



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Legal constraints on EU Member States as primary law makers : a case study of the proposed permanent safeguard clause on free movement of persons in the EU negotiating framework for Turkey's accession

Tezcan, Narin

Citation

Tezcan, N. (2015, May 27). *Legal constraints on EU Member States as primary law makers : a case study of the proposed permanent safeguard clause on free movement of persons in the EU negotiating framework for Turkey's accession*. Europa Institute, Faculty of Law, Leiden University. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33072>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License:

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33072>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/33072> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Tezcan, Narin

Title: Legal constraints on EU Member States as primary law makers : a case study of the proposed permanent safeguard clause on free movement of persons in the EU negotiating framework for Turkey's accession

Issue Date: 2015-05-27

Legal constraints on EU Member States as primary law makers

Legal constraints on EU Member States as primary law makers

A Case Study of the Proposed Permanent Safeguard Clause on Free Movement of Persons in the EU Negotiating Framework for Turkey's Accession

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 27 mei 2015
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Narin Tezcan

geboren te Kardzhali, Bulgarije

in 1977

Promotiecommissie:

Promotoren: prof. dr. C.A.P. Hillion
prof. dr. S.C.G. Van den Bogaert

Overige leden: prof. dr. S.F. Blockmans (University of Amsterdam)
prof. dr. M. Cremona (European University Institute,
Florence, Italy)
prof. dr. H. Kabaalioglu (Yeditepe University, Istanbul,
Turkey)
prof. dr. P.J. Slot
prof. dr. R.C. Tobler LL.M.

Lay-out: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest

ISBN 978 94 6203 828 8

© 2015 N. Tezcan

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden vervoelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Het reprorecht wordt niet uitgeoefend.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, made available or communicated to the public, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher, unless this is expressly permitted by law.

To my family and friends

Acknowledgements

Writing my PhD thesis was a long process: a process with its ups and downs. A process, which I wouldn't be able to either start or complete without the help and support of my family, friends, professors and colleagues. During my PhD my path crossed with wonderful people, many of whom I will not be able to name here. Thank you all for being part of my life and for allowing me to be part of yours.

To start chronologically, first, I'd like to thank Bas van Bockel and Julia Rapp, whom I met at Istanbul Bilgi University. Their encouragement and help was instrumental in my decision to come to Leiden. I enjoyed my LLM year very much. I learnt a lot from Prof. Christophe Hillion, Prof. Christa Tobler and Prof. Piet Jan Slot during that year and also later. They were there to support me throughout the whole PhD process. Of course the person that I am particularly grateful to is my first supervisor Prof. Christophe Hillion. Thank you very much for being my supervisor, for your inspiring ideas, suggestions, your patience and understanding. I am also very thankful to my second supervisor Prof. Stefaan Van den Bogaert, who was always there for me, for advice, consultation as well as consolation when needed.

I was blessed with great colleagues and friends at the Europa Institute. First of all, I want to thank my dear roommates: Silvia, Barbara, Agis, Tom, Thomas and Maarten. I also thank Alison, Armin, Ay Ling, Darinka, Elsbeth, Francine, Ilektra, Jasmina, Katja, Licette, Lisa, Meehea, Moritz, Jorrit, Pieter, Sheena, Vestert, Vicky and Wendy. It was a pleasure as well as a privilege to work with you. Special thanks to my guardian angels, my paranymphs: Barbara and Darinka. I also want to thank TÜBİTAK for financing researchers from Turkish Universities coming to do research in Leiden. Thanks to those grants I was able to befriend great people like Pelin, Pınar, İlke and Özen. Thanks to Pınar Ölçer and our dean Prof. Lawson for involving me in their projects on Turkey. I am also very grateful to Prof. Goebel and Prof. Toni Fine, who welcomed me into Fordham Law School. I made many new friends in my research year in New York. Dicle, Levent, Feridun, Erzen, Jason, Valeria, Giovanni, Thomas, David, Luisa, Maria, and Carol, thank you for being part of my New York experience.

I feel very lucky to have been part of the Turkish PhD community in the Netherlands. Being able to share experiences with people going through a similar process helped me put things into perspective. Melis and Oytun, you literally light up my world for the years we lived as neighbours. I still feel

your warmth from the other side of the world. My dear friends, I feel so lucky to have you. My buddies Esra and Özge, Berk, Dennis, Fırat, Hilal, Namık, Nazlı, Yunus, Zeren, Cornelia, Aleks and Maup, Sevgi and Sander, Nalan and Caner, Neşen and Caner, Alper and Sevinç, Umut and Umut, Nihal and Birol, Ahmet Hocam, without the concerts we went to, birthday parties we celebrated, lunches, dinners and raki nights in which we discussed the meaning of life and the depressing state of our home country, Dutch winters would feel gloomier and longer.

