shall conclude by briefly comparing the nematics of their village society, I shall conclude by briefly comparing the Nkoya to the Central Lozi and other Central African groups, and by pointing out the methodological implications of the present approach.

1975, 1976, a, b) is today the name of various groups of people who inhabit the light forests of the plateau of Central Western Zambia. They speak with dialectical variations, a common Central-Bantu language known as Nkoya, and largely share a common culture, in which hunting, chieftainship, bilateral kinship, sorcery, and the village dead are some of the major

Nkoya (Bresford n.d.; 15f; Clay 1945; McCulloch 1951; Van Binsbergen 1975, 1976, b) is today the name of various groups of people who inhabit the light forests of the plateau of Central Western Zambia. They speak with dialectical variations, a common Central-Bantu language known as Nkoya, and largely share a common culture, in which hunting, chieftainship, bilateral kinship, sorcery, and the village dead are some of the major

## 2. THE NKOYA: THE LOCAL COURT

## 2. THE NKOYA: THE LOCAL COURT

Yet, my own research findings among the rural Nkoya, in the first analysis, seem to run counter to whatever could be anticipated on the basis of Gluckman's approach. In the Society I studied formal Local Courts (the imediate heirs to the chief's courts Gluckman described) did exist all night, but they were peripheral rather than central to the judicial process, and to the conflict-resolution process in general, and such conflicts as most deeply concerned and aroused local communities, were hardly subject to

*Mwanu wa hakati ka jifumo* (the child is in the middle of the womb). Here we have in a nutshell the basic principle of bilateral descent: *jifumo*, womb, stands for line of descent through either the father or mother. A person's patriline and matriline have essentially the same rights over him, just as he has similar rights over both clusters of kinsmen. No single individual or group has complete, exclusive rights over a person. And, while patriline and matriline are free to compete over the realization of whatever claims of domestic, economic, political and religious support they may

developed into insoluble conflict, leading, through a phase of intense sorcery accusations, to fission, which individuals or small factions moving to other villages or starting a new village on their own. Fissiparous tendencies are built into the very structure of Nkoya society. On the one hand those societies that foster the model of the happy, stable village of more or less precisely known kinsmen, headed by the successor to a chieftain title, and because Nkoya society regards succession to a chieftain title, and thereby makes a village of one's own, as the ideal culmination of a man's career, necessarily induces the model of the happy, stable village of more or less precisely known kinsmen, headed by the successor to a chieftain title. On the other hand fissiparous societies that foster the model of the happy, stable village of more or less precisely known kinsmen, headed by the successor to a chieftain title and to pursue the same objective of individual autonomy that counteracts the values of authority and respect. Succession among the Nkoya is largely a matter of achievement. A new incumbent is chosen out of a large pool of people (bilateral kinsmen of previous incumbents) who live dispersed in several villages over a vast geographic area. In principle, therefore, every talented man has the chance to acquire, in the end, a senior title and his own village. But this means that men from their late thirties on are in constant competition with their age-mates and their seniors over successions to titles which ordinarily can only become available upon the previous incumbent's death: a set-up conducive to ill-will, sorcery, sorcery accusations, — to such an extent as to make death a unlikely occurrence, in the eyes of the Nkoya.

### **3. VILLAGE LIFE AND INTRA-VILLAGE CONFLICT**

A central theme in Nkoya social structure is the tendency for multiplex social relations between co-residing kinsmen (members of a village) to

It is the main point of my argument that the Local Court remains at the fringe of Nakoya rural society, largely because it represents a mode of conflict regulation which, given the structure of the society and the participants, conceives internal alternatives to the Local Court as well developed and effective.

1975) there is some distrust of the application of the law by Lozi Court members. But these are minor factors. Much wider geographical distances are covered in the normal routine of subsistence, ceremony, and recreation. And against occasional allegations of anti-Lozi discriminatory practices, state governments supporting the Local Court in its present form. There are no allegations that the Local Court upholds a law that is at variance with Nkoya law. For even if the population is aware of minor discrepancies between Court and village practice (cf. note 8), a century of increasing incorporation into the state systems of the Lozi kingdom, Northern Rhodesia, and the Republic of Zambia (both in the rural area and in the course of labour migration) has led the Nkoya at least to recognize, and subscribe to, a comprehensive legal order beyond the limits of Nkoya rural society.

Nkoya villagers consider the task of protection against open violence and crime in the narrower sense to lie ultimately with this comprehensive legal order; they show no reluctance to deliver trouble-makers and criminals from their own ranks to the outside agents of this order. However, the major problem of Nkoya village life is not posed by the odd thug, but by the everyday struggle to maintain a liveable social order in which multipe, face-to-face relationships and precarious economic conditions are the main elements. The allocation of personnel, goods, services, rights, and status presents a continuous, many-faceted problem always prone to pre-cipitate acute crisis. This may be true for any society; it is all the more true for contemporary Nkoya rural society.

In these circumstances, whose economy balances around the minimum subsistence level and moreover has to accommodate claims for assistance from (temporarily) urban members. In these fundamental social processes one would suppose the Local Court to be very frequently resorted to—but this is not so.

If crucial interaction in Nkoya society focuses on kinship; if kinship roles merely stipulate expectations which generally lack effective formal sanctioning, Ego retains the right to choose between them in his own interest. Whatever the specific content (in terms of transactions of goods and services) a particular kinship relation between Nkoya will have, depends on the dynamics of the concrete situation in which these two and themselves. A general morality (enforced by the village dead, fear of sorcery, and by the dynamics of status allocation) prescribes universal norms and reasonable relations. Interaction between kinmen is based not so much on norms and rights, but on general expectations, and here disappoiment and resentment are rife.

Fission and inter-village migration constitute powerful mechanisms to make Nkoya society possible at all? Village at least once in their lifetime, many have moved much more often, and in a majority of cases an insoluble conflict was at the bottom of the change in village affiliation. A person staying in a village (e.g., his father's) and feeling that he does not get his due, will contemplate what other possibilities he may have: the villages of his mother's brothers, or his classificatory fathers, actual and assumed grandparents, his joking partners (ba-thuknu: putative grandchildren) falling these, his own new village, and do have expectations about what portion of the inheritance will be shared out among them. They may even present these expectations as based on the law (*mitato*). However, these expectations are based on sense that formal redistributive action could be undertaken on the basis of them. Should someone fail altogether to honour the expectations, then there is no effective judicial or other mechanism to make him part with the inheritance. The relatives are left with their resement, and are likely to leave the village without a leader, he did not share out part of the inheritance among the entire inheritance and returned to his place. So, in addition to collecting the previous Shiphander's belongings (as a headman should do), he denounces the entire inheritance and instead of taking up residence among the Kabsesha, who for several decades had stayed at the distant court of Chief Kath-kabsesha, his cousin in a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin included the spirit of the village that there was nothing else they could have done – least of all take the matter to court.

