

## **Mass determinations of the three mini-Neptunes transiting TOI-125**

Nielsen, L.D.; Gandolfi, D.; Armstrong, D.J.; Jenkins, J.S.; Fridlund, C.W.M.; Santos, N.C.; ... ; Wilson, P.A.

## **Citation**

Nielsen, L. D., Gandolfi, D., Armstrong, D. J., Jenkins, J. S., Fridlund, C. W. M., Santos, N. C., … Wilson, P. A. (2020). Mass determinations of the three mini-Neptunes transiting TOI-125. *Monthly Notices Of The Ras (0035-8711)*, *492*(4), 5399-5412. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa197

Version: Accepted Manuscript License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](https://hdl.handle.net/1887/license:3) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3141910>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Mass determinations of the three mini-Neptunes transiting TOI-125

L.D. Nielsen,  $1\star$  D. Gandolfi, <sup>2</sup> D.J. Armstrong, <sup>3,4</sup> J.S. Jenkins, <sup>5</sup> M. Fridlund, <sup>6,7</sup> N.C. Santos, 8,9 F. Dai, <sup>10</sup> V. Adibekyan, <sup>8</sup> R. Luque, <sup>11, 12</sup> J.H. Steffen, <sup>13</sup> M. Esposito, <sup>14</sup> F. Meru,<sup>3,4</sup> S. Sabotta,<sup>14</sup> E. Bolmont,<sup>1</sup> D. Kossakowski,<sup>15</sup> J.F. Otegi,<sup>1,16</sup> F. Murgas,<sup>11,12</sup> M. Stalport,<sup>1</sup> F. Rodler,<sup>17</sup> M.R. Díaz,<sup>5</sup> N.T. Kurtovic,<sup>5</sup> G. Ricker,<sup>18</sup> R. Vanderspek,<sup>18</sup> D.W. Latham,<sup>19</sup> S. Seager,<sup>18,20,21</sup> J.N. Winn,<sup>22</sup> J.M. Jenkins,<sup>23</sup> R. Allart,<sup>1</sup> J.M. Almenara,<sup>1</sup> D. Barrado,<sup>24</sup> S.C.C. Barros,<sup>8</sup> D. Bayliss,<sup>3,4</sup> Z.M. Berdiñas,<sup>5</sup> I. Boisse,  $25$  F. Bouchy, <sup>1</sup> P. Boyd,  $26$  D.J.A. Brown,  $3,4$  E.M. Bryant,  $3,4$  C. Burke,  $18$ W.D. Cochran,<sup>27</sup> B.F. Cooke,<sup>3,4</sup> O.D.S. Demangeon,<sup>8</sup> R.F. Díaz,<sup>28,29</sup> J. Dittman,<sup>20</sup> C. Dorn,  $K$  X. Dumusque, <sup>1</sup> R. A. García,  $30,31$  L. González-Cuesta,  $11,12$  S. Grziwa,  $32$ I. Georgieva,<sup>7</sup> N. Guerrero,<sup>18</sup> A.P. Hatzes,<sup>14</sup> R. Helled,<sup>6</sup> C.E. Henze,<sup>23</sup> S. Hojjatpanah,  $8.9$  J. Korth,  $32$  K.W.F. Lam,  $33$  J. Lillo-Box,  $24$  T.A. Lopez $25$ , J. Livingston,<sup>34</sup> S. Mathur,<sup>11,12</sup> O. Mousis<sup>25</sup>, N. Narita,<sup>11,35,36,37</sup> H.P. Osborn,<sup>25,38</sup> E. Palle,<sup>11,12</sup> P.A. Peña Rojas,<sup>5</sup> C.M. Persson,<sup>7</sup> S.N. Quinn,<sup>19</sup> H. Rauer,<sup>39,33,40</sup> S. Redfield,<sup>41</sup> A. Santerne,<sup>25</sup> L.A. dos Santos,<sup>1</sup> J.V. Seidel,<sup>1</sup> S.G. Sousa,<sup>8</sup> E.B. Ting,<sup>23</sup> M. Turbet,<sup>1</sup> S. Udry,<sup>1</sup> A. Vanderburg,<sup>42</sup> V. Van Eylen,<sup>43</sup> J.I. Vines,<sup>5</sup> P.J. Wheatley<sup>3,4</sup> and P.A. Wilson<sup>3,4</sup> Author affiliations are listed in Appendix [A.](#page-15-0)

Accepted January 2020

#### ABSTRACT

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite, TESS, is currently carrying out an all-sky search for small planets transiting bright stars. In the first year of the TESS survey, steady progress was made in achieving the mission's primary science goal of establishing bulk densities for 50 planets smaller than Neptune. During that year, TESS's observations were focused on the southern ecliptic hemisphere, resulting in the discovery of three mini-Neptunes orbiting the star TOI-125, a  $V=11.0$  K0 dwarf. We present intensive HARPS radial velocity observations, yielding precise mass measurements for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d. TOI-125b has an orbital period of 4.65 days, a radius of  $2.726 \pm 0.075$  R<sub>E</sub>, a mass of  $9.50 \pm 0.88$  M<sub>E</sub> and is near the 2:1 mean motion resonance with TOI-125c at 9.15 days. TOI-125c has a similar radius of  $2.759 \pm 0.10$  R<sub>E</sub> and a mass of  $6.63 \pm 0.99$  M<sub>F</sub>, being the puffiest of the three planets. TOI-125d, has an orbital period of 19.98 days and a radius of  $2.93 \pm 0.17$  R<sub>E</sub> and mass  $13.6 \pm 1.2$  M<sub>E</sub>. For TOI-125b and d we find unusual high eccentricities of  $0.19 \pm 0.04$  and  $0.17^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$  respectively. Our analysis also provides upper mass limits for the two low-SNR planet respectively. Our analysis also provides upper mass limits for the two low-SNR planet candidates in the system; for TOI-125.04 ( $R_P = 1.36$   $R_E$ ,  $P = 0.53$  days) we find a  $2\sigma$ candidates in the system; for TOI-125.04 ( $R_P = 1.36$   $R_E$ ,  $P = 0.53$  days) we find a  $2\sigma$ <br>upper mass limit of 1.6  $M_E$  whereas TOI-125.05 ( $R_E = 4.212$   $R_E = P = 13.28$  days) upper mass limit of 1.6  $M_E$ , whereas TOI-125.05 ( $R_P = 4.2^{+2.4}_{-1.4}$   $R_E$ ,  $P = 13.28$  days) is unlikely a viable planet candidate with upper mass limit 2.7  $M_E$ . We discuss the is unlikely a viable planet candidate with upper mass limit  $2.7 M<sub>E</sub>$ . We discuss the internal structure of the three confirmed planets, as well as dynamical stability and system architecture for this intriguing exoplanet system.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Key words: Planets and satellites: detection – Planets and satellites: individual: (TOI-125, TIC 52368076)

## 2 L. D. Nielsen et al.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS - [Ricker](#page-14-0) [et al.](#page-14-0) [2015\)](#page-14-0) is more than halfway through a survey of about 85% of the sky. More than 1 000 planet candidates have been announced so far. The Level-1 mission goal of TESS, to measure the masses and radii of at least 50 exoplanets smaller than 4 RE. Among the first planets that meet the Level-1 requirement are HD 15337b & c (TOI-402, [Gandolfi et al.](#page-13-0) [2019;](#page-13-0) [Dumusque et al.](#page-13-1) [2019\)](#page-13-1), HD 21749b (TOI-186, GJ 143, [Dragomir et al.](#page-13-2) [2019;](#page-13-2) [Trifonov et al.](#page-14-1) [2019\)](#page-14-1), GJ 357 b (TOI-562, [Luque et al.](#page-14-2) [2019\)](#page-14-2), LTT 1445Ab [\(Winters et al.](#page-14-3) [2019\)](#page-14-3), HD 23472 b&c (TOI-174, [Trifonov et al.](#page-14-1) [2019\)](#page-14-1) and  $\pi$  Men c (HD 39091, [Huang et al.](#page-14-4) [2018;](#page-14-4) [Gandolfi et al.](#page-13-3) [2018\)](#page-13-3).

TESS is building on top of a great legacy from Kepler [\(Borucki et al.](#page-13-4) [2010\)](#page-13-4) which detected numerous multi-planet systems for which system architecture has been studied in detail; eg. [Lissauer et al.](#page-14-5) [\(2011\)](#page-14-5). The identification of the distinct populations of Super-Earths and mini-Neptunes separated by a valley caused by stellar irradiation evaporating the planet atmosphere [\(Fulton & Petigura](#page-13-5) [2018;](#page-13-5) [Fulton et al.](#page-13-6) [2017;](#page-13-6) [Owen & Wu](#page-14-6) [2017\)](#page-14-6) is also owed to Kepler. This process can potentially strip a the planet down to it's core. Multiplanet systems provide prime target for testing both bulk composition models and atmospheric evaporation, and are thus crucial for advancing exoplanet science.

We present the confirmation and precise mass measurements of three mini-Neptunes orbiting the bright (V=11.0 mag) K0 dwarf star TOI-125 see Table [1](#page-2-0) for a full summary of the stellar properties. This work builds largely on intensive radial velocity follow-up observations with HARPS [\(Mayor et al.](#page-14-7) [2003\)](#page-14-7). The three planets all fall withing the TESS Level-1 mission goal, with similar radii but quite different masses. The system was previously validated by [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8), so the main focus of this paper is the mass characterisation presented in Section [3,](#page-3-0) analysis of the system architecture in Section [4](#page-7-0) and internal structure Section [5.](#page-11-0) Finally we explore future possibilities for atmospheric characterisation in Section [6.](#page-11-1)

#### 2 OBSERVATIONS

#### 2.1 TESS photometry

TOI-125 (TIC 52368076) was observed by TESS in Sectors 1 and 2 from 25 July to 20 September 2018. It appeared on CCD1 of camera 3 in Sector 1 and CCD2 of camera 3 in Sector 2.

The data are available with 2-min time sampling (cadence) and were processed by the Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC - [Jenkins et al.](#page-14-9) [2016\)](#page-14-9) to produce calibrated pixels, and light curves. Based on the Data Validation report produced by the transit search conducted by the SPOC [\(Li et al.](#page-14-10) [2019;](#page-14-10) [Twicken et al.](#page-14-11) [2018\)](#page-14-11), two TESS objects-of-interest, TOI-125b and TOI-125c, were announced by the TESS Science Office (TSO) from Sector 1. This was the first multi-planet-candidate system announced by the TSO. With data from Sector 2 a third planet candidate, TOI-125d, was revealed with one transit observed in each sector.