Thanks to the Bahçeşehir gang (Ayşe, Berrin, Gülberk, Emin, Evrim) for still being in my life. If working with you hadn't been so much fun, I wouldn't have thought of studying further. Gülcan, thank you for being my family here. I felt your strength and support every step of the way. Gonca, thank you for always being only a Skype call away to share my feelings and thoughts. Cemi, though there isn't much age difference between us, thank you for being my wiser sister whom I can trust and consult on anything. Katja thank you for being my wilder sister encouraging me to step out of my comfort zone. Dear Mohsen and Paul, thank you for all your help and encouragement in my difficult days and thanks for your invaluable friendship. Dear Rogier, Lisette, Hans, oma and late opa, thank you for the initial introduction into the Dutch culture and above all for making me feel part of the family. The times spent with you were always special and fun.

Last but not least, canım ailem: Anneciğim, babacığım, Selmoşum... Beni her halimle sevdiğiniz ve kabul ettiğiniz için sonsuz teşekkürler. Desteğiniz bana her zaman güç verdi. Sizi çok seviyorum...

Table of contents

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Starting point	1
1.2 Existence of “legal constraints”: Nature of the EU legal order	3
1.2.1 Constitutional or not?	6
1.2.2 Evolution towards further entrenchment of rights	9
1.3 Nature of “legal constraints”: Theoretical definition	19
1.4 Identifying “legal constraints”: Operational definition	21
1.4.1 Methodology	23
1.4.2 The Permanent Safeguard Clause (PSC)	24
1.5 Structure of the thesis	27
1.5.1 Part I: Legal constraints flowing from EEC-Turkey Association Law	27
1.5.2 Part II: Legal constraints flowing from the Accession Process	29
1.5.3 Part III: Legal constraints flowing from the constitutional foundations of the Union	29
1.6 Summary	30
PART I – Legal Constraints flowing from EEC-Turkey Association Law	33
2 ASSOCIATION AS A STEPPING-STONE TO MEMBERSHIP	35
2.1 Introduction	35
2.2 Defining ‘association’	35
2.3 Principles of practice and association as a flexible and evolving tool	38
2.4 Different models of association	43
2.4.1 Associations based on a Customs Union	44
2.4.2 Associations based on a potential Customs Union	45
2.4.3 The EEA: The Internal Market Association	46
2.4.4 Associations based on a Free Trade Area	48
2.5 Conclusion	51

3	THE ANKARA ASSOCIATION LAW	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Aims and structure of the Agreement	55
3.3	Ankara Association Law	59
3.3.1	The Customs Union	59
3.3.2	Free movement of persons	62
3.3.2.1	In the Ankara Agreement	63
3.3.2.2	In the Additional Protocol	65
3.3.2.3	In the Association Council Decisions	67
3.3.2.3.1	Decision 2/76	67
3.3.2.3.2	Decision 1/80	67
3.3.2.3.3	Decision 3/80	70
3.3.2.4	Case law of the Court of Justice	72
3.3.2.4.1	Establishing the Court's jurisdiction	74
3.3.2.4.2	Aims and nature of the standstill clauses	77
3.4	Conclusion	107
	 PART II – Legal Constraints Flowing from the Accession Process	 113
4	PROCEDURAL CONSTRAINTS	119
4.1	Introduction	119
4.2	Past and present Treaty provisions governing enlargement	119
4.3	Accession procedure in practice	126
4.3.1	Precedent set by the first enlargement	126
4.3.2	Evolution of the enlargement practice	130
4.3.2.1	Role of the Commission	133
4.3.2.2	Role of the Council	136
4.3.2.3	Role of the European Parliament	139
4.3.3	Practice governing future enlargements	141
4.4	Definition and consolidation of negotiation principles	143
4.4.1	The first enlargement as the source of all principles	144
4.4.2	Enlargement to the South: Main principles maintained	145
4.4.3	Enlargement to the North: Main principles confirmed	146
4.4.4	Enlargement to the East: Negotiation principles entrenched	147
4.4.5	Future Enlargements: Respect or deviation from entrenched principles?	150
4.5	Conclusion	154
5	SUBSTANTIVE CONSTRAINTS	159
5.1	Introduction	159
5.2	The notion of “adjustments” to the Treaties	161
5.2.1	Meaning and scope of the term “adjustment”	163
5.2.2	“Adjustments to the Treaties” v. “Adaptations to Acts Adopted by the Institutions”	166