A. *The Greedy* Successor. Headman Shiphander had amassed considerable wealth, including a gun, a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin Kabsesha managed to get himself elected at the distant court of Chief Kath-kabsesha, who for several decades had stayed at the village of his cousin in a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin included the spirit of the village that there was nothing else they could have done – least of all take the matter to court.

B. *The Greedy* Successor. Headman Shiphander had amassed considerable wealth, including a gun, a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin Kabsesha managed to get himself elected at the distant court of Chief Kath-kabsesha, who for several decades had stayed at the village of his cousin in a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin included the spirit of the village that there was nothing else they could have done – least of all take the matter to court.

C. *The Greedy* Successor. Headman Shiphander had amassed considerable wealth, including a gun, a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin Kabsesha managed to get himself elected at the distant court of Chief Kath-kabsesha, who for several decades had stayed at the village of his cousin in a sewing machine, and a wireless set. When he died, his cousin included the spirit of the village that there was nothing else they could have done – least of all take the matter to court.

The third major form of conflict regulation (which, contrary to the two discussed so far, goes on continuously in every Nakoya village) is the informal action by which most minor clashes are prevented from developing to an ultimate stage of fission and scerry. The petty frictions and irritations that spring from living closely together and from sharing crucial economic, political and religious tasks are the subject of constant

which amounts to accepting the rupture as irreparable.

Example B shows how religious institutions focusing on the village shrine can underpin a process of intra-familial reconciliation and seal its ultimate completion, provided that non-religious social conditions towards resolution are present. More often however, among the Nkoya, it is a conflict spills over into the religious sphere, this means that the development of the conflict is accelerated, and that whatever potential for reconciliation may have existed, is now lost forever. The conflict will leave the sphere of everyday inter-personal interaction and the exchange of goods and non-religious services, and, more or less under a symbolic guise, will live on mainly in the ritual sphere. The outcome of example A was a typical one: after division had pointed out (no doubt as a result of the divider's knowledge of prevailing social relationships) the conflict allegedly dispelled inherence), while concerned about the spirit's attack, all attention of children and adults that had survived the sphere of sorcery, little is left but ritual kabesha subsequently concentrated on the ritual means of silencing the spirit. Once conflict has entered the sphere of sorcery, little is left but ritual kabesha.

Sheltonaga's prestige; at another drinking session he had become violent and insulted Dickeyson's relatives with his肆意的言行. His cousin Dickson was eager to restore the good relations between Dickeyson and his father, whereas on the other hand Dickeyson's relatives with the cousin whom he had put up pressed for a reconciliation between Dickeyson and his father. This siblings in town firm support between the children when in town. His wife, the pride and concern that the sons take in their father, and the wife, the lasting marriage of Sheltonaga and his wife, by the lasting marriage of Sheltonaga as manifested, e.g., by the lasting marriage of Sheltonaga and Sheltonaga family in general displays exceptionally high harmony and integration as manifested, e.g., by the lasting marriage of Sheltonaga and his wife, despite such momentary disruptions as described in this case, the fact that, despite such momentary disruptions as described in this case, the Another contumacious factor in the resolution of the conflict lies in the insecure person, not afford to let the size of his effective following diminish. But on the other hand he could, both as a leader and as a politically failure to control his child. So a second time Sheltonaga had to act firmly. But on the other hand he could, both as a leader and as a politically insecure person, not afford to let the size of his effective following diminish.

*Law in the context of Nkoya society* 47

In this intra-family conflict, resolution was possible, and even imperative, for a complex of reasons. Shelonaga is highly respected throughout the chieftancy, because of his wisdom and integrity. His political position however is precarious. About twenty years ago he left his distant valley, as a result of intra-family conflict. He took refuge in Mukuta's village, where his mother had come from. Since his migration, throughout a complex, conflict-hidden local-political process, Shelonaga had always refused (partly under pressure from his sons, who feared for their father's life) to succeed to the several very senior chiefly titles that circulate in his family. As a result he now finds himself as the reluctant *de facto* headman of a small village, with his only daughter and three of his four surviving sons in town, and surrounded by villages whose headmen are closely related to him but most of whom consider him as a major rival in the competition for titles and honour. In the past Dickson had already given a heavy blow to

2. *The Son who ran away*. Dickson, a man in his early twenties, was in love with a Lizi girl from the Kapondwe area, some 20 km. away from his village. His relatives, among whom his father Shelongga is prominent, wanted him to give up the girl; they did not favour a marital tie with Lizi people, whom they alluded to as 'high bridge' prices and to lack respect for Nkoya affines; moreover, Dickson's relatives feared the high damages that would be due in the case of a settlement or a premarital affair. They refused to assist Dickson financially, and he had no money of his own. Tensions mounted and the result was that Dickson ran away from his father Shelongga to seek his fortune. He set fire to his own house and threw his father's bicycle as well as his elder brother's wireless set in the flames - the inconceivable waste of long years of trifling. He set fire to his own kalmagilages compound, of which his cousin is the leader. After the better part of a year he finally managed to secure a job. Throughout his stay in town he kept letters communicating regularly with the village with his sister in Lusaka, who through frequent contact with his elder brother and his wife in Lusaka, who through letters from tubers from the redoubled shambu that constitutes the village. Taken from the sacred spot that represents the village, its unity, ancestors, and continuity, the tubers symbolize that Dickson is still considered attached to the very life of the village, in other words that he carries (you are no longer my son) may be little. When Dickson receives the curse (you are no longer my son), he is sold to the tubers he allowed to take a long weekend from his job than he is quick to take the hint. No sooner is he conciled his father to him and - to elope with the Lizi girl! In the village small ritual is performed at the shrine: the curse is revoked, and a player is sold to the ancestors ('We were misled, we quarrelled, but now we have regaled our mutual understanding'). Still the family is not prepared to give in as far as his Lizi love is concerned, and Dickson is again angry about this, but this time he controls himself well.