For transit modelling, we used the publicly available Simple Aperture Photometry flux, after the removal of arte-

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

 $\overline{a}$ 



Tycho [\(Høg et al.](#page-14-12) [2000\)](#page-14-12); 2MASS [\(Skrutskie et al.](#page-14-13) [2006\)](#page-14-13); WISE [\(Wright et al.](#page-14-14) [2010\)](#page-14-14); Gaia [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-13-7) [2018\)](#page-13-7)

facts and common trends with the Pre-search Data Conditioning (PDC-SAP) algorithm [\(Twicken et al.](#page-14-15) [2010;](#page-14-15) [Smith](#page-14-16) [et al.](#page-14-16) [2012;](#page-14-16) [Stumpe et al.](#page-14-17) [2014\)](#page-14-17) provided by SPOC. The light curve precision in both sectors is 125 ppm, averaged over half an hour, consistent with the value predicted by [Sullivan](#page-14-18) [et al.](#page-14-18) [\(2015\)](#page-14-18) for a star with apparent TESS-magnitude 10.2. Figure [1](#page-4-0) shows the full 2 min cadence TESS light curve, with data points binned to 10 min over-plotted, along with the phase folded light curves for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d.

The TOI-125 system was vetted by [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8) using ground-based photometry, high-angular-resolution imaging and reconnaissance spectroscopy. TOI-125b and TOI-125c were statistically validated as planets, while TOI-125d (then called TOI-125.03) remained a high-SNR planet candidate, based on only two observed transits. Two additional low-SNR candidates were identified: TOI-125.04, with period 0.53 days making it an ultra short period (USP) planet candidate and TOI-125.05 at 13.28 days. [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8) stressed that these two candidates are marginal detections, and did not attempt to validate them statistically.

#### 2.2 High resolution spectroscopy with HARPS

TOI-125 was observed intensively with the HARPS spectrograph [\(Mayor et al.](#page-14-7) [2003\)](#page-14-7) on the ESO 3.6m telescope at La Silla Observatory, Chile, from 21 September 2018 to 8 January 2019. In total 122 spectra were obtained under programmes 1102.C-0249 (PI: Armstrong), 0101.C-0829/1102.C-0923 (PI: Gandolfi), 0102.C-0525 (PI: Díaz), 0102.C-0451 (PI: Espinoza) and 60.A-9700 (technical time). HARPS is a stabilised high-resolution spectrograph with resolving power  $R \sim 115000$ , capable of sub-ms<sup>-1</sup>radial velocity (RV) precision. We used the instrument in high-accuracy mode with a 1" science fibre on the star and a second fibre on sky to monitor the sky-background during exposure. We used a nominal exposure time of 1800 seconds, which on occasion was adjusted within a range of  $800 - 2100$  seconds depending on sky-condition and observation schedule.

RVs were determined with the standard (offline) HARPS data reduction pipeline using a K0 binary mask for the cross correlation [\(Pepe et al.](#page-14-19) [2002\)](#page-14-19), and a K3 template for flux correction to match the slope of the spectra across echelle orders. We performed the data reduction uniformly for all the data from the 6 programmes under which data had been acquired, to mitigate any possible RV offsets induced by different data reduction parameters and catalogue-coordinates in the FITS headers. With a typical signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 55, we achieved an RV precision of  $1.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . The RV data have been made publicly available through The Data & Analysis Center for Exoplan-ets (DACE<sup>[1](#page-3-2)</sup>) hosted at the university of Geneva. For each epoch the bisector-span (BIS), contrast and FWHM of the CCF were calculated, as well as the chromospheric activity indicators Ca II H&K, <sup>H</sup>α, and Na.

In our RV analysis we excluded data taken on the nights starting 25, 26 and 27 November 2018. On these dates the ThAr lamp used for wavelength calibration of HARPS was deteriorating and subsequently exchanged on 28 Novem-ber [2](#page-3-3)018<sup>2</sup>. The changing flux ratio between thorium and argon emission lines of the dying ThAr lamp induced a  $2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  day<sup>-1</sup> drift in the wavelength solution of HARPS over 5 days. The problematic data were confirmed by comparing unpublished data from the HARPS-N solar telescope [\(Du](#page-13-8)[musque et al.](#page-13-8) [2015;](#page-13-8) [Collier Cameron et al.](#page-13-9) [2019\)](#page-13-9) and Helios on HARPS, which also observes the Sun daily. The Helios RVs show a clear drift away from the RVs from the HARPS-N solar telescope 25 - 27 November 2018, before returning to a nominal level after the change of the ThAr lamp. We still include spectra taken on those dates in our spectral analysis described in the following Section [3.1.](#page-3-1)

We clearly detect RV signals for TOI-125b, TOI-125c

and TOI-125d. The top panel in Figure [2](#page-6-0) shows a Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the raw RVs in which there are clear signals at 4.65 and 19.98 days for TOI-125b and TOI-125d with False-alarm-probability  $(FAP) < 0.1\%$ . A hint can be seen for TOI-125c at 9.15 days, possibly interfering with a *P*/2 alias from TOI-125d. The residuals of a two-planet fit is shown in Figure [2](#page-6-0) below the raw RVs, and show a significant peak at the period of TOI-125c. Peaks at 1.27 and 0.82 days in the raw RVs are aliases of TOI-125b. No signal is found for TOI-125.04 ( $P = 0.53$  days) nor TOI-125.05  $(P = 13.28 \text{ days})$ .

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>3.1 Spectral classification and stellar chemical abundances

The 122 1-D HARPS spectra were stacked to produce a high fidelity spectrum with SNR per resolution element ∼500 at  $5500 \text{\AA}$  for spectral analysis. Retrieving stellar parameters from the observed spectrum can be done using several different methods. In the case of TOI-125, stellar atmospheric parameters  $(T_{\text{eff}}$ , [Fe/H],  $\log g$ ) and relative abundances of refractory material were derived using two different methodologies: a) as described in [Sousa et al.](#page-14-20) [\(2008\)](#page-14-20) and [Santos](#page-14-21) [et al.](#page-14-21) [\(2013\)](#page-14-21) using equivalent widths (EW) of chosen lines while assuming ionisation- and excitation equilibrium, and b) with the Spectroscopy Made Easy (SME) code [\(Valenti &](#page-14-22) [Piskunov](#page-14-22) [1996;](#page-14-22) [Valenti & Fischer](#page-14-23) [2005;](#page-14-23) [Piskunov & Valenti](#page-14-24) [2017\)](#page-14-24) as applied to a grid of model atmospheres.

For the first method,  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , [Fe/H], and log g were calculated using the EW of 237 FeI and 33 FeII lines. A grid of Kurucz model atmospheres [\(Kurucz](#page-14-25) [1993\)](#page-14-25) and the radiative transfer code MOOG [\(Sneden](#page-14-26) [1973\)](#page-14-26) were used to model the stellar atmosphere. For the derivation of abundances of refractory elements we used the approach from [Adibekyan](#page-13-10) [et al.](#page-13-10) [\(2015\)](#page-13-10). TOI-125 shows typical abundances for a main sequence star, comparable to the ensemble of HARPS GTO stars.

As a second approach we used SME version 5.22 applied to a grid of MARCS model atmospheres. These are 1D-LTE plane-parallel and spherically-symmetric model atmospheres applicable to solar-like stars [\(Gustafsson et al.](#page-13-11) [2008\)](#page-13-11). Synthetic spectra were then calculated based on the model grid and fit to the observed spectral features, focusing on those that are especially sensitive to different photospheric parameters, including *T*eff, [Fe/H], log g, micro- and macro turbulence and rotational velocity (v sin *i*). Here one is changing one or more input parameters and then iteratively using a  $\chi^2$  minimisation procedure to arrive at the extend stellar parameters. We used the calibration equation actual stellar parameters. We used the calibration equation of [Bruntt et al.](#page-13-12) [\(2010\)](#page-13-12) and [Doyle et al.](#page-13-13) [\(2014\)](#page-13-13) to estimate the micro- and macro- turbulent velocities, based on the derived values on *T*eff and log g. We also fitted 45 isolated and unblended metal lines to determine the projected stellar rotation velocity ( $v \sin i$ ), which was found to be  $1.0 \pm 0.5$  km/s.

The derived parameters and abundances for both methods are presented in Table [2.](#page-5-1) It should be noted that the uncertainties were derived from internal errors only, and thus do not include uncertainties inherent to the models themselves. While the abundances and surface gravity log g agree

<span id="page-3-2"></span><sup>1</sup> [https://dace.unige.ch/radialVelocities/?pattern=](https://dace.unige.ch/radialVelocities/?pattern=TOI-125)  $TOT-125$ 

<span id="page-3-3"></span><sup>2</sup> See HARPS instrument monitoring pages: [https:](https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/lasilla/instruments/harps/inst/monitoring/thar_history.html) [//www.eso.org/sci/facilities/lasilla/instruments/harps/](https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/lasilla/instruments/harps/inst/monitoring/thar_history.html) [inst/monitoring/thar\\_history.html](https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/lasilla/instruments/harps/inst/monitoring/thar_history.html)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1. TESS data for TOI-125 spanning Sectors 1 and 2. Top panel: full light curve with the 2-minute cadence data in light grey and the same data binned to 10 min in dark grey. The binned data surrounding the transits are highlighted in red, yellow and green. The light curve from the two Sectors consist of four segments that each correspond to one TESS orbit of 13.7 days. After each orbit the spacecraft interrupts observations to downlink the data to Earth, causing gaps in the data coverage. Furthermore, there are features in the light curve from the momentum dumps of the satellite, which take place approximately every 2.5 days. None of the detected transits occurred during momentum dumps. Bottom panel: phase folded TESS light curves for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d, again with 2-minute cadence data in grey and binned to 10 minutes in the same colours as the top panel.

as a whole, there is a  $2\sigma$  discrepancy between the effective temperature,  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , obtained with the two methods. The [Fe/H] measurements also differ slightly between the two methods, but are consistent to  $1\sigma$ . We have investigated the impact of this on the final set of system parameters, and found less than 5% difference in stellar and planetary masses and radii. For the final modelling of the system, we used the average of *T*eff and [Fe/H] as Gaussian priors in the MCMC. The errors were inflated to encompass both values at a  $1\sigma$ level, in order to reflect the model dependency of the stallar atmospheric parameters.