<i>Table of contents</i>	XI
5.2.3 “Adjustments” in previous Accession Treaties	169
5.2.4 Other measures facilitating the full integration of new Member States	172
5.2.4.1 Transitional measures	173
5.2.4.2 Quasi-transitional measures	178
5.2.4.2.1 Economic and Monetary Union	180
5.2.4.2.2 Schengen	180
5.2.4.3 Safeguard clauses	182
5.2.4.3.1 “New” safeguard clauses	186
5.2.4.3.2 The proposed PSCs in the Negotiating Framework for Turkey	192
5.3 Changes to the Treaties going beyond mere “adjustments”	194
5.3.1 Arrangement on fishing rights under the 1972 Act of Accession	195
5.3.2 Other measures in past Acts of Accession going beyond “adjustments”	199
5.4 Conclusion	206
PART III – Legal Constraints Flowing from the Constitutional Foundations of the Union	211
6 CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE UNION AS A CONSTRAINT ON PRIMARY LAW MAKING	213
6.1 Introduction	213
6.2 Existence of constitutional constraints: Judicial acknowledgment of the “very foundations” of the Union	214
6.2.1 Opinions 1/91 and 1/92	216
6.2.2 Opinion 1/09	219
6.2.3 <i>Kadi I</i>	220
6.2.4 Implications	221
6.3 Substance of constitutional constraints	224
6.3.1 Fundamental freedoms	226
6.3.1.1 Fundamental freedoms in the Treaties	226
6.3.1.2 Development of free movement of persons in secondary law	228
6.3.1.2.1 Case law on the freedoms	229
6.3.1.3 Academic opinion	231
6.3.2 Union citizenship: The fundamental status	233
6.3.2.1 Union citizenship as defined in the Treaties	235
6.3.2.2 Citizenship Directive	236
6.3.2.3 Union citizenship in the case law of the Court	237
6.3.2.3.1 Union citizenship taking shape: First ‘ground breaking’ cases	237
6.3.2.3.2 Further developments: Beyond discrimination, beyond material scope, beyond internal situations?	239
6.3.2.3.3 Core of Union citizenship	243
6.3.3 Fundamental rights	244

6.3.3.1	Snapshot of recent case law	245
6.3.3.2	Inception and rise of fundamental rights	249
6.3.3.3	Constitutionalisation of fundamental rights by inclusion in primary law	251
6.3.3.4	Role of fundamental rights in the constitutionalisation of the legal order	254
6.3.3.4.1	As general principles of Union law	254
6.3.3.4.2	As part of the Charter of Fundamental Rights	257
6.4	Application of constitutional constraints	258
6.4.1	Are the “very foundations” impossible to amend?	260
6.4.2	Are the “very foundations” able to constrain Member States as primary law makers?	261
6.5	Conclusion	266
7	THE PRINCIPLE OF EQUALITY AS PART OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE UNION: A CASE STUDY	271
7.1	Introduction	271
7.2	Internal market origin of the principle of non-discrimination	274
7.2.1	Its place in the Treaties	275
7.2.2	Its development in the case law of the Court	277
7.3	Embedding equality deeper into Union law	279
7.3.1	Equality as an established general principle of EU law	281
7.3.2	Equality as part of citizenship	284
7.3.3	Expanding scope of equality in the Treaties	287
7.3.4	Equality as enshrined in the Charter	289
7.4	Principle of equality of Member States	291
7.5	Conclusion	293
8	GENERAL CONCLUSION	295
	SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)	305
	TABLE OF DOCUMENTS	309
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	321
	CURRICULUM VITAE	343

List of abbreviations

AA	Ankara Agreement (EEC-Turkey Association Agreement)
AG	Advocate General
AP	Additional Protocol
BVerGE	Bundesverfassungsgericht (German (Federal) Constitutional Court)
CCT	Common Customs Tariff
CEECs	Central and East European Countries
CFR	Charter of Fundamental Rights
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
Coreper	Committee of Permanent Representatives
EA	Europe Agreement
EAEC	European Atomic Energy Community
EC	European Community
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
ECJ	European Court of Justice (also referred to as CJEU)
ECR	European Court Reports
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EEA	European Economic Area
EEC	European Economic Community
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
EU	European Union
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GG	<i>Grundgesetz</i> (Basic Law, i.e. the German Constitution)
GCC	German (Federal) Constitutional Court (BVerGE)
OEEC	Organisation for European Economic Co-operation
OJ	Official Journal
PSC	Permanent Safeguard Clause
SAA	Stabilisation and Association Agreement
SAP	Stabilisation and Association Process
TCN	Third-Country National
TEU	Treaty on the European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
UK	The United Kingdom
UKTI	The United Kingdom Trade and Investment
WTO	World Trade Organization