But this central concern of avoiding open conflict is often overtaken by the equally important values of individual autonomy and honour; and then expressions of animosity, sometimes violence, do occur. Against the plea for unity and understanding stands the management of self-respect and honour, expressed by the aggressive assertion: *'Ami n'ntuume!*, I am a man!'. Usually, one has sufficient opportunity to assert one's honour in the course

The principal aim of conflict resolution in an intra-familial context is not to define and administer specific rights and obligations as attached to particular kinship roles but to take away the sting from whatever animosity has arisen. Such conflict resolution cannot afford to go into the depths of latent fissiparous tendencies, old grievances, fundamental incompatibility of characters, as will usually exist within the family group. On the contrary, observes. The standard approach is to hush up matters, to emphasize that a full discussion would be a waste of time; no formal setting is given to the discussion, the reconstruction of the events leading to a petty conflict is intentionally kept scatty and the analysis superficial, and often the matter is abandoned in the most inconclusive manner. The parties may not be entirely satisfied, but the general appeal to their responsibilities towards group unity keeps them from saying so openly. Each has a strong personal interest in keeping peace within the family group: both for reasons of future assistance and for the avoidance of sorcery.

wrong against abstract, unnatural criteria of formalized rules of behavior—but as a direct threat to group unity. Nkoya consider such unity essential for both collective and individual well-being. Without unity, the village members will not be able to co-operate in their crucial tasks; the village would be hit by shame, ancestral revenge, sorcery, and (as the minima! subsistence barrier is so very near) by hunger, disease, and death. It is not the optimistic conviction of the actual existence of village unity, but on the contrary the awareness of continually being on the edge of disruption, that makes the village deeply afraid of open expressions of conflict—their may well turn out to be the straw that breaks the camel's back. Meanwhile, no doubt, it is in the direct, personal political interest of those in authority (elders, the headman) to pose as the advocates of such a lofty goal as village unity; underneath their manipulation a measure of cynical manipulation of their fellow-villagers might be discerned, but this does not greatly diminish the generally integrative effect of their pleas for unity.

In this form of conflict settlement emphasis is not on justice and formal rules of behaviour. It is 'discussing' (*ku-ambola*), not a 'court case' (*mulanda*). The headman is hardly concerned with assessing who was right or wrong and does not press for admission of guilt. Rather he applies all his psychological skills and wisdom to appeal to the parties' obligations vis-à-vis the integrity and solidarity of the village. He emphasizes that village unity (*ku-jivua*: understanding) is primarily in their own and their children's interest. The appeal is usually strengthened by reference to the disasters that struck members of certain villages that had not managed to preserve their unity; and this will not be a tale of the distant past, but the accounts of the misfortunes of well-known close kinsmen of those present. The headman has a practical, informal kinship in these situations insofar as he represents the unity of the village. He is the one who, on occasion of his installation at the village shrine, has ritually inherited the name and social person of the village founder; and although he is not considered to have control over the actions of the now deceased former members of the village (he cannot invoke the dead in order to add supernatural sanctions to his authority), people believe that the dead have power to interfere and take revenge on those living members whose actions threaten village unity. The headman is the main link between the living and the dead, and when he has managed to enrich a more serious-looking intra-village conflict, he informs the dead of the outcome through a short prayer and offering of mealie-meal at the village shrine.

security and concern especially of those who are held responsible for the integrity, general welfare, and honour of the village: the village headman (who may leave part of his tasks to a younger assistant), and any other elder people of either sex. Seeds of disruption are quickly detected and brought to the fore in informal impromptu gatherings of those involved. They concern trivialities; children who make noise and upset the village; young, inexperienced wives who are late in sending their prepared meals to the men's shelter; a mother-in-law who leaves too much of the heavy job of pounding to her daughters-in-law; a man who cannot hold his drink. The successful headman is the one who manages to deal with these Petty issues in such a way as to avoid their becoming laden with such long-standing grievances as individuals or factions associated with either party in the petty conflict may have.

One class of such situations revolves around the management of honour and respect (*shishamo*) in the interaction between individuals and between groups. Considering kinship and familial linksmen of various categories, members of different generations, of either sex, of different local groups, common village members vis-à-vis headmen and chiefs, a chief vis-à-vis his headmen unit.

A capitalist this background of the suppression of expression of antagonism, a few social situations have to be isolated where the rights and obligations attached to certain roles are sufficiently well-defined to be susceptible to formal litigation - which may then reinforce, instead of threaten, group

#### 4. LITIGATION IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD COURT:

The success or failure of informal intra-familial conflict resolution reveals the degrees of structural stability of the village at that moment. If a party is intent on leaving, has an alternative place to go to, and/or is already considered expendable in his present village, then conflict settle- ment is likely to be unsuccessful, and the conflict will be allowed to escalate. In the opposite case, settlement will be accepted. On this basis a complex model of village stability could be constructed, taking into account such parameters as: age and sex of the village members, kinship relation to the headman, availability of residential alternatives, ecological pressure within the village, etc. This, however, falls beyond the scope of the present paper.

The values of village unity mean that one cannot simply move from one place and settle in another without good reasons. One cannot overtly refer to one's desire to become autonomous; and (in view of the lack of specificity in the stipulation of kinship role behaviour) one's objection to the treatment in the present village of residence is often too vague and too general to convince widely. Thus, to force the issue, petty occasions have to be meant in the present village of residence is often too vague and too general to be converted into a socially acceptable pretext for leaving.

do realize that it is just your child being of a sickly constitution, and don't come to us accusing us of committing sorcery against him". This skillfully neutralizes Yona's argument. That the village is severely tried during these weeks, nobody denies; it is in fact an issue debated night and day. However, the *kun-aboola* has now manipulated Yona into a situation where he can no longer withdraw himself off the rest of the village; he would himself become liable to accusations if he did. He stayed on.

C. The sick child. A few years previously Yona, now in his early thirties, had left his village in the valley of Kashanada. Returning from work in town he found his father's village considerably diminished, his wife and children neglected, and his baby son very ill. So he left after a row and settled in Malasha village distant uterine kin. The child recovered there, but never became very healthy. Shortly after two adult members of Malasha village had died in quick succession, Yona's children fell ill, prompting the problem of old. In a *ku-ambola* session with the headman and other adult villagers, Yona complained that his village was becoming a bad place (the implication is: full of unindemnified sorcerers). He points out that if the health of the children does not improve soon, he will move again to another village. He knows, as admitted on a different occasion, that he would have no other place to go but back to his agnatic kin, where he would hardly be welcome. The elders of Malasha village appreciate his fears; they too are in a state of frenzy over the recent deaths. On the other hand, Yona's remonstration implies that he takes them to task for failure to protect the village; moreover Nkoya believe that those occupied by sorcery, or aspiting, to high status may turn to themselves — the him is clear, and covetily arouses, and distresses, the influences that he suspects his elder fellow villagers of being responsible for the evil publicly that he enhances their powers. In the situation, Yona needs scarcely say exactly to whom they are referring.

D. Now that he is ill again you may go and take him elsewhere if you wish, but exit out of the village. Quietly he is reminded: You came here while your son was allowed to escalate, no offence is taken publicly, and Yona is not offered an easy alternative to every keen on retaining Yona's support. Therefore, the situation is not like that he is ill again you may go and take him elsewhere if you wish, but

of the public, it informally be presented to an incident. If one drops the matter publicly this can easily be given to an admission of weakness and guilt, but as a magna-mous yielding to group interests. But as soon as either party stubbornly refuses to drop the issue for unity's sake, the headman and village elders are virtually at loss. Not only do they openly fall as leaders but, more important, there will be no way out except through sorcery and assition.