#### 3.2 Stellar rotation and activity

The average value of the Ca II  $H & K$  chromospheric activity indicator for TOI-125 is  $\log R'_{HK} = -5.00 \pm 0.08$ , indicating a<br>low activity lovel that would introduce an BV signal on the low activity level that would introduce an RV-signal on the scale of 0.4 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Suárez Mascareño et al. [2017\)](#page-14-27). According to Suárez Mascareño et al. [\(2015\)](#page-14-28), the expected rotation period of an early K-type dwarf with  $\log R'_{HK} = -5.00 \pm 0.08$ is  $P_{\text{rot}} = 32^{+5}_{-4}$  days. This is in good agreement with the clas-sical empirical relation from [Noyes et al.](#page-14-29)  $(1984)$ , which gives  $P_{\text{rot}}$  = 31  $\pm$  6 days. Assuming that the star is seen equatoron, the projected rotational velocity  $v \sin i = 1 \pm 0.5$   $\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ and stellar radius imply a rotation period of  $\leq 43$  days. This could be indicative of the stellar spin and the planetary orbits being aligned.

We searched the RVs and activity indicators for a signal matching the expected *P*rot. Figure [2](#page-6-0) shows Lomb-Scargle

periodograms derived for the raw RVs, RV-residuals to a two-planet fit and RV-residuals to a three-planet fit including an additional term fitting a possible 35 days period. We also include periodograms of, FWHM,  $\log R'_{HK}$  and bisector span. False-alarm-probability (FAP) thresholds have been computed analytically for levels of 1% and 0.1%. For both the RVs and FWHM there is a FAP  $> 0.1\%$  signal close to 40 days, highlighted in grey in Figure [2.](#page-6-0) This is in reasonable agreement with the expected stellar rotation period based on  $\log R'_{HK}$ . The periodogram for  $\log R'_{HK}$  has signal at 25.5 days, which could be a *P*rot/2 alias with FAP 1%. BIS show no significant signals, though the main peak at 47 days somewhat matches the ones found in FWHM and  $\log{R'_{HK}}$  . As a test, we fit three planets along with a 35 day modulation mimicking a signal induced by stellar rotation. The periodogram of the residuals is presented in Figure [2.](#page-6-0) It is evident that residual signals at longer periods are still present, including a long term (*<sup>P</sup>* > <sup>100</sup> days) signal we later model as quadratic drift in the RVs.

We searched both the SAP and PDC-SAP light curves for photometric modulation from stellar rotation, but found no convincing signal. This is not too surprising as the baseline of the TESS observation is short (2 x 27 days) compared to the expected rotational period ( $\geq$  30 days).

Based on the signal seen in both FWHM and RV measurements at 40 days, we attempted to model our RVs with a Gaussian process (GP) trained on the FWHM using a quasi-periodic kernel. The GP had problems converging and the planets' parameters were unchanged from a classic RV

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Table 2. Spectral parameters derived from the stacked HARPS spectrum with SNR/resolution element ∼500 at 5500Å, using two different methods.  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and [Fe/H] and their uncertainties were used as Gaussian priors on the MCMC joint modelling of the planetary and stellar parameters - we used the average between the two approaches. The errors were inflated to encompass both values at a  $1\sigma$  level, in order to reflect the model dependency of the atmospheric parameters.  $V_t$  denotes micro- and macro turbulence velocities.

	Equivalent width		SME	
Parameter	Value	$1\sigma$	Value	$1\sigma$
$T_{\rm eff}(\rm K)$	5295	42	5125	60
$\log g$ (cgs)	4.51	0.07	4.4	0.2
$V_t$ micro (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.72	0.09	0.8	0.1
$V_t$ macro $(km s^{-1})$			2.5	0.5
$v\sin i~(\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}})$			1.0	0.5
[Fe/H](dex)	$-0.02$	0.03	0.00	0.05
$NaI/H$ (dex)	$-0.06$	0.05	$-0.1$	0.05
$MgI/H$ (dex)	0.01	0.05		
$\text{AlI/H}$ (dex)	$-0.02$	0.07		
$SiI/H$ (dex)	$-0.04$	0.06	$-0.1$	0.05
$CaI/H$ (dex)	$-0.03$	0.07	$-0.1$	0.05
$ScII/H$ (dex)	$-0.02$	0.04		
$TiI/H$ (dex)	0.09	0.06	$-0.05$	0.05
$CrI/H$ (dex)	0.02	0.05	0.0	0.05
$NiI/H$ (dex)	$-0.06$	0.03	$-0.05$	0.05
$Zr/H$ (dex)			$-0.1$	0.05

fit. Given the low SNR of both the FWHM and  $\log R'_{HK}$  indicators, combined with the small expected effect of stellar activity on the RVs, we proceeded to model our data without a GP. Since the period of TOI-125d is about half the stellar rotation period, this might affect the mass measurement of that planet, but we expect this to be a small offset. We can however not exclude that the RV semi-amplitude of TOI-125d is slightly affected by stellar activity. Our RV data spans several stellar rotations, which to some degree helps mitigate this as we average over epochs with different activity levels.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>3.3 Joint modelling with EXOFASTv2

The planetary and stellar parameters were modelled selfconsistently through a joint fit of the HARPS RVs and TESS photometry with EXOFASTv2 [\(Eastman et al.](#page-13-14) [2019,](#page-13-14) [2013\)](#page-13-15). EXOFASTv2 can fit any number of transits and RV sources for a given number of planets while exploring the vast parameter space through a differential evolution Markov Chain coupled with a Metropolis-Hastings Monte Carlo sampler.

The local  $\chi^2$ -minimum in parameter space is identified<br>AMOFRA which is a non-linear minimiser using a down with AMOEBA, which is a non-linear minimiser using a downhill simplex method [\(Nelder & Mead](#page-14-30) [1965\)](#page-14-30). The starting point of the MCMC is set to be within  $1\sigma$  of the best-fit value. Hereafter the full parameter space is explored with a Monte Carlo sampler in numerous steps. At each step the stellar properties are modelled, and limb darkening coefficients for this specific star are calculated by interpolating tables from [Claret & Bloemen](#page-13-16) [\(2011\)](#page-13-16). The analytic expressions from [Mandel & Agol](#page-14-31) [\(2002\)](#page-14-31) are used for the transit model. The eccentricity is parameterised as  $e^{\frac{1}{4}} \cos(\omega_*)$  and  $e^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin(\omega*)$  to impose uniform eccentricity priors and mitigate

Lucy-Sweeney bias of final measurement [\(Lucy & Sweeney](#page-14-32) [1971\)](#page-14-32). EXOFASTv2 rejects any solutions where the planetary orbits cross.

At each step  $\chi^2$  is evaluated and assumed to be propor-<br>al to the likelihood, which is true for fixed uncertainties tional to the likelihood, which is true for fixed uncertainties. The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is invoked and 20% of all steps with lower likelihood are kept in the chain. The MCMC thus samples the full posterior distribution.

The size and direction of the next step in the MCMC is determined by the differential evolution Markov Chain method [\(Ter Braak](#page-14-33) [2006\)](#page-14-33), where several chains (twice the number of fitted parameters) are run in parallel. The step is determined by the difference between two random chains. In EXOFASTv2 a self adjusting step size scale is implemented to ensure optimal sampling across the orders of magnitude difference in scales of uncertainty. This is crucial to effectively sample all parameters (e.g, from the orbital period which can be determined to  $10^{-4}$  days for transiting planets to the RV semi-amplitude which commonly can have 10% uncertainty).

The first part of the chains with  $\chi^2$  above the median are discarded as the 'burn in' phase so as not to bias χ the final posterior distributions toward the starting point.  $\chi^2$  are discarded as the 'burn-in' phase, so as not to bias A built-in Gelman-Rubin statistic [\(Gelman & Rubin](#page-13-17) [1992;](#page-13-17) [Gelman et al.](#page-13-18) [2003;](#page-13-18) [Ford](#page-13-19) [2006\)](#page-13-19) is used to check the convergence of the chains. When modelling RVs and transit photometry simultaneously, each planet has seven free parameters and up to four additional RV terms for the systemic velocity, drift of the system, and jitter. For the transit light curve two limb darkening coefficients for the TESS band are fitted, along with the baseline flux and variance of the light curve.

Another four parameters are fitted for the star: *T*eff, [Fe/H], log *M*∗, and *R*∗. We applied Gaussian priors on *T*eff and [Fe/H] from the spectral analysis, presented in Section [3.1.](#page-3-1) The mean stellar density is determined from the transit light curve. The Gaia DR2 parallax was used, along with SED-fitting to constrain the stellar radius further. We include the broad band photometry presented in Table [1](#page-2-0) in our analysis, apart from the very wide Gaia G-band. We set an upper limit on the V-band extinction from [Schlegel et al.](#page-14-34) [\(1998\)](#page-14-34) and [Schlafly & Finkbeiner](#page-14-35) [\(2011\)](#page-14-35) to account for reddening along the line of sight. Combining spectroscopic *T*eff and [Fe/H] with broad band SED-fitting allow us to perform detailed modelling of the star with the MESA Isochrones and Stellar Tracks (MIST [Dotter](#page-13-20) [2016;](#page-13-20) [Choi et al.](#page-13-21) [2016\)](#page-13-21), which are evaluated at each step in the MCMC.

We ran EXOFASTv2 with 50 000 steps on the HARPS RVs and TESS photometry with a quadratic drift in the RVs, with and without eccentricities for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d. TOI-125b and TOI-125d have significant eccentricity. Figure [3](#page-7-1) displays the HARPS RVs with the final model and Figure [7](#page-10-0) shows a sample of the posterior distribution for the eccentricity of TOI-125b. For simplicity we fit eccentricities for all three planets in the system.

