



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Correction: Intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment using a multi-informant multi-generation family design

Buisman, R.S.M.; Pittner, K.; Tollenaar, M.S.; Lindenberg, J.; Berg, L.J.M. van den; Compier-de Block, L.H.C.G.; ... ; IJzendoorn, M.H. van

Citation

Buisman, R. S. M., Pittner, K., Tollenaar, M. S., Lindenberg, J., Berg, L. J. M. van den, Compier-de Block, L. H. C. G., ... IJzendoorn, M. H. van. (2020). Correction: Intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment using a multi-informant multi-generation family design. *Plos One*, 15(4), e0232792. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232792

Version: Publisher's Version
License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3141726>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CORRECTION

Correction: Intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment using a multi-informant multi-generation family design

Renate S. M. Buisman, Katharina Pittner, Marieke S. Tollenaar, Jolanda Lindenberg, Lisa J. M. van den Berg, Laura H. C. G. Compier-de Block, Joost R. van Ginkel, Lenneke R. A. Alink, Marian J. Bakermans-Kranenburg, Bernet M. Elzinga, Marinus H. van IJzendoorn

There are errors in the values presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4. A number of symbols are present that are incorrect. Please see the correct Tables 2, 3 and 4 here.



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Buisman RSM, Pittner K, Tollenaar MS, Lindenberg J, van den Berg LJM, Compier-de Block LHCG, et al. (2020) Correction: Intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment using a multi-informant multi-generation family design. PLoS ONE 15(4): e0232792. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232792>

Published: April 30, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Buisman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Table 2. Hierarchical regression analyses for abuse and neglect testing intergenerational transmission from the perspective of one reporter.

| | <i>B</i> | <i>SE(B)</i> | β | <i>t</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>R</i> ² | ΔR^2 |
|---|----------|--------------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Abuse | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 1.38 | .25 | 2% | |
| Gender | -0.02 | 0.12 | -0.01 | -0.19 | .85 | | | | |
| Age | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.10 | .92 | | | | |
| SES | -0.10 | 0.08 | -0.07 | -1.19 | .23 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | 15.61 | < .001 | 25% | 23% |
| Experienced Abuse | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 7.56 | < .001 | | | | |
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Neglect | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 8.16 | < .001 | 12% | |
| Gender | -0.40 | 0.14 | -0.17 | -2.94 | < .001 | | | | |
| Age | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 1.52 | .13 | | | | |
| SES | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 2.81 | .01 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | 10.59 | < .001 | 19% | 7% |
| Experienced Neglect | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 3.99 | < .001 | | | | |

Note. The displayed coefficients of the variables in Step 1 and 2 represent the values after inclusion of variables in Step 3. Persp. = perspective

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232792.t001>

Table 3. Hierarchical regression analyses for abuse and neglect testing intergenerational transmission using different reporters of experienced maltreatment for the perspective of each generation.

| | <i>B</i> | <i>SE(B)</i> | β | <i>t</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>R</i> ² | ΔR^2 |
|---|----------|--------------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Abuse | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 0.82 | .49 | 2% | |
| Gender | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.35 | .73 | | | | |
| Age | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.91 | .37 | | | | |
| SES | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.02 | -0.02 | .98 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | | .02 | 8% | 6% |
| Experienced Abuse | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 3.34 | < .001 | | | | |
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Neglect | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 2.93 | .04 | 6% | |
| Gender | -0.41 | 0.17 | -0.20 | -2.44 | .02 | | | | |
| Age | -0.01 | 0.01 | -0.13 | -0.75 | .45 | | | | |
| SES | -0.22 | 0.12 | -0.15 | -1.78 | .08 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | | .07 | 6% | 0% |
| Experienced Neglect | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.07 | .94 | | | | |

Note. The displayed coefficients of the variables in Step 1 and 2 represent the values after inclusion of variables in Step 3. Persp. = perspective

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232792.t002>

Table 4. Hierarchical regression analyses for abuse and neglect using a multi-informant approach.

| | <i>B</i> | <i>SE(B)</i> | β | <i>t</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>Sig. (p)</i> | <i>R</i> ² | ΔR^2 |
|---|----------|--------------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Abuse | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 1.40 | .24 | 3% | |
| Gender | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.04 | .97 | | | | |
| Age | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.78 | .44 | | | | |
| SES | -0.06 | 0.08 | -0.05 | -0.69 | .49 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | 4.78 | .001 | 12% | 9% |
| Reporter convergence | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 3.68 | < .001 | | | | |
| Step 3 | | | | | | 5.13 | < .001 | 24% | 12% |
| Mother report | -0.06 | 0.13 | -0.05 | -0.47 | .64 | | | | |
| Father vs. child report | -0.42 | 0.13 | -0.34 | -3.27 | .001 | | | | |
| Dependent variable: Perpetrated Neglect | | | | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | | | | | | 3.54 | .02 | 5% | |
| Gender | -0.40 | 0.13 | 0.23 | -3.13 | .002 | | | | |
| Age | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.04 | .97 | | | | |
| SES | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.23 | .82 | | | | |
| Step 2 | | | | | | 2.31 | .06 | 6% | 1% |
| Reporter convergence | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.64 | .53 | | | | |
| Step 3 | | | | | | 1.41 | .21 | 10% | 4% |
| Child report | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 1.56 | .12 | | | | |
| Mother vs. father report | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.58 | .56 | | | | |

Note. The displayed coefficients of the variables in Step 1 and 2 represent the values after inclusion of variables in Step 3.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232792.t003>

Reference

1. Buisman RSM, Pittner K, Tollenaar MS, Lindenberg J, van den Berg LJM, Compier-de Block LHCG, et al. (2020) Intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment using a multi-informant multi-generation family design. *PLoS ONE* 15(3): e0225839. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225839> PMID: 32163421