Informal settlement of intra-familial conflict is not only a contribution of the village unity, but also a test of it. Under certain conditions a member of the village may cause a small matter to escalate often beyond repair, ignoring the values that suppress conflict. These crises often take place in a context of drinking, or immediately after the death of a member of the village. In the latter case many people will be frantic with fear of sorcery, and the authority of the headman is at its lowest, for he has obviously failed to protect his followers, and at the same time (in view of the connec-

tions between power, age and sorcery in Central-African societies; (Van Binsbergen 1976 b, c; Parkin 1969), he himself is tacitly suspected of having caused the death. Example C illustrates however, how in such crises *ku-ambola* can produce positive results.

D. *The offensive youth.* Chief Mukubata, the most senior of the original owners of the land, and therefore second only to Chief Kathermee, died suddenly at the end of a week in which a plot to oust him from his title had been exposed and had been generally discussed. The situation was likely to precipitate into violence, and so Mukubata was quickly buried next morning near the village, and so Mukubata's son lived and where Mukubata had happened to be buried inside his own house, in the village that bears his name. After the burial some twenty closely related and senior mourners remained in Malasha village, and Kalembe, a joking partner of the deceased chief from an adjoining valley and Kalambwe, a male mourner were assembled at the rude behaviour among the Nkoya), upset vessels with water and beer, threw around heavy logs of fire-wood and shook the root of the mens' shelter under which the male mourners were assembled, all the time shouting insults: You old men, all of you, you killed Mukubata, you are scroffers, and you even admitted to the fact by burying him not in his own house but in the bush. Is Mukubata not a great chief? You are scroffers intent on killing his name, etc.

Part of this behaviour was acceptable as expression of extreme grief, but to call a person a scroffer publicly is a very great insult and especially to include all the great men from distant parts in this insult was inconceivable. Only with great care did the mourners manage to restrain themselves from shouting insults at the chief.

**Example D** illustrates the main aspects of respect cases.

It is an assault on the total society and moral order, and therefore of importance to all members of society. By contrast, in the case of intra-familial conflicts we have to do with relationships whose form, contents, gravity, causes and dispersions are almost entirely determined not by norms and rigids but by such chance factors as the compatibility of character, the possibility of benefiting from each other in the pursuit of individual goals, cases the conflict revolves primarily around the management of such invisible, abstract, highly symbolic values as honor and respect, whereas the transactions between kinship in the village, such as tend to lead to crises and intra-familial conflict regularly revolve around money, food, assistance around concrete tasks, property. This suggests the possibility of a fascinating indispendible, down-to-earth, material items: money, food, assistance around specific cases, provided each represents a distinct general societal category. But, whereas this possibility is sometimes hinted at in the course of a quarrel, it is seldom put into effect. And even if a formal procedure is started, the case is usually hushed up much in the way of other intra-familial conflicts.

Law in the context of Nko ya society 53

The close Kinsmen of the offender therefore participate in, and may even push, the case for a number of reasons. They want to dissociate themselves, as a group, from the insult – thus publicly advertising (no matter what they feel privately) that no mouldering inter-group conflict lay at the bottom of the incident. They also want to protect their offending Kinsmen, as well as themselves, from the secrecy the injured are sure to direct against them if the latter should not be placated. And finally, the Kinsmen are in favour of their strategy being taught a lesson since they themselves will benefit from an improvement of his social behaviour.

The main difference between such respect cases and the type of intra-family conflict discussed above (where formal legal action is impossible) seems to be this. In the respect cases both parties act not so much as individuals on the basis of their personal concers and inclinations, but primarily as representatives of abstract, broad, general categories of society – young versus old, male versus female, parent-in-law versus child-in-law or the opposite sex, chief versus subjects, etc. The public interaction between these societal categories is subject to unchallenged explicit rules irrespective of the quality of the persons belonging to these categories. An offence against particular individual members belonging to these categories is not just an individual concern of the individual member in whose person the category is offendee.

and his people – they are all subject to complex and well-defined rules of etiquette involving manner of address, of greeting, bodily postures and spatial arrangements, taboos on names and subjects (e.g., sexual matters), the proper way to voice a difference of opinion in front of members of that category, etc. Violation of these rules is taken very seriously not only by the direct victims of the insult, but also by close relatives of the offender and by outsiders. Unless the culprit can be pardoned for lack of age and experience (a child, a newly-married woman), he is sure to have a case (*mulaudi*) on his hands. His close kinmen, though highly embarrassed, will not be eager to let the matter drop. For here is, at last, a situation in which even the most personal friction in the intra-familial context, instead of the ambiguities of behavior provided by fixed, impersonal, explicit rules. The respect case will lead to a clear verdict which will definitely end the matter. Once the case is publicly dealt with, those wronged are reabilitated and reinforced in the respect to which they are due in the light of unchallengeable principles; and the culprits are isolated as erring individuals. Once they have acknowledged their mistake, there is little fear left that the wronged party will pursue the matter by means of sorcery.

By virtue of its own powers the neighbourhood court has no power to use sanctions to enforce a verdict – it has, in particular, no power to use physical coercion. There are, however, many reasons why people accept physical coercion, even if this involves payment of a considerable sum of money. People are very keen to quench the conflict and thus to avoid sorecery. In addition, in those cases that could also be heard in the official Local Court (see below), the fines imposed by the neighbourhood court are considerably lower than those to be expected in the Local Court. So far defendants are likely to be found guilty, it is advantageous to settle 'out of court', i.e., before the neighbourhood court. Moreover, ignoring the neighbourhood court's verdict carries unacceptable sanctions in terms of social credit as well as alleged susceptibility to sorcery and to ancestral revenge. More important than all these rather pragmatic calculations however is the fact that for the Nkoya villages the headmen and elders in the neighbourhood court are not make-shift judges without proper authority (as they might appear from the point of view of a bureaucratic, centralized national legal system), but the very embodiment of moral judgment and authority.

They are the heirs to great chieftainly titles established in previous generations. Acceptance of their rulings is reinforced by deeply internalized values. This is not to say that these values are not increasingly challenged by the penetration, into the rural area, of modern organizational forms greatly at variance with the Nkoya gerontocratic model, and by the commitment intensification of the rural inter-gereration conflict. I have dealt with

monopoly over formal conflict regulation in his valley: any widely respec-  
ted headman can organize and preside over cases in his neighbourhood.  
But a subchief is prone to claim a monopoly over the referral of cases to the  
official Local Court in Kapanwde, whenever such is deemed necessary  
(see below). When a case is entrusted to a senior person, he quickly  
informs other headmen and elders in the same, and occasionally ad-  
joints a valley, and a meeting is scheduled for the next day or so. Not  
infrequently such meetings are abortive, because either plaintiff or de-  
fendant or important witnesses or a sufficient number of headmen  
and elders, fail to turn up. If all necessary people are present, the case  
is heard. There is no fixed chairmanship; everybody in turn contributes  
questions and points of view, interrogates the parties and their witnesses,  
and helps to arrive at a consensus agreement. There is no entourage of  
rituals, and no oaths are taken. The verdict at which the neighbourhood  
court arrives can be acquittal, apology, or the payment of a fine to the  
wronged party. No payment goes to the court, the chief or the community

When there is public breach of respect rules, the more senior among staff those present raise the question of whether the incident could make an admissible case. This preliminary discussion will include close kinship of the injured party, of the offensive party, and outsiders. If they agree that the master should be pursued further, they usually give it into the hands of one of the most senior headmen in the cluster of villages (a valley) where the incident took place. The proper person to be entrusted with the case is the subchief (mukwe ya ba mwene, the chief's head) one of the senior local headmen whom the chief, in consultation with local headmen and elders, has appointed to represent him in each of the several valleys that make up a chief's area. The subchief's prerogatives are confined to the judicial field and (in contrast to the chief) neither government recognition nor payment are attached to his office. The sub-chief has by no means the same powers as the chief.