The final median values of the posterior distributions and their  $1\sigma$  confidence intervals for the stellar and planetary parameters are listed in Table [3.](#page-8-0) We find that TOI-125b has an orbital period of 4.65 days, a radius of  $2.726\pm0.075$  R<sub>E</sub>, and a mass of  $9.50 \pm 0.88$  M<sub>E</sub>, yielding a mean density of 2.57 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. It has the highest orbital eccentricity of the three planet in the system,  $e_b = 0.194^{+0.041}_{-0.036}$ . With an or-



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 2. Lomb-Scargle periodograms, from the top: raw RVs, residuals of a 2 planet fit (including TOI-125b and d), FWHM,  $\log R'_{HK}$ and bisector span. 1% and 0.1% FAP are indicated as horizontal lines. Orbital periods for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d are marked as red, yellow and green dashed lines. The expected rotational period of the star is highlighted in grey.

bital period of 9.15 days, TOI-125c is near the 2:1 mean motion resonance with its inner companion. It has a radius of  $2.759 \pm 0.10$  R<sub>E</sub> and a mass of  $6.63 \pm 0.99$  M<sub>E</sub>, implying a mean density of 1.73  $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ . TOI-125d is thus the least dense of the three. It's orbital eccentricity is consistent with zero,  $e_c = 0.066_{-0.047}^{+0.070}$ . The outer transiting planet, TOI-<br>125d, has an orbital period of 19.98 days and eccentricity 125d, has an orbital period of 19.98 days and eccentricity  $e_d = 0.168_{-0.088}^{+0.088}$ . With a radius of  $2.93 \pm 0.17$  R<sub>E</sub> and mass 13.6  $\pm$  1.2 M<sub>E</sub>, it is the densest of the three planets, with  $13.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ M}_\text{E}$ , it is the densest of the three planets, with  $\rho_P = 2.98 \text{ g cm}^{-3}.$ 

TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d are thus all mini-Neptunes with similar radii, but different masses yielding a high-low-higher density pattern outwards in the system. The planets straddle the gap identified in the mass-period plane by [Armstrong et al.](#page-13-22) [\(2019\)](#page-13-22). All three planets have the same orbital inclination to within a degree. The high orbital eccentricities detected for TOI-125b and d are unusual for such a compact system of mini-Neptunes [\(Van Eylen et al.](#page-14-36) [2019\)](#page-14-36).

TOI-125 is found to be a main-sequence K0-star with<br>s  $0.859^{+0.044}_{-0.038}$  M<sub>O</sub>, radius  $0.848 \pm 0.011$  R<sub>O</sub> and mass  $0.859^{+0.044}_{-0.038}$ mass  $0.859^{+0.044}_{-0.038}$  M<sub>O</sub>, radius  $0.848 \pm 0.011$  R<sub>O</sub> and  $T_{\text{eff}}$ =5320 ± 39 K. This is in reasonable agreement with the properties reported in the Gaia Data Release 2: *R*∗ =  $0.90 \pm 0.03$  R<sub> $\odot$ </sub> and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  = 5150  $\pm$  84 K [\(Gaia Collaboration](#page-13-7) [et al.](#page-13-7) [2018\)](#page-13-7). The quadratic drift found in the RVs might indicate the existence of an additional massive companion in the system, at a long period  $P \gtrsim 100$  days. We obtained a few RV points in July 2019 with low precision to rule out a stellar companion. More high-precision RVs would be needed to determine the nature of this long-term signal.

#### 3.3.1 Marginal planet candidates TOI-125.04 and TOI-125.05

Figure [4](#page-7-2) shows the residuals from the 3-planet fit. We see no hint of any signal from TOI-125.04 or TOI-125.05. The strong peak at  $P = 0.49$  days is an alias of the residual signal at 50 days.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>Figure 3. HARPS RVs for TOI-125 with a three planet model including eccentric orbits and a quadratic drift. The residuals to the best fit are shown right below the RV timeseries. The bottom panel shows the data phase folded and binned for each planet.

We derive upper mass limits for the two planet candidates by running EXOFASTv2 on the HARPS RVs while only including priors on the orbital period and transit depth from [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8). We do not include the TESS photometry, to save computational time. Fitting 3, 4 or 5 planets has little impact on the final parameters for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d. For the marginal USP candidate TOI-125.04 ( $P = 0.53$  days,  $R_P = 1.36_{-0.16}^{+0.14}$  R<sub>E</sub>) we find a radial<br>velocity some amplitude of  $K = 0.56_{-0.4}^{+0.4}$  m s<sup>-1</sup> corresponding velocity semi-amplitude of  $K = 0.56_{-0.3}^{+0.4} \text{ m s}^{-1}$  corresponding<br>to a  $2\sigma$  upper mass limit of 1.6 M<sub>F</sub>. Our measurement to a  $2\sigma$  upper mass limit of 1.6 M<sub>E</sub>. Our measurement are compatible with no planet and we cannot validate this candidate. The highest bulk density allowed by the data (based on the upper mass limit and  $1\sigma$  lower radius 1.20  $(R_E)$  is  $\rho_{P,max} = 5.10 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . For highly irradiated super<br>earth candidates such as TOI 125.04 we expect highly in earth candidates such as TOI-125.04 we expect highly irradiated rocky cores with high densities. More observations either with a HARPS-like or more precise instrument such as ESPRESSO [\(Pepe et al.](#page-14-37) [2010\)](#page-14-37) would be required to confirm the existence and mass of TOI-125.04.

For TOI-125.05 (P=13.28 days) we find a radial velocity semi-amplitude consistent with zero;  $K = 0.2^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ ms<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to a 2 $\sigma$  upper mass limit of 2.7 M<sub>E</sub>. The posterior distribution for the planetary radius presented by posterior distribution for the planetary radius presented by [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8) is bi-modal and peaks at 4.2 and 13.5  $R_E$ . The 1 $\sigma$  median for the whole distribution is 8.8<sup>+4,7</sup> $R_E$ , which does not reflect the true nature of the posterior. The which does not reflect the true nature of the posterior. The RV data presented by [Quinn et al.](#page-14-8) [\(2019\)](#page-14-8) and this study both exclude the upper part of the distribution, meaning that if the planet is real it's radius will most likely be similar to that of TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d. We thus only consider the lower part of the radius posterior distribution with 68% confidence intervals  $4.2^{+2.2}_{-1.4}$ R<sub>E</sub>. The highest



<span id="page-7-2"></span>Figure 4. Periodogram of the RV residuals after fitting 3 planets with eccentric orbits and a quadratic trend. The horizontal black line is the 1% FAP.

bulk density allowed by the data (based on the upper mass limit and  $1\sigma$  lower radius 2.8 R<sub>E</sub>) is  $\rho_{P,max} = 0.38$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>.<br>This is a very low density close to being un-physical for a This is a very low density close to being un-physical for a mini-Neptune. We thus conclude that TOI-125.05 is unlikely as a viable planet candidate.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>4 DYNAMICAL STABILITY AND SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The period ratios in the TOI-125 system are interesting. If we assume that the low-SNR USP candidate TOI-125.04 is a planet, then the orbital period ratios of adjacent pairs are (beginning from the outside) 2.183, 1.966, and 8.806. The period ratio between planet d and planet c, 2.183, lies at the second most prominent peak in the period ratio distribution [\(Steffen & Hwang](#page-14-38) [2015;](#page-14-38) [Lissauer et al.](#page-14-5) [2011;](#page-14-5) [Fabrycky](#page-13-23) [et al.](#page-13-23) [2014\)](#page-13-23) of known exoplanets, close to the 2:1 mean motion resonance (MMR). The origin of this peak is unknown, though it appears both in systems with known intermediate

## 8 L. D. Nielsen et al.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 3. Median values and 68% confidence intervals for TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d and their host star fitted with EXOFASTv2, while including a quadratic RV drift and orbital eccentricities for all three planets.



<sup>F</sup><sup>0</sup> . . . . . . Baseline flux . <sup>1</sup>.<sup>000136</sup> <sup>±</sup> <sup>0</sup>.000019 1.<sup>000151</sup> <sup>±</sup> <sup>0</sup>.<sup>000019</sup> † The eccentricities presented here are the direct outputs from EXOFASTv2, without any constraints from N-body simulations. Our dynamical analysis in Sec. [4.1](#page-9-0) puts upper limits on the eccentricities for TOI-125b and TOI-125c, but retains the same eccentricities within a  $1 - \sigma$  confidence interval.

planets (as we see here) and in systems with no observed intermediate planets.

Next, between planets c and b the period ratio is 1.966—sufficiently interior to the 2:1 MMR to be consistent with the observed gap in planet pairs interior to such resonances [\(Lissauer et al.](#page-14-5) [2011;](#page-14-5) [Fabrycky et al.](#page-13-23) [2014\)](#page-13-23). There are multiple explanations for this gap interior to the firstorder MMR, though none have been demonstrated as the primary cause [\(Petrovich et al.](#page-14-39) [2013;](#page-14-39) [Batygin & Morbidelli](#page-13-24) [2013;](#page-13-24) [Delisle et al.](#page-13-25) [2012;](#page-13-25) [Chatterjee & Ford](#page-13-26) [2015;](#page-13-26) [Rein](#page-14-40) [2012;](#page-14-40) [Lithwick & Wu](#page-14-41) [2012\)](#page-14-41). Further study of systems like TOI-125 may shed additional light on its origin. Finally, the innermost planet candidate has an orbital period that is less than one day. With its neighbour this pair has the largest period ratio in the system. This is consistent with the observed trend that when one member of an adjacent pair of planets has an orbital period less than a day, the period ratio is unusually large [\(Steffen & Farr](#page-14-42) [2013;](#page-14-42) [Sanchis-Ojeda et al.](#page-14-43) [2014;](#page-14-43) [Steffen & Coughlin](#page-14-44) [2016\)](#page-14-44). The origin of the ultrashort-period planets remains unknown [\(Winn et al.](#page-14-45) [2018\)](#page-14-45) though a number of hypotheses have been proposed ranging from stripped cores of giant planets [\(Valsecchi et al.](#page-14-46)  $2014$ ; Königl et al.  $2017$ ) to various dynamical effects cou-pled with stellar tides (Muñoz et al. [2016;](#page-14-48) Lee  $&$  Chiang [2017;](#page-14-49) [Pu & Lai](#page-14-50) [2019;](#page-14-50) [Petrovich et al.](#page-14-51) [2019\)](#page-14-51). The nearby presence of additional small planets would seem not to support the stripped-cores possibility, since hot Jupiter planets tend to be alone with few exceptions [\(Wright et al.](#page-14-52) [2009;](#page-14-52) [Steffen et al.](#page-14-53) [2012;](#page-14-53) [Becker et al.](#page-13-27) [2015\)](#page-13-27). Moreover, [\(Winn](#page-14-54) [et al.](#page-14-54) [2017\)](#page-14-54) showed that the metallicity trends of these USP planets do not match those of hot Jupiters — implying that if USP planets are stripped cores, they must be from smaller, sub-Neptune planets.

The masses of the planets are sufficiently large that in situ formation is unlikely (see eg [Schlichting](#page-14-55) [2014\)](#page-14-55). Thus, formation at larger distances in a protoplanetary disc and migration inwards is a possibility. Planets in resonance are a clear indication of planet migration. Furthermore, if the planets formed in the same location in a protoplanetary disc, it would be expected that they would have formed out of similar disc material and thus have similar densities. The fact that neighbouring planets have significantly different densities is also indicative that they formed in different locations and migrated inwards, as invenstigated for the Kepler 36 system [\(Carter et al.](#page-13-28) [2012\)](#page-13-28) by [Bodenheimer et al.](#page-13-29) [\(2018\)](#page-13-29) and [Raymond et al.](#page-14-56) [\(2018\)](#page-14-56).

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>4.1 N-body simulations

We attempted to refine the orbital parameters and planet masses for the TOI-125 system by requiring the system parameters to be compatible with dynamical stability. For this purpose, we considered the [3](#page-9-1)-planet model for TOI-125<sup>3</sup>, as illustrated in Table [3.](#page-8-0) We used several thousand draws uniformly selected over the full EXOFASTv2 MCMC posterior as sets of initial conditions.

Each set was integrated over a time span of 5 000 years, corresponding to approximately 91 000 revolutions of the outer planet TOI-125d. The simulations were performed with an adaptive timestepping using the N-body 15th-order integrator IAS15 [\(Rein & Spiegel](#page-14-57) [2015\)](#page-14-57), available from the software package REBOUND<sup>[4](#page-9-2)</sup> [\(Rein & Liu](#page-14-58) [2012\)](#page-14-58). The general relativity correction was included following [Anderson](#page-13-30) [et al.](#page-13-30) [\(1975\)](#page-13-30), via the python module REBOUNDx. Then, the stability of each system was explored using the NAFF

chaos indicator [\(Laskar](#page-14-59) [1990,](#page-14-59) [1993\)](#page-14-60). The latter consists in estimating precisely the average of the mean motion *n* of each planet over the first half of the simulation, and repeating this procedure over the second half. The bigger the variation in this average, the more chaotic the system is. Most often, this leads to escapes or close encounters between bodies, defining the system as unstable. Finally, we define a new posterior distribution by keeping only the stable systems. Linking the MCMC exploration of the parameter space with fast chaos indicators is particularly efficient (Stalport et al. in prep).

The coupled photometric and radial velocity observations give constraints on all the orbital parameters except the longitudes of the nodes of the planets  $\Omega$ . As a result, this parameter is absent from the EXOFASTv2 MCMC posterior. Therefore, we performed a first series of 5 000 numerical simulations in which the initial values for the  $\Omega$  parameters were selected randomly from a uniform distribution between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$ . The new, dynamically stable posterior distribution strikingly selects only the systems in which the planets have aligned or anti-aligned lines of nodes. This result is illustrated in Figure [5.](#page-10-1) It is explained by the fact that, in these configurations, the mutual inclinations between the adjacent planets are minimal<sup>[5](#page-9-3)</sup>. Let us note that no information is provided regarding the individual value of  $\Omega$  for each planet. However, the dynamical constraints allow us to state that  $\Omega_k - \Omega_j = 0$  or  $\pi$ , for *j* and *k* denoting the planets.

Projected onto the other orbital parameters and planetary masses, the dynamically stable posterior distribution does not bring more information. It mimics the original MCMC posterior distribution. This poor refinement can be explained by the aforementioned observation about the lines of nodes. Indeed, many systems turned out to be unstable only because of the unfavourable configurations given by  $\Omega$ , and the real constraints on the observations were hidden.

To overcome this bias, we launched a second set of 10 000 numerical simulations. This time, the longitudes of the nodes of the planets were selected randomly in windows around the alignment or anti-alignment, as illustrated by the vertical lines on Figure [5.](#page-10-1) An interesting result of this process is shown in Figure [6.](#page-10-2) The posterior distribution is projected onto the plane of two parameters, the eccentricity and argument of periastron of the outer planet  $(e_d \text{ and } \omega_d)$ . As seen in the figure, a branch of solutions at  $\omega_d \sim 60^\circ$  ex-<br>plones high values of ex-However, this region is disfavoured plores high values of  $e_d$ . However, this region is disfavoured, as expressed by the decrease in the median of  $e_d$ .

Another result concerns the relatively high eccentricity of the inner planet, which has a best-fit value of  $e_b \sim 0.194$ . In Figure [7,](#page-10-0) we show the posterior distribution projected onto this parameter in red. The observations are inconsistent with zero eccentricity. A slight displacement towards lower eccentricities is observed in the dynamically stable distribution. Indeed, with the stability constraint, the median of the distribution shifted from  $med(e_b) \sim 0.188$  (red histogram) to  $med(e_b) \sim 0.177$  (blue histogram). However, many systems

<span id="page-9-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If real, the USP candidate TOI-125.04 is not expected to play a significant dynamical role in the system, due to its large period ratio with TOI-125b.

<span id="page-9-2"></span><sup>4</sup> The REBOUND code is freely available at [http://github.com/](http://github.com/hannorein/rebound) [hannorein/rebound](http://github.com/hannorein/rebound).

<span id="page-9-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The mutual inclination  $I_m$  between two orbits is a quantity that depends on the inclination of each orbit  $i_k$  and  $i_j$  with respect to the plane of the sky, and on the difference in the longitudes of the nodes  $\Delta\Omega = \Omega_k - \Omega_j$  (*j* and *k* denote the planets). Its expression is  $\cos I_m = \cos i_k \cos i_j + \cos \Delta \Omega \sin i_k \sin i_j$ .



<span id="page-10-1"></span>Figure 5. Dynamically stable posterior distribution projected onto  $\Omega_c - \Omega_b$  in green,  $\Omega_d - \Omega_c$  in blue. The peaks at around 0 and ±180 degrees strongly favour the aligned or anti-aligned configurations for the lines of nodes of the planets.



<span id="page-10-2"></span>Figure 6. Sample of the posterior distribution from the EXO-FASTv2 MCMC of the 3-planets model, projected on the parameters  $e_d$  and  $\omega_d$ . In red, the full sample is projected. The dots are coloured in blue if the corresponding systems are qualified as stable by the NAFF indicator. The black horizontal lines denote the median values of the distributions of  $e_d$ . The dashed line is associated to the full sample, while the plain line corresponds to the dynamically stable sample. The same applies for  $\omega_d$  and the vertical lines.

with large eccentricities remain stable. Therefore, such large eccentricities do not seem incompatible with stability.

#### 4.2 Tidal interactions

The high eccentricity of planet b also raises questions concerning the tidal evolution of the system. To investigate those aspects, we also performed N-body integrations taking into account the tidal forces and torques. To perform those



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure 7. Similar plot as Figure [6.](#page-10-2) The posterior distribution is now projected onto the single parameter  $e_b$ .

simulations, we used Posidonius<sup>[6](#page-10-3)</sup> [\(Blanco-Cuaresma & Bol](#page-13-31)[mont](#page-13-31) [2017\)](#page-13-31) which allows to take into account tides, as well as rotational flattening and general relativity using the same prescriptions as in [Bolmont et al.](#page-13-32) [\(2015\)](#page-13-32).

For tides, Posidonius uses an equilibrium tide model [\(Mignard](#page-14-61) [1979;](#page-14-61) [Hut](#page-14-62) [1981;](#page-14-62) [Eggleton et al.](#page-13-33) [1998\)](#page-13-33), for which the tidal dissipation of the different bodies is quantified by the product  $k_2\Delta\tau$  of the constant time lag  $\Delta\tau$  and the Love number of degree  $2 \, k_2$  (the bigger this quantity, the bigger the dissipation and the faster the evolution). As the underlying assumption of this constant time lag model is that the planet is made of a weakly viscous fluid, it is appropriate for the low-density planets of TOI-125. We use a constant time lag similar to Jupiter's  $(k_2\Delta\tau \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$  s from [Leconte](#page-14-63)<br>of al. 2010) and oxplore a range between 1 and 10<sup>2</sup> times [et al.](#page-14-63) [2010\)](#page-14-63) and explore a range between 1 and  $10^2$  times this value.

Assuming this dissipation for all planets leads to very long evolution timescales. In particular, the timescale of circularisation for planet b is about  $\gtrsim 10^{10}$  yr and it reaches  $10^{13}$  yr for planet d, which is much higher than the estimated age of ∼ 7 Gyr. The high eccentricities are therefore not completely surprising and the fact that planet b has not circularised also puts constraints on its dissipation: it cannot be much higher than Jupiter's. However, the timescales for the damping of the planetary obliquity (angle between the rotation axis and the perpendicular to the orbital plane) and of synchronisation are shorter. Assuming the same dissipation as Jupiter, and even assuming the lower estimate of the age (2.5 Gyr), we find that planets b and c should have a damped obliquity (less than a few degrees) and an evolved rotation. In our model, the evolved rotation period is the pseudo-synchronisation period, which depends on eccentricity [\(Hut](#page-14-62) [1981\)](#page-14-62). Depending on the age of the system, the obliquity and rotation of planet d might still be evolving: if the system is older than ∼6 Gyr, the obliquity should

<span id="page-10-3"></span><sup>6</sup> The Posidonius code is freely available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/marblestation/posidonius) [marblestation/posidonius](https://github.com/marblestation/posidonius).

be very small and the rotation should be very close to the pseudo-synchronisation rotation.