Litigation before the neighbourhood court can indeed be termed 'informal' by comparison with the utterly informal procedures of *ku-ambola*. A neighbourhood court case (*millanu*) has a fixed procedure which can be

difficulty did the local elders who had organised the burial manage to retain from woman. Bloodshed was in the air. However, those present lived up to their role of wise, senior men and ostensibly ignoring the provocations they began to discuss the necessity of building a respect case against Shabimoni and Kalembe. The case was heard next morning. Kalembe, himself in his fifties and a head-man, and more politically-minded than his companion, had retired from the scene at an early stage. He absented himself from the proceedings next morning, but sent a messenger offering his apologies for his behaviour of the previous day. These were accepted. The case against Shabimoni however was heard, in Malaasha bouthood court. The defendant showed not the least repentance, repeated his accusations and challenged his close kinsmen to refute them. Discussions in the previous day had already indicated that public opinion, while disapproving of Shabimoni's unskillful presentation strongly agreed with his protestants against the them and their guests of sorcery, he was right in taking them to task for the improper burial of Mukura. After extensive deliberations, when the boy adopted a more reconciliatory attitude, the most senior guest, Chief Shindou (classificatory position similar to the deceased chief, and occupying in the distant valley of Kalimbe a youth had been intoxicated by drink and could not be held responsible for his statements. This suggestion was eagerly adopted by the local elders, and the case was dismissed without further steps being taken against Shabimoni.

and of minors, in the context of sex and marriage. In the latter case, emphasis is increasingly put on the question of custody, with all the close-to-exclusive rights implied in this term. In fact, the allocation of custody in the legal sense, much more than ownership. And finally, the actual trans-

lation of respect cases from the total surrounding community: it is trust, constant security (occasionally leading to effective formal sanctification by upon the owner (*mwne*) heavy moral obligations which are subject to quality and as such have very strong symbolic implications. They impose quantity and common, which help to amend an all too naive conception of ownership. They represent central attributes of accomplished mas-

village, title, land, woman, and (to a certain extent) gun have the following assertion hardly does justice to the complex underlying conceptual reality (cf. Gluckman 1972: 141f; Van Velsen 1964: 140f, 185f). Particularly, assertive masculinity, autonomy, and respect, it will be clear however that such an African ideas about property, and such connected themes as honour, village, a title, a patch of cleared land, a gun. To any student of Central women (*mwine ya nbelaka*) much in the same way as one is owner of a custom is not unrelated to a notion of ownership. One is owner of a actual network involvement at a particular moment.

married woman reflects not so much fixed rules but, pragmatically, her that moment. In other words, the exercise of custody rights over an ultimate, or both in case of overlap) in whose village she happens to dwell at over an unmarried woman is exercised by the senior kinsmen (agnatic, ultimate kin, who control her in the alternative cases; but in practice control control her if in the past they paid bridewealth for her mother) and her reticently a distinction should be made here between her agents (who considered to be in the full control of her consanguineal relatives. Theo- for a woman, her services as a woman and her powers of procreation are material relatives, or a subsequent husband of the child's mother) who have temporarily acted for him. As long as no bride-price has been paid divorcee. At the same time, the father is considered responsible for the care dissolution of his marriage with the child's mother, through death or the father is free to take the child wherever he wants, irrespective of family if the father has paid bridewealth for the child's mother. If so, then Nkoya now consider a child to be, primarily, in custody of his father's have become the central issues in Nkoya family law today.

Finally, of course, the most comprehensive factor making for the effective functioning of the neighbourhood court is the fact that its structure is embedded in the total extra-judicial social process of Nkoya rural society. Patterns of authority, power, status, resources, which determine the social processes in the neighbourhood, also determine the personnel and, to a large extent, the outcome, in the neighbourhood court. This does not exactly what endows this judicial institution with great relevance and efficiency in keeping Nkoya rural society more or less together.

I will not try to give a full picture of Nkoya marriage law as it exists today, nor sketch the very considerable changes this institution turned out to have undergone over the past hundred years: the increase of geographically distant over which marriages are contracted; the decrease of kinship-gamy; and bridge-takers (complementing juxtaposition between bride-

gamy and village-endogamy); and the increasing complementarity roles which tend to merge in the case of endogamy; and the introduction of bridewealth. A full discussion will involve a rather technical kinship-theoretical and quantitative analysis (Van Binsbergen 1974, forthcoming). For the purposes of the present paper, let it suffice to say that, while common everyday interaction and such conflicts as spring from it, are still mainly interpreted by con-

temporary rural Nkoya by reference to the fundamental bilateral orientation (*the child is in the middle*) – the same people tend to adopt a rather different perspective when they discuss the legal position of adult women,

where this condition is met. The major other class of such situations does not subject to litigation. But often however, provides one class of situations between kinsmen do not satisfy this condition and therefore are actions between degree of specificity and definiteness. Common, everyday transactions between involved rights and obligations have been stipulated to a sufficient degree of specificity and definiteness. Formal litigation actions between its members can become the object of formal litigation if between those involved rights and obligations have been stipulated to a sufficient degree of specificity and definiteness. Common, everyday trans-

actions between individuals and their spouses of procreation are considered to be in the full control of her consanguineal relatives. Theo-

Given the structure of Nkoya society, personal relationships and trans-

## 3. MARRIAGE LAW IN NEIGHBOURHOOD COURT AND LOCAL COURT

and her children are suffering under the neglect and the bad ways of her husband (drinking, violence, leaving the family without adequate housing, food and clothing), spreading venereal diseases, and endangering her and her neighbour children by breaking taboos on illicit sex). The same applies to marital conflicts arising out of wrong behaviour of the woman (adultery, inadequate houseskeeping, failure to show sufficient respect vis-à-vis her son-in-laws) in which case it will be the bride-takers asking their money back. The outcome of such cases depends largely on the inter-group relations between bride-givers and bride-takers. If the senior representatives of both groups have good mutual relations, and in general a high prestige, which they cannot allow to be threatened by the bad marital behaviour of a member of their group, an effort will be made to make him better in ways.

The case usually ends in reconciliation and apology; a typical example is the following case. The proceedings are presented in some detail, as they nicely render the general flavour of Nkoya conflict resolution, and show the typical strategies employed.

E. The iron bed-frame. Kabambi, a middle-aged man from Muko-<sup>wa's</sup> village, has two wives. The senior one, Bmmei, he has had for many years; the other is Loshi'a, a young girl he married only recently. Shortly after this marriage Kabambi was asked by his new brother-in-law to lend him K47. Kabambi refused and the brother-in-law offered to sell him an iron bed-frame for K6, which Kabambi agreed to. The bed had belonged to Loshi'a's deceased elder brother, who had left it to her when he died. Kabambi was keen to acquire ownership over this bed so he could have a bed of his own. His wife was using the senior wife's bed, which he feared she would remind him, "This is not your bed". Since this time Bmmei had refused to let her consanguineal kinsmen's village, only to come to Muko-<sup>wa's</sup> for a few days of gardening. She refused to stay; but she did not refuse sexual intercourse - provided that Kabambi would come and sleep at her own village, which he refused. Obviously the senior wife feels threatened in her own village, which he refused. Muko-<sup>wa</sup> is accused of sorcery (a position by the younger co-wife, but for fear of being accused of sorcery (as standard allegation in the case of polygyny among the Nkoya) she cannot afford to express animosity with regard to this rival. However, no one would deny that she has a right to fair treatment from their mutual husband, and she often scolds him when she feels she is getting the worse share of the game and absenteism. Puzzled by Ennem's behaviour, and annoyed, finally, by her refusal to return home, Kabambi decided to put the case before the neighbourhood court. The court is held away from the village, at an open space where Muko-<sup>wa</sup> made chairs. Most headmen of the neighbourhood attend, as well as many elders and lesser men. The gist of the proceedings follows here (apart from the spouses, all taking part in the discussion are village spouses).

Kabambi: My wife stays away and refuses to do anything.

Sheonga: Look, Kabambi, you called us here, what is the matter?

Shelenga (turning to the woman): Well what is the matter?

The very high fines usually imposed for sexual offenses have nothing to do with a transfer of custody over a woman. Therefore, a man who is known to have entered into an extra-marital relation with a woman, and who subsequently wishes to obtain paternal rights over the children that were born out of this union, normally faces the payment of both a fine and a regular bride-price. As long as a man is legally married to a woman (i.e., he has paid), or started to pay, the bride-price and has not yet gone through the legal procedure of formally divorcing her), he can sue any other man who usurps his rights. Emphasising here is upon sexual rights, but essentially the same applies to other domestic tasks such as cooking food, washing clothes, helping out at a funeral party, etc. Hence the necessity of formal divorce: without divorce any husband, even after a divorce breakdown of his marriage, could successfully sue any other man who tries to take his place.

Because of the recent development of a considerable body of well-defined rules and obligations, common in the sphere of sex and marriage need not be suppressed and hushed up, but can be publicly dealt with in the formal setting of litigation. This leads to either reconciliation or divorce. The case will initially be heard before the neighborhood court. The proceedings are the same as described for respect cases.

Theoretically, divorce<sup>6</sup> involves return of the bridewealth. In practice however, no such restitution occurs in many, perhaps the majority of divorce cases. In some cases the bride-givers will themselves offer to return the bridewealth and to dissolve the marriage: if they feel that the woman

All the same, usurping a custodian's control over a woman is considered stealing and answerable under that heading. Thus, there is a fundamental identity between adultery (i.e., infiltrating upon a husband's rights) and premarital or extra-marital affairs with an unmarried woman (i.e., intruding upon the rights of the consanguineal kinsmen acting as custodians). There is the difference, though, that the latter is not supposed to enter into sexual relations with the woman concerned, whereas the husband is. While there is a great deal of extra-marital sex going on in the village (mainly in exchange for cash), sexual offence, if publicly known, is never considered lightly: it affects a woman's good name; large sums of money can be gained by litigation over such cases; even more important, public culture to control that of which one has the custody causes a fall in prestige, which can only be restored by successfully suing the offender.

Procedural and ritual conditions, and, particularly, rules out purchase as a barrier to the implied rights from one individual to the next involves distinct



With respect to sexual offences a pattern is followed similar to that of divorce cases. If good relations and a considerable overlap exist between the immediate kin-groups of Plaintiff and defendant, settlement will be in the neighbourhood court, and while a relatively small fine may be imposed, emphasis will be on redress of the offender and on the manipulation of honour: the group whose honour has suffered damage because they publicly failed to control a woman entrusted to their custody attempts to restore its dignity and to manoeuvre the defendant's group into a situation where they lose honour by having to admit that they failed to control one of their male members. If the structural requirements for internal settlement are absent the case is usually taken to the Local Court. Such a trial one where they lose honour by having to admit that they failed to control their wife consider it to her advantage to apply to the Local Court.<sup>8</sup>

*F. Passion and politics*. Alisi is a young, widowed daughter of headman Kabesha's sister. When her husband's death put and end to Alisi's residence at the district centre (where she had joined Zamibia's one party), she joined Kabesha's distant village. In this area the party had so far found very few sympathisers and Alisi probably did not find many. Her work brought her in contact with others in Mulenje, a large-scale village at the other side of the valley; here he had only remote links with the Likewise Building Colony youth branch of the party. Peter with Peter, chairman of the Mulenje branch of the party, Peter acquired some acquaintance developed to a stage where an enraged Kabesha has to chase Peter out of Alisi's hut in the middle of the night. The sexual offence, in conjunction with Peter's insults of Kabesha when caught *fagarimte dethlo*, constituted an obvious base. The relative social isolation and low popularity of both the plain-tilf (cf. A) and the defendant, the absence of valued relationships between their respective villages, and the knowledge that the offences constitute an admission of guilt. Here Peter was found guilty and payment of damages was imposed on him.