Of course, there is a strong uncertainty on the dissipation factor of planets, these planets could dissipate more energy than what is estimated for Jupiter (with processes such as tidal inertial waves in the convective region [Ogilvie](#page-14-64) [& Lin](#page-14-64) [2004\)](#page-14-64). But unless the age of the system is close to its upper estimate of 11 Gyr, the fact that planet b still has a high eccentricity tends to indicate that dynamical tide processes are not very efficient.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>5 INTERNAL STRUCTURE

In order to characterise the internal structure of TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d we construct models considering a pure iron core, a silicate mantle, a pure water layer and a H-He atmosphere. The models follow the basic structure model of [Dorn et al.](#page-13-34) [\(2017\)](#page-13-34), with the equation of state (EOS) for the iron core taken from [Hakim et al.](#page-13-35) [\(2018\)](#page-13-35), and the EOS of the silicate-mantle from [Connolly](#page-13-36) [\(2009\)](#page-13-36). For water we use the quotidian EOS of [Vazan et al.](#page-14-65) [\(2013\)](#page-14-65) for low pressures and the one of [Seager et al.](#page-14-66) [\(2007\)](#page-14-66) for pressures above 44.3 GPa. The hydrogen-helium (H-He) EOS is SCVH [\(Saumon](#page-14-67) [et al.](#page-14-67) [1995\)](#page-14-67) assuming a proto-solar composition. We then use a generalised Bayesian inference analysis using a Nested Sampling scheme (e.g. [Buchner](#page-13-37) [2016\)](#page-13-37). We then quantify the degeneracy between interior parameters and produce posterior probability distributions. The interior parameters that are inferred include the masses of the pure-iron core, silicate mantle, water layer and H-He atmospheres. For this analysis we use the stellar Fe/Si and Mg/Si ratios from Table [2](#page-5-1) as a proxy for the planet abundandances.

Figure [8](#page-11-2) shows the mass-radius relation for a pure-water curve and a planet with 95% water and 5% H-He atmosphere subjected to a stellar radiation of  $F/F_{\oplus} = 100$  (comparable to the case of the TOI-125 planets). All three planets could in principle either consist of a rocky core with a massive water envelope (mostly in the form of supercritical steam) or a rocky core with a likely high metallicity H-He envelope (up to 5% in mass of H-He). The position of the three planets in the insolation radius diagram (Figure  $6$ ), above the evaporation valley [\(Fulton et al.](#page-13-6) [2017;](#page-13-6) [Fulton & Petigura](#page-13-5) [2018;](#page-13-5) [Van Eylen et al.](#page-14-68) [2018\)](#page-14-68) indicate however that the latter scenario (i.e. involving a H2/He envelope) is the most plausible one [\(Owen & Wu](#page-14-6) [2017;](#page-14-6) [Ginzburg et al.](#page-13-38) [2018\)](#page-13-38). Spectroscopic transit measurements will hopefully help to discriminate between the two aforementioned cases owing to the relative proximity of the TOI-125 system, see Section [6](#page-11-1) for a more in-depth discussion. Transit observations of the exoplanet GJ1214b – which lies in a somewhat similar insolation radius mass parameter space than TOI-125 planets – have however shown that clouds may limit our ability to conclude on the true nature of these objects [\(Kreidberg et al.](#page-14-69) [2014\)](#page-14-69). He at the same intered into the same of t

Table [4](#page-11-3) lists the inferred mass fractions of the core, mantle, water layer and H-He atmosphere from our structure models. We find median H-He mass fractions of 2.3% for TOI-125b, 2.9% for TOI-125c, and 4.5% for TOI-125d. These estimates are lower bounds since structure models considering H-He envelopes enriched with heavy elements could result in even higher values. This is because enriched



<span id="page-11-2"></span>Figure 8. Mass-radius diagram of exoplanets with accurate mass and radius determination [\(Otegi et al.](#page-14-70) [2019\)](#page-14-70). Also shown are the composition lines of an Earth-like planet, pure-water and 95%  $H_2O + 5\%H - He$ .

<span id="page-11-3"></span>Table 4. Inferred interior structure properties of TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d.

Interior Structure	$TOI-125b$	TOI-125c	TOI-125d
$M_{\rm core}/M_{\rm total}$	$0.31_{-0.32}^{+0.18}$	$0.31_{-0.27}^{+0.16}$	$0.26_{-0.21}^{+0.16}$
$M_{\text{mantle}}/M_{\text{total}}$	$0.39_{-0.26}^{+0.17}$	$0.38_{-0.29}^{+0.18}$	$0.36_{-0.31}^{+0.18}$
$M_{\text{water}}/M_{\text{total}}$	$0.32_{-0.24}^{+0.20}$	$0.32_{-0.24}^{+0.17}$	$0.36_{-0.21}^{+0.16}$
$M_{\rm H-He}/M_{\rm total}$	$0.020^{+0.006}_{-0.008}$	$0.027^{+0.007}_{-0.010}$	$0.041_{-0.012}^{+0.009}$

increase the planetary H-He mass fraction. Indeed, formation models of mini-Neptunes suggest that forming such planets without envelope enrichment is very unlikely [\(Ven](#page-14-71)[turini & Helled](#page-14-71) [2017\)](#page-14-71).

TOI-125b and TOI-125c are expected to have very similar compositions, with core and water layer mass fractions of ∼ 30% and a mantle mass fraction of ∼ 40%. TOI-125d, instead, has a slightly higher water mass fraction of 35%, and a smaller fraction of refractory materials with a core mass fraction of 26% and mantle mass fraction of 35%.

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span>6 POTENTIAL FOR ATMOSPHERIC CHARACTERISATION

Our analysis of the internal structure (see Table [4\)](#page-11-3), as well as the position of the three planets in the insolation-radius diagram (see Figure  $6$ ), indicate that all three planets might have a water dominated atmosphere with a small contribution from lighter elements at the order of a few percent. If these light elements are evaporated over time (especially for TOI-125b, the most irradiated in the system), their observation could be used to study the planets' exospheres.

Due to the significant distance of the system (111.<sup>40</sup> pc), the absorption of the interstellar medium (ISM) puts Lyman- $\alpha$  observations out of reach. However, H-alpha and HeI, which do not suffer from ISM absorption, can be used to detect a potential escaping planetary outflow. H-alpha,



Figure 9. Insolation flux relative to Earth plotted against radii for known exoplanets extracted from NASA Exoplanet Archive, as presented in [Fulton et al.](#page-13-6) [\(2017\)](#page-13-6); [Fulton & Petigura](#page-13-5) [\(2018\)](#page-13-5). The orange contours indicate point density (not occurrence), showing the separate populations of mini-Neptunes and super-Earths. TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d are plotted as three stars in the same colours as in Figure [1,](#page-4-0) [3](#page-7-1) and [8.](#page-11-2)

and other Balmer series lines, have been detected for several exoplanets, showing deep absorption features observed at high spectral resolution [\(Jensen et al.](#page-14-72) [2012;](#page-14-72) [Cauley et al.](#page-13-39) [2017;](#page-13-39) [Jensen et al.](#page-14-73) [2018;](#page-14-73) [Yan & Henning](#page-14-74) [2018\)](#page-14-74). Likewise, the well known HeI triplet in the infrared [\(Seager & Sas](#page-14-75)[selov](#page-14-75) [2000;](#page-14-75) Oklopčić & Hirata [2018;](#page-14-76) Oklopčić [2019\)](#page-14-77), has also successfully detected exospheric absorption in other systems [\(Allart et al.](#page-13-40) [2018;](#page-13-40) [Nortmann et al.](#page-14-78) [2018;](#page-14-78) [Salz et al.](#page-14-79) [2018;](#page-14-79) [Allart et al.](#page-13-41) [2019\)](#page-13-41).

The possible water rich composition from Table [4](#page-11-3) could be verified via observations in the infrared, and thus provide valuable insights into the water composition in a multi planet system with three similarly sized planets but different masses and insolations. However, observations from the ground are challenging due to the planets' sizes and observational windows. We estimated that one transit observations would not be useful to detect water bands for TOI-125b (scale height 38 km) with NIRPS at the ESO 3.6m telescope [\(Bouchy et al.](#page-13-42) [2017\)](#page-13-42). Observing multiple transits would require a dedicated large program spanning several years given the possible observational windows from Chile. It is, however, a prime target for observations with the next generation of ELTs, particularly with the HIRES optical-to-NIR spectrograph at the E-ELT [\(Marconi et al.](#page-14-80) [2016\)](#page-14-80) and CRIRES+ at the VLT [\(Follert et al.](#page-13-43) [2014\)](#page-13-43).

Using the Pandexo Exposure Time Calculator for  $HST<sup>7</sup>$  $HST<sup>7</sup>$  $HST<sup>7</sup>$ , we estimate that the precision with which we can measure the transmission spectrum of TOI-125b using the Wide-Field Camera 3 (WFC3) instrument, in five transits, is <sup>∼</sup>30 ppm near the 1.4 µm water feature. The expected water signature at 5-scale heights has a depth of approximately 20 ppm, thus detecting this feature with HST would be challenging for a planet with an atmosphere as compact as TOI-125b. However, all three planets are prime targets for JWST's NIRSpec.

#### 7 CONCLUSIONS

We confirm the detection of three mini-Neptunes around TOI-125 found by TESS using HARPS RV measurements. TOI-125b, TOI-125c and TOI-125d have all have similar radii;  $2.726 \pm 0.075$  R<sub>E</sub>,  $2.759 \pm 0.10$  R<sub>E</sub> and  $2.93 \pm 0.17$  R<sub>E</sub>, respectively. The three planets differ greatly in mass however with  $9.50\pm0.88$  M<sub>E</sub>,  $6.63\pm0.99$  M<sub>E</sub> and  $13.6\pm1.2$  M<sub>E</sub>, yielding a high-low-higher pattern in terms of density when moving outward in the system. For the two marginal planet candidates TOI-125.04 and TOI-125.05 we derive 2- $\sigma$  upper mass limits of 1.6  $M_E$  and 2.7  $M_E$ , respectively. For TOI-125.05 this mean it is unlikely as a viable planet candidate.

The system exhibit an intriguing architecture with the two inner planet slightly interior to the 2:1 MMR while the two outer planets are slightly external to the 2:1 MMR. TOI-125b and TOI-125d both show significant orbital eccentricities. We analyse the dynamics of the system using N-body simulations and demonstrate that planetary orbits are stable despite the high eccentricities. Based on N-body simulations coupled with tidal forces and torques we conclude that the dynamical tide processes cannot be very efficient in order for TOI-125b to retain it's high eccentricity of  $e_b = 0.194_{-0.036}^{+0.041}$ .<br>Our analysis of the internal compositions of these three

Our analysis of the internal compositions of these three planets yield that they all most likely retain H-He atmospheres and a significant water layer which could be detected though transmission spectroscopy. This is expected for planets sitting on top of the radius gap (see Figure  $6$ ), receiving less than 300 times the stellar insolation than that of the Earth.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for providing thoughtful comments that allowed us to improve on this paper. This study is based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programmes 0101.C-0829, 1102.C-0249, 1102.C-0923, 0102.C-0525 and 0102.C-0451.

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and the Geneva University for their continuous support to our planet search programs. This work has been in particular carried out in the frame of the National Centre for Competence in Research *PlanetS* supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF).

This publication makes use of The Data & Analysis Center for Exoplanets (DACE), which is a facility based at the University of Geneva (CH) dedicated to extrasolar planets data visualisation, exchange and analysis. DACE is a platform of the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) PlanetS, federating the Swiss expertise in Exoplanet research. The DACE platform is available at <https://dace.unige.ch>.

This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission. Funding for the TESS mission is provided by the NASA Explorer Program. Resources supporting this work were

<span id="page-12-0"></span><sup>7</sup> Available at <https://exoctk.stsci.edu/pandexo/>.

provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products.

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia ([https:](https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia) [//www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia](https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia)), processed by the  $\tilde{G}aia$ Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, [https:](https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium) [//www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium](https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium)).

Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement.

This research has made use of the NASA Exoplanet Archive, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. DJA acknowledges support from the STFC via an Ernest Rutherford Fellowship (ST/R00384X/1). The IA/Portuguese team was supported by FCT/MCTES through national funds and by FEDER - Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional through COMPETE2020 - Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização by these grants: UID/FIS/04434/2019; PTDC/FIS-AST/32113/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-032113; PTDC/FIS-AST/28953/2017 & POCI-01- 0145-FEDER-028953. VA acknowledges the support from FCT through Investigador FCT contract nr. IF/00650/2015/CP1273/CT0001. SH acknowledge support by the fellowships PD/BD/128119/2016 funded by FCT (Portugal). SCCB acknowledges support from FCT through Investigador FCT contracts IF/01312/2014/CP1215/CT0004. O.D.S.D. acknowledges the support from FCT (Portugal) through work contract DL 57/2016/CP1364/CT0004. MRD acknowledges support of CONICYT-PFCHA/Doctorado Nacional-21140646 and Proyecto Basal AFB-170002. JSJ acknowledges support from FONDECYT grant 1161218. FM acknowledges support from The Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowship. JVS and LAdS are supported by funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (project Four Aces; grant agreement No 724427). DJAB acknowledges support from the UK Space Agency. JNW acknowledges support from the Heising-Simons Foundation. NN is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18H01265 and JP18H05439, and JST PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR1775. CD acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant PZ00P2 174028. KWFL acknowledge support by DFG grants RA714/14-1 within the DFG Schwerpunkt SPP 1992, "Exploring the Diversity of Extrasolar Planets". DB and JLB habe been funded by the Spanish State Research Agency (AEI) Projects No.ESP2017-87676-C5-1-R and No. MDM-2017-0737 Unidad de Excelencia María de Maeztu Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA). SM acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry under the Ramon y Cajal fellowship number RYC-2015-17697. R.A.G. acknowledges the support from PLATO and GOLF CNES grants. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 832738/ESCAPE. M.T. acknowledges funding from the Gruber Foundation. M.F., I.G. and C.M.P. gratefully acknowledge the support of the Swedish National Space Agency (DNR 163/16 and 174/18).

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Adibekyan V., et al., 2015, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527120) [583, A94](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...583A..94A)
- <span id="page-13-40"></span>Allart R., et al., 2018, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5879) [362, 1384](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Sci...362.1384A)
- <span id="page-13-41"></span>Allart R., et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834917) [623, A58](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...623A..58A)
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Anderson J. D., Esposito P. B., Martin W., Thornton C. L., Muhleman D. O., 1975, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/153779) [200, 221](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1975ApJ...200..221A)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Armstrong D. J., Meru F., Bayliss D., Kennedy G. M., Veras D., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab2ba2) 880, L1
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Batygin K., Morbidelli A., 2013, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/1/1) [145, 1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013AJ....145....1B)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Becker J. C., Vanderburg A., Adams F. C., Rappaport S. A., Schwengeler H. M., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/812/2/L18) [812, L18](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...812L..18B)
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Blanco-Cuaresma S., Bolmont E., 2017, in EWASS Special Session 4 (2017): Star-planet interactions. ([arXiv:1712.01281](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01281)), [doi:10.5281/zenodo.1095095](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1095095)
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Bodenheimer P., Stevenson D. J., Lissauer J. J., D'Angelo G., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae928) [868, 138](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...868..138B)
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Bolmont E., Raymond S. N., Leconte J., Hersant F., Correia A. C. M., 2015, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525909) [583, A116](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A%26A...583A.116B)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Borucki W. J., et al., 2010, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1185402) [327, 977](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010Sci...327..977B)
- <span id="page-13-42"></span>Bouchy F., et al., 2017, [The Messenger,](http://dx.doi.org/10.18727/0722-6691/5034) [169, 21](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017Msngr.169...21B)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Bruntt H., et al., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16575.x) [405, 1907](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.405.1907B)
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Buchner J., 2016, [Statistics and Computing,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11222-014-9512-y) 26, 383
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Carter J. A., et al., 2012, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1223269) [337, 556](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012Sci...337..556C)
- <span id="page-13-39"></span>Cauley P. W., Redfield S., Jensen A. G., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/81) [153, 81](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/Cauley2017)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Chatterjee S., Ford E. B., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/33) [803, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...803...33C)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Choi J., Dotter A., Conroy C., Cantiello M., Paxton B., Johnson B. D., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/102) [823, 102](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...823..102C)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Claret A., Bloemen S., 2011, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116451) [529, A75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011A&A...529A..75C)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Collier Cameron A., et al., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1215) [487, 1082](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.487.1082C)
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>Connolly J. A. D., 2009, [Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002540) [10, Q10014](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009GGG....1010014C)
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Delisle J.-B., Laskar J., Correia A. C. M., Boué G., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220001) [546, A71](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A%26A...546A..71D)
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Dorn C., Hinkel N. R., Venturini J., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628749) [597, A38](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A&A...597A..38D)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Dotter A., 2016, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/222/1/8) [222, 8](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJS..222....8D)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Doyle A. P., Davies G. R., Smalley B., Chaplin W. J., Elsworth Y., 2014, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1692) [444, 3592](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014MNRAS.444.3592D)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Dragomir D., et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab12ed) [875, L7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...875L...7D)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Dumusque X., et al., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/814/2/L21) [814, L21](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...814L..21D)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Dumusque X., et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935457) [627, A43](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...627A..43D)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Eastman J., Gaudi B. S., Agol E., 2013, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/669497) [125, 83](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PASP..125...83E)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Eastman J. D., et al., 2019, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:1907.09480](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019arXiv190709480E)
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Eggleton P. P., Kiseleva L. G., Hut P., 1998, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305670) [499, 853](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998ApJ...499..853E)
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Fabrycky D. C., et al., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/146) [790, 146](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...790..146F)
- <span id="page-13-43"></span>Follert R., et al., 2014, in Proc. SPIE. p. 914719, [doi:10.1117/12.2054197](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2054197)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Ford E. B., 2006, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/500802) [642, 505](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJ...642..505F)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Fulton B. J., Petigura E. A., 2018, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aae828) [156, 264](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018AJ....156..264F)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Fulton B. J., et al., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb) [154, 109](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AJ....154..109F)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Gaia Collaboration Brown A. G. A., Vallenari A., Prusti T., de Bruijne J. H. J., Babusiaux C., Bailer-Jones C. A. L., 2018, preprint, ([arXiv:1804.09365](http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.09365))
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Gandolfi D., et al., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834289) 619, L10
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Gandolfi D., et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab17d9) [876, L24](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...876L..24G)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Gelman A., Rubin D. B., 1992, [Statist. Sci.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/ss/1177011136) 7, 457
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Gelman A., Carlin J. B., Stern H. S., Rubin D. B., 2003, Bayesian Data Analysis, 2 edn. Chapman & Hall, London
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Ginzburg S., Schlichting H. E., Sari R., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty290) [476, 759](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.476..759G)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Gustafsson B., Edvardsson B., Eriksson K., Jørgensen U. G., Nordlund Å., Plez B., 2008, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809724) [486, 951](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...486..951G)
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Hakim K., Rivoldini A., Van Hoolst T., Cottenier S., Jaeken J., Chust T., Steinle-Neumann G., 2018, [Icarus,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.05.005) [313, 61](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Icar..313...61H)