In contemporary Nkoaya rural society the Local Court is, as to its geo-graphical location, its personnel, and its functioning, peripheral to the mainstream of the social process. As compared to other institutions of conflict regulation (*intra-village kumambola*, the ad-hoc neighbourhood court), the Local Court is infrequently used for very specific types of cases and under specific conditions, such as were outlined in the preceding pages.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The main factors working towards a considerable proportion of the marriage cases being tried before the Local Court are the following. The great majority of marriages is contracted in the village without any official registration; such marriages do constitute valid customary marriages before the Local Court. However, this Court does not acknowledge the validity of a divorce that is pronounced by a neighbourhood court. Therefore, it will admit the case of a former husband who, while divorced in the neighbourhood court, sees fit to sue a man who has taken his place. By consequence, divorced women are much more attractive partners for future marriages if they are in possession of a divorce certificate issued by the Local Court. Fears of a former husband involving the Local Court are all the more realistic, since in sharp contrast with the neighbourhood court, this Court can impose fines as high as K200 (maximum) and can reinforce its rulings by appeal to the district police. Sometimes it is the subjects themselves who press for referral to the Local Court; they are aware that it would endanger their brittle informal position of authority if, contrary to the Local Court's explicit wish, they attempted to handle cases that are not mitigated by the desire for good post-marital relations among the parties, and that therefore could lead to major conflicts, with affines knowing far bigger than the neighbourhood court is supposed to handle. Moreover, there are certain cases which would furnish admirable grounds for divorce before the Local Court, but not before the neighbourhood court. E.g., according to the Local Court a wife is entitled to divorce and to considerable compensation if a man has left her (particularly to go and work in town) and has not contributed towards her expenses for at least one year. In the neighbourhood the absent husband's relatives would normally try to play down the wife's allegations of neglect, and the elders considerable compensation if a man has left her (particularly to go and work in town) and has not contributed towards her expenses for at least one year. In the neighbourhood the absent husband's relatives would normally try to play down the wife's allegations of neglect, and the elders considerable compensation if a man has left her (particularly to go and work in town) and has not contributed towards her expenses for at least one year. In the neighbourhood the absent husband's relatives would normally try to play down the wife's allegations of neglect, and the elders

Local Court is necessary. Such divorce arrangements are considered most honourable for all parties involved. Relatively frictionless dissolution of marriage is, however, impossible if the marriage makes close day-to-day interaction between a wide geographic distance (which makes day-to-day interaction over a wide geographic distance difficult). It has not succeeded in improving the marriage; if previous recognition has not survived for a long time; if previous recognition out of the hands of the neighbourhood court and to entrust it to the Local Court.

within the modern administration of the area, is not in the focus of the judicial process; and such conflicts as it deals with are hardly of decisive importance to the individuals and groups involved – as compared to those conflicts which are resolved without reference to the Local Court.<sup>9</sup>

The Local Court, since it is there and since it commands powerful sanctions (ultimately upheld by the nation-state), superimposes upon the internal Nkoya institutions an additional judicial framework. It endeavours to define and to promote such rights and obligations as it presumes to exist between individuals. Neither the definition of its legal premises, nor its authority, nor indeed its personnel, are to any significant degree determined by the social processes within Nkoya rural society. Precisely because the Local Court is external to these processes, it can efficiently deal with conflicts between parties who are not tied to each other by multiplex roles in everyday village situations (and whose conflict therefore would directly affect a host of other people in their environment) but who on the contrary have a specific, one-stranded relationship involving fairly specific rights, obligations, and grievances.

While present-day sexual and marital relationships frequently approach this ideal-type, the bulk of social relationships in Nkoya society is still of the inclusive, multiplex nature: they involve people who are tied by, at the same time, kinship; economic, political, and ritual interests; common residence within the same village or neighbourhood. In these latter situations, involving such a peripheral agency as the Local Court may mean a temporary advantage (in terms of financial gain and revenge) to one or two individuals involved. But it will also inevitably disrupt the existing pattern of relationships to such an extent as to threaten seriously individual security, perhaps even survival; and this also applies to those few who superficially appear to benefit from the Local Court's ruling. The latter's turning to the Local Court is generally resented by the other party (and often by public opinion as well); even if the Local Court manages to sort out the concrete issues of the case, new ill-will is bred, the underlying conflicts over authority, status, and autonomy tend to linger on, and the risk of crisis (sorcery, fission) often remains unabated. Therefore, given well-developed internal alternatives to the Local Court, which are still endowed with sufficient authority in the eyes of the participants as well as tolerated by the Local Court and higher-level authorities, there is little wonder that most conflicts seek their solution outside the Local Court. This is particularly so since, closely related to their immediate conflict-regulatory function, *ku-ambola* and the neighbourhood court provide an arena where, as a direct reflection and continuation of the extra-judicial social

process, basic ideals of Nkoya society are expressed, and where the status, authority and power of significant social groups, social categories, and their senior representatives are continually examined, competed for, and redistributed.

#### What are the implications of my argument?

Not, I should emphasize, a posthumous attack on Gluckman's extremely valuable and seminal work. There is not the slightest suggestion that his masterly description and analysis of the judicial system of the Lozi proper should contain any major distortions, even if there is a marked difference with the judicial situation in a Lozi subject tribe, thirty years later. On the other hand, inadequacies of my own research (Van Binsbergen, forthcoming) may explain part of the discrepancy.

In addition, there are several systematic explanations of the discrepancy: the specific historical differences between the central Lozi and the Nkoya variant, within the former Barotse state; and, beyond this, a different research approach.

Comprehensive social change over several decades, including the attainment of national independence and the incorporation of the Barotse administration into the national administration of Zambia, may appear a ready explanation (Caplan 1970; Gluckman 1967a: 368f; Van Binsbergen 1975, 1976a, b, c). Yet what data I have on the Nkoya judicial situation in the 1930's–1950's suggests that also in the time of Gluckman's fieldwork a similar discrepancy existed.

There are two crucial points which, although never denied by Gluckman, have so far failed to attract sufficient attention among his commentators. First, Gluckman's research was confined to the Mongu-Lealui area: the small core of the Barotse state, where Lozi speakers then outnumbered any other groups and where the Lozi king's power and authority were most effective. Secondly, the Barotse state as described by Gluckman was only of recent origin and in full expansion – and expansion of the Lozi legal system, also during the colonial period, was an aspect of this. As late as 1937 the colonial administration helped to establish a Lozi appeal court (*Naliele kuta*) headed by the son of a Lozi Paramount Chief, in the centre of Nkoya country. A major reason for the colonial administration supporting this move was that the imperfect incorporation of the area into the Barotse indigenous organisation made it until then impossible for disputes to go beyond the highly competing local chiefs and *indunas* and to use such appeal opportunities as the central Barotse judicial system provided (G.C.R. Clay, personal communication).

On one level of analysis the Nkoya (and perhaps other subject tribes on the woodland plateau of the former Barotseland, now Zambia's Western Province) occupy, as far as their legal system is concerned, quite a different position from the central Lozi, whose institutions used to focus on the kingship and the land, against the background of the unique Zambezi flood plain-ecology. The difference in effective incorporation into a larger state system does explain in part the discrepancy between the judicial situation among the central Lozi and the Nkoya. On top of this came then, after independence, the general dismantling of the Lozi legal administration and of the judicial role of the Nkoya chiefs (section 2); these recent changes further added to the peripheral nature of the Local Court among the Nkoya.

However, these structural differences between the Central Lozi in Gluckman's time, and the contemporary Nkoya, mainly concern what one might call the intermediate social-structural level: the supra-local organisation which is superimposed on the lowest, village level – but which is in itself determined, to a high degree, by national and international conditions: the governmental and industrial power distribution, world markets of labour and goods, urbanization, etc. However large the historical differences on the intermediate level, on the grass-root level of the village there exists a striking similarity between present-day Nkoya and the Lozi village society of the 1940's, and indeed between these two, and a great many other village societies throughout Central Africa. The small villages, the high geographical mobility, the competition for political and residential following along kinship lines, the competition for titles carrying high prestige, the continuous re-alignment, and fission reflecting the conflicts engendered in this way, the part played by sorcery and sorcery accusations in these processes, the shifting agriculture with a fringe of animal husbandry as providing an additional, ecological basis for village dynamics – these are all recurrent themes in the anthropology of Central African villages (Barnes 1954; Colson 1958, 1962; Cunnison 1959; Marwick 1965; Mitchell 1956; Richards 1939; Turner 1957; Van Velsen 1964; Watson 1958).

Alternatively, on the highest level of national and international politics and political economy, most members of contemporary Nkoya society along with the overwhelming majority of rural Central Africans since the early decades of colonial rule (including most of Gluckman's Lozi), fall within the class of peripheral peasantry. In this respect their situation is also far from unique. Determined by central conditions way beyond the control of the villagers, this situation is characterized by a paucity of local cash opportunities, dependence on urban-rural relations, and an increasingly

direct influence of central agencies in the rural areas. The impact of this macro set-up upon the contemporary rural social and judicial processes can hardly be overestimated.

If both on the lowest and on the highest structural level the Nkoya and the Central Lozi are not too exceptional among Central African rural societies of the twentieth century, then the salient points brought out in my analysis can certainly not be explained exhaustively by mere reference to intermediate-level differences between Nkoya and Lozi. I would moreover, suggest that some of the Nkoya patterns outlined in the present paper may also be found to exist in modified form in other Central African societies today.

This finally brings us to an important methodological point. Whatever the historical and ethnographic peculiarities of the Nkoya, I suspect that my findings also, and to a considerable extent, depend on a difference of perspective adopted in my research, as compared with that of other legal-anthropological studies made in Central Africa. If one concentrates on formal court cases as the unit of study, then the numerous instances of conflict and conflict regulation which occur on the village scene but which never enter this formal setting simply fall outside the scope of the research. If, as I have tried to do, one concentrates on the ongoing social process in the village, taking as one's unit of study such social conflicts as arise, and tracing the social processes through which these conflicts are brought to an end, then litigation before a formal Local Court necessarily appears as only one of the options open to the participants; and it may well turn out that they pursue this option rarely, due to structural conditions which one may then set out to identify. Strictly speaking, the two approaches, while both legitimate and complementary to each other, yield incomparable results.

While one may wonder how limited an insight into a formal judicial system can be gained if the latter is studied as just one of many options within the overall system of conflict regulation (my weakness), one may as well ask if a judicial system can be properly understood if we continue to study it on the exclusive basis of one pre-conceived model, the formal court situation.<sup>10</sup>

## NOTES

1. Fieldwork was carried out in the period February 1972–April 1974. I am indebted to the following persons and institutions: my informants and the Zambian authorities for their warm co-operation; the University of Zambia for allowing me to devote ample time to research while I was a lecturer in the Department of Sociology, and later for research facilities provided by the Institute for African Studies; to my wife, Henny E. van Rijn; to D.K. Shiyowe for excellent research assistance; to M. Gluckman, A.J.F. Köbben, H.J. Simons, and especially J. van Velsen for encouragement and advice; to the Netherlands Foundation for the Advancement of Tropical Research (WOTRO) for supporting the writing-up of the field-data; and finally to R.L. Abel, W. Bleek, R. Canter, G. Clay, A.J.F. Köbben, H. Mwene, S.A. Roberts, H.J. Simons, and J. van Velsen for valuable criticism of earlier drafts.
2. All names of people have been altered, as have the names of Nkoya localities.
3. I.e., Kololo, which (contrary to the original Lozi, or Luyana, still the official Lozi court language) is not intelligible to Nkoya speakers, unless they have expressly learned this language.
4. At least, as long as the relation with the dead has not yet developed to a crisis: supernatural illness, possession, post-mortem sorcery; in the latter cases, religious specialists are resorted to as the main link between the living and the dead: Van Binsbergen 1972, in 1976c, and forthcoming.
5. It is common that a divorced woman brings her infant children into the household of a later husband; problems will begin to arise by the time these children have reached school-going age, involving expenses for school uniform, etc.
6. Divorce is relatively frequent in Nkoya rural society. A preliminary estimate indicates that over 60% of all marriages end in divorce (instead of death). For detailed quantitative analysis, see Van Binsbergen, forthcoming.
7. K (Kwacha), the Zambian currency. At the time of research K1 was about Hfl. 4.—.
8. This is one of the rare instances of recognized discrepancy between the jurisprudence of the Local Court and the neighbourhood court. Disputes over land (particularly over highly-valued riverside gardens, pressure on which is beginning to build up now) provide another example: while land disputes are hardly admissible before the neighbourhood courts (due to Nkoya ideal conceptions of land-holding), they are occasionally tried before the Local Court.
9. I am aware that my rather intuitive assessment of relative importance of conflicts should be refined by explicit operationalization and measurement.
10. In later reassessments of his Barotse legal research, Gluckman did admit to having studied the Barotse court cases too much in isolation, and to have ignored their relation with the ongoing social process in general (1967a: 371f; 1967b: XVI).

## Family dispute settlement and the Zambian judiciary: local-level legal adaptation\*

4

RICHARD S. CANTER  
*Boston University*

My concern in this paper is the adaptations which have occurred in Zambia between the National government's local court and local-level forms of dispute settlement. I will examine the conditions under which rural Zambian families turn to the National judiciary to settle their internal disputes, and the role which the local court plays when faced with family dispute settlement.

The Zambian government's local court, the lowest level court in the Zambian judicial hierarchy, is in most cases the forum of last resort in family disputes. Family forums for dispute settlement (*Nkuta*), though not officially sanctioned, operate successfully through mediation to resolve the bulk of disputes which come before them. When family mediation is unsuccessful, cases are generally appealed to the village moot where mediation is attempted by village authorities. Should village mediation fail, the case will be appealed to the local court for adjudication.

From an analysis of dispute settlement behaviour at the local level, through the use of 'extended case' material, two developments may be observed which reveal significant adaptation of traditional forms of dispute settlement and the local court procedures. The first is the socio/legal expectation on the part of the community and the local court that a mediated settlement should be attempted before bringing a case for

\* Field work in Zambia on which this research is based was supported by the Institute of Health (National Institute of General Medical Science) training grant number GM-1224.

For their critical comments and readings of earlier drafts of this paper I wish to thank Elizabeth Colson, Richard Abel, S.A. Roberts and Jaap van Velsen.