## 14 L. D. Nielsen et al.

- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Høg E., et al., 2000, A&A, [355, L27](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000A%26A...355L..27H)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Huang C. X., et al., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaef91) [868, L39](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...868L..39H)
- <span id="page-14-62"></span>Hut P., 1981, A&A, [99, 126](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1981A%26A....99..126H)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Jenkins J. M., et al., 2016, in Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy IV. p. 99133E, [doi:10.1117/12.2233418](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2233418)
- <span id="page-14-72"></span>Jensen A. G., Redfield S., Endl M., Cochran W. D., Koesterke L., Barman T., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/751/2/86) [751, 86](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/Jensen2012)
- <span id="page-14-73"></span>Jensen A. G., Cauley P. W., Redfield S., Cochran W. D., Endl M., 2018, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aadca7) [156, 154](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/Jensen2018)
- <span id="page-14-47"></span>Königl A., Giacalone S., Matsakos T., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa861f) [846, L13](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...846L..13K)
- <span id="page-14-69"></span>Kreidberg L., et al., 2014, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12888) [505, 69](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014Natur.505...69K)
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Kurucz R. L., 1993, [Physica Scripta Volume T,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/1993/T47/017) [47, 110](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993PhST...47..110K)
- <span id="page-14-59"></span>Laskar J., 1990, [Icarus,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(90)90084-M) [88, 266](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1990Icar...88..266L)
- <span id="page-14-60"></span>Laskar J., 1993, Physica D, 67, 257
- <span id="page-14-63"></span>Leconte J., Chabrier G., Baraffe I., Levrard B., 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014337) [516,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A%26A...516A..64L) [A64](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A%26A...516A..64L)
- <span id="page-14-49"></span>Lee E. J., Chiang E., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6fb3) [842, 40](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...842...40L)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Li J., Tenenbaum P., Twicken J. D., Burke C. J., Jenkins J. M., Quintana E. V., Rowe J. F., Seader S. E., 2019, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaf44d) [131,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASP..131b4506L) [024506](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASP..131b4506L)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Lissauer J. J., et al., 2011, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/197/1/8) [197, 8](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJS..197....8L)
- <span id="page-14-41"></span>Lithwick Y., Wu Y., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/756/1/L11) [756, L11](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...756L..11L)
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>Lucy L. B., Sweeney M. A., 1971, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/111159) [76, 544](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1971AJ.....76..544L)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Luque R., et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935801) [628, A39](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...628A..39L)
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>Mandel K., Agol E., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/345520) [580, L171](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...580L.171M)
- <span id="page-14-80"></span>Marconi A., et al., 2016, in Proc. SPIE. p. 990823 ([arXiv:1609.00497](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00497)), [doi:10.1117/12.2231653](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2231653)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Mayor M., et al., 2003, The Messenger, [114, 20](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003Msngr.114...20M)
- <span id="page-14-61"></span>Mignard F., 1979, [Moon and Planets,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00907581) [20, 301](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1979M%26P....20..301M)
- <span id="page-14-48"></span>Mu˜noz D. J., Lai D., Liu B., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw983) [460, 1086](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016MNRAS.460.1086M)
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>Nelder J. A., Mead R., 1965, [The Computer Journal,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308) 7, 308
- <span id="page-14-78"></span>Nortmann L., et al., 2018, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5348) [362, 1388](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Sci...362.1388N)
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>Noyes R. W., Hartmann L. W., Baliunas S. L., Duncan D. K., Vaughan A. H., 1984, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/161945) [279, 763](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1984ApJ...279..763N)
- <span id="page-14-64"></span>Ogilvie G. I., Lin D. N. C., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/421454) [610, 477](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...610..477O)
- <span id="page-14-77"></span>Oklopčić A., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab2f7f) [881, 133](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...881..133O)
- <span id="page-14-76"></span>Oklopčić A., Hirata C. M., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaada9) [855, L11](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...855L..11O)
- <span id="page-14-70"></span>Otegi J., Bouchy F., Helled R., Dorn C., 2019, A&A, Submitted
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Owen J. E., Wu Y., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa890a) [847, 29](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...847...29O)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Pepe F., et al., 2002, The Messenger, [110, 9](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002Msngr.110....9P)
- <span id="page-14-37"></span>Pepe F. A., et al., 2010, ESPRESSO: the Echelle spectrograph for rocky exoplanets and stable spectroscopic observations. p. 77350F, [doi:10.1117/12.857122](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.857122)
- <span id="page-14-39"></span>Petrovich C., Malhotra R., Tremaine S., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/24) [770, 24](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...770...24P)
- <span id="page-14-51"></span>Petrovich C., Deibert E., Wu Y., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab0e0a) [157, 180](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019AJ....157..180P)
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Piskunov N., Valenti J. A., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629124) [597, A16](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A%26A...597A..16P)
- <span id="page-14-50"></span>Pu B., Lai D., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1817) [488, 3568](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.488.3568P)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Quinn S. N., et al., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab3f2b) [158, 177](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019AJ....158..177Q)
- <span id="page-14-56"></span>Raymond S. N., Boulet T., Izidoro A., Esteves L., Bitsch B., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly100) [479, L81](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.479L..81R)
- <span id="page-14-40"></span>Rein H., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2012.01337.x) [427, L21](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.427L..21R)
- <span id="page-14-58"></span>Rein H., Liu S. F., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118085) [537, A128](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A&A...537A.128R)
- <span id="page-14-57"></span>Rein H., Spiegel D. S., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2164) [446, 1424](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.446.1424R)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Ricker G. R., et al., 2015, [Journal of Astronomical Telescopes,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003) [Instruments, and Systems,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003) [1, 014003](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015JATIS...1a4003R)
- <span id="page-14-79"></span>Salz M., et al., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833694) [620, A97](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018A&A...620A..97S)
- <span id="page-14-43"></span>Sanchis-Ojeda R., Rappaport S., Winn J. N., Kotson M. C., Levine A., El Mellah I., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/787/1/47) [787, 47](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...787...47S)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Santos N. C., et al., 2013, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321286) [556, A150](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...556A.150S)
- <span id="page-14-67"></span>Saumon D., Chabrier G., van Horn H. M., 1995, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/192204) [99, 713](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJS...99..713S)
- <span id="page-14-35"></span>Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/737/2/103) [737, 103](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...737..103S)
- <span id="page-14-34"></span>Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305772) [500, 525](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998ApJ...500..525S)
- <span id="page-14-55"></span>Schlichting H. E., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/795/1/L15) [795, L15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...795L..15S)
- <span id="page-14-75"></span>Seager S., Sasselov D. D., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309088) [537, 916](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...537..916S)
- <span id="page-14-66"></span>Seager S., Kuchner M., Hier-Majumder C. A., Militzer B., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/521346) [669, 1279](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...669.1279S)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Skrutskie M. F., et al., 2006, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/498708) [131, 1163](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AJ....131.1163S)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Smith J. C., et al., 2012, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/667697) [124, 1000](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012PASP..124.1000S)
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Sneden C. A., 1973, PhD thesis, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Sousa S. G., et al., 2008, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809698) [487, 373](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...487..373S)
- <span id="page-14-44"></span>Steffen J. H., Coughlin J. L., 2016, [Proceedings of the National](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606658113) [Academy of Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606658113) [113, 12023](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016PNAS..11312023S)
- <span id="page-14-42"></span>Steffen J. H., Farr W. M., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/774/1/L12) [774, L12](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...774L..12S)
- <span id="page-14-38"></span>Steffen J. H., Hwang J. A., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv104) [448, 1956](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.448.1956S)
- <span id="page-14-53"></span>Steffen J. H., et al., 2012, [Proceedings of the National Academy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120970109) [of Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120970109) [109, 7982](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012PNAS..109.7982S)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Stumpe M. C., Smith J. C., Catanzarite J. H., Van Cleve J. E., Jenkins J. M., Twicken J. D., Girouard F. R., 2014, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/674989) [126, 100](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014PASP..126..100S)
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Suárez Mascareño A., Rebolo R., González Hernández J. I., Esposito M., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1441) [452, 2745](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.452.2745S)
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Suárez Mascareño A., Rebolo R., González Hernández J. I., Esposito M., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx771) [468, 4772](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.468.4772S)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Sullivan P. W., et al., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/77) [809, 77](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...809...77S)
- <span id="page-14-33"></span>Ter Braak C. J. F., 2006, [Statistics and Computing,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11222-006-8769-1) [16, 239](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006S&C....16..239T)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Trifonov T., Rybizki J., Kürster M., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834817) [622, L7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...622L...7T)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Twicken J. D., Chandrasekaran H., Jenkins J. M., Gunter J. P., Girouard F., Klaus T. C., 2010, in Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy. p. 77401U, [doi:10.1117/12.856798](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.856798)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Twicken J. D., et al., 2018, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aab694) [130, 064502](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018PASP..130f4502T)
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Valenti J. A., Fischer D. A., 2005, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/430500) [159, 141](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJS..159..141V)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Valenti J. A., Piskunov N., 1996, A&AS, [118, 595](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996A%26AS..118..595V)
- <span id="page-14-46"></span>Valsecchi F., Rasio F. A., Steffen J. H., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/793/1/L3) [793, L3](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...793L...3V)
- <span id="page-14-68"></span>Van Eylen V., Agentoft C., Lundkvist M. S., Kjeldsen H., Owen J. E., Fulton B. J., Petigura E., Snellen I., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1783) [479, 4786](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.479.4786V)
- <span id="page-14-36"></span>Van Eylen V., et al., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aaf22f) [157, 61](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019AJ....157...61V)
- <span id="page-14-65"></span>Vazan A., Kovetz A., Podolak M., Helled R., 2013, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1248) [434,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.434.3283V) [3283](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.434.3283V)
- <span id="page-14-71"></span>Venturini J., Helled R., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8cd0) [848, 95](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...848...95V)
- <span id="page-14-54"></span>Winn J. N., et al., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa7b7c) [154, 60](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AJ....154...60W)
- <span id="page-14-45"></span>Winn J. N., Sanchis-Ojeda R., Rappaport S., 2018, [New As](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2019.03.006)[tron. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2019.03.006) [83, 37](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018NewAR..83...37W)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Winters J. G., et al., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab364d) [158, 152](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019AJ....158..152W)
- <span id="page-14-52"></span>Wright J. T., Upadhyay S., Marcy G. W., Fischer D. A., Ford E. B., Johnson J. A., 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/693/2/1084) [693, 1084](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...693.1084W)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Wright E. L., et al., 2010, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1868) [140, 1868](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010AJ....140.1868W)
- <span id="page-14-74"></span>Yan F., Henning T., 2018, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0503-3) [2, 714](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/Yan2018)

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>APPENDIX A: AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Geneva Observatory, University of Geneva, Chemin des Mailettes 51, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland

Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria 1, I-10125, Torino, Italy

Centre for Exoplanets and Habitability, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino El Observatorio 1515, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

Leiden Observatory, P.O. Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, Onsala Space Observatory, SE-439 92, Sweden

 ${}^{8}$ Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal

<sup>9</sup>Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Blvd, Pasadena, CA, USA 91125

Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, Tenerife, Spain

Dpto. Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, 89154

<sup>14</sup>Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, D-07778 Tautenburg, Germany

Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

Institute for Computational Science, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstr. 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>17</sup>European Southern Observatory (ESO), Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago de Chile

Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, USA

Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

<sup>24</sup>Depto. de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC campus 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada (Madrid), Spain Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

Astrophysics Science Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771

McDonald Observatory and Department of Astronomy, University of Texas, Austin TX, USA

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales. Buenos Aires, Argentina.

<sup>29</sup>CONICET - Universidad de Buenos Aires. Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE). Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>30</sup>IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>31</sup>AIM, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Rheinisches Institut für Umweltforschung an der Universität zu Köln, Aachener Strasse 209, 50931 Köln Germany

Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Technical University Berlin, Hardenbergstrasse 36, 10585 Berlin, Germany

Department of Astronomy, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ky, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Astrobiology Center, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

JST, PRESTO, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

Center for Space and Habitability, University of Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>A</sup>Institute of Planetary Research, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Rutherfordstrasse 2, D-12489 Berlin, Germany

<sup>C</sup>Institute of Geological Sciences, FU Berlin, Malteserstr. 74-100, D-12249 Berlin

Astronomy Department and Van Vleck Observatory, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459, USA

NASA Sagan Fellow, Department of Astronomy, Unversity of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK

This paper has been typeset from a T<sub>EX</sub>/LAT<sub>EX</sub> file prepared by the